Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Meeting City Hall, Commission Chambers, 3rd Floor, 1700 Convention Center Drive March 21, 2018 - 9:00 AM

Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez, Chair Commissioner Michael Gongora, Vice-Chair Commissioner Mark Samuelian, Member Commissioner Micky Steinberg, Alternate

Visit us at www.miamibeachfl.gov for agendas and video streaming of City Commission Committee Meetings.

OLD BUSINESS

DISCUSSION ON OFFERING MIAMI BEACH AS A PILOT CITY FOR UBER SELF-DRIVING CARS

January 11, 2017 - C4 B

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Transportation

Status: Item withdrawn by sponsor.

2. DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE HOURS OF OPERATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE SUNSET HARBOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

July 26, 2017 - C4 P

Sponsored by Commissioner Arriola

Office of the City Attorney

DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CITY'S HURRICANE PLANS TO EVACUATE SENIOR CITIZENS

September 25, 2017 - C4 P

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Housing and Community Services

4. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF TWO (2) NEIGHBORHOOD MONUMENT SIGNS REQUESTED BY THE SOUTH OF FIFTH NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION

December 13, 2017 - C4 B

Capital Improvement Projects

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting per the department's request.

DISCUSSION REGARDING 1) RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE BUSINESS TERMS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APERMIT TO SKINNY LABS INC. D/B/A SPIN, IN CONNECTION WITH A SIX (6) MONTH CITYWIDE PILOT PROGRAM FOR STATIONLESS BIKE SHARING SERVICES; AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATION TO NEGOTIATE A FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT BASED UPON THE TERMS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT; AND 2) REGULATIONS FOR DOCKLESS BIKESHARING PILOT PROGRAM

December 13, 2017 - R7 J

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán

Parking

Status: Item withdrawn by sponsor.

6. DISCUSSION REGARDING POST ACTION ON HOLIDAY LIGHTING PROGRAM

January 17, 2018 - C4 K

Sponsored by Vice Mayor Alemán

Property Management

7. DISCUSSION DIRECTING STAFF TO ENHANCE NEXT YEAR'S HOLIDAY DECORATION

January 17, 2018 - C4 L

Sponsored by Commissioner Samuelian

Property Management

8. DISCUSSION REGARDING KEEPING THE ENTRANCE TO MIAMI BEACH LIT UP YEAR-ROUND

January 17, 2018 - R9 Q

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Property Management

Status: Item withdrawn by sponsor.

NEW BUSINESS

9. DISCUSSION REGARDING NON-TOXIC PRODUCTS IN MIAMI BEACH

December 13, 2017 - C4 K

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Environment and Sustainability

Status: Item withdrawn by sponsor.

10. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE RATIONAL RECOVERY PROJECT, SPONSORED BY THE FREEZONE YOUTH SELF RESPECT AND SOUTH BEACH SOBER COACH

January 17, 2018 - C4 M

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Housing and Community Services

Status: Item deferred at the request of Scott Schrey to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting.

11. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NUMBER OF SEATS ON THE PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES ADVISORY BOARD

January 17, 2018 - R5 G

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán & Co-sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Parks and Recreation

12. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PERMANENT TERMINATION OF THE CITY'S MONTH-TO-MONTH AGREEMENT WITH XEROX STATE AND LOCAL SOLUTIONS, INC. ("XEROX") REGARDING THE PHOTO RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

January 17, 2018 - R9 T

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Office of the City Attorney/Police

13. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE FUTURE LOCATION OF 2018 CICLOVIA EVENTS

February 14, 2018 - C4 A

Sponsored by Commissioner Samuelian

Marketing and Communications

14. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ANNUAL REVIEW OF THE CITY'S LIST OF DESERVING ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR GROUPS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE COMPLIMENTARY TICKETS AS PER THE CITY'S COMPLIMENTARY TICKET POLICY PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2014-28638

February 14, 2018 - C4 B

Tourism, Culture, and Economic Development

15. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CITY OFFERING AFFORDABLE SPINNING CLASSES

February 14, 2018 - C4 D

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Parks and Recreation

16. DISCUSSION REGARDING MIAMI BEACH PAVER MEMORIAL PROGRAM

February 14, 2018 - C4 E

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Parks and Recreation

17. DISCUSSION REGARDING FORMING A CITIZEN ANIMAL ADVOCACY AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

February 14, 2018 - C4 F

Sponsored by Commissioner Samuelian & Co-Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Public Works

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting per the sponsors request.

18. DISCUSSION TO CONSIDER ESTABLISHING A NEW BARK BEACH IN SOUTH BEACH

February 14, 2018 - C4 G

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán

Parks and Recreation

19. DISCUSSION REGARDING EXCESSIVE DOG FECES THROUGHOUT THE CITY

February 14, 2018 - C4 H

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Public Works

20. DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIALLY ADDING THE NAME "MIAMI BEACH BOULEVARD" TO 5TH STREET

February 14, 2018 - C4 I

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Transportation

21. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CLEANLINESS INDEX PROGRAM

February 14, 2018 - C4 J

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Organizational Development/ Public Works

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting due to scheduling conflict with Miami Dade County School Board - ADL Program Recognition.

22. DISCUSSION REGARDING CABLERUNNER AND ITS TECHNOLOGY

February 14, 2018 - C4 V

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán

Public Works

23. DISCUSSION REGARDING A POTENTIAL PARK SHARE PILOT IN MIAMI BEACH

February 14, 2018 - C4 AG

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán

Parking

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting per the request of Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez.

24. DISCUSSION REGARDING CREATING A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE PARKS & RECREATION

DEPARTMENT AND MIAMI BEACH SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL HONOR SOCIETIES. TO PROVIDE A VOLUNTEER TUTORING PROGRAM FOR RECREATION YOUTH PARTICIPANTS, AS REQUESTED BY THE YOUTH COMMISSION February 14, 2018 - C4 AH

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Parks and Recreation

DISCUSS REGARDING HOLDING AJOB FAIR FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS LIVING IN MIAMI 25. BEACH, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE MIAMI BEACH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, TO ASSIST STUDENTS IN FINDING SUMMER JOBS, AS REQUESTED BY THE YOUTH COMMISSION

February 14, 2018 - C4 AI

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Organizational Development

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting due to scheduling conflict with Miami Dade County School Board - ADL Program Recognition.

DISCUSSION REGARDING POLICE AND PARKING DEPARTMENT TOWING PERMITS TO 26. BEACH TOWING SERVICES, INC. AND TREMONT TOWING, INC.

February 14, 2018 - C7 A

Sponsored by Vice Mayor Alemán

Parking

DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE 27. CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 18, AND SECTIONS 2-190.11 THROUGH 2-190.14 THEREOF, ENTITLED "RESERVED," TO CREATE THE "SENIOR AFFAIRS COMMITTEE," AND TO ESTABLISH AND SET FORTH THE PURPOSE, POWERS, DUTIES, COMPOSITION, AND SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT FOR THE COMMITTEE, AND, PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

February 14, 2018 - R5 O

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Office of the City Attorney

28. DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 82 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "PUBLIC PROPERTY," ARTICLE IV, "USES IN PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY, DIVISION 5, "SIDEWALK CAFES," TO AMEND THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR SIDEWALK CAFE OPERATORS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL PENALTY PROVISIONS FOR SPECIFIED VIOLATIONS OF THIS DIVISION; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

February 14, 2018 - R5 P

Sponsored by Commissioner Samuelian

Office of the City Attorney

29. DISCUSSION REGARDING ARESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, APPROVING, IN CONCEPT, THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION PROGRAM TO PLACE WAYFINDING SIGNAGE ON THE BEACH WALK BETWEEN 6TH AND 16TH STREET (SERPENTINE AREA) AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO INVESTIGATE THE COST AND FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING SUCH SUGGESTIONS AND TO REPORT FINDINGS TO THE CITY COMMISSION

February 14, 2018 - R9 H

Public Works/Organizational Development

Status: Item deferred to the April 4, 2018 NCAC meeting due to scheduling conflict with Miami Dade County School Board - ADL Program Recognition.

DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS FOR BICYCLE EVENTS ON 30 MIAMI BEACH ROADWAYS

February 14, 2018 - R9 M

Sponsored by Vice-Mayor Alemán

Tourism, Culture and Economic Development

31. DISCUSSION DIRECTING THE CITY TO REVIEW ACCEPTABLE LIGHTING FOR SIDEWALK CAFES ON OCEAN DRIVE

February 14, 2018 - R9 U

Sponsored by Commissioner Samuelian

Planning

32. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE INCREASE IN CRIME IN MIAMI BEACH AND HAVING MORE COMMUNITY POLICING CITYWIDE

February 14, 2018 - R9 X

Sponsored by Commissioner Góngora

Police

33. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NAMING OF NEW BALLROOM FACILITIES IN THE MIAMI BEACH CONVENTION CENTER

March 7, 2018 - C4 I

Tourism, Culture and Economic Development

34. DISCUSSION REGARDING AN AUTONOMOUS MASS TRANSIT SHUTTLE TEST PROGRAM IN MIAMI BEACH

March 7, 2018 - C4 J

Sponsored by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez

Transportation

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION ON OFFERING MIAMI BEACH AS A PILOT CITY FOR UBER SELF-

DRIVING CARS

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Ensure Comprehensive Mobility Addressing All Modes Throughout The City

HISTORY:

At its January 11, 2017 meeting, the Commission referred this item to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee (NCAC). The NCAC heard this item at its May 19, 2017 meeting, and was receptive to exploring Miami Beach participation in Miami-Dade County's efforts to test self-driving cars. On July 26, 2017, the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 2017-29917 authorizing the Administration to explore joining Miami-Dade County in its efforts to test self-driving vehicles.

On October 6, 2017, Miami Beach Transportation Department staff met with Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) staff to discuss various potential automated vehicle related initiatives. On January 30, 2018, staff again met with DTPW to offer Miami Beach participation in Miami-Dade County discussions with autonomous vehicle providers.

ANALYSIS:

On February 20, 2018, staff from the cities of Miami Beach and Miami were invited to a meeting with Miami-Dade County, Ford, and Argo AI to discuss the launch of their autonomous vehicle initiatives in Miami-Dade County and a pending press conference on February 27, 2018. The attached LTC No. 125-2018 dated March 7, 2018 describes the current initiative, which includes autonomous vehicles anticipated to operate in the City of Miami Beach beginning March/April 2018.

Subsequently, Miami-Dade County has invited Miami Beach Transportation Department staff to participate in an informal autonomous vehicle working group comprised of representatives from City of Miami and the County. The working group will begin convening in the next couple of months with the purpose of furthering the current initiative with Ford/Argo AI as well as engaging other providers and identifying additional opportunities for autonomous vehicle operation in the Greater Miami and the Beaches area.

CONCLUSION:

Staff will continue to volunteer Miami Beach as a place to launch autonomous vehices, and participate in Miami-Dade autonomous vehicle initiatives.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type
LTC# 125-2018 Other

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

LETTER TO COMMISSION

LTC #

125-2018

TO:

Mayor Dan Gelber and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE:

March 7, 2018

SUBJECT: AUTONOMOUS VEHICLE'S ARRIVE IN MIAMI AND MIAMI BEACH

The purpose of this Letter to Commission (LTC) is to report that Ford and Argo AI have launched Autonomous Vehicle initiatives in Miami and Miami Beach, as discussed at a press conference on February 27, 2018. Their initiatives support the intent of Miami Beach City Commission Resolution No. 2017-29927 adopted on July 26, 2017, supporting Miami Beach participation in autonomous vehicle pilot initiatives.

On February 20, 2018, Ford and Argo AI launched an eight (8) week pizza delivery pilot in collaboration with Domino's Pizza at the store located at 453 SW 8 Street, Miami. A subsequent pilot with Post Master mail and delivery service will be launched in March/April 2018, and will serve South Beach. Additionally, Ford and Argo AI anticipate having a fleet of several dozen autonomous vehicles operating in the Greater Miami and the Beaches area by the end of the year. They are also looking at additional delivery-related pilot initiatives with area businesses.

Argo AI and Ford have established an Autonomous Vehicle storage yard and offices in the Wynwood neighborhood. Additionally, Argo AI has been mapping City of Miami Beach streets with its cars since mid-February of this year.

The Miami Beach Transportation Department staff will be participating in a working group that has been established for this pilot project. Additional information will be provided via LTC as it becomes available.

Pictures of the autonomous vehicles are attached.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.


Attachment

JLM/KGB/JRG/LKW

Attachment - Ford Autonomous Vehicle Pictures



Ford Autonomous Vehicle. This vehicle has been mapping Miami Beach streets with the mapping device attached to its roof.



Pizza Delivery Vehicle. This vehicle has been testing pizza delivery at a Domino's location in Brickell. Pizzas are deliverd through the rear passenger side window.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE HOURS OF OPERATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE

SUNSET HARBOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

Ordinance Hours of Operation Sunset Harbour Neighborhood

SUNSET HARBOUR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ESTABLISHMENT REGULATIONS – ELIMINATING 2:00 A.M. ALCOHOL EXEMPTIONS

ORDINANCE NO	
--------------	--

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT REGULATIONS," (1) AT DIVISION 5, "CD-2 COMMERCIAL, MEDIUM INTENSITY DISTRICT," SECTION 142-310. "SPECIAL REGULATIONS FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ESTABLISHMENTS"; AND (2) AT DIVISION 11, "I-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT," SECTION 142-488, "SPECIAL REGULATIONS FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE **ESTABLISHMENTS,**" TO ELIMINATE ANY **EXISTING** EXCEPTIONS TO THE 2:00 A.M. CLOSING TIME FOR ALL ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE SUNSET HARBOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY PURDY AVENUE, 20TH STREET, ALTON ROAD, AND DADE BOULEVARD; AND PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Sunset Harbour neighborhood is composed of a mixture of residential, light industrial, and low intensity service, restaurant and retail uses, which primarily serve City residents; and

WHEREAS, alcoholic beverage establishments in Miami Beach have historically been concentrated in the commercial and mixed-use entertainment districts along Washington Avenue, Collins Avenue, and Ocean Drive; and

WHEREAS, residential uses in the Sunset Harbour neighborhood are divided only by the width of a street from the CD-2 commercial, medium intensity and the I-1 light industrial zoning districts; and

WHEREAS, the City Code permits certain uses within the CD-2 and I-1 zoning districts, which, absent mitigation, could be incompatible with adjacent residential uses in the Sunset Harbour neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, large restaurants, stand-alone bars, outdoor food and beverage service, entertainment establishments, and dance halls can, if not regulated, be incompatible with the scale, character, and quality of life of adjacent residential neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Commission desire to encourage uses that are compatible with the scale and character of the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 562.14, Florida Statutes, a municipality may, by ordinance, establish hours of sale for alcoholic beverages; and

WHEREAS, on October 19, 2016, the City adopted ordinance No. 2016-4046, which limited the hours of operation of alcoholic beverage establishments in Sunset Harbour, with certain exceptions, to 2:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Commission desire to eliminate those exceptions; and

WHEREAS, Florida courts have rejected equal protection and due process challenges to Section 562.14, Florida Statutes (See Wednesday Night, Inc. v. City of Fort Lauderdale (Fla. 1973)); and

WHEREAS, in State ex rel. Floyd v. Noel (Fla. 1936), the Florida Supreme Court recognized that "[i]t is so well settled that no citation of authority is required to support the statement that a municipality exercising the powers inherent in municipal corporations may reasonably regulate the sale of intoxicating liquors and in providing such reasonable regulations may prohibit the sale of such liquors within certain hours, and also may prohibit the sale of liquors within certain zones"; and

WHEREAS, in *Makos v. Prince* (Fla. 1953), the Florida Supreme Court recognized that a county may establish separate zones for the hours of sale of alcoholic beverages, and that the regulation of hours need not be uniform throughout the county as a whole; and

WHEREAS, Florida courts have consistently held that alcoholic beverage establishments are not entitled to grandfather status as to hours of sale for alcoholic beverages (See Village of North Palm Beach v. S & H Foster's, Inc. (Fla. 4th DCA 2012); Other Place of Miami, Inc. v. City of Hialeah Gardens (Fla. 3d DCA 1978)); and

WHEREAS, Chapter 1, of the Land Use Element, Objective 2, "Land Use Compatibility," of the City's 2025 Comprehensive Plan (hereinafter "Plan"), specifies that the City's land development regulations will be used to address the location, type, size and intensity of land uses and to ensure adequate land use compatibility between residential and non-residential land uses; and

WHEREAS, Policy 2.1 of the Plan provides that the land development regulations shall continue to address the location and extent of nonresidential land uses in accordance with the Future Land Use map and the policies and descriptions of types, sizes and intensities of land uses contained in [the Future Land Use] Element; and

WHEREAS, Policy 2.2 of the Plan provides that development in land use categories which permit both residential and non-residential uses shall be regulated by formalized land development regulations which are designed to ensure adequate land use compatibility; and

WHEREAS, compatibility shall be achieved by one or more of the following: (1) enumeration of special land uses which may be particularly incompatible with residential uses and may be prohibited in specified areas or zoning districts; (2) enumeration of special land use administrative procedures such as Conditional Use approval, which require public hearings prior to special land use approval; (3) enumeration of special land use criteria such as minimum required distance separations from residential districts or uses or allowable hours of operation, to ensure that non-residential special land uses are properly located with respect to any residential uses to which they may be incompatible; and (4) the vertical separation of residential and non-residential uses within mixed use buildings through the use of land use regulations on accessory uses within residential buildings, and the identification of those types of commercial

uses which are particularly incompatible with residential uses and which shall therefore NOT be permitted in mixed use buildings; and

WHEREAS, in determining incompatibility, consideration shall be given to noise, lighting, shadows, access, traffic, parking, height, bulk, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering and any other criteria that may be important to ensure that necessary safeguards are provided for the protection of surrounding property, persons, and neighborhood values; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and City Commission, based upon neighborhood compatibility issues and complaints due to existing alcoholic beverage establishments and the effects from said establishment, desire to eliminate all exemptions from the 2:00 a.m. closing requirement; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Chapter 1, Objective 2, Policies 2.1 and 2.2 of the Plan, is it is desirable to encourage uses in commercial districts that are properly balanced and compatible with the scale, character and context of adjacent residential neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, the amendments set forth below are necessary to accomplish all of the above objectives.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA.

SECTION 1. Chapter 142, "Zoning Districts and Regulations," Article II, "District Regulations," Division 5, "CD-2 Commercial, Medium Intensity District," at Section 142-310, "Special regulations for alcohol beverage establishments," is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 142 ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS

ARTICLE II. - DISTRICT REGULATIONS

DIVISION 5. - CD-2 COMMERCIAL, MEDIUM INTENSITY DISTRICT

Sec. 142-310. Special regulations for alcohol beverage establishments.

- (b) Sunset Harbour neighborhood. The following additional requirements shall apply to alcoholic beverage establishments, whether as a main use, conditional use, or accessory use, that are located in the Sunset Harbour neighborhood, which is generally bounded by Purdy Avenue to the west, 20th Street and the waterway to the north, Alton Road to the east, and Dade Boulevard to the south.
 - 1. Operations shall cease no later than 2:00 a.m., except that outdoor operations (including sidewalk cafe operations) shall cease no later than 12:00 a.m.

- 2. Alcoholic beverage establishments may not operate any outside dining areas or accessory bar counters above the ground floor of the building in which they are located; however, outdoor restaurant seating, associated with indoor venues, not exceeding 40 seats, may be permitted above the ground floor until 8:00 p.m.
- <u>3.</u> Except as may be required by any applicable fire prevention code or building code, outdoor speakers shall not be permitted.
- 4. Special events shall not be permitted in any alcoholic beverage establishment.
- 5. This section (b) shall not apply to any valid, pre existing permitted use with a valid business tax receipt (BTR) for an alcoholic beverage establishment that was issued prior to August 23, 2016, or to a proposed establishment that has submitted a completed application for an alcoholic beverage establishment to a land use board prior to August 23, 2016, or to an establishment that has obtained approval for an alcoholic beverage establishment from a land use board, and which land use board order is active and has not expired, prior to August 23, 2016. Any increase to the approved hours of operation shall meet the requirements of this section.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> Chapter 142, "Zoning Districts and Regulations," Article II, "District Regulations," Division 11, "I-1 Light Industrial District," at Section 142-488, "Special regulations for alcohol beverage establishments." is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 142-488. Special regulations for alcohol beverage establishments.

- (a) Sunset Harbour neighborhood. The following additional requirements shall apply to alcoholic beverage establishments, whether as a main use, conditional use, or accessory use, that are located in the Sunset Harbour neighborhood, which is generally bounded by Purdy Avenue to the west, 20th Street and the waterway to the north, Alton Road to the east, and Dade Boulevard to the south.
 - 1. Operations shall cease no later than 2:00 a.m., except that outdoor operations (including sidewalk cafe operations) shall cease no later than 12:00 a.m.
 - 2. Alcoholic beverage establishments may not operate any outside dining areas or accessory bar counters above the ground floor of the building in which they are located; however, outdoor restaurant seating, associated with indoor venues, not exceeding 40 seats, may be permitted above the ground floor until 8:00 p.m.
 - 3. Except as may be required by any applicable fire prevention code or building code, outdoor speakers shall not be permitted.
 - 4. Special events shall not be permitted in any alcoholic beverage establishment.
 - 5. This section shall not apply to any valid, pre-existing permitted use with a valid business tax receipt (BTR) for an alcoholic beverage establishment that was issued

prior to August 23, 2016, or to a proposed establishment that has submitted a completed application for an alcoholic beverage establishment to a land use board prior to August 23, 2016, or to an establishment that has obtained approval for an alcoholic beverage establishment from a land use board, and which land use board order is active and has not expired, prior to August 23, 2016. Any increase to the approved hours of operation shall meet the requirements of this section.

SECTION 3. REPEALER.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances and all section and parts of sections in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. CODIFICATION.

It is the intention of the City Commission, and it is hereby ordained, that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made part of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, as amended; that the sections of this Ordinance may be re-numbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intention; and that the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section" or other appropriate word.

SECTION 5. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE.

Planning Director

This Ordinance shall take effect ten	This Ordinance shall take effect ten days following adoption.			
PASSED and ADOPTED this	_ day of, 2017.			
Attest:				
	Philip Levine Mayor			
Rafael E. Granado City Clerk				
(Sponsored by Commissioner Ricky Arriola)	APPROVED AS TO FORM & LANGUAGE			
<u>Underline</u> denotes additions Strike through denotes deletions	& FOR EXECUTION			
First Reading: June 28, 2017 Second Reading: July 25, 2017	City Attorney/ Date			
Verified By:				
Thomas R. Mooney, AICP				

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CITY'S HURRICANE PLANS TO EVACUATE

SENIOR CITIZENS

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Enhance Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness

HISTORY:

After Hurricane Irma, the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee held a discussion regarding the storm's impact on our community's elder residents. Staff reported on the difficulties encountered leading up to and in the aftermath of the hurricane making landfall in South Florida. The Committee then requested that staff conduct targeted outreach to the City's elder buildings to register residents for the County's Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program.

The Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program, operated by Miami-Dade County, provides transportation assistance for those residents with disability or frailty to attend a general population or special needs shelter, as needed, in the event of an evacuation of our City. All residents are transported to general population shelters unless they have been registered in the special needs program and have medical needs including electric-dependent medical supports.

When Hurricane Irma became a threat to our City, the Office of Housing and Community Services worked with its community partners and fellow City departments to spread safety and evacuation information to our clients and community residents in the days leading to the weather event. In addition to Constant Contact emails blasted to all of our contact lists, City staff reached out to affordable and elder housing providers and community-based agencies to promote evacuation.

Despite promoting the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program among our elderly and disabled clients since May 2017 (as we do every year), we were disheartened to find only 16 residents were registered in the program before Hurricane Irma. As staff conducted community outreach to specifically engage the elderly and disabled and offer support to evacuate, they encountered many residents with special needs or issues of frailty who had not registered with the County and/or did not have any natural supports or family to aid them in the move.

ANALYSIS:

At the request of the Committee, the Administration made a concerted effort to target tenants in our elder buildings to encourage Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program registration and prepare our elder residents to evacuate should another weather threat occur. Staff conducted targeted outreach and registration to 13 elder-serving buildings:

* Rebecca Towers

- * Michigan Avenue Apartments
- * Shep Davis Plaza
- * Council Towers North & South
- * Stella Maris (The building's staff registered 25 of its tenants independently.)
- * Four Freedoms House
- * Allen Apartments
- * Edwards Apartments
- * Lulav Square
- * Jefferson Apartments
- * Villa Maria
- * Villa Matti
- * Federation Towers

This targeted effort resulted in the registration of 33 residents for the transportation assistance program whereas less than 20 had been registered prior to Hurricane Irma. Six additional residents took the application but did not advise staff if their intent was to submit the form to the County.

Staff made a concerted effort to understand why elder residents chose not to register so as to better understand the impediments to program participation. The following were the four primary reasons identified by those elder residents who opted not to register for the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program:

- Residents stated that their families will take care of them so there is no need to go with strangers;
- A belief that, since the storm missed the City, it will miss our community the next time, too;
- Some of the residents have non-service animals that they will not part with but also do not want to go to general population shelters with their pets; and
- Residents stated that it is inconvenient to have to take their own food, bedding and personal belongings to shelter

Staff confirmed that those buildings with social service programing staff seek to register their tenants for the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program each year. However, they, too, encounter tenants refusing registration for the reasons stated above.

Since program registration is not compulsory, staff evaluated ways to increase participation while factoring the reasons cited for non-participation. The following options are suggested:

- 1. Require residential buildings with 51% of tenants over the age of 62 to submit evidence that they have encouraged tenants to register for the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program prior to the issuance of the Business Tax Receipt.
- 2. Require residential buildings with 51% of tenants over the age of 62 which receive City funds to encourage all of its eligible tenants to register for the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program prior to receiving City funds and annually thereafter for a period of 10 years. Please note that Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program registration allows emergency personnel to know the needs of the building's tenants without compelling them to actually leave the building during an evacuation unless they agree to do so. Tenants maintain the right to refuse relocation but will be encouraged to leave for their own safety.
- 3. Require elder-serving agencies receiving City funding to encourage their elder clients to register for the Emergency and Evacuation Assistance Program as part of the reporting requirements for funds received.
- 4. Collaborate with Miami-Dade County's Office of Emergency Management to consider opening an elderonly evacuation shelter that caters to residents over the age of 62 only who may bring companion animals with them. The elder residents surveyed were fearful of general population shelters. By limiting a site to elder persons only, our elder residents may feel more comfortable to be with people their own age and circumstances and, therefore, more willing to evacuate their homes.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking direction regarding the options listed above to promote the safe evacuation of elder residents during severe weather events such as hurricanes.

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Raul Aguila, City Attorney

DATE:

December 13, 2017

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE BUSINESS TERMS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT TO SKINNY LABS INC. D/B/A SPIN, IN CONNECTION WITH A SIX (6) MONTH CITYWIDE PILOT PROGRAM FOR STATIONLESS BIKE SHARING SERVICES; AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATION TO NEGOTIATE A FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT BASED UPON THE TERMS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT.

RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to the request of Vice-Mayor Aleman, the above referenced Resolution is submitted for consideration by the Mayor and City Commission at the December 13, 2017 City Commission meeting.

ANALYSIS

Skinny Labs Inc. d/b/a Spin (Spin) has approached the City with a proposal to provide stationless bike sharing services to the general public throughout the City of Miami Beach as a pilot program (the Program). The Program would operate with an initial fleet of 100 bikes at a time, subject to modification by the City Manager or his designee. Stationless bike-sharing services provide lower prices and the convenience of locating the bikes and returning them to any location which is not prohibited, instead of a fixed location. Spin would be responsible for ensuring that the bikes are not placed in any of the prohibited areas. Spin possesses GPS, 3G and self-locking technology in its bike fleets (Spin bikes), such that the Spin bikes may be locked and opened by users with an app and tracked to provide for operation and maintenance. The initial discussions have been held regarding the operation of the Program, so as to balance the public's right to use the public right of way without any obstruction, with the desired outcome of providing the public with easily accessible bicycles for a reasonable fee. The operation of the Program for six (6) months would serve as a tool to evaluate this service as a possible transit service for residents and tourists of Miami Beach. The Administration would target a launch date of February 1, 2017 in order to commence operations prior to the Spring Break season.

Should the City Commission wish to proceed with this Program, the Administration will negotiate a permit for a six (6) month pilot program, based upon the following business terms:

A. <u>Term</u>: Six (6) months, unless terminated by the City Manager, with or without cause (upon fifteen (15) days prior written notice). Upon termination, Spin shall be responsible for removing all Spin bikes from the City's rights of way or be subject to \$1,000 per day

penalty:

- B. <u>License to use City Rights of Way</u>: Spin will have a non-exclusive license to use certain City sidewalks, roads and other City rights of way, as shall be identified and approved by the City Manager to maintain and offer the pilot Program with Spin bikes;
- C. <u>Non-Permitted Uses</u>: (1) Spin and its customers shall not be permitted to attach to personal property, fixtures or structures on the City's rights of way; and (2) operation of the pilot Program shall, at a minimum: (a) not adversely affect the City's rights of way; (b) not adversely affect the property of any third parties; (c) not inhibit pedestrian movement within the City's rights of way or along other property or rights of way owned or controlled by the City; and (d) not create conditions which are a threat to public health, safety and welfare;
- D. <u>Maintenance and Operation</u>: (1) Spin shall be responsible for maintaining the Spin bikes pursuant to industry maintenance standards; (2) Spin shall be solely responsible for repair, replacement and restoration of any real or personal property damaged as a result of the operation of the Program; (3) Spin shall monitor and reposition bikes in order to ensure that the bikes do not obstruct the City's rights of way and to maximize the efficiency of the Program; (4) Any bikes improperly parked or blocking the City's rights of way which are not removed by Spin within two (2) hours shall be subject to a \$20.00 penalty;
- E. <u>Fares:</u> Unless otherwise approved by the City Manager, the rental charges for the use of the Spin bikes shall not exceed the following approved rates: (1) \$1.00 per hour; (2) \$.50 per hour for anyone qualifying for low-income subsidies; (3) \$29.00 per month for unlimited half hour rides; and (4) \$99.00 per year for unlimited half hour rides;
- F. <u>Reports</u>: The City shall have the right to access revenue and usage information in connection with its evaluation of the Program;
- G. <u>Insurance/Indemnification</u>: Spin shall be required to maintain the following insurance coverages: (1) Workers' Compensation, as required by Florida Law; (2) Commercial General Liability and Rights of Way Damage Insurance (One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) combined single limit per occurrence, with Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) annual aggregate for bodily injury, property damage, products, completed operations, and contractual liability coverage; and (3) Comprehensive automobile insurance in an amount not less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage, including coverage for owned and non-owned vehicles. All insurance coverages shall be subject to review and approval by the City's Risk Manager. Spin shall indemnify the City from all claims, including, without limitation court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, resulting in loss of life, bodily injury or property damage arising directly or indirectly out of or from or account of Spin's use of the City's rights of way, use of the Spin bikes by anyone, or Spin's failure to comply with the terms of the permit; and
- H . <u>Performance Bond</u>: Spin shall be required to secure and maintain a \$100,000 performance bond securing its performance under the permit.

CONCLUSION

Should the City Commission wish to proceed with this Program, the Administration recommends that the Mayor and City Commission approve this Resolution.

KEY INTENDED OUTCOMES SUPPORTED

Ensure Comprehensive Mobility Addressing All Modes Throughout The City

Legislative Tracking
Office of the City Attorney

<u>Sponsor</u> Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Aleman

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Resolution

RESOL	.UTION	NO.	
-------	--------	-----	--

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE BUSINESS TERMS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT TO SKINNY LABS INC D/B/A SPIN, IN CONNECTION WITH A SIX (6) MONTH CITYWIDE PILOT PROGRAM FOR STATIONLESS BIKE SHARING SERVICES; AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATION TO NEGOTIATE A FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT BASED UPON THE TERMS SET FORTH HEREIN; AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE FINAL PERMIT AGREEMENT.

WHEREAS, Skinny Labs Inc. d/b/a Spin (Spin) has approached the City with a proposal to provide stationless bike sharing services to the general public throughout the City of Miami Beach as a pilot program (the Program); and

WHEREAS, Spin possesses GPS, 3G and self-locking technology in its bike fleets (Spin bikes), such that the Spin bikes may be locked and opened by users with an app and tracked to provide for operation and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, initial discussions have been held regarding the operation of the Program, so as to balance the public's right to use the public right of way without any obstruction, with the desired outcome of providing the public with easily accessible bicycles for a reasonable fee; and

WHEREAS, the operation of a Program for six (6) months would serve as a tool to evaluate the Program as a possible alternate transit service for residents and tourists of Miami Beach; and

WHEREAS, should the City Commission wish to proceed with this Program, the Administration will negotiate a permit for a six (6) month pilot program, based upon the following business terms:

- A. <u>Term</u>: Six (6) months, unless terminated by the City Manager, with or without cause (upon fifteen (15) days prior written notice). Upon termination, Spin shall be responsible for removing all Spin bikes from the City's rights of way or be subject to \$1,000 per day penalty;
- B. <u>License to use City Rights of Way</u>: Spin will have a non-exclusive license to use certain City sidewalks, roads and other City rights of way, as shall be identified and approved by the City Manager to maintain and offer the pilot Program with Spin bikes;
- C. Non-Permitted Uses: (1) Spin and its customers shall not be permitted to attach to personal property, fixtures or structures on the City's rights of way; and (2) operation of the pilot Program shall, at a minimum: (a) not adversely affect the City's rights of way; (b) not adversely affect the property of any third parties; (c) not inhibit pedestrian movement within the City's rights of way or along other property or rights of way owned or controlled by the City; and (d) not create conditions which are a threat to public health, safety and welfare;
- D. <u>Maintenance and Operation</u>: (1) Spin shall be responsible for maintaining the Spin bikes pursuant to industry maintenance standards; (2) Spin shall be solely responsible for repair, replacement and restoration of any real or personal property

- damaged as a result of the operation of the Program; (3) Spin shall monitor and reposition bikes in order to ensure that the bikes do not obstruct the City's rights of way and to maximize the efficiency of the Program; (4) Any bikes improperly parked or blocking the City's rights of way which are not removed by Spin within two (2) hours shall be subject to a \$20.00 penalty;
- E. <u>Fares:</u> Unless otherwise approved by the City Manager, the rental charges for the use of the Spin bikes shall not exceed the following approved rates: (1) \$1.00 per hour; (2) \$.50 per hour for anyone qualifying for low-income subsidies; (3) \$29.00 per month for unlimited half hour rides; and (4) \$99.00 per year for unlimited half hour rides:
- F. Reports: The City shall have the right to access revenue and usage information in connection with its evaluation of the Program;
- G. Insurance/Indemnification: Spin shall be required to maintain the following insurance coverages: (1) Workers' Compensation, as required by Florida Law; (2) Commercial General Liability and Rights of Way Damage Insurance (One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) combined single limit per occurrence, with Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) annual aggregate for bodily injury, property damage, products, completed operations, and contractual liability coverage; and (3) Comprehensive automobile insurance in an amount not less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage, including coverage for owned and non-owned vehicles. All insurance coverages shall be subject to review and approval by the City's Risk Manager. Spin shall indemnify the City from all claims, including, without limitation court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, resulting in loss of life, bodily injury or property damage arising directly or indirectly out of or from or account of Spin's use of the City's rights of way, use of the Spin bikes by anyone, or Spin's failure to comply with the terms of the permit; and
- H. <u>Performance Bond</u>: Spin shall be required to secure and maintain a \$100,000 performance bond securing its performance under the permit.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, that the Mayor and City Commission hereby approve the business terms for the issuance of a permit to Skinny Labs Inc d/b/a Spin, in connection with a six (6) month Citywide pilot program for stationless bike sharing services; authorize the Administration to negotiate a final permit agreement based upon the terms set forth herein; and further authorize the City Manager to execute the final permit agreement.

	PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS	DAY OF	_2017.
ATTE	ST:		
RAFA	EL E. GRANADO, CITY CLERK	DAN GELBER, MA	YOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LANGUAGE & FOR EXECUTION L-4-1

Page 1238 of 1435

Page 22 of 145

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING POST ACTION ON HOLIDAY LIGHTING PROGRAM

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION DIRECTING STAFF TO ENHANCE NEXT YEAR'S HOLIDAY

DECORATION

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING KEEPING THE ENTRANCE TO MIAMI BEACH LIT

UP YEAR-ROUND

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING NON-TOXIC PRODUCTS IN MIAMI BEACH

ANALYSIS:

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Type
D	Attachment A - Green Proc	Other
D	Attachement B - FL Friendly Reso	Other
D	Attachment C - Non-Toxic Products Memo	Memo

RESOLUTION NO.

2016-29555

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCY COMMITTEE TO ADOPT THE SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT PROCUREMENT POLICY ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT A; AND, DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO ESTABLISH ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES THAT REQUIRE EACH CITY DEPARTMENT TO CONSIDER SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCY IN PROCUREMENT DECISIONS.

WHEREAS, the City of Miami Beach recognizes its responsibility to minimize the negative impacts of its purchases on human health and the environment while supporting a diverse, equitable, and vibrant community and economy; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes the vast variety of products and services it procures have inherent social, human, health, environmental, and economic impacts; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes the importance of integrating resiliency factors into the procurement process to reduce vulnerabilities and to minimize risk; thus, strengthening our ability to bounce back from shocks, such as hurricanes, and stressors, such as sea level rise; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes it should make procurement decisions that embody, promote, and encourage the City's commitment to sustainability and environmental stewardship; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes its significant purchasing power and its ability to increase sustainability and resiliency through its procurement practices; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2016, via agenda item C4J, the City Commission approved a referral to the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee regarding the establishment of a Citywide sustainable procurement policy; and

WHEREAS, on June 7, 2016, the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee unanimously approved a recommendation to the Mayor and City Commission that the Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit A, be adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT DULY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, that the Mayor and City Commission hereby accept the recommendation of the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee and adopt the Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit A; and, direct the City Manager to establish administrative procedures that require each City department to consider sustainability and resiliency in procurement decisions.

ATTEST:

Rafael E. Granado, City Clerk

Rafael E. Granado, City Clerk

Page 27 of 145

City Attorney

Date

Approved AS TO
FORM & LANGUAGE
& FOR EXECUTION

Exhibit A

"City of Miami Beach Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy"



1. Purpose

The City of Miami Beach recognizes its responsibility to minimize negative impacts of its purchases on human health and the environment while supporting a diverse, equitable, and vibrant community and economy. The City recognizes that the products and services the City buys have inherent social, human, health, environmental and economic impacts and that the City should make procurement decisions that embody, promote, and encourage the City's commitment to sustainability. The City recognizes its significant purchasing power and its ability increase resiliency through this Policy and practices. This Policy aims to institutionalize sustainable and resilient procurement practices into the Miami Beach Procurement Program.

This Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy is intended to:

- Result in the purchase and use of materials, products and services that best align with the City's fiscal, environmental, social, community, and performance goals;
- Reduce the environmental impacts from City use of products, including the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, climate change effects, landfill waste, health and safety risks, and resource consumption;
- Incorporate sustainability standards into procurement decisions;
- Empower Department and City Procurement staff to be innovative and demonstrate leadership by incorporating progressive and best-practice sustainability specifications, strategies, and practices in procurement decisions;
- Ensure vendors provide products and services that are most suited to the City sustainability program;
- Encourage and promote both local and national companies to bring forward emerging and progressive sustainable products and services, by being a consumer of such products and companies; and
- Communicate the City's commitment to sustainable procurement, by modeling the best product and services choices to citizens, other public agencies and private companies.
- Facilitate our emergency preparedness and the ability to quickly bounce back from shocks, such as hurricanes, and stressors, such as sea level rise.



2. Departments Affected

All City departments that make purchases of goods, services, design and construction, or that contract with others to make purchases.

3. City Commission Policy

a. General Policy Statement

The City shall acquire its goods and services in these sustainable ways through fiscal responsibility, social equity, and community and environmental stewardship. Additionally, this Policy will provide for procurement that promotes resiliency to prepare for and respond to our shocks and stressors. Each City department shall comply with this Policy and actively encourage procurement decisions that reflect the Policy objectives. The Procurement Department shall actively lead these efforts, and promote compliance.

b. Sustainability Factors

Factors to consider:

- Pollutant releases and toxins, especially persistent bioaccumulative toxins (PBTs), air emissions, and water pollution;
- Waste generation and waste minimization;
- Energy consumption;
- Greenhouse gas emissions;
- Recyclability and recycled content;
- Depletion or enhancement of natural resources;
- Potential impact on human health and the environment;
- Impacts on biodiversity; and
- Environmental practices that vendors and manufacturers have incorporated into their office and production process.

Social equity factors to be considered include, but are not limited to:

- Human health impacts; and
- Use of local businesses.



c. Resiliency factors

Factors to consider:

- Reflective individuals and institutions use past experience to inform future decisions, and will modify standards and behaviors accordingly.
- Resourceful people and institutions are able to recognize alternative ways to use resources at times of crisis in order to meet their needs or achieve their goals.
- Robust design is well-conceived, constructed, and managed and includes making provision to ensure failure is predictable, safe, and not disproportionate to the cause. For example, protective infrastructure that is robust will not fail catastrophically when design thresholds are exceeded.
- Redundancy: refers to spare capacity purposively created to accommodate disruption due to extreme pressures, surges in demand, or an external event. It includes diversity where there are multiple ways to achieve a given need.
- Flexibility: refers to the willingness and ability to adopt alternative strategies in response to changing circumstances or sudden crises. Systems can be made more flexible through introducing new technologies or knowledge, including recognizing traditional practices.
- Inclusive: processes emphasize the need for broad consultation and 'many seats at the table' to create a sense of shared ownership or a joint vision to build City resilience.
- Integrated: processes bring together systems and institutions and can also catalyze additional benefits as resources are shared and actors are enabled to work together to achieve greater ends.

d. Preferences

The Procurement Department does not calculate a direct price preference for sustainable products in the selection of contractors because the City prefers to directly implement product decisions that are most environmentally preferable.

The City instead establishes a minimum specification with the most environmentally preferable solutions for particular products, and may also utilize a scored evaluation criteria allowing additional consideration for positive environmental product options, corporate practices, and other environmental solutions proposed by the vendors.

Use of Best Practices

The Procurement Department and City employees will utilize best practices in sustainable procurement as they evolve. As it applies to this policy, best practices in sustainable and resilient procurement are those that utilize leading edge sustainability factors, standards, and policies.

6. Reduce Consumption, Source Reduction, and Reuse

To increase efficiencies and address societal and community costs, such as landfill waste handling, toxin exposures, resource depletion, and greenhouse gas emissions, City departments will work to reduce consumption through means including, but not limited to:

- Elimination of unnecessary purchases;
- Minimization of redundant purchases;
- Preference for purchase of:
 - o remanufactured, recycled, or reusable products;
 - o products with minimized packaging;
 - o products without toxic chemicals;
 - o products that are durable, long lasting, reusable, recyclable, or otherwise create less waste; and
 - products with manufacturer or vendor take-back programs.



7. Environmental Standards and Product Certifications

Standards: The City of Miami Beach will comply with all applicable local, state and federal regulations including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) standards whenever published for a product or services.

Third-Party Certifications: City Departments and the Procurement Department may apply the most stringent third-party label standard available for a product or service being acquired. The City may use independent, third-party environmental product or service label certifications when writing specifications for, or procuring materials, products, or services, whenever a responsible label standard is applicable and available. Qualifying labels shall be:

- Developed and awarded by an impartial third-party;
- Developed in a public, transparent, and broad stakeholder process; and
- Represent specific and meaningful leadership criteria for that product or service category.

In addition, whenever possible, label standards used in product or service specifications should represent standards that take into account multiple attributes and life-cycle considerations, with claims verified by an independent third party.

8. This policy authorizes the City Manager, through the Procurement Director, to develop Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Procedures, to train staff, and to periodically update with best practices.

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE:

September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCY COMMITTEE TO ADOPT THE SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT PROCUREMENT POLICY ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT A; AND, DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO ESTABLISH ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES THAT REQUIRE EACH CITY DEPARTMENT TO CONSIDER SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCY IN PROCUREMENT DECISIONS.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Resolution accepting the recommendation of the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee to adopt the Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy and directing the City Manager to establish administrative procedures that require each city department to consider sustainability and resiliency in procurement decisions.

ANALYSIS

At the City Commission meeting on May 11, 2016, the Mayor and City Commission referred a discussion to the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee regarding the establishment of a sustainable procurement policy. This item was sponsored by Commissioner Aleman.

Green procurement is one of the ten priority program areas within the City of Miami Beach Sustainability Plan. The goal of this program area is to increase the use of non-hazardous and environmentally friendly products in government maintenance, operations, permitted concessionaires/vendors and special events, as well as promote the decreased use of hazardous, toxic, and/or nonrecyclable goods community-wide.

Within its green procurement initiatives, the City has a Janitorial Program that requires all cleaning services to be conducted in strict accordance with the Green Seal Standard for Commercial and Institutional Cleaning Services (GS-42). This standard establishes environmental requirements for cleaning service providers of commercial, public, and institutional buildings. Under the Janitorial Program, products and services contracted within the City should be evaluated in part based on their environmental attributes to reduce risks to health, safety, and the environment. Contractors are required to submit a list of the products they intend to use prior to commencement of work and they are responsible for providing invoices of

specific products confirming compliance.

As part of the City of Miami Beach Rising Above Resiliency Strategy, this memorandum introduces a more complete and robust Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy (attachment A) to further institutionalize procurement approaches in a balanced sustainable manner and will assist the City in bouncing back from shocks and stressors in the face of climate change. By establishing this Policy, the City will be able to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to combat climate change; decrease the use of hazardous materials to improve community and environmental health; and decrease waste and inefficiencies in electricity, fuel, paper, water and other consumption to relieve pressure on natural resources.

CONCLUSION

The Administration recommends that the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, approve the Resolution accepting the recommendation of the Sustainability and Resiliency Committee to adopt the Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy and directing the City Manager to establish administrative procedures that require each city department to consider sustainability and resiliency in procurement decisions.

KEY INTENDED OUTCOMES SUPPORTED

Ensure Expenditure Trends Are Sustainable Over The Long Term

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Sustainable Procurement Policy does not mandate a specific product or source of supply over another; but, rather, facilitates the consideration of sustainability and resiliency factors in procurement decisions. The Administration must still conduct its due diligence and cost benefit analysis prior to any specific procurement decision. As such, discussions on any possible fiscal impact must be deferred until such time as specific procurement decisions, and their budgetary impacts, are being considered.

Total NA

Legislative Tracking

Environmental and Sustainability/Procurement

Sponsor

Commissioner John Elizabeth Aleman

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Resolution and Exhibit A

2017-29748

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, INCORPORATING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR LANDSCAPING BY INCLUDING THE USE OF THE FLORIDA-FRIENDLY LANDSCAPING GUIDE TO PLANT SELECTION AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN WHEN REDEVELOPING CITY PARKS AND CITY-OWNED LAND.

- **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Sections 125.568, 166.048, 373.185, and 373.228 of the Florida Statutes, local governments should consider the adoption of the principles and standards in the Florida-Friendly Landscaping Guide to Plant Selection and Landscape Design ("Florida-Friendly Landscaping"); and
- WHEREAS, Section 373.62 of the Florida Statutes, that regulates the installation of rain sensor devices on automatic lawn sprinkler systems, follows Florida-Friendly Landscaping standards; and
- WHEREAS, the Florida Watershed Restoration Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) municipal stormwater permitting program require local governments to reduce pollutant loads discharged from their stormwater management systems to better protect and restore surface and ground waters; and
- **WHEREAS**, the City of Miami Beach ("City"), a world-renowned tourist destination, declares that it is in the interest of the public health, safety, and welfare of its residents and visitors to reduce litter and pollutants on the lands and in the waters of the City, and along its shores and famous beaches; and
- WHEREAS, the City is comprised of a number of islands with approximately 70 miles of shoreline along numerous canals and waterways, the Atlantic Ocean, and Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve, all of which support a wide variety of flora and fauna; and
- **WHEREAS,** protecting the City's watershed and keeping our waterways clean is critical to our local ecosystem, our residents, and our economy; and
- **WHEREAS**, the City recognizes the need for the protection of water as a natural resource through the application of Florida-Friendly Landscaping practices; and
- **WHEREAS**, Florida-Friendly Landscaping promotes the conservation of water by the use of site adapted plants and efficient watering methods which generally results in a long-term reduction of irrigation, fertilizer, and pesticide requirements, costs, energy, and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, Florida-Friendly Landscaping encourages a reduction of total energy expenditures for such items as water pumping and treatment, the manufacture and shipping of fertilizers, insecticide, and other gardening chemicals, the operation and maintenance of mowers, edgers, blowers, and other combustion based yard equipment. as well as labor; and

WHEREAS, community-wide Florida-Friendly Landscaping efforts are designed to save significant amounts of water to preserve local water supplies such that cumulative benefits may reduce or postpone the need for community potable water supply expansion; and

WHEREAS, it is the City's goal to reduce the risk to human health and the environment by minimizing the use of pesticides and using landscaping best management practices, emphasizing proven, effective, least-toxic, and non-toxic approaches and products in City practices; and

WHEREAS, the City seeks the reduction of the use of Restricted Use Pesticides for pest control through the incorporation of best management practices, including Florida-Friendly Landscaping standards, to be used by City employees and contractors working for the City when redeveloping City parks and City-owned land; and

WHEREAS, this Resolution will preserve and enhance the health and environment of the City of Miami Beach.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT DULY RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, that the Mayor and City Commission hereby incorporate best management practices for landscaping by including the use of Florida-Friendly Landscaping when redeveloping City parks and City-owned land.

Page 37 of 145

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 8 day of February , 2017.

ATTEST:

.exme. Mavor

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LANGUAGE

& FOR EXECUTION

Resolutions - R7 K

MIAMIBEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE:

February 8, 2017

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND THE CITY COMMISSION OF MIAMI

BEACH, FLORIDA, INCORPORATING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR LANDSCAPING BY INCLUDING THE USE OF THE FLORIDA-FRIENDLY LANDSCAPING GUIDE TO PLANT SELECTION AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN

WHEN REDEVELOPING CITY PARKS AND CITY-OWNED LAND.

Legislative Tracking

Environment & Sustainability / Greenspace

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

- n AttachmentA_Greenspace_Reso
- n MEMO_Greenspace_final

City of Miami Beach, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139, www.miamibeachfl.gov

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Philip Levine and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales

DATE: February 8, 2017

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF

MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, INCORPORATING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR LANDSCAPING BY INCLUDING THE USE OF THE FLORIDA-FRIENDLY LANDSCAPING GUIDE TO PLANT SELECTION AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN WHEN REDEVELOPING CITY PARKS AND CITY-

OWNED LAND

BACKGROUND

At the October 26, 2016 Sustainability and Resiliency Committee (SRC), the Committee passed a motion directing staff to work with the City Attorney's Office on drafting a resolution for approval by the City Commission directing City Departments to incorporate best practices into existing operations. This includes the use of Florida native species and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) when maintaining and redeveloping parks and City owned land.

At the January 18, 2017 SRC, the Committee referred the resolution to City Commission with a favorable recommendation to adopt the resolution.

ANALYSIS

The City of Miami Beach's Parks and Recreation Department, the Public Works Department - Greenspace Management Division, and their contractors currently use pesticides and fertilizers to maintain the landscaping in our parks, greenspaces and parking lots.

Parks and Recreation Department and Greenspace Management Division and their contractors currently follow the State of Florida's Green Industries Best Management Practices for pest control management, which uses Florida Friendly Landscaping (FFL) and IPM as its foundation. IPM emphasizes proper horticultural methods that reduce the need for pesticides and fertilizers. The Parks and Recreation Department and Greenspace Management Division follow FFL principles to conserve water, protect the environment, are appropriate for local conditions, and are drought, wind and/or salt tolerant. These practices also includes planting the right plant in the right place, efficiently watering, appropriate fertilization, mulching, attraction of wildlife, responsible management of yard pests (IPM), recycling yard waste, reduction of stormwater runoff, and waterfront protection. Additional components of FFL include planning and design, soil analysis, use of solid waste compost, practical use of turf, and proper maintenance.

At the request of the SRC, the Greenspace Management Division has developed in-house a resilient FFL project for City Hall that was presented to City Commission on January 11, 2017. The project was accepted by the City Commission and staff was directed to divert and identify funding. The Greenspace Management Division will be working with the Environment and Sustainability Department, UF/IFAS Extension Office, and the Miami Beach Botanical Garden to include an educational element and native plant identification. The goal of the project is to demonstrate and educate our residents, visitors and employees about how a resilient FFL can protect the environment through environmentally sustainable practices, using low-maintenance plants, conserving water and reducing fertilizers and pesticides use.

The proposed resolution provides landscaping guidelines, including the use of Florida Native Species and proper guidelines for redeveloping parks and City owned land. In addition to the proposed resolution, staff is updating landscape specifications used in the Public Works Manual and sustainable procurement specifications.

CONCLUSION

The following resolution is presented to the members of the Mayor and City Commission for discussion. Staff recommends adoption of the proposed resolution.

Attachment A: City of Miami Beach Florida-Friendly Landscaping Resolution

ECT/JR/RK/ESW/FCT

City of Miami Beach, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139, www.miamibeachfl.gov

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: February 21, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE OF A

DISCUSSION ON NON-TOXIC PRODUCTS IN MIAMI BEACH.

At the City Commission meeting on December 13, 2017, the Mayor and City Commission referred a discussion to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee regarding the use of non-toxic products in Miami Beach. This item was sponsored by Commissioner Michael Gongora.

BACKGROUND

Over the last few years, the Mayor and City Commission have initiated a number of initiatives and programs that have been aimed at reducing the use of hazardous chemicals and products in government operations.

On September 14, 2016, the Mayor and City Commission adopted the city's Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy. (Attachment A- Resolution No. 2016-29555). This comprehensive policy has laid the foundation for make all city purchases more sustainable. This policy includes specifications for environmentally preferred materials and packaging for a variety of services and products provided to the city, such as janitorial services, construction materials, food catering, furniture, office supplies, multi-function devices, light poles fixtures, amongst others.

On February 8, 2017, the Mayor and City Commission adopted a policy to further institutionalize landscape best management practices. The policy requires city contractors and staff to follow the Florida Friendly Landscaping Guide when selecting plants and in landscape design when city parks and city-owned land is redeveloped. (Attachment B- Resolution No. 2017-29748).

ANALYSIS

Green procurement is one of the ten priority program areas within the City of Miami Beach Sustainability Plan. The goal of this program area is to increase the use of non-hazardous and environmentally friendly products in government maintenance, operations, permitted concessionaires/vendors and special events, as well as promote the decreased use of hazardous, toxic, and/or nonrecyclable goods community-wide.

In order to recognize its responsibility to minimize negative impacts of its purchases on human health and the environment while supporting a diverse, equitable, and vibrant community and economy, the city has to make procurement decisions that embody, promote, and encourage the city's commitment to sustainability and resiliency.

As part of the City of Miami Beach Rising Above Resiliency Strategy, the adopted Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Policy institutionalizes procurement approaches in a balanced sustainable manner, supports a more sustainable supply chain and assists the city in bouncing back from shocks and stressors in the face of climate change. By establishing this policy, the city has been able to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to combat climate change; decrease the use of hazardous materials to improve community and environmental health; and decrease waste and inefficiencies in electricity, fuel, paper, water and other consumption to relieve pressure on natural resources.

To support this policy and further create environmentally preferred specifications for the city's landscaping, the city currently follows the Florida Friendly Landscaping (FFL) Guide for plant selection and landscaping design. Parks and Recreation Department and Greenspace Management Division and their contractors currently follow the State of Florida's Green Industries Best Management Practices for pest control management, which uses FFL and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) as its foundation. IPM emphasizes proper horticultural methods to ensure the overall vitality of the landscape thus reducing the need for pesticides and fertilizer.

In their everyday operations, the Parks and Recreation Department and Greenspace Management Division follow FFL principles to conserve water, protect the environment, are appropriate for local conditions, and are drought, wind and/or salt tolerant. These practices also includes planting the right plant in the right place, efficiently watering, appropriate fertilization, mulching, attraction of wildlife, responsible management of yard pests (IPM), recycling yard waste, reduction of stormwater runoff, and waterfront protection. Additional components of FFL include planning and design, soil analysis, use of solid waste compost, practical use of turf, and proper maintenance.

CONCLUSION

The following is presented to the members of the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee for discussion.

Attachments

Attachment A: City of Miami Beach Sustainable and Resilient Procurement Resolution and Policy

Attachment B: City of Miami Beach Florida-Friendly Landscaping Resolution

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE RATIONAL RECOVERY PROJECT,

SPONSORED BY THE FREEZONE YOUTH SELF RESPECT AND SOUTH

BEACH SOBER COACH

HISTORY:

This item was deferred from the February 21, 2018 Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee (NCAC) meeting. The item was initially referred to NCAC at the January 17, 2018 City Commission meeting.

ANALYSIS:

Mr. Scott Schrey is the founder of Rational (Reasonable) Recovery, an educational approach to empower people. Per Mr. Schrey, Freezone Youth Self Respect, created by Mr. Schrey, is a mind set so that people know they matter. The program is not certified or endorsed by an accrediting agency and has no validation data, however, Mr. Schrey expects to yield evaluative data from the City's funding of his program for use in area schools.

Attached is a copy of Mr. Schrey's presentation.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking direction on this item.


ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

Rational Recovery Power Point Other

RECOVERY





MIMIBACH PRESENTS:

THE FREEZONE
RATIOAL RECOVERY
SOUTH BEACH SOBER COACH



Project Intent:

Saving lives while putting Miami Beach on the national and global map for a caring, progressive, and effective fight against alcoholism, drug addiction and the Opioid Epidemic.

PART ONE

- □ PREVENTION- once a week support meetings during school at Miami Beach High. Invitation based on student's family addiction history and random lottery selection from that demographic group. Invitation granted weekly based on sincere involvement and mature participation. Topic for group meeting: a) practical education about the disease of alcoholism and drug addiction... a fair-warning about what it looks like in all stages of development in case they have it- they can cut it off at the beginning stages, b) Personality discovery, c) understanding defiance.
- Lunch time help tables, student body seminar pep rallies, help drop boxes throughout the school,

PART TWO:

meetings held for active addicts wanting to get and stay sober- a reasonable education on the allergy and disease of addition... Invitation granted weekly based on sincere involvement and mature participation in meeting curriculum. lesson plan and take home assignments. Community outreach-Information and support booths on Lincoln Road on the weekend during the farmers' market, weekly presents on The Beach Cable Channel, partnering with online apps like We Connect.

CURRICULUM LESSON PLAN TOPICS

- Parents Are People Too- Doing the best with what they have... No parent ever screamed over your crib "I'm going to ruin your life."
- Cleaning Your Mental Clutter.
- Having A Second Helpful Thought.
- Proving You Matter.
- Being Equal, Neutralizing Fear.
- The Exact Nature Of Your Mistakes, Having Self-compassion.
- Mantra Training, The Blessed Repetition.
- Commitment Ring
- The Misuse Of Perfectionism.
- □ No One Is Perfect- Mistakes Are Good.
- Special Guest Speakers & Specialized Topics ie. Yoga, Meditation Nutrition, understanding sexuality, falling in love, Falling out of love.

IF I ONLY KNEW THEN WHAT I KNOW NOW....

- MIAMI BEACH OPIOID BUSTERS COMBINES 3 PROJECT PRODUCED OVER 15 YEARS.
 Three Levels Of Funding, If need be use 5013c status.
 100k, 75k, 50k.
- ☐ Budget:
- Yearly budget 100k outline:
- □ 60k for 2 co-project directors,
- 30k for outsourced part time drug counselors,
- 3k for community outreach PR and advertising,
- □ 1k community out reach promotions
- 2k bookkeeping
- ☐ 1k copies
- 2k website/app
- 1k miscellaneous.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NUMBER OF SEATS ON THE PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES ADVISORY BOARD

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

☐ January 17, 2018 R5G Commission After Action Other

January 17, 2018 Commission Meeting/Presentations & Awards

10:30:57 a.m.

R5 F UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT SITES - FAR:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 118, "ADMINISTRATION AND REVIEW PROCEDURES" ARTICLE I "IN" GENERAL," TO CLARIFY THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOOR AREA RATIO ("FAR") FOR UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT SITES CONTAINING BUILDINGS WITH NONCONFORMING FAR AND/OR ELEVATED OPEN-AIR BRIDGES, PASSAGEWAYS OR CONNECTIONS USED SOLELY FOR PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION BETWEEN BUILDINGS; PROVIDING FOR REPEALER; SEVERABILITY; CODIFICATION; AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

10:25 a.m. Second Reading Public Hearing First Reading on December 13, 2017 - R5 Y
Planning

Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Alemán

ACTION: Title of the Ordinance read into the record. Public Hearing held. **Ordinance 2018-4162 adopted.** Motion made by Vice-Mayor Alemán to adopt the Ordinance; seconded by Commissioner Arriola. Ballot vote: 7-0. **Thomas Mooney to handle.**

Thomas Mooney, Planning Department Director, introduced the item. This Ordinance creates new criteria for unified development sites located within local Historic Districts and contain contributing buildings to allow those lots to retain legal non-conforming FAR and be part of a larger unified development site without the site receiving a larger FAR penalty. One minor change was made during first reading to limit the applicability of this proposal within the Ocean Terrace Overlay. The Administration recommends its adoption.

Christopher Machado, representing Ocean Terrace Holdings, stated that this item was received favorably on first reading, and is willing to answer any questions the City Commission may have.

Handouts or Reference Materials:

1. Ad 1-011718 & 2-011718 published in The Miami Herald

10:33:29 a.m.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL 1: ORDINANCES - OPTION A & OPTION B

R5 G AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 3, ENTITLED "PARKS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES BOARD," BY AMENDING SECTION 2.171, ENTITLED "ESTABLISHED; PURPOSE; COMPOSITION" TO ADD TWO ADDITIONAL BOARD MEMBERS WITH A HIGH DEGREE OF INTEREST, PARTICIPATION, AND/OR EXPERTISE IN YOUTH RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS; PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

10:30 a.m. Second Reading Public Hearing First Reading on December 13, 2017-R5 AC

Office of the City Attorney

Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Alemán & Co-sponsored by Commissioner Michael Góngora

ACTION: Title of the Ordinance read into the record. Public Hearing held. **Ordinance 2018-4163 adopted.** Motion made by Vice-Mayor Alemán to adopt the Ordinance; seconded by Commissioner Góngora. Ballot vote: 7-0. **John Rebar to handle.**

January 17, 2018 Commission Meeting/Presentations & Awards

REFERRALS:

Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee to discuss the right number of seats for the Parks and Recreational Facilities Board. Kathie G. Brooks to place on the Committee agenda. **John Rebar to handle.**

MOTION 1: Passed

Motion made by Vice-Mayor Alemán to adopt the Ordinance; Seconded by Commissioner Góngora. Ballot vote: 7-0.

MOTION 2: Passed

Motion made by Commissioner Steinberg to refer the topic of the number of seats for the Parks and Recreational Facilities Board to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee; Seconded by Commissioner Góngora. Voice vote: 7-0.

Debbie Turner introduced the item that amends the Parks and Recreational Facilities Board to add two members with expertise in youth programming.

Commissioner Steinberg recounted how in 2013 there was a move to combine the tennis, golf, parks and recreation, and youth center advisory committees into one large committee so that it could holistically look at all aspects that would influence/affect these activities. The members of this new Committee came to the City Commission and claimed that they needed to lower the amount of people on the Board from 13 to 9, because it was too dysfunctional. Now they would like to add two more members because the nine members was too low a number for the amount of work required. In her experience, the size of the Board does not matter. What matters is the quality of people who are on the Board. Members need to be qualified and have good intent.

Vice-Mayor Alemán stated that in her opinion this is the most popular Board. She receives many requests from residents wanting to be on it. Many residents want to give their input on these matters, and she believes nine seats is too low a number. She does not oppose the Board going back to 13 seats, but if the City Commission does not pass this item today, it will remain at nine seats. She is in favor of the item.

Commissioner Góngora agreed with Vice-Mayor Alemán. This is a very popular Board, and if they do not approve of its expansion today, there will be many angry residents.

Handouts or Reference Materials:

1. Ad 2-011718 & 3-011718 published in The Miami Herald

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PERMANENT TERMINATION OF THE CITY'S

MONTH-TO-MONTH AGREEMENT WITH XEROX STATE AND LOCAL SOLUTIONS, INC. ("XEROX") REGARDING THE PHOTO RED LIGHT

ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

☐ January 17, 2018 - Commission Memo - R5 T Memo

New Business and Commission Requests - R9 T

MIAMIBEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Raul Aguila, City Attorney

DATE: January 17, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSS THE PERMANENT TERMINATION OF THE CITY'S MONTH-TO-MONTH

AGREEMENT WITH XEROX STATE AND LOCAL SOLUTIONS, INC. ("XEROX") REGARDING

THE PHOTO RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.

RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to the request of Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez, please place a discussion item on the January 17, 2018 Commission meeting agenda which could expressly authorize the City Manager to permanently terminate the Agreement with Xerox State and Local Solutions, Inc. ("Xerox") for the photo red light enforcement program. Currently, services are being provided to the City by Xerox on a month-to-month basis.

<u>Legislative Tracking</u> Office of the City Attorney

Sponsor

Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE FUTURE LOCATION OF 2018 CICLOVIA

EVENTS

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Enhance External And Internal Communication From And Within The City

HISTORY:

Each year the City of Miami Beach hosts a wide range of events and programs throughout Miami Beach, in order to engage and educate the community and provide opportunities for recreation and family enjoyment.

In 2016, the City launched regular Ciclovía events to promote an active and healthy lifestyle. The Ciclovía event transforms Washington Avenue into an urban park by encouraging attendees to walk, bike, run or skate while enjoying a variety of activities and entertainment. This event is open to the general public at no charge.

ANALYSIS:

Ciclovías are "open streets" events which turn major city streets into safe, car-free environments for families to run, bike, and play. Originating in Bogota, Colombia over 30 years ago, Ciclovías are now held in hundreds of cities worldwide. By linking neighbors and communities together and providing a different way to "connect with the street," these events are designed to promote alternatives for using area roadways safely. Based on the success of Ciclovia events throughout the world and locally.

After discussions regarding potential corridors for a Ciclovia event, in 2016 it was determined that Washington Avenue from 5 Street to just south of Lincoln Road could serve as the street to host the first Ciclovia event in Miami Beach. Other corridors considered included Venetian Causeway/Dade Boulevard, Meridian Avenue, and Ocean Drive. It was determined that this segment of Washington Avenue provided unique advantages for such an event. First, it is a recognized main thoroughfare that allows the community to connect with the businesses. Second, there are north-south alternatives available on which to direct traffic. Third, the segment from 5 Street to Lincoln Road does not intersect major east-west arterials, thereby handling traffic impacts.

Ciclovia provides a unique opportunity to promote community integration, engage new customers for local businesses, and communicate to people who walk and bicycle that the City respects and appreciates them for choosing active transportation. For these reasons, staff works with the businesses and residents of the community, as well as any local groups connected directly with bicycling, running, and public health, from the onset to ensure the success of the event.

CONCLUSION: This item is presented to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee for discussion and further direction.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ANNUAL REVIEW OF THE CITY'S LIST OF

DESERVING ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR GROUPS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE COMPLIMENTARY TICKETS AS PER THE CITY'S COMPLIMENTARY TICKET

POLICY PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2014-28638

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Enhance Cultural and Recreational Activities

HISTORY:

The City Commission adopted a Complimentary Ticket Policy via Resolution No. 2014-28638 on June 11, 2014. Pursuant to this policy, the City Manager's Office has an established list of deserving organizations and/or groups eligible to receive tickets. As per the adopted Policy, the Neighborhoods and Community Affairs Committee must annually review the list of deserving organizations and/or groups eligible to receive tickets.

ANALYSIS:

The Administration is seeking direction from the Committee regarding the attached list of established deserving organizations and/or groups eligible for tickets.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

Process and List of Deserving Organizations
 Memo

PROCESS FOR DISTRIBUTION OF ADDITIONAL TICKETS NOT DISTRIBUTED TO OFFICIALS:

- 1) Determine the potential audience for the tickets.
 - a. If inappropriate for youth (adult show not intended for persons under 18 years of age), then only used the organizations/groups identified as serving adult populations
 - b. If appeals to all populations, then go in order listed
- 2) Contact the identified community organizations/groups to offer the tickets.
 - a. Follow the order listed to call and offer tickets (unless not appropriate for the population served by the organization/entity; if so, note that the agency was not called, so it can be called with the next set of available tickets.)
 - b. If an agency does not accept the tickets, then they will need to wait until they are next on the rotation.
 - c. If an agency does not respond to an offer for tickets, pursuant to the process described below, then they will need to wait until they are next on the rotation.
 - i. Efforts to contact agencies should be as follows:
 - 1. Email to contact person
 - 2. If no response within 24 hours, call contact person
 - 3. If no return call within 24 hours, go to next entity.
 - d. Once you have distributed the tickets, note the last entity called/distributed tickets.
 - e. Start distribution calls beginning with the next organization/group on the list, unless there is any organization/entity that was not called the previous time because the tickets were not appropriate for their population served. In those cases, call that one/those first.
 - f. If the tickets are received last minute (day of event), or returned, distribution to employees can be made. Distribution to employees can also be made if requested by the Human Resources Department for purposes of recognizing certain employees.
 - i. Department Directors are to be offered tickets to provide as a recognition to employees
 - ii. If there are no interested Department Directors, then tickets can be offered on a "first come, first serve" basis. However, no employee can receive tickets through a "first come, first serve" basis more than 3 times in one year.
 - iii. Employees must sign for the tickets; employees must be advised the value of the ticket and that a Gift Disclosure may be required.
- 3) Fill out the portion of the ticket distribution spreadsheet to reflect the organization/group that received the tickets. Secure their signature when they pick up the ticket. Request that the agency keep a log of their participants that received the tickets for the City's review, if necessary.
- 4) Attach to the ticket distribution list in the binder the process used to allocate the tickets for that event. For example: contacted #1, #2, #3 not interested; contacted #4, provided four tickets; contacted #5 not interested; did not contact #6 not appropriate; contacted #7, provided four tickets. In this example, #6 would be contacted first for the next set of tickets, and then you would proceed with #8.

#	Agency	Contact	Address	Telephone	Email	Target Population
1	ASPIRA of Florida	Aymet Chaples	6100 Blue Lagoon Drive, Suite 460, 33126	305-269-6767	achaples@fl.aspira.org	Youth, families
2	Ayuda, Inc.	Diana Susi	7118 Byron Avenue, 33141	305-864-6885	dianasusi@ayudamiami.org	Youth, families
3	Biscayne Elementary Community School	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-532-4515		
4	Choices Et Al, Inc.	Cheryl Polite- Eaford	P.O. Box 645409, 33269	305-332-2074	cpeaford@bellsouth.net	Youth, families, court-directed services
5	Fienberg Fisher K-8 Center	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-531-0419		
6	Get Credit Healthy	Elizabeth Karwowski	250 NW 23rd St. Ste 205, 33127	877-850-3444	ek@getcredithealthy.com	Individuals, families
7	Institute for Child & Family Health	Adriana Kochen	430 West 66th Street, 33012		akochen@icfhinc.org	Youth, families
8	Miami Beach Community Health Center	Kathryn Abbate	710 Alton Road, 33139	305-695-2184	kathryna@mbchc.com	Infants, youth, families, individuals,
9	Miami Beach Sr. High School	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-532-4515		
10	Nautilus Middle School	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-532-3481		
11	North Beach Elementary School	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-531-7666		
12	South Florida Center for Family Counseling	Jose Matos	17801 NW 2 nd Avenue #207, 33169	954-243-6298	josedmatos@hotmail.com	Youth, families, individuals, couples, courtdirected services
13	South Pointe Elementary School	Leslie Rosenfeld		305-531-5437		
14	Stand Up for Those Who Can't	Jeannette Egozi	7118 Byron Avenue, 33141	305-864-5237	jeannette@ecqz.biz	Youth
15	Switchboard of Miami	Nathan Gomez	190 NE 3rd Street, Miami FL 33132	305-358-1640	ngomez@switchboardmiami.or	Youth, families, individuals, couples
16	Teen Job Corps	Deborah Ruggiero	7356 Gary Avenue, 33141	786-357-5972	djmmrug@gmail.com	Adolescent, lower income youth
17	Unidad of Miami Beach	Arsenio Jorge	833-6 th Street, 33139	305-532-5350	nsantiago@unidadmb.org	Youth, families, individuals

	Rebecca Towers –	Magnolia				
18	North/ South	Martinez	200 Alton Road	305 532-6401		
19	Michigan Ave Apts	Laura Molina	532 Michigan Ave	305-535-8002		
20	Michigan Ave Apts	Laura Molina	530 Michigan Ave	305-535-8002		
21	Council Towers South	Carmen Leon	533 Collins Ave	305-532-4118		
00			628 Lenox			
22	Lulav Square	Gilberto Junco	Avenue	305-672-8811		
		Chris				
23		Meadows/Stella				
	Federation Towers	Davidovic	757 West Avenue	305-531-2388		
24		Terry/ Carlos	800 Washington			
27	Blackstone	Cairo	Avenue	305 534-4489		
25			953 Collins			
	Edwards Apartments	Maria	Avenue	305-534-9464		
26	O 11.7		1040 Collins			
	Council Towers North	Antonio Acea	Avenue	305-538-5658		
27	Chan Davis Dlaza	Terry/Martin	000 00md Ctmoot	205 524 4400		
	Shep Davis Plaza	Martin	220 - 23rd Street 3800 Collins	305 534-4489		
28	Four Freedoms House	Estrella Pereda	Avenue	305-673-8425		
	Four Freedoms House	Lilian	Avenue	303-073-0423		
29		DeJarden;	8638 Harding			
23	Stella Maris	Ileana Viera	Avenue	305-868-4020		
	Ctolia Mario	nodna viora	542 Jefferson	000 000 1020		
30	Jefferson Apts	Laura Molina	Ave	305-535-8002		
0.4			2800 Collins			
31	Villa Maria	Laura Molina	Avenue	305-535-8002		
00			2001 Washington			
32	Allen Apts.	Laura Molina	Ave	305-535-8002		
33	•		1701 Normandy			
33	Coral Rock House	Estrella Pereda	Drive	305-867-0051		
34	Children's Trust	Maria Ruiz	1700 Convention	X6491	MariaRuiz@miamibeachfl.gov	At risk youth
U 1	Parent/Child Program		Center Drive			
35	Children's Trust Morning	Maria Ruiz	1700 Convention	X6491	MariaRuiz@miamibeachfl.gov	At risk youth
- 55	Allstars		Center Drive			
36	Children's Trust Success	Maria Ruiz	1700 Convention	X6491	MariaRuiz@miamibeachfl.gov	At risk youth
	University		Center Drive	1/0000		
38	Miami-Dade County	Leslie	1700 Convention	X6923	leslierosenfeld@miamibeachfl.g	Youth
	Public Schools – Feeder	Rosenfeld	Center Drive		ov	
00	Pattern Navith	O're also	F04 70 0: :	005 004 0040		V
39	North Shore Youth	Cindy	501 72 Street	305-861-3616	ccasanova@miamibeachfl.gov	Youth

	Center	Casanova				
40	21st Street Teen Club	Willie Priegues		305-673-7784	wpriegues@miamibeachfl.gov	Youth
41	Scott Rakow Youth Center	Ellen Vargas	2700 Sheridan	305-673-7767	evargas@miamibeachfl.gov	Youth

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CITY OFFERING AFFORDABLE SPINNING

CLASSES

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

☐ February 14, 2018 C4D Commission Memo Other

Commission Committee Assignments - C4 D

MIAMIBEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez

DATE: February 14, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE - DISCUSS CITY OFFERING AFFORDABLE SPINNING CLASSES.

ANALYSIS

Miami Beach residents would like to have affordable spinning classes.

A spinning workout is an excellent way to burn calories and relieve stress. The workout employs a stationary bike, which has various tension levels. The bike will also track ones progress, so that the participant is motivated to continue and accomplish his/her fitness goals. There are numerous benefits to a spinning workout, including burning calories, building muscle tone, increasing cardio endurance, and relieving stress.

I would like the Administration to provide suggestions regarding offering affordable spinning classes in Miami Beach.

Legislative Tracking

Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING MIAMI BEACH PAVER MEMORIAL PROGRAM

HISTORY:

At the January 17, 2018 Commission meeting, Miami Beach resident Caren Kamlet approached the dais during Dr. Stanley Sutnick Citizen's Forum hour to propose the creation of a memorial walk along the beach walk. The beach walk is presently under construction.

At the January 24, 2018 Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee ("NCAC") meeting, the Committee discussed the creation of a paver memorial program. Administration was directed to look at other programs and come back with suggestions for a program and options that tie in with the Miami Beach Art Deco identity.

At the February 14, 2018 Commission meeting, the item was formally referred as a discussion item to the NCAC.

ANALYSIS:

Staff has started its review and analysis, and needs further direction in order to continue.

Similar Programs

<u>Miami Children's Museum</u>: Features personalized commemorative tiles at its walkway entrance. For a cost of \$1,000.00, contributors can pick between a starfish design and a dinosaur design on a 12-inch square. In addition to the walkway tile, donors receive an array of museum benefits.

<u>City of Aventura</u>: Established two programs to help raise funds for cultural programming and events at the Aventura Arts and Cultural Center (the "Center"): "Walk of Arts" Brick Pavers, and Honor Roll Founders. Featured at the Center, their "Walk of Arts" is paved with 12" x 12" commemorative pavers, which can be engraved with a personal inscription or company logo. Brick donations are \$150.00 per paver. In their "Honor Roll Founders" program, a person can become an Honor Roll Founder by donating between \$1,000.00 to \$5,000.00. In recognition of their support, names of Honor Roll Founders are displayed on an "Honor Roll" plaque, featured in the Center's lobby.

<u>City of Doral's Veterans Park Brick Campaign</u>: Created a brick paver program that specifically commemorates veterans and members of the military. Individuals can purchase a commemorative 4 x 8-inch paver for \$75.00, which is then installed at City of Doral's Veterans Park. A portion of the proceeds go to The Fisher House Foundation, which provides shelter for injured veterans and their families.

Possible Options

• Option 1 – Commemorative Brick Program (Exhibit A)

Commemorative brick program which presents donors with the option to choose between three differently sized, concrete bricks (4x8- inch, 8x8- inch, and 12x12- inch), each at its own respective value. Depending on the size, each brick could include between one to four lines for engraved messages. The bricks' color schemes would be consistent with the location.

Possible locations:

- Beachwalk
- Create area in a designated park along Beachwalk
- Passive park outside the Beachwalk corridor

• Option 2 – Mosaic Brick/Stone Program (Exhibit B)

Commemorative mosaic brick/stone program which includes differently sized pavers that collectively create an iconic Miami Beach inspired design, such as a sea turtle, a dolphin, a lifeguard stand or coconut palms. The selected site would feature a single plaque at the entrance with the names of those who are being commemorated.

This option would be custom and would have greater upfront costs but is more artistic in nature.

Possible locations:

- Beachwalk
- Create area in a designated park along Beachwalk
- Passive park outside the Beachwalk corridor

• Option 3 – Tile Mosaic Program (Exhibit C)

Tile mosaic program which would feature colorful pieces that, when pieced together, make up an image. As a point of reference, the flooring on the first floor of City Hall is made up of vibrant tiles which have been pieced together to make the image of the seabed. The selected site would feature a single plaque at the entrance with the names of those who are being commemorated.

Possible locations:

- Beachwalk
- Create area in a designated park along Beachwalk
- Passive park outside the Beachwalk corridor

Resident Input

Miami Beach residents have expressed their desire to place a memorial paver program, or similar concept, in locations that are either highly trafficked by residents, such as the Beachwalk, or in passive parks between Mid-Beach and North Beach. Emphasis was made in keeping this concept away from tourist hot spots, requesting the City target denser residential areas (23rd street to 82nd street) instead. They also maintain the program should be affordable for residents in the North Beach area.

The Beachwalk, or parks that are serene, quiet or waterfront, were described as optimal locations to run the memorial program. Parks that met these considerations included Brittany Bay Park, Allison Park, Parkview Island Park Annex, Pinetree Park, and Maurice Gibb Memorial Park.

CONCLUSION:

Further direction from Committee on type or types of programs to further explore.

Specifically:

- Type of program brick, mosaic, tile, etc.
- Preferred locations
- Price points and purpose.
 - Cost cover install and maintenance
 - Cost cover install, maintenance and support an effort or cause

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Type
D	Exhibit A – Commemorative Brick Program	Memo
D	Exhibit B – Mosaic Brick/Stone Program	Memo
D	Exhibit C – Tile Mosaic Program	Memo

Exhibit A - Commemorative Brick Program



Exhibit B - Mosaic Brick/ Stone Program





Page 69 of 145

Exhibit C – Tile Mosaic Program







COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING FORMING A CITIZEN ANIMAL ADVOCACY AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

□ February 14, 2018 - Commission Memo - C4 F Memo

Commission Committee Assignments - C4 F

MIAMIBEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Commissioner Mark Samuelian

DATE: February 14, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS

FORMING A CITIZEN ANIMAL ADVOCACY AND WELFARE COMMITTEE.

ANALYSIS

A referral to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee to discuss the formulation of a citizen animal advocacy and welfare committee in order to oversee citizen and City efforts to address a range of animal issues.

Legislative Tracking

Commissioner Mark Samuelian

Sponsor

Co-Sponsored by Commissioner Michael Gongora

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION TO CONSIDER ESTABLISHING A NEW BARK BEACH IN SOUTH BEACH

HISTORY:

At the February 14, 2018 Commission meeting, a discussion to consider establishing a new Bark Beach in South Beach was referred to the Neighborhoods and Community Affairs Committee ("NCAC").

ANALYSIS:

City staff attended the February 28, 2018 South of Fifth Neighborhood Association ("SOFNA") meeting to speak about the Bark Beach program at North Shore Open Space Park. Scheduling, fees, rules and regulations, related beach clean-up and water quality testing were discussed.

As part of exploratory conversations, the beach behind Marjory Stoneman Douglas Park and the area in-between the jetty and lifeguard stand at South Pointe Park were mentioned as potential locations to expand Bark Beach programming to South Beach.

The majority of those who were in attendance were opposed to the idea of establishing a Bark Beach in the South Beach area.

CONCLUSION:

Discussion and direction on establishing a new bark beach in South Beach.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING EXCESSIVE DOG FECES THROUGHOUT THE CITY

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Туре
ם	Memo	Memo
D	Fecal Watter Data Collection	Other



City of Miami Beach, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139, www.miamibeachfl.gov

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: February 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING EXCESSIVE DOG FECES THROGHOUT THE CITY

BACKGROUND

At the City Commission meeting on February 14, 2018, the Mayor and City Commission referred a discussion item to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee regarding the compilation of fecal matter in Miami Beach.

BACKGROUND

A cleanliness assessment has been initiated by the Mayor and City Commission, which has been aimed to reduce the amount of litter and/or debris disposed within our city's limits.

In 2014, Public Works considered whether or not it would be beneficial for the City to hire an outside vendor to focus on the collection of fecal matter. The Sanitation Division consequently was tasked with evaluating this process along with a third party. Over a two month evaluation, it was determined this task would be best handled internally, since the Sanitation Division has crews whose scope include multi-family areas five days per week and the removal of fecal matter was a key component in their scope of services. Additionally, it was determined there was no increase in fecal matter during the cleanliness assessments conducted. Therefore, the need to hire an outside source to perform these services was not warranted.

ANALYSIS

The Sanitation Division collected fecal matter in March and April of 2014 as part of the assessment and provided the supporting data. The Sanitation crews were responsible for daily collection of fecal matter five days per week while simultaneously collecting litter. The fecal matter was placed in a garbage bag and placed in a garbage receptacle. At the end of the collection week the fecal matter was weighed and documented.

The Sanitation crews removed fecal matter from the areas known as South A, South B, Flamingo, North A, Harding and 71st Street which totaled 218.5 pounds collected between March and April of 2014. Out of a total six areas, it was determined that the two areas that had a substantial amount of fecal matter were in the area of North A and Flamingo. The area known as North A had a total of sixtyone (61) pounds of fecal matter collected between March and April 2014. The Flamingo area had fifty-seven (57) pounds of fecal matter collected between these same two months.


Based upon the collection of fecal matter and litter collection within the Sanitation Division's scope of service; it was determined that an outside vendor would not be in the best interest of the City financially.

CONCLUSION

The following is presented to the members of the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee for discussion and further direction.

JLM/ETC/JJF/FRS

F:\WORK\\$ALL\(1) EMPLOYEE FOLDERS\FIORELLA SARMIENTO\CITY COMMITTEES AND LTCs - ALL YEARS\NCAC COMMITTEE- ALL\NCAC Memo - dog feces thorughout the city.docx



FECAL MATTER COLLECTION DATA

Scope of Work


Fecal Matter Collection

- The crews will be responsible for the daily collection of dog feces. This
 collection of dog feces will take place simultaneously with the daily
 collection of litter. The dog feces collected during the daily scope will be
 placed in a separate garbage bag; this bag will then be sorted by the
 crew leader for special handling.
- Each crew leader will place his/her dog feces bags collected throughout the day in a special plastic container labeled for each route.
- The containers will be located at the sanitation division (140 Mac Arthur Causeway) The special cans containing all the dog feces collected throughout the week will then be weighed and documented on a spread sheet.

FECAL MATTER COLLECTION DATA YEAR TO DATE

Amount South A ■ South B ■ Flamingo ■ North A Harding ■ 71st Crew

Drop-off station for dog fecal



Holding container with label



MSW-3 dropping off bags at the end of the workday



Weighing the large bag with the weekly total for each crew



COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: **DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIALLY ADDING THE NAME "MIAMI BEACH**

BOULEVARD" TO 5TH STREET

ANALYSIS:

State Road A1A/5th Street is an arterial roadway under the jurisdiction of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Pursuant to the request from Commissioner Michael Gongora, staff has investigated the procedure for co-naming this road as "Miami Beach Boulevard." This item was referred to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee (NCAC) at the February 14, 2018 City Commission Meeting.

Currently, the provisions in Article VI Sections 82-501 through 82-503 of the City Code regarding the co-naming of streets do not permit the co-naming of certain City and State streets, including 5th Street and other major corridors within the City of Miami Beach. As such, in order to co-name this corridor, an amendment to the Code must be approved. The attached Draft amendment provides limited exceptions to the co-naming of such streets (i.e., if such co-name or co-designation is for the name of the City of Miami Beach or geographic area in the City). The current City process for street co-naming would apply to the new, limited co-naming (for City streets) or co-designations (for State Roads). As set forth in the City Code, a proposed co-naming needs to be referred to NCAC for its recommendation. The City Commission would need to then approve the recommendation from the NCAC and set a Public Hearing for the City Commission to consider the co-naming. At the Public Hearing, the City Commission may approve the co-naming.

In this case, given that 5th Street is under the jurisdiction of FDOT, only the State can co-designate this street pursuant to "co-designation" procedures in the Florida Statues. As such, the City Commission would not be approving the co-designation, but rather approving the submittal of the proposed co-designation to the State for its approval. The requested co-designation must be approved by the Florida Legislature. If the Florida Legislature approves the co-designation, both the County and City then would need to pass resolutions "in support of" the State's co-designation as per Section 334.071 of the Florida Statutes. If the local resolutions in support of the co-designation were not adopted, the State would not proceed with the installation of the signage and markers for the co-designation.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

Type

 Other



CHAPTER 82

PUBLIC PROPERTY

* * *

ARTICLE VI. NAMING OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ESTABLISHMENT OF MONUMENTS OR MEMORIALS.

Sec. 82-501. - Generally.

- (a) No public facility located in or owned by the city shall be named except in accordance with the procedures set forth in this article.
- (b) No monument or memorial shall be established within the city except in accordance with the procedures set forth in this article.
- Effective upon adoption of this Ordinance No. 2014-3875, no street located in the city (c) shall be hereafter named, renamed, or co-designated except as provided in Section 82-503(c), hereof. The Bass Museum of Art; the city-owned building, located at 2200 Liberty Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida (the Miami City Ballet Building); and the city-owned cultural facility referred to as the "Jackie Gleason Theater of the Performing Arts," located at 1700 Washington Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, shall be exempt from the provisions of this article, as hereinafter provided: all or any portion(s) of the cityowned property comprising the public cultural facility known as the "Altos Del Mar Sculpture Park," located within a portion of Altos Del Mar Park, on Collins Avenue between 76th and 77th Street, Miami Beach, Florida (the ADMSP Sculpture Park) and all or any portion(s) of the city-owned property comprising the Miami Beach Botanical Garden, located at 2000 Convention Center Drive; and the ground level, exterior portions of those certain leased premises as described and identified in the lease agreement between the city and the New World Symphony (NWS), dated January 15, 2004 (the NWS Lease), and including the ground level, exterior portions of the NWS building and other tenant improvements (as said term is also defined in the NWS Lease) and the city-owned park bounded by Washington Avenue, 17th Street, Lincoln Lane, and Pennsylvania Avenue, the city-owned garage (currently referred to as the Pennsylvania Avenue Garage), and any and all other city-owned buildings, structures, furnishings, fixtures, improvements, streets, sidewalks, and/or rights-of-way in connection with the NWS project, shall be exempt from the provisions of this article.

* * *

Sec. 82-502. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:



Committee means the community affairs committee created by the city commission on March 18, 1998, and as merged with the neighborhood committee on November 25, 2003, pursuant to Resolution No. 2003-25446, or any other such committee designated by the city commission to review and recommend names for public facilities and the co-naming of streets, and approve the establishment of monuments or memorials to be located within or owned by the city.

Memorial means a site, art work or structure created to preserve the memory of a significant event(s) or person(s).

Monument means a bust, sculpture, or similar structure, erected in honor of a significant event(s) or person(s).

Public facility means any public building or park owned by the city.

Street means that area of a public right-of-way improved, designed, and ordinarily used for vehicular traffic and/or parking including, without limitation, avenues, roads, drives, lanes, boulevards, courts, and alleys. For purposes of this article, streets shall only be intended to include city-owned streets, and not state- or county-owned and/or controlled streets except with regard to the co-designation of state-owned or controlled streets that require a city resolution in support of the co-designation.

Sec. 82-503. - Naming of public facilities; co-naming and renaming of streets.

* * *

- (c) Co-naming/renaming of streets or portions thereof.
 - (1) a. Streets, or portions thereof, located in the city may be co-named in honor of:
 - (i) Miami Beach police officers who died or were killed in the line of duty;
 - (ii) A public facility located on the street to be co-named;
 - (iii) Private not-for-profit organizations with significant historic value to the city and associated with structures which have a significant historical value or architectural significance to the city and which are located on the street, or portion thereof, to be co-named;
 - (iv) Geographic areas within the city;
 - (v) A commercial establishment that has been in business in the City of Miami Beach for 100 or more years and which is located on the street to be co-named; or
 - (vi) Deceased person who achieved international acclaim as a world champion in a sport and whose sports career associations with city



facilities or other structures are of historical value or significance to the city.

The provisions in this subsection 82-503(c)(1)a., shall not apply to streets named or co-named prior to June 21, 2014. The provisions in subsection 82-503(c)(1)a.(vi) and (c)(2)a.7., shall automatically sunset on May 1, 2017.

- b. Notwithstanding the provisions in subsection 82-503(c)(1)a., the following streets, or portions thereof, shall not be co-named or renamed: 5th Street, 41st Street, 71st Street, Collins Avenue, Washington Avenue, Alton Road, and Ocean Drive, except that Washington Avenue and Ocean Drive may be co-named, or the City Commission may support a state codesignation of 5th Street, 41st Street, 71st Street, Collins Avenue, and Alton Road if such co-name or co-designation is for the name of the City of Miami Beach or a geographic area in the City, provided the procedures in subsection 82-503(c)(2) are followed. The provisions in this subsection 82-503(c)(1)b.₇ shall not apply to streets, or portions thereof, that are co-named or renamed prior to December 27, 2014.
- (2) Whenever a request is made to co-name <u>a City street or for the City Commission</u> to support the co-designation of a street, the co-naming <u>or co-designation</u> shall first be considered and reviewed by the committee according to the following procedures:
 - a. Any person, organization, association, corporation or other entity, including a member of the city <u>commission</u>, may propose that a street be co-named <u>or a state street be co-designated</u>, by submitting the request in writing to the committee. At a minimum, such request shall include the following:
 - 1. The street, or portion thereof, to be co-named or co-designated; and
 - 2. The proposed co-name <u>or co-designation</u> of the street, or portion thereof; and
 - 3. The reason for the request; and
 - If the proposed co-naming is requested pursuant to subsection 82-503(c)(1)a.(i), a factual summary including the date and circumstances under which the officer died or was killed in the line of duty; and
 - 5. If the proposed co-naming is requested pursuant to subsection 82-503(c)(1)a.(iii), a factual summary confirming the significant historic value of the private not-for-profit organization; and the associated structure; to the city; and



- 6. If the proposed co-naming is requested pursuant to subsection 82-3(c)(1)a.(v), a factual summary confirming the commercial establishment's contribution to the city.
- 7. If the proposed co-naming is requested pursuant to subsection 82-503(a)(1)a.(vi), a factual summary confirming the world champion status and sports career of the person and the associations of the person's sports career with city facilities or other structures that are of historical value or significance to the city.
- b. Within a reasonable time after receipt of the request, the committee shall meet to consider and review same. Notice of the meeting shall be given to the person and/or organization that made the request.
- c. After reviewing the request, the committee shall transmit its recommendation to the city commission regarding the request. The committee's recommendation requires a simple majority vote.
- d. Within a reasonable time after receiving the recommendation from the committee, the commission shall call a public hearing regarding the co-naming or the co-designation. Notice of the public hearing shall be published at least ten days prior to the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the city.
- e. At the close of the public hearing, the city commission may approve the <u>conaming</u> request <u>or support the co-designation request</u>. Any request to co-name <u>or co-designate</u> a street, or portion thereof, pursuant to this subsection 82-503(c) must be approved by a 5/7th vote of the city commission.
- f. Any person, not-for-profit organization, or commercial establishment to be recognized by the co-naming of a street, or portion thereof, must be in good standing in the community at the time of final approval of same by the city commission and if, after the street is co-named, the person recognized, or the principal(s) of the not-for-profit commercial establishment recognized are subsequently convicted or adjudicated guilty of a felony, the co-name shall be removed from the street sign. In addition, the city commission may approve the removal of the co-name of a street at a public hearing if said person, not-for-profit organization, or commercial establishment is no longer in good standing in the community. In either case, neither the city, nor any of its officers, employees, contractors, or agents, shall have any liability to the person, not-for-profit organization, or commercial establishment recognized by the co-naming of the street or to the principal(s) of such not-for-profit organization or commercial establishment (including, without limitation, if such person or principal(s) are deceased, his/her heirs, relatives, successors, or assigns), and/or any other party(ies) for any costs or claims resulting from such removal (and including,





COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CLEANLINESS INDEX PROGRAM

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Improve Cleanliness In High Traffic Residential And Pedestrian Areas, Including Maximizing Deployment Of Trash Cans On Every Corner

HISTORY:

The Miami Beach Public Area Cleanliness Index is an objective measurement of performance ranging from 1.0 (Very Clean) to 6.0 (Very Dirty) and includes assessments of litter, garbage cans/dumpsters, organic material, and fecal matter. The results of the assessments are used to monitor the impacts of recently implemented initiatives to target areas for future improvements, and assure the quality of services. Quarterly sample sizes are set to ensure no greater than a ± 5.0 percentage point sampling error given the 95% confidence level for each of the public areas assessed.

During FY 2006/07, the City tightened the target for the Citywide and area-specific cleanliness indicators from 2.0 to 1.5 – the lower the score on the cleanliness index indicates a cleaner area. This target continues to be the same from FY 2007/08 to date. As important, the City also has a goal to ensure that 90 percent of assessments score 2.0 or better, with awareness to seasonal fluctuations. The scores are compared to the same quarter in prior years to account for seasonal variations.

The program received the 2007 Sterling Quality Team Showcase Award. All improvement action plans implemented are validated against the index. Cleanliness results at the end of each quarter inform stakeholders if the action plans have worked or if they need to be adjusted. Tangible benefits obtained as a result of the program include the city's achievement of one of its strategic objectives to be cleaner.

ANALYSIS:

Positive and Stable Areas in FY 2017/18 Quarter 1

- Streets—Streets improved to 1.70 or 8.1% from the same quarter in the prior FY. 90.4% of streets are scoring 2.0 or better. Commercial entertainment and commercial non-entertainment streets improved by 8.1% and 11.2% respectively when compared to the same quarter in the prior FY.
- Parking Lots Scores at 1.90 improved by 10.8% from the same quarter in the prior FY. The percent of assessments meeting the 2.0 standard declined to 77.5%. Sanitation will continue to replace twist cans with larger green cans in select lots space permitting, as well as add additional cans as needed. Sanitation will also review data on organic material to adjust weekend service frequency.
- Beaches The percent of beaches covered by Miami Beach meeting the 2.0 target improved to 86.4% or

- 4.4% when compared to the same quarter the prior FY to a 1.90. The issue appears to be litter and organic material in the beach spoil and beach entrances. Sanitation and Greenspace will review data to identify patterns.
- Waterways Scores improved by 22.5% compared to the same quarter in the prior Fiscal Year to 1.76. The percent of assessment meeting the 2.0 target improved by 18.3% or 85% when compared to the same quarter in the prior Fiscal Year. Issues appear to be litter along the shoreline and organic material. A new contract is out for bid which will include cleanliness data and fine issuance for not meeting established standards for litter.
- Parks- Parks improved to 1.40 or 7.3% compared to the prior quarter and 8.5% compared to the same quarter in the prior Fiscal Year with 95.1% of assessments meeting the target. Greenspace Management continues to recycle clippings such as leaves, grass, and other organic material onsite. Parks staff continues to work closely with contractors and three (3) positions were filled increasing service levels.

Areas of Focus in FY 2017/18 Quarter 1

- Alleys—Alleys improved to 2.14 or 10.8% when compared to the same quarter in the prior FY with 69.0% of assessments meeting the target of 2.0, a 12.9% improvement. This remains a poor scoring area since program inception. Increase in code personnel of five (5) officers and a sanitation night supervisor for the entertainment area provide support. Sanitation alley service operates Monday through Friday (5 days a week) every morning from 5th Street to Espanola Way for litter removal with the number of code officers increasing to support this effort citywide with officers required to conduct one (1) hour of sanitation and alleyway inspections each day.
- Sidewalks— Sidewalks declined to 1.61 or 2.5% from the prior quarter and remained stable when compared to the prior FY quarter with overall percent meeting target at 89.5%. Commercial entertainment areas declined to 1.59 or 3.2% and commercial non-entertainment areas remained stable at 1.62. The primary issue continues to appear to be litter on the ground and organic material. Sanitation added sixty (60) SMART cans along Washington Avenue. This has permitted the reallocation of hauler resources to commercial non entertainment areas to address overflowing cans in other areas of the City. The dome topper pilot program is still underway with fifty (50) toppers installed to curb household garbage disposal in public garbage cans on sidewalks. Haulers continue to provide a truck for garbage can waste collection seven (7) days per week and sanitation has added a second shift of service in the late afternoon to identified neighborhoods to address overflowing garbage can issues.

CONCLUSION:

Cleanliness was identified in our community surveys as a key driver affecting overall quality of life. In addition, in the 2016 survey, residents and businesses rated cleanliness as one of the services the City should strive not to reduce. The City has implemented increases in service levels and community satisfaction levels have improved. Overall scores have improved by 12.8% from FY 2005/06 to FY 2016/17.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Туре
D	Cleanliness Index Report 1	Other
D	Report 2	Other
D	Report 3	Other
D	Report 4	Other
D	Report 5	Other
D	Report 6	Other

D	Report 7	Other
D	Report 8	Other
D	Report 9	Other
D	Report 10	Other
D	Report 11	Other
D	Report 12	Other



	1.5)				FY05	Inc		
Public Area	Q	1	Q	12	Q		Q	<u> </u>
Overall City Score	2.2		1.9		2.2	-	2.0	
Streets	2.0	07	1.9	98	2.2	22	1.8	34
Not including alleys	1.9	99	1.8	85	2.1	16	1.7	' 4
Commercial – Entertainment	1.8	84	1.	78	2.4	14	1.7	74
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.8	89	1.8	87	1.8	31	1.7	75
Residential	2.2	25	1.9	93	2.1	1	1.7	' 4
Alleys	2.4	46	2.0	69	2.7	75	2.4	19
<u>Sidewalks</u>	2.0	02	2.0	05	2.3	33	1.8	34
Commercial – Entertainment	1.8	87	1.9	95	2.5	50	1.8	86
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.9	97	2.	15	1.9	91	1.7	'9
Residential	2.2	28	2.	11	2.3	35	1.8	33
<u>Parks</u>	2.0	08	1.5	53	1.9	93	2.0)4
<u>Parking</u>	2.2	25	2.:	26	2.3	30	2.0)1
Waterway	2.7	77	2.	12	2.9	93	2.5	3
Beach Areas								
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2.0		1.0		1.8		1.9	
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.9	96	1.	78	2.0)4	1.9	95
	FY05/06	FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16	FY16/17	FY17/18		
Public Area	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	% change from prior FY	% change from base FY
							score	score
Overall City Score	2.10	1.57	1.75	1.64	1.83			
Streets Not including allows	2.03	1.55	1.54	1.73	1.78			
Not including alleys	1.94 1.95	1.43 1.42	1.39 1.33	1.55	1.68		-	
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.95	1.42	1.33	1.54	1.70 1.73			
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	2.01	1.47	1.44	1.61	1.73			
Alleys	2.60	1.41	1.47	2.06	2.30			
Sidewalks	2.06	1.66	1.92	1.53	1.64			
Commercial – Entertainment	2.06	1.41	1.54	1.55	1.60			
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.95	1.58	1.63	1.59	1.70			
Residential	2.14	1.46	2.02	1.43	1.61			
Parks	1.90	1.46	1.37	1.35	1.55			
Parking	2.21	1.63	1.76	1.82	1.99			
Waterway	2.59	1.87	2.21	1.98	2.21			
Beach Areas							<u> </u>	
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.85	1.45	1.64	1.44	1.78			
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.93	1.41	1.55	1.48	1.71			
			FY16/17					
Dublic Acce	Q1	Q2		Q4	EV 0	% change	% change from base FY	
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	from prior FY score	score	
Overall City Score	1.84	2.01	1.74	1.71	1.83	11.5%	-12.8%	1
<u>Streets</u>	1.85	1.92	1.71	1.65	1.78	2.8%	-12.3%]
Not including alleys	1.73	1.82	1.61	1.56	1.68	8.3%	-13.4%	1
Commercial – Entertainment	1.72	1.88	1.61	1.58	1.70	10.3%	-12.8%	1
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.79	1.87	1.65	1.60	1.73	7.4%	-5.4%	1
Residential	1.69	1.75	1.57	1.52	1.63	12.4%	-18.9%	1
Alleys	2.40	2.44	2.23	2.13	2.30	11.6%	-11.5%	4
Sidewalks	1.60	1.77	1.62	1.57	1.64	7.1%	-20.3%	4
Commercial – Entertainment	1.56	1.68	1.62	1.54	1.60	3.2%	-21.5%	4
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.69	1.80	1.65	1.64	1.70	6.9%	-12.8%	4
Residential	1.56 1.53	1.76	1.59	1.52	1.61	12.5%	-24.7%	1
<u>Parks</u>			1.40	1 51	1 55	1/1 00/	_10 /0/	-
Parking		1.70	1.46	1.51	1.55	14.8%	-18.4%	
Parking Waterway	2.13	2.26	1.81	1.75	1.99	9.3%	-9.9%	
Waterway								
	2.13	2.26	1.81	1.75	1.99	9.3% 11.6%	-9.9%	
Waterway Beach Areas	2.13 2.27	2.26 2.52	1.81 2.03	1.75 2.02	1.99 2.21	9.3%	-9.9% -14.6%	
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2.13 2.27 1.68	2.26 2.52 1.86	1.81 2.03	1.75 2.02 1.76	1.99 2.21 1.78	9.3% 11.6% 23.6%	-9.9% -14.6%	
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2.13 2.27 1.68	2.26 2.52 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66	1.75 2.02 1.76	1.99 2.21 1.78	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4%	% change
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2.13 2.27 1.68	2.26 2.52 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66	1.75 2.02 1.76	1.99 2.21 1.78	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4%	% change from same quarter in
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr	from same quarter in base year
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 Q1 1.71	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1%	from same quarter in base year -22.3%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 q1 1.71 1.70	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -8.1%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% **Change from prior Qtr 0.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% **Change from prior Qur 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 41 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% **change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5% 3.2%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6% 1.9%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Entertainment	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 91 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% **change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6% 1.9% -4.1%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -17.8%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Residential	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59 1.62	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2% 5.3%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6% 1.9% -4.1% 2.6%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -17.8% -29.8%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Residential Parks	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59 1.62 1.60	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2% 5.3% -7.3%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% *change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6% 1.9% -4.1% 2.6% -8.5%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -17.8% -29.8% -32.7%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Parks Parking	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 01 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59 1.62	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 0.5% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2% 5.3%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% % change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% 0.6% 1.9% -4.1% 2.6%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -17.8% -29.8%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Residential Parks	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 q1 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59 1.62 1.60 1.40 1.90	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71 FY Score	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2% 5.3% -7.3% 8.6%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% *change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% -4.1% -8.5% -10.8%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -29.8% -29.8% -32.7% -15.6%
Waterway Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Public Area Overall City Score Streets Not including alleys Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Parks Parking Waterway	2.13 2.27 1.68 1.72 q1 1.71 1.70 1.60 1.58 1.59 1.60 2.14 1.61 1.59 1.62 1.60 1.40 1.90	2.26 2.52 1.86 1.86	1.81 2.03 1.83 1.66 FY17/18	1.75 2.02 1.76 1.59	1.99 2.21 1.78 1.71 FY Score	9.3% 11.6% 23.6% 15.5% % change from prior Qtr 0.0% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% -0.6% 5.3% 2.5% 3.2% -1.2% 5.3% -7.3% 8.6%	-9.9% -14.6% -3.7% -11.4% *change in prior FY Qtr -7.1% -8.1% -7.5% -8.1% -11.2% -5.3% -10.8% -4.1% -8.5% -10.8%	from same quarter in base year -22.3% -17.9% -19.6% -14.1% -15.9% -28.9% -13.0% -20.3% -15.0% -29.8% -29.8% -32.7% -15.6%

FY Score

1.94

2.60 2.06 2.04 1.95

2.14 1.90 2.21 2.59

Cleanliness index Score Per Public Area (target = 1.5)			FY 2017/2018						
Public Area	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr	% change from prior FY Qtr	% change from prior FY score	% change from FY base year
Overall City Score	1.71					·	-7.1%		
South Beach Area	1.40					-19.5%	-23.1%		
Middle Beach Area	1.70					5.6%	-6.1%		
North Beach Area	1.74					1.2%	-7.0%		
Streets*	1.70					3.0%	-8.1%		
South Beach Area	1.74						-10.8%		
Middle Beach Area	1.55					7.6%	-4.9%		
North Beach Area	1.75					8.0%	-6.4%		
Not including alleys	1.60					2.6%	-7.5%		
South Beach Area	1.57					-3.1%	-11.3%		
Middle Beach Area	1.55					7.6%	-4.9%		
North Beach Area	1.73					9.5%	-4.4%		
Commercial - Entertainment	1.58						-8.1%		
South Beach Area	1.58						-8.1%		
Middle Beach Area	N/A								
North Beach Area	N/A		ĺ						
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.59		ĺ			-0.6%	-11.2%		
South Beach Area	1.59		ĺ			-1.2%	-11.7%		
Middle Beach Area	1.53					8.5%	-5.0%		
North Beach Area	1.71		İ			4.9%	-10.0%		
Residential	1.60		İ			5.3%	-5.3%		
South Beach Area	1.51		1			-9.6%	-13.7%		
Middle Beach Area	1.56		İ			8.3%	-4.3%		
North Beach Area	1.73					10.9%	-2.8%		
Alleys**	2.14					0.5%	-10.8%		
South Beach Area	2.19					2.3%	-9.5%		
Middle Beach Area	1.83					15.8%	4.6%		
North Beach Area	1.91					-12.4%	-19.4%		
Sidewalks	1.61					2.6%	0.6%		
South Beach Area	1.57					-1.9%	-4.3%		
Middle Beach Area	1.62					8.0%	4.5%		
North Beach Area	1.69					5.6%	5.0%		
Commercial - Entertainment	1.59					3.3%	1.9%		
South Beach Area	1.59					3.3%	1.9%		
Middle Beach Area	N/A								
North Beach Area	N/A								
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.62					-1.2%	-4.1%		
South Beach Area	1.61					-3.0%	-4.2%		
Middle Beach Area	1.60					10.3%	3.2%		
North Beach Area	1.77					8.6%	-2.8%		
Residential	1.60					5.3%	2.6%		
South Beach Area	1.36					-6.9%	-15.5%		
Middle Beach Area	1.63		i			8.7%	4.5%		
North Beach Area	1.66					4.4%	7.8%		
<u>Parks</u>	1.40		i			-7.3%	-8.5%		
South Beach Area	1.42		İ			-12.4%	-9.0%		
Middle Beach Area	1.51		İ			8.6%	7.9%		
North Beach Area	1.31		İ			-9.0%	-15.5%		
Parking	1.90		İ			8.6%	-10.8%		
South Beach Area	1.89					5.6%	-9.1%		
Middle Beach Area	1.73		İ			4.9%	-18.4%		
North Beach Area	2.12		İ			23.3%	-4.9%		
<u>Waterway</u>	1.76		İ			-12.9%	-22.5%		
South Beach Area	1.75		İ			-4.4%	-12.5%		
Middle Beach Area	1.71		İ			-16.6%	-29.9%		
North Beach Area	1.83		İ			-13.7%	-22.1%		
Beach Areas									
City of Miami Beach Responsibility	1.90					8.0%	13.1%		
South Beach Area	N/A		İ						
Middle Beach Area	2.10					29.6%	22.1%		
North Beach Area	1.75					-3.3%	7.4%		
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.87					17.6%	8.7%		
South Beach Area	N/A								
Middle Beach Area	2.00					27.4%	19.1%		
North Beach Area	1.75		 			20.7%	2.9%		
		<u> </u>							

Waterway

Beach Areas

Miami Beach Responsibility Only

Miami-Dade County Responsibility

					FY05/0	06		
Public Area	Q	11	Q	2	Q3		Q4	
Citywide	57.	5%	71.1	1%	56.7	%	75.5%	6
Streets .	65.	7%	79.2	2%	63.9	%	84.8%	6
Commercial – Entertainment	66.	1%	81.1	1%	47.5	%	74.6%	6
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	76.	7%	72.2	2%	82.4	%	97.9%	6
Residential	56.	8%	84.6	6%	66.2	%	86.1%	6
Alleys	37.	7%	36.8	3%	37.0	%	56.2%	6
<u>Sidewalks</u>	62.		68.7		56.4		79.3%	
Commercial – Entertainment	69.	2%	71.8	3%	41.7	%	71.7%	o o
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	63.		56.4		79.8		87.4%	
Residential			78.1		52.1		82.2%	6
<u>Parks</u>	46.	3%	88.0	0%	68.2	%	63.8%	o o
<u>Parking</u>	48.		59.5		49.2		69.0%	
<u>Waterway</u>	42.	9%	83.7	7%	34.5	%	56.8%	6
Beach Areas				_				
Miami Beach Responsibility Only			83.8		66.0		78.5%	
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	75.	3%	78.4	1%	53.9	%	77.2%	6
	FY1	4/15	FY15	5/16				
Public Area	FY S	icore	FY Sc	core				
Citywide	84.	6%	84.6	6%				
<u>Streets</u>	92.	6%	89.2	2%				
Commercial – Entertainment	94.	7%	89.5	5%				
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	92.	0%	87.5	5%				
Residential	91.	2%	90.5	5%				
Alleys	74.	4%	71.0)%				
<u>Sidewalks</u>	82.	3%	89.9	9%				
Commercial – Entertainment	87.	4%	90.6	6%				
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	84.	7%	88.8	3%				
Residential	74.	8%	90.4	1%				
<u>Parks</u>	93.	4%	93.5	5%				
<u>Parking</u>	80.	4%	79.6	6%				
<u>Waterway</u>	64.	5%	75.6	6%				
Beach Areas								
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	84.	5%	92.6	6%				
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	85.	9%	90.9	9%				
			FY16/17					
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Difference from prior	Difference from base	
						Qtr	Yr score	
<u>Citywide</u>	74.8%	72.2%	82.3%	84.1%	78.4%	1.8%	13.2%	
Streets Communical Entertainment	78.6%	77.0%	87.2%	88.9%	82.9%	1.7%	9.5%	
Commercial – Entertainment		76.4%	87.4%	89.2%	83.0%	1.8%	15.7%	
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	76.2%	76.3%	86.2%	87.7%	81.6%	1.5%	-0.7%	
Residential	80.5%	78.3%	88.1%	89.7%	84.2%	1.6%	10.7%	
Alleys		57.9%	64.6%	67.1%	61.4%	2.5%	19.5%	
<u>Sidewalks</u>	86.9%	82.7%	86.7%	87.0%	85.8%	0.3%	19.1%	
Commercial – Entertainment		85.8%	86.8%	87.7%	87.5%	0.9%	23.9%	
Commercial – Non-Entertainment		81.4%	85.2%	84.6%	83.7%	-0.6%	11.9%	
Residential	87.4%	81.0%	88.0%	88.8%	86.3%	0.8%	20.1%	
Parks	89.6%	81.8%	93.1%	93.6%	89.5%	0.5%	22.9%	
Parking	63.0%	61.5%	79.8%	83.7%	72.0%	3.9%	15.6%	
Waterway	66.7%	50.0%	73.1%	81.3%	67.8%	8.2%	13.3%	
Beach Areas						. ==:		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only		77.5%	82.1%	83.6%	81.3%	1.5%	8.2%	
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	83.3%	75.7%	83.5%	85.9%	82.1%	2.4%	10.9%	
			FY17/18					
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Difference from prior	Difference from prior	Differen from ba
						Qtr	from prior FY Qtr	Yr Qtr
<u>Citywide</u>	84.3%				+	0.2%	9.5%	26.89
	90.4%					1.5%	11.8%	24.7%
	00.00/				1	3.4%	13.5%	26.5%
Commercial – Entertainment					1	2.407	44.00/	
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	90.8%					3.1%	14.6%	
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	90.8% 87.8%					-1.9%	7.3%	31.0%
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys	90.8% 87.8% 69.0%					-1.9% 1.9%	7.3% 12.9%	31.0% 31.3%
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys <u>Sidewalks</u>	90.8% 87.8% 69.0% 89.5%					-1.9% 1.9% 2.5%	7.3% 12.9% 2.6%	31.0% 31.3% 26.9%
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys <u>Sidewalks</u> Commercial – Entertainment	90.8% 87.8% 69.0% 89.5% 90.5%					-1.9% 1.9% 2.5% 2.8%	7.3% 12.9% 2.6% 0.7%	31.0% 31.3% 26.9% 21.3%
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	90.8% 87.8% 69.0% 89.5% 90.5% 89.1%					-1.9% 1.9% 2.5% 2.8% 4.5%	7.3% 12.9% 2.6% 0.7% 5.5%	31.0% 31.3% 26.9% 21.3% 25.6%
Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	90.8% 87.8% 69.0% 89.5% 90.5% 89.1% 88.8%					-1.9% 1.9% 2.5% 2.8% 4.5% 0.0%	7.3% 12.9% 2.6% 0.7% 5.5% 1.4%	31.0% 31.3% 26.9% 21.3% 25.6% 36.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Alleys <u>Sidewalks</u> Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	90.8% 87.8% 69.0% 89.5% 90.5% 89.1%					-1.9% 1.9% 2.5% 2.8% 4.5%	7.3% 12.9% 2.6% 0.7% 5.5%	14.1% 31.0% 31.3% 26.9% 21.3% 25.6% 36.4% 48.8% 29.5%

FY Score

82.3%

63.6% 71.8%

56.4% 54.5%

3.7%

2.8%

1.6%

18.3%

4.4%

4.2%

42.1%

22.3%

12.2%

Percentage of Assessments scoring 2.0 or better (target =

				FY 2017/2018						
	Public Area	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	FY Score	Difference from prior Qtr	Difference from prior FY Qtr	Difference from prior FY score	Difference from base year
Overall City Score		84.3%					0.2%	9.5%	,	
	South Beach Area	85.6%					1.4%	7.3%		
	Middle Beach Area	85.5%					-5.9%	8.1%		
	North Beach Area	87.2%					1.2%	10.0%		
<u>Streets</u>		90.4%					1.5%	11.8%		
<u> </u>	South Beach Area	91.3%					4.3%	14.1%		
	Middle Beach Area	90.6%					-2.4%	7.0%		
	North Beach Area	83.8%					-4.4%	8.2%		
	Commercial - Entertainment	92.6%					3.4%	13.5%		
	South Beach Area						3.4%	13.5%		
		92.6%					3.4%	13.5%		
	Middle Beach Area	N/A								
	North Beach Area	N/A								
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	90.8%					3.1%	14.6%		
	South Beach Area	90.6%					2.6%	14.7%		
	Middle Beach Area	96.1%					-0.3%	11.0%		
	North Beach Area	86.7%					4.7%	15.0%		
	<u>Residential</u>	87.8%					-1.9%	7.3%		
	South Beach Area	91.5%					10.0%	13.0%		
	Middle Beach Area	89.6%					-3.0%	6.2%		l
	North Beach Area	82.9%					-7.8%	5.9%		
Alleys		69.0%					1.9%	12.9%		
	South Beach Area	68.1%					0.7%	12.1%		
	Middle Beach Area	66.7%					-8.3%	-8.3%		
	North Beach Area	74.0%					11.5%	19.0%		
<u>Sidewalks</u>		89.5%					2.5%	2.6%		
	South Beach Area	90.8%					5.3%	4.7%		
	Middle Beach Area	87.7%					-3.0%	1.2%		
	North Beach Area	86.9%					0.9%	0.4%		
	Commercial - Entertainment	90.5%					2.8%	0.7%		
	South Beach Area	90.5%					2.8%	0.7%		
	Middle Beach Area	N/A								
	North Beach Area	N/A					 			
	Commercial - Non-Entertainment	89.1%					4.5%	5.5%		
	South Beach Area	89.9%					5.7%	5.8%		
	Middle Beach Area	89.1%					-4.1%	1.0%		
	North Beach Area						0.9%	5.5%		
		83.6%					0.9%	5.5%		
	Residential	88.8%								
	South Beach Area	95.7%					8.2%	9.2%		
	Middle Beach Area	87.5%					-2.9%	1.3%		
	North Beach Area	87.9%					0.7%	-1.6%		
<u>Parks</u>		95.1%					1.5%	5.5%		
	South Beach Area	94.5%					3.7%	5.8%		
	Middle Beach Area	93.8%					-3.2%	-0.1%		
	North Beach Area	96.4%					1.0%	7.6%		
Parking		77.5%					-6.2%	14.5%		
	South Beach Area	76.5%					-2.4%	12.5%		
	Middle Beach Area	83.3%					-9.2%	28.3%		Ī
	North Beach Area	72.9%	1			1	-16.8%	8.8%		<u> </u>
Waterway		85.0%					3.7%	18.3%		i e
	South Beach Area	75.0%					-8.3%			
	Middle Beach Area	87.5%					2.5%	26.4%		
	North Beach Area	83.3%				-	8.3%	18.3%		
Beach Areas			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>	1
	City of Miami Beach Responsibility	86.4%					2.8%	4.4%		
	South Beach Area	N/A					1			1
	Middle Beach Area	70.0%					-20.0%	-14.1%		1
	North Beach Area	100.0%	ļ			ļ	18.4%	17.0%		
							1.6%			
	Miami-Dade County Responsibility	87.5%					1.0%	4.2%		
	South Beach Area	N/A					15.00	40.00		
	Middle Beach Area	75.0%					-17.3%	-12.2%		
	North Beach Area	100.0%		I			12.5%	15.2%	1	i —



Litter/Waste Score Per Public Area (target=1.5)

			FY12/13			FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr
<u>Streets</u>	1.68	1.62	1.62	1.87	1.70	1.79	1.78	2.07	2.28	2.38	2.08	2.03	2.19	2.16					6.4%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.51	1.56	1.53	1.77	1.59	1.67	1.59	1.88	2.46	2.56	2.20	2.22	2.36	2.15					-3.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.64	1.53	1.52	1.74	1.61	1.68	1.59	1.83	2.26	2.28	1.96	1.94	2.11	2.02				i i	4.1%
Alleys	2.35	2.19	2.01	2.52	2.27	2.29	2.62	2.62	3.21	3.32	3.05	2.94	3.13	2.97				i i	1.0%
Residential	1.33	1.25	1.39	1.48	1.36	1.49	1.47	1.54	1.88	1.99	1.74	1.70	1.83	1.89					11.1%
<u>Sidewalks</u>	1.55	1.51	1.50	1.76	1.58	1.65	1.92	1.71	1.80	2.08	1.90	1.93	1.93	2.02				i i	4.6%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.53	1.61	1.51	1.84	1.62	1.67	2.01	1.89	1.96	2.02	2.13	2.04	2.04	2.12				i i	3.9%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.63	1.53	1.62	1.68	1.62	1.77	1.95	1.74	1.96	2.10	1.94	2.06	2.02	2.08					0.9%
Residential	1.52	1.23	1.32	1.66	1.43	1.46	1.66	1.49	1.65	2.07	1.80	1.79	1.83	1.94				i i	8.3%
<u>Parks</u>	1.30	1.40	1.29	1.55	1.39	1.45	1.37	1.30	1.64	1.77	1.62	1.65	1.67	1.65				i i	0.0%
Parking	1.77	1.86	1.85	2.17	1.91	1.83	2.14	2.06	2.63	2.72	2.25	2.30	2.48	2.59					12.6%
<u>Waterway</u>	1.59	1.83	1.63	1.89	1.74	1.78	2.01	1.89	2.25	2.28	1.92	1.83	2.07	1.63				i i	-10.9%
Beach Areas																	•		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.40	1.37	1.50	1.70	1.49	1.54	1.71	1.56	1.81	2.12	2.01	2.08	2.01	2.18					4.8%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.50	1.51	1.69	1.77	1.62	1.54	1.77	1.69	2.00	2.55	2.30	2.17	2.26	2.25					3.6%

Organic Material Score Per Public Area (target=1.5)

			FY12/13			FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17	FY17/18							
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr
<u>Streets</u>	1.48	1.43	1.58	1.70	1.55	1.71	1.70	2.03	2.67	2.56	2.30	2.24	2.44	2.20					-1.7%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.34	1.35	1.39	1.56	1.41	1.48	1.39	1.77	2.16	2.06	1.87	1.86	1.99	1.88					1.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.52	1.48	1.56	1.80	1.59	1.73	1.67	2.10	2.67	2.50	2.32	2.20	2.42	2.08					-5.4%
Alleys	1.82	1.65	1.72	1.91	1.78	1.92	2.10	2.13	2.60	2.63	2.68	2.62	2.63	2.53					-3.4%
Residential	1.45	1.38	1.87	1.68	1.60	1.85	1.88	2.05	2.82	2.74	2.24	2.21	2.50	2.23				İ	0.9%
<u>Sidewalks</u>	1.46	1.40	1.60	1.68	1.54	1.60	1.89	1.93	2.42	2.35	2.15	2.06	2.25	2.00					-2.9%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.41	1.35	1.50	1.63	1.47	1.46	1.70	1.67	1.97	1.85	1.84	1.80	1.87	1.82					1.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.55	1.45	1.66	1.74	1.60	1.79	2.03	2.05	2.45	2.32	2.15	2.17	2.27	1.97				İ	-9.2%
Residential	1.51	1.49	1.80	1.71	1.63	1.83	2.12	2.07	2.54	2.52	2.24	2.04	2.34	2.09					2.4%
<u>Parks</u>	1.50	1.71	1.62	1.82	1.66	1.78	1.94	1.73	2.31	2.44	1.89	1.88	2.13	1.79					-4.7%
<u>Parking</u>	1.60	1.58	1.75	2.00	1.73	1.79	2.16	2.19	2.71	2.75	2.41	2.28	2.54	2.45					7.4%
<u>Waterway</u>	1.72	1.68	1.52	1.94	1.72	1.92	2.34	2.07	2.29	2.76	2.15	2.20	2.35	1.90					-13.6%
Beach Areas															-	-	-		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.50	1.40	1.71	1.74	1.59	1.66	2.28	1.79	2.74	2.74	2.69	2.42	2.65	2.27					-6.2%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.54	1.50	1.78	1.80	1.66	1.69	2.17	1.91	2.50	2.38	1.88	1.63	2.10	2.00					22.7%

Fecal Matter Score Per Public Area (target=1.5)

			FY12/13			FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr
Streets	1.04	1.11	1.06	1.23	1.11	1.23	1.05	1.22	1.18	1.14	1.12	1.10	1.14	1.09					-0.9%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.00	1.10	1.05	1.26	1.10	1.27	1.02	1.08	1.09	1.17	1.10	1.04	1.10	1.08					3.8%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.08	1.20	1.05	1.23	1.14	1.23	1.06	1.14	1.12	1.09	1.08	1.09	1.10	1.08					-0.9%
Alleys	1.15	1.22	1.13	1.17	1.17	1.19	1.12	1.47	1.59	1.32	1.23	1.25	1.35	1.17				i i	-6.4%
Residential	1.03	0.95	1.05	1.22	1.06	1.13	1.05	1.06	1.09	1.10	1.11	1.07	1.09	1.07					0.0%
<u>Sidewalks</u>	1.07	1.11	1.08	1.35	1.15	1.13	1.09	1.19	1.26	1.28	1.14	1.13	1.20	1.15					1.7%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.01	1.10	1.11	1.34	1.14	1.11	1.05	1.15	1.20	1.35	1.16	1.09	1.20	1.16				i i	6.4%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.14	1.25	1.08	1.44	1.23	1.20	1.16	1.24	1.35	1.30	1.16	1.15	1.24	1.15					0.0%
Residential	1.10	0.88	1.03	1.27	1.07	1.10	1.11	1.16	1.22	1.25	1.13	1.13	1.18	1.14					0.8%
<u>Parks</u>	1.11	1.16	1.08	1.30	1.16	1.14	1.06	1.15	1.14	1.22	1.16	1.22	1.19	1.03				i i	-15.5%
Parking	1.11	1.16	1.10	1.30	1.17	1.11	1.10	1.22	1.29	1.29	1.19	1.10	1.22	1.06					-3.6%
Beach Areas																•	•		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.05	1.06	1.11	1.26	1.12	1.16	1.04	1.10	1.02	1.10	1.17	1.15	1.11	1.09					-5.2%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.08	1.14	1.18	1.26	1.17	1.10	1.07	1.04	1.05	1.11	1.13	1.15	1.11	1.00					-13.0%

Garbage/Litter Score Per Public Area (target=1.5)

			FY12/13			FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Area	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr
Streets (Alleys Only)	1.54	1.54	1.59	2.13	1.70	2.08	1.84	1.55	1.31	1.62	1.33	1.23	1.37	1.33					8.1%
<u>Sidewalks</u>	1.36	1.17	N/A	N/A	1.27	N/A	1.96	1.33	1.13	1.37	1.28	1.16	1.24	1.26					8.6%
Commercial – Entertainment	1.50	1.15	N/A	N/A	1.33	N/A	1.45	1.50	1.17	1.52	1.37	1.23	1.32	1.25					1.6%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.28	1.40	N/A	N/A	1.34	N/A	1.43	1.34	1.18	1.49	1.34	1.19	1.30	1.29					8.4%
Residential	1.00	1.00	N/A	N/A	1.00	N/A	1.87	1.12	1.09	1.19	1.20	1.13	1.15	1.23					8.8%
<u>Parks</u>	1.20	1.43	1.17	1.52	1.33	1.54	1.15	1.22	1.06	1.40	1.18	1.29	1.23	1.12					-13.1%
<u>Parking</u>	1.61	1.68	1.57	1.98	1.71	1.73	1.57	1.82	1.89	2.28	1.41	1.31	1.72	1.50					14.5%
Beach Areas					•		•											•	
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.17	1.37	1.34	1.77	1.41	1.42	1.27	1.34	1.14	1.49	1.43	1.37	1.36	2.09					52.5%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.27	1.33	1.43	1.65	1.42	1.47	1.14	1.28	1.34	1.41	1.33	1.43	1.38	2.25					57.3%

Miami Beach Responsibility Only
Miami-Dade County Responsibility

96.5%

			FY12/13			FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Areas	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Difference from price
<u>Citywide</u>	87.9%	87.7%	89.1%	84.2%	87.2%	83.0%	81.5%	73.8%	69.1%	80.0%	79.1%	75.5%	79.2%					Qtr 0.1%
Streets .	83.1%	85.6%	87.3%	82.5%	84.6%	82.9%	74.7%	63.2%	61.4%	77.4%	78.5%	70.1%	77.2%					-1.3%
Commercial - Entertainment	90.2%	91.1%	90.5%	88.6%	90.1%	89.4%	81.2%	52.4%	46.0%	72.0%	75.3%	61.4%	81.3%					6.0%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	82.8%	87.8%	94.4%	84.0%	87.3%	91.7%	84.9%	62.9%	65.2%	85.3%	83.7%	74.3%	86.1%					2.4%
Alleys	60.7%	63.5%	74.5%	58.8%	64.4%	52.6%	55.1%	28.9%	30.7%	38.5%	38.4%	34.1%	45.2%					6.8%
Residential	91.7%	94.3%	89.1%	92.5%	91.9%	93.7%	91.5%	80.0%	76.0%	88.2%	90.1%	83.6%	84.3%					-5.8%
<u>Sidewalks</u>	90.3%	89.6%	90.5%	85.6%	89.0%	77.5%	88.8%	86.0%	78.6%	82.8%	79.0%	81.6%	80.5%					1.5%
Commercial – Entertainment	91.8%	88.2%	91.3%	83.9%	88.8%	73.8%	84.6%	81.5%	77.0%	76.4%	76.5%	77.9%	76.4%					-0.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	86.9%	90.0%	85.5%	84.3%	86.7%	77.7%	90.0%	81.4%	79.8%	81.5%	72.9%	78.9%	80.1%					7.2%
Residential	89.9%	93.0%	93.8%	90.0%	91.7%	85.9%	92.1%	90.3%	77.7%	85.8%	84.6%	84.6%	82.1%					-2.5%
Parks	96.4%	93.2%	95.3%	91.8%	94.2%	97.3%	96.9%	93.3%	89.0%	92.8%	93.6%	92.2%	93.8%					0.2%
Parking Weterway	80.7%	76.2%	81.3%	65.4%	75.9%	70.8%	75.1%	41.4%	42.4%	69.8%	68.2%	55.5%	55.9%					-12.39
<u>Waterway</u> Beach Area <u>s</u>	85.5%	75.5%	78.8%	72.9%	78.2%	74.7%	79.9%	66.7%	60.5%	73.1%	91.7%	73.0%	90.0%					-1.7%
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	92.7%	94.2%	93.1%	89.1%	92.3%	90.1%	85.9%	85.9%	75.3%	81.3%	76.6%	79.8%	81.8%		т		1	5.2%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	89.9%	89.1%	87.5%	86.2%	88.2%	86.1%	84.9%	79.3%	52.8%	62.3%	67.4%	65.5%	75.0%					7.6%
					00.2 /0	00.170	04.570	73.570	32.070	02.570	07.470	00.070	73.070					7.07
Percent of ORGANIC MATERIALS asses	sments so	oring 2.0 c	or better (ta FY12/13	rget=90%)		FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Areas	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Differenc
Citywide	90.2%	89.4%	86.5%	84.5%	87.7%	75.9%	77.0%	53.2%	56.2%	69.0%	72.8%	62.8%	76.1%				223.0	from prior 3.3%
Streets	90.2%	91.2%	87.4%	87.6%	89.2%	84.7%	75.4%	47.1%	52.9%	65.9%	70.3%	59.1%	73.7%				—	3.4%
Commercial – Entertainment	94.8%	94.2%	94.2%	93.4%	94.2%	95.3%	86.1%	69.6%	79.7%	85.0%	85.2%	79.9%	92.0%					6.8%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	87.6%	91.1%	86.5%	84.0%	87.3%	85.3%	74.1%	46.5%	56.5%	65.3%	71.6%	60.0%	79.5%	-+			\vdash	7.9%
Alleys	81.3%	86.7%	82.4%	82.3%	83.2%	70.3%	70.9%	48.9%	51.8%	49.6%	54.1%	51.1%	59.0%					4.9%
Residential	91.7%	87.8%	78.8%	83.8%	85.5%	80.8%	72.7%	40.9%	42.4%	67.8%	71.9%	55.8%	70.1%		-+			-1.8%
Sidewalks	91.6%	92.3%	88.3%	87.6%	90.0%	78.8%	79.6%	61.1%	64.0%	71.4%	73.7%	67.6%	80.2%					6.5%
Commercial - Entertainment	93.7%	95.1%	89.9%	90.5%	92.3%	84.9%	90.7%	83.1%	85.1%	82.0%	79.0%	82.3%	89.1%					10.1%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	86.8%	90.0%	84.7%	86.3%	87.0%	74.8%	76.4%	58.9%	66.4%	69.5%	71.2%	66.5%	81.2%					10.0%
Residential	89.9%	87.1%	89.2%	82.5%	87.2%	69.0%	70.3%	56.3%	55.7%	70.0%	74.6%	64.2%	76.4%					1.8%
<u>Parks</u>	91.5%	78.1%	85.0%	78.1%	83.2%	79.0%	85.2%	66.0%	51.7%	83.0%	85.6%	71.6%	90.1%					4.5%
<u>Parking</u>	85.7%	88.1%	86.3%	76.8%	84.2%	66.8%	67.3%	40.0%	44.7%	60.3%	69.7%	53.7%	62.8%					-6.9%
<u>Waterway</u>	81.7%	81.2%	86.4%	75.0%	81.1%	58.1%	71.3%	66.7%	39.5%	73.1%	70.8%	62.5%	80.0%					9.2%
Beach Areas																		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only		92.5%	84.6%	87.0%	89.0%	61.0%	85.5%	43.7%	48.5%	58.5%	67.3%	54.5%	81.8%					14.5%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	89.9%	91.7%	81.1%	81.5%	86.1%	63.6%	82.2%	61.3%	63.9%	79.3%	89.1%	73.4%	100.0%					10.9%
Percent of FECAL MATTER assessment	s scoring	2.0 or bette	er (target=9 FY12/13	0%)	ĺ	FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17					FY17/18			
Public Areas	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Difference
Citywide	98.7%	97.9%	99.1%	96.9%	98.2%	98.5%	95.8%	96.8%	96.2%	99.0%	98.6%	97.7%	99.3%	Q2	<u> </u>	Q.7	1 1 ocore	from prior 0.7%
Streets	99.1%	98.6%	99.8%	97.2%	98.7%	98.8%	94.2%	97.1%	98.3%	99.0%	98.7%	98.3%	99.2%					0.5%
Commercial – Entertainment	99.7%	100.0%				00.070	0 / 0		00.070	00.070	00 /0	00.070	00.270					0.0%
			99.6%	97.2%	99.1% I	99.3%	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%	98.9%	100.0%	99.1%	100.0%					
	99.2%	97.0%	99.6%	97.2% 95.3%	99.1%	99.3%	99.6%	100.0% 98.3%	97.3% 100.0%	98.9% 99.3%	100.0% 99.0%	99.1% 99.2%	100.0%					
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys	99.2% 97.4%	97.0% 96.4%	100.0%	95.3% 100.0%	99.1% 97.9% 98.5%	99.3% 98.8% 97.4%	96.9%	100.0% 98.3% 85.9%	97.3% 100.0% 94.2%	98.9% 99.3% 99.3%	100.0% 99.0% 96.2%	99.1% 99.2% 93.9%	99.3% 98.2%					0.3%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	97.4%		100.0%	95.3%	97.9%	98.8%	96.9%	98.3%	100.0%	99.3%	99.0%	99.2%	99.3%					0.3%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential	97.4%	96.4%	100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0%	97.9% 98.5%	98.8% 97.4%	96.9% 85.6%	98.3% 85.9%	100.0% 94.2%	99.3% 99.3%	99.0% 96.2%	99.2% 93.9%	99.3% 98.2%					0.3% 2.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential	97.4% 99.1%	96.4% 99.1%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential <u>Sidewalks</u>	97.4% 99.1% 98.4%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential <u>Sidewalks</u> Commercial – Entertainment	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5%	94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 97.8%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1%	94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 98.3% 97.6%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Parks Parking	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0%	94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 98.3%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 97.8% 98.6%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6%	94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 98.3% 97.6%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.2% 97.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 97.8% 99.4%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9% 94.1%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 98.6% 99.4% 99.2%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6%	94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 98.3% 97.6%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 98.6% 99.4% 99.2%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 99.4% 99.4%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9% 94.1%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0%					0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 97.8% 98.6% 99.4% 40.20 99.2% 41.40 42.40 43.40 44	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.6%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5% FY15/16	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 100.0% 99.1%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 99.0%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 100.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 99.3% 98.5%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0%		FY17/18			0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scoring	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 99.4% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 97.8% 99.4% 99.2% ter (target:	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.4% FY14/15 FY Score	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5% 99.6% FY15/16	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9% 94.1%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 99.2% 100.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0%	Q2	FY17/18	Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Different from prior
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% MPSTERS	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 97.8% 99.4% 99.2% ter (target:	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 199.6% 199.6% 199.6% 199.6%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 95.5% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6% 100.0% 99.1%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.9% 94.1%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 99.2% 100.0%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 97.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Differential prior of the prior of t
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only)	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scoring FY12/13 Q3 92.4% 85.4%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 99.4% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet Q4 83.6% 75.7%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 98.4% 98.6% 99.4% 99.2% ter (targeter transported to the state of the state	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.4% 199.4% 199.4% 199.4% 197.5% 197.5%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 96.6% 100.0% 99.1% q1 92.9% 60.7%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 99.0% 97.2%	99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 99.2% 100.0% FY16/17 Q3 92.9% 71.1%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 98.6% 100.0% 97.8% 97.8% Q4 95.3% 79.7%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 99.3% 98.5%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 410.0%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Different from prior -0.4% -6.2%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only) Sidewalks	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0% 94.7%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme Q2 89.6% 83.8%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scorin: FY12/13 Q3 92.4% 85.4%	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet 24 33.6% 75.7%	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 97.8% 99.2% ter (target: FY Score 88.9% 80.2% 97.4%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.4% 19	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7% 93.9%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 100.0% 99.1% 41 92.9% 60.7% 96.5%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 97.2% 99.0% 97.2%	99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.4% 99.2% 100.0% FY16/17 q3 92.9% 71.1% 93.6%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.3% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8% Q4 95.3% 79.7% 96.7%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 98.5% FY Score 91.8% 66.6% 94.4%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 41 94.9% 73.5% 97.1%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Different from prior -0.4% -6.2% 0.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only) Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0% 94.7% 100.0%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.19 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme	100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ints scorin: FY12/13 03 92.4% 85.4% N/A	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet 24 83.6% 75.7% N/A	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 97.8% 99.2% ter (target: FY Score 88.9% 80.2% 97.4% 100.0%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.6% 99.4% 19	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7% 93.9% 89.0%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 96.6% 100.0% 99.1% 41 92.9% 60.7% 96.5% 96.3%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.9% 94.1% 97.2% 97.2% 22 85.9% 54.7% 90.7% 87.8%	99.3% 99.3% 99.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 100.0% FY16/17 Q3 92.9% 71.1% 93.6% 88.8%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.3% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8% 4 95.3% 79.7% 96.7% 95.1%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 95.7% 97.3% 97.3% 98.5% FY Score 91.8% 66.6% 94.4% 92.0%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 41 94.9% 73.5% 97.1% 98.2%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Differentiation prior -0.4% -6.2% 3.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only) Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0% 94.7% 100.0% 85.7%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme Q2 89.6% 83.8% 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scorin: FY12/13 Q3 92.4% 85.4% N/A	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet Q4 83.6% 75.7% N/A N/A	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 99.4% 99.2% ter (target FY Score 88.9% 80.2% 97.4% 100.0% 92.9%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.6% FY14/15 FY Score 77.7% 75.6% 90.8% 88.2%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7% 93.9% 89.0%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 100.0% 99.1% 100.0% 99.1% 96.5% 96.5% 96.3% 95.4%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 99.0% 97.2% Q2 85.9% 54.7% 90.7% 87.8% 86.6%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 100.0% FY16/17 Q3 92.9% 71.1% 93.6% 88.8% 91.3%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.3% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8% 4 95.3% 79.7% 96.7% 95.1% 95.8%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 97.3% 97.3% 97.3% 99.3% 98.5% FY Score 91.8% 66.6% 94.4% 92.0% 92.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 41 94.9% 73.5% 97.1% 98.2% 96.3%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% Differentifrom prior -0.4% -6.2% 3.1% 0.5%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only) Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 98.4% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0% 94.7% 100.0% 85.7% 100.0%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme Q2 89.6% 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scorin: FY12/13 Q3 92.4% 85.4% N/A N/A	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 100.0% 92.0 or bet Q4 83.6% 75.7% N/A N/A N/A	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 99.4% 99.2% ter (target: FY Score 88.9% 80.2% 97.4% 100.0%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 99.4% 99.4% 99.6% FY14/15 FY Score 77.7% 75.6% 90.8% 88.2% 78.0%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7% 93.9% 89.0% 94.4% 98.9%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 100.0% 99.1% 100.0% 99.1% 41 92.9% 60.7% 96.5% 96.3% 95.4% 97.3%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 99.0% 97.2% Q2 85.9% 54.7% 90.7% 87.8% 86.6% 96.1%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 100.0% FY16/17 Q3 92.9% 71.1% 93.6% 88.8% 91.3% 97.1%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.6% 97.6% 100.0% 97.8% 97.8% Q4 95.3% 79.7% 96.7% 95.1% 95.8% 97.7%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 97.3% 97.3% 99.3% 98.5% FY Score 91.8% 66.6% 94.4% 92.0% 92.3% 97.1%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 41 94.9% 73.5% 97.1% 98.2% 96.3% 97.3%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.0% 2.2% Difference from prior -0.4% -6.2% 0.4% 3.1% 0.5% -0.4%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Alleys Residential Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Residential Parks Parking Beach Areas Miami Beach Responsibility Only Miami-Dade County Responsibility Percent of GARBAGE/LITTER CANS/DU Public Areas Citywide Streets (Alleys Only) Sidewalks Commercial – Entertainment Commercial – Non-Entertainment	97.4% 99.1% 98.4% 99.5% 97.5% 98.2% 97.2% 99.0% 99.4% MPSTERS Q1 90.1% 76.0% 94.7% 100.0% 85.7%	96.4% 99.1% 96.9% 98.4% 94.2% 99.1% 96.8% 100.0% 99.2% 98.3% assessme Q2 89.6% 83.8% 100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% ents scorin: FY12/13 Q3 92.4% 85.4% N/A	95.3% 100.0% 96.1% 95.2% 96.1% 92.9% 96.2% 96.1% 97.1% 100.0% g 2.0 or bet Q4 83.6% 75.7% N/A N/A	97.9% 98.5% 98.6% 97.3% 98.0% 95.8% 97.8% 99.4% 99.2% ter (target FY Score 88.9% 80.2% 97.4% 100.0% 92.9%	98.8% 97.4% 98.9% 97.5% 98.7% 95.5% 97.5% 98.8% 98.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.6% FY14/15 FY Score 77.7% 75.6% 90.8% 88.2%	96.9% 85.6% 99.1% 96.0% 97.9% 93.7% 96.8% 97.3% 99.6% 99.6% FY15/16 FY Score 90.6% 73.7% 93.9% 89.0%	98.3% 85.9% 100.0% 95.5% 97.5% 92.8% 97.0% 98.1% 100.0% 99.1% 100.0% 99.1% 96.5% 96.5% 96.3% 95.4%	100.0% 94.2% 98.6% 93.7% 93.2% 92.8% 94.7% 94.1% 99.0% 97.2% Q2 85.9% 54.7% 90.7% 87.8% 86.6%	99.3% 99.3% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.0% 98.7% 98.4% 100.0% FY16/17 Q3 92.9% 71.1% 93.6% 88.8% 91.3%	99.0% 96.2% 99.2% 98.6% 100.0% 98.3% 97.6% 100.0% 99.1% 97.8% 4 95.3% 79.7% 96.7% 95.1% 95.8%	99.2% 93.9% 99.2% 96.7% 97.7% 97.3% 97.3% 97.3% 99.3% 98.5% FY Score 91.8% 66.6% 94.4% 92.0% 92.3%	99.3% 98.2% 99.4% 99.0% 98.2% 98.9% 99.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 41 94.9% 73.5% 97.1% 98.2% 96.3%	Q2		Q4	FY Score	0.3% 2.0% 0.2% 0.4% -1.8% 0.3% 1.1% 2.4% 0.9% 2.2% Different from prior -0.4% -6.2% 3.1% 0.5%

Cleanliness Summary	for Streets	(target =	1.5)
---------------------	-------------	-----------	------

Cleanliness Summary for Streets (target = 1.5)			FY05/	06		FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/1	17				FY17/	18			
StreetClassification	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior	% change from prior
Commercial – Entertainm		1.78	2.44	1.74	1.95	1.34	1.55	1.72	1.89	1.62	1.58	1.70	1.58					Qtr 0.0%	-8.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainm Resider		_	1.81	1.75	1.83 2.01	1.44	1.61	1.80	1.87	1.66	1.61	1.74	1.60					-0.6%	-11.1% -5.2%
Alle			2.11	1.74 2.49	2.59	1.92	1.46 2.06	1.70 2.41	1.76 2.45	1.57 2.24	1.53 2.14	2.31	1.61 2.14		-	-		5.2% 0.0%	-11.2%
Cleanliness Per Factor																	<u> </u>		
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Litter/Tr Organic Mater			2.97	1.91	2.32	1.59 1.40	1.89	2.46	2.57	2.20 1.87	2.22 1.86	2.36 1.99	2.15 1.88					-3.1% 1.0%	-12.6% -12.9%
Fecal Ma		_		1.78	1.50	1.40	1.09	1.10	1.18	1.07	1.05	1.99	1.00					3.8%	-0.9%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																<u> </u>	<u> </u>	515,15	
Litter/Tr		2.34		1.89	2.13	1.60	1.83	2.26	2.28	1.96	1.95	2.11	2.03					4.1%	-10.1%
Organic Mater		1.76		1.84	1.92	1.67	2.10	2.68	2.50	2.33	2.21	2.43	2.09					-5.4%	-22.0%
Fecal Ma	1.36	1.51	1.38	1.52	1.44	1.06	1.15	1.12	1.09	1.08	1.10	1.10	1.08	<u> </u>				-1.8%	-3.5%
Litter/Tr	ash 2.65	2.17	2.36	1.98	2.29	1.47	1.54	1.88	2.00	1.75	1.71	1.84	1.89			l .		10.5%	0.5%
Organic Mater	als 2.60	2.03	2.39	1.94	2.24	1.89	2.06	2.83	2.75	2.25	2.22	2.51	2.24					0.9%	-20.8%
Fecal Ma	ter 1.51	1.58	1.58	1.30	1.49	1.05	1.07	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.08	1.10	1.07					-0.9%	-2.7%
Alleys* Litter/Tr	sh 310	3.18	3.33	2.82	3.11	2.62	2.62	3.21	3.32	3.05	2.95	3.13	2.98	_	_	_	_	1.0%	-7.1%
Organic Mater			2.59	2.36	2.53	2.10	2.13	2.60	2.64	2.69	2.62	2.64	2.54		_			-3.0%	-2.3%
Fecal Ma			1.87	1.77	1.80	1.12	1.47	1.59	1.33	1.24	1.26	1.36	1.17	L	L	L		-7.1%	-26.4%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumps	ers N/A	3.13	3.21	3.00	3.11	1.89	1.98	2.23	2.50	1.97	1.73	2.11	1.89					9.2%	-15.2%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week Commercial – Entertainment																			
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30F	M) 1.74	1.60	2.58	1.22	1.78	1.33	1.51	1.66	1.88	1.61	1.61	1.69	1.50	Г		l	1	-6.8%	-9.6%
Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12/	′		2.17	2.42	2.14	1.54	1.85	2.03	2.33	1.73	1.73	1.96	1.86			\vdash		7.5%	-8.3%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																			
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30F		1.90		1.50	1.85	1.45 1.63	1.64	1.78		1.67	1.66	1.75	1.56					-6.0%	-12.3% -9.7%
Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12/ Residential	M) 1.73	1.83	1.77	1.91	1.81	1.03	1.71	1.95	1.95	1.80	1.70	1.85	1.76					3.5%	-9.7%
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30F	M) 2.45	2.01	2.09	1.56	2.03	1.48	1.46	1.69	1.80	1.64	1.58	1.68	1.58				1	0.0%	-6.5%
Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12/	M) 2.02	1.76	2.15	1.92	1.96	1.45	1.43	1.68	1.75	1.54	1.51	1.62	1.63					7.9%	-2.9%
Alleys*		10.75	1074	4.05	0.40	4.05	0.00	0.05		0.04	0.00	0.00						1100/	10.00/
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30F Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12 <i>I</i>			2.71	1.95 3.41	2.43	1.85 2.01	2.06	2.35	2.33	2.34	2.28	2.33	1.96 2.26		_			-14.0% 7.1%	-16.6% -8.5%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Day	2.00	2.00	2.02	0.41	2.04	2.01	2.00	2.71	2.02	2.20	2.11	2.00	2.20			l	<u> </u>	11170	0.070
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Daytime(8AM - 7:30F				1.69	1.82	1.34	1.51	1.66	2.02	1.51	1.49	1.67	1.56					4.7%	-6.0%
Night(7:30PM - 12/ Late Night(12AM - 8/			2.89	1.82	2.03 1.91	1.28 1.62	1.56 1.54	1.74 1.76	1.86	1.74	1.77 1.58	1.78	1.59		_			-10.1% 1.9%	-8.6% -8.5%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	2.10	2.00	2.40	1.00	1.01	1.02	1.04	1.70	1.70	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01					1.570	0.070
Daytime(8AM - 7:30F	M) 2.00	1.85	1.82	1.72	1.85	1.47	1.55	1.74	1.86	1.71	1.59	1.73	1.62					1.8%	-6.9%
Night(7:30PM - 12/	′			1.87	1.75	1.34	1.65	1.82	1.82	1.60	1.66	1.73	1.60					-3.6%	-12.0%
Late Night(12AM - 8/ Residential	M) N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.75	1.96	1.97	1.58	1.57	1.77	1.52					-3.1%	-22.4%
Daytime(8AM - 7:30F	M) 2.26	1.93	2.11	1.74	2.01	1.47	1.45	1.67	1.79	1.60	1.55	1.65	1.63		<u> </u>			5.1%	-2.4%
Alleys*																	<u> </u>		
Daytime(8AM - 7:30F		3 2.83		2.35	2.57	1.88	1.98	2.34	2.47	2.31	2.08	2.30	2.14					2.8%	-8.5%
Night(7:30PM - 12/ Late Night(12AM - 8/			3.49 N/A	3.02 N/A	2.86	2.03	2.22	2.45	2.27	2.02	2.08	2.21	1.97	<u> </u>	\vdash	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-5.2% -0.8%	-19.5% -4.0%
Late Night(12AM - 8/	3.05	2.15	IN/A	IN/A	2.00	1.97	2.22	2.49	2.71	2.38	2.41	2.50	2.39			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-0.0%	-4.0%
Day Commercial – Entertainment																			
Weekday Dayt	me 2.05	1.49	2.05	1.15	1.69	1.34	1.43	1.56	1.90	1.54	1.46	1.62	1.50	Г	г	Г	Π	2.7%	-3.8%
Weekday N	ght 1.52	1.76		1.29	1.94	1.37	1.87	1.91		1.98	2.22	2.06	1.59					-28.3%	-16.7%
Weekday Late N			N/A	N/A	N/A	4.60	1.73	1.63	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.53	N/A					F 271	
Weekend Dayt Weekend N		_	2.18	2.21	2.15	1.33 1.39	1.58 1.55	1.71	2.28 1.86	1.43	1.51 1.65	1.73 1.74	1.59	_	<u> </u>	_		5.3% 0.6%	-7.0% -4.0%
Weekend Nate N	•		2.10	N/A	2.09	1.43	1.59	1.76	1.75	1.60	1.57	1.67	1.60		\vdash			1.9%	-9.0%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment															_				·
Weekday Dayt				1.50	1.83	1.46	1.55	1.68		1.65	1.58	1.70	1.57					-0.6%	-6.5%
Weekend Dayt Weekend N			1.77 1.76	1.93 1.87	1.85 1.61	1.50 N/A	1.55 1.73	1.79 1.97	1.85	1.76 1.58	1.59	1.75 1.77	1.66 1.51	\vdash	\vdash	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4.4% -2.5%	-7.2% -23.3%
Residential	9111 1.38	1.44	1.70	1.07	1.01	IN/A	1.73	1.97	1.97	1.30	1.55	1.77	1.01				<u> </u>	-2.370	-23.370
Weekday Dayt	me 2.45	2.01	2.09	1.56	2.03	1.48	1.45	1.62	1.79	1.60	1.56	1.64	1.59				l	1.9%	-1.8%
Weekend Dayt	me 2.01	1.76	2.15	1.92	1.96	1.45	1.44	1.73	1.79	1.60	1.53	1.66	1.67					9.1%	-3.4%
Alleys*	ma 0.00	10.70	1222	1.00	0.40	4.00	4.04	2.04	2 44	2.25	2.00	2.22	2.04					7.00/	7.00/
Weekday Dayt Weekday N		_	2.39	1.83	2.42	1.86 1.76	1.94 2.39	2.21	2.41	2.35	2.20	2.29	2.04 1.68	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		-7.2% -32.2%	-7.6% -36.8%
Weekday N Weekday Late N	-		N/A	N/A	N/A	4.60	2.39	2.00	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.63	N/A		\vdash	\vdash	 	JZ.Z /0	30.076
Weekend Dayt		_	2.74	3.53	2.96	1.94	2.01	2.46	2.51	2.27	1.94	2.30	2.28					17.5%	-7.3%
Weekend N		_		3.60	2.83	2.16	2.10	2.30	2.31	1.82	1.95	2.10	2.11					8.2%	-8.2%
Weekend Late N	ght 3.05	2.25	N/A	N/A	2.65	1.97	2.18	2.49	2.71	2.38	2.40	2.50	2.39					-0.4%	-4.0%



Cleanliness Summary for Sidewalks (target = 1.5)			FY05/0	6		FY14/15	FY15/16	16 FY16/17											
Sidewalk Classification	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY	Q1	Q2	FY17/18	Q4	FY Score	% change	% change
												Score	1	QZ	Ų3	Q4	FY Score	from prior Qtr	from prior FY Qtr
Commercial – Entertainment	1.87	1.95	2.50	1.86	2.04	1.54	1.55	1.56	1.68	1.62	1.54	1.60	1.59					3.2%	1.9%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment Residential	1.97 2.28	2.15	1.91 2.35	1.79	1.95 2.14	1.63 2.02	1.59 1.43	1.69 1.56	1.80 1.76	1.65 1.59	1.64 1.52	1.70 1.61	1.62 1.60					-1.2% 5.2%	-4.1% 2.5%
Cleanliness Per Factor	2.20	2.11	2.33	1.03	2.14	2.02	1.45	1.50	1.70	1.55	1.52	1.01	1.00					J.Z /0	2.3 /6
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Litter/Trash	2.25	2.17	2.88	1.85	2.29	2.01	1.89	1.96	2.02	2.13	2.04	2.04	2.12		Π		I	3.9%	8.1%
Organic Materials	1.79	1.74	2.57	1.82	1.98	1.70	1.67	1.97	1.85	1.84	1.80	1.87	1.82					1.1%	-7.6%
Fecal Matter	1.31	1.35	1.91	1.53	1.53	1.05	1.15	1.20	1.35	1.16	1.09	1.20	1.16					6.4%	-3.3%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumpsters	2.11	2.53	2.65	2.24	2.38	1.45	1.50	1.17	1.52	1.37	1.23	1.32	1.25					1.6%	6.8%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																			
Litter/Trash	2.09	2.56	2.15	1.96	2.19	1.95	1.74	1.96	2.10	1.94	2.06	2.02	2.08					0.9%	6.1%
Organic Materials	2.24	1.81	2.00	1.80	1.96	2.03	2.05	2.45	2.32	2.15	2.17	2.27	1.97					-9.2%	-19.5%
Fecal Matter	1.39	1.85	1.48	1.56	1.57	1.16	1.24	1.35	1.30	1.16	1.15	1.24	1.15					0.0%	-14.8%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumpsters	2.13	2.37	1.48	1.86	1.96	1.43	1.34	1.18	1.49	1.34	1.19	1.30	1.29					8.4%	9.3%
Residential																			
Litter/Trash	2.63	2.14	2.68	2.00	2.36	1.66	1.49	1.65	2.07	1.80	1.79	1.83	1.94					8.3%	17.5%
Organic Materials	2.45	1.95	2.46	2.03	2.22	2.12	2.07	2.54	2.52	2.24	2.04	2.34	2.09					2.4%	-17.7%
Fecal Matter	1.72	1.66	1.89	1.37	1.66	1.11	1.16	1.22	1.25	1.13	1.13	1.18	1.14					0.8%	-6.5%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumpsters	2.32	2.70	2.36	1.91	2.32	1.87	1.12	1.09	1.19	1.20	1.13	1.15	1.23					8.8%	12.8%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week																			
Commercial – Entertainment	4.00	1 4 74	0.50	4.05	4.04	4.40	4.54	4 47	4.74	4.00	4.50	1 4 64	4.40					0.00/	4.00/
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30PM)	1.88	1.71	2.52	1.25	1.84	1.48	1.54	1.47	1.74	1.68	1.53	1.61	1.49					-2.6%	1.3%
Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12AM)	1.86	2.30	2.45	2.63	2.31	1.60	1.54	1.60	1.64	1.58	1.58	1.60	1.63					3.1%	1.8%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	1.00	214	1.02	1.48	1 00	1.50	1.62	1.64	1 02	1.65	1.60	1.70	1.50					-10.0%	-7.3%
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30PM) Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12AM)	1.98 1.97	2.14	1.92	2.01	1.88 2.00	1.59 1.69	1.63 1.53	1.64 1.71	1.83	1.65 1.65	1.69 1.62	1.69	1.52 1.69				_	4.3%	-1.1%
Residential Residential	1.97	2.13	1.90	2.01	2.00	1.09	1.03	1.71	1.79	1.00	1.02	1.09	1.09					4.3%	-1.170
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 7:30PM)	2.49	2.24	2.32	1.74	2.20	1.49	1.45	1.54	1.76	1.62	1.62	1.64	1.59					-1.8%	3.2%
Weekend(Friday 7:30PM - Sunday 12AM)	2.03	1.85	2.34	1.86	2.02	2.07	1.50	1.62	1.76	1.61	1.55	1.64	1.64				_	5.8%	1.2%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Day			-101	1100					111.0	119.1			110		<u> </u>			,	
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Daytime(8AM - 7:30PM)	1.86	1.71	2.14	1.84	1.89	1.48	1.47	1.55	1.85	1.53	1.33	1.57	1.54					15.7%	-0.6%
Night(7:30PM - 12AM)	1.79	1.80	2.87	1.90	2.09	1.56	1.60	1.59	1.57	1.70	1.70	1.64	1.56					-8.2%	-1.8%
Late Night(12AM - 8AM)	2.11	2.34	3.04	1.00	2.12	1.87	1.58	1.55	1.63	1.67	1.81	1.67	1.66					-8.2%	7.1%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																	•		
Daytime(8AM - 7:30PM)	2.11	2.15	1.91	1.70	1.97	1.63	1.48	1.57	1.80	1.71	1.59	1.67	1.61					1.2%	2.5%
Night(7:30PM - 12AM)	1.43	2.02	1.85	2.14	1.86	1.61	1.67	1.77	1.75	1.52	1.61	1.66	1.61					0.0%	-9.0%
Late Night(12AM - 8AM)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.96	1.90	1.89	1.65	1.82	1.82	1.70					-6.5%	-10.5%
Residential																•			
Daytime(8AM - 7:30PM)	2.28	2.11	2.35	1.83	2.14	2.02	1.43	1.48	1.82	1.63	1.56	1.62	1.62					3.8%	9.4%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week and Time of the																			
Day Commercial – Entertainment																			
Weekday Daytime	1.97	1.61	2.05	1.21	1.71	1.44	1.46	1.22	1.86	1.60	1.34	1.51	1.48					10.4%	21.3%
Weekday Night	1.81	1.78	3.03	1.29	1.98	1.46	1.64	1.63	1.54	1.86	2.12	1.79	1.50					-29.2%	-7.9%
Weekday Late Night	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.72	1.75	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.75	N/A						
Weekend Daytime	1.76	2.75	2.34	2.45	2.32	1.53	1.46	1.72	1.81	1.38	1.32	1.56	1.59					20.4%	-7.5%
Weekend Night	1.74	1.87	2.49	2.98	2.27	1.72	1.57	1.56	1.59	1.61	1.53	1.57	1.61					5.2%	3.2%
Weekend Late Night	2.11	2.31	N/A	N/A	2.21	1.75	1.56	1.55	1.63	1.57	1.77	1.63	1.68					-5.0%	8.3%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment			•																
Weekday Daytime	1.98	2.11	1.92	1.48	1.87	1.60	1.51	1.46	1.80	1.63	1.63	1.63	1.51					-7.3%	3.4%
Weekend Daytime	2.24	2.20	1.90	1.91	2.07	1.72	1.44	1.66	1.80	1.80	1.55	1.70	1.70					9.6%	2.4%
Weekend Night	1.43	1.67	1.85	2.14	1.77	1.66	1.61	1.63	1.67	1.43	1.50	1.56	1.66					10.6%	1.8%
Residential																•	-	-	
. conconnui																			
Weekday Daytime	2.49	2.24	2.32	1.74	2.20	1.49	1.44	1.43	1.77	1.59	1.59	1.60	1.61					1.2%	12.5%

Cleanliness Summary for Parking Lots (target = 1.5)

			FY05/06			FY14/15	FY15/16	5/16 FY16/17											
Parking Lots	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr	% change from prior FY Qtr
Commercial – Entertainment	2.02	2.27	2.33	2.00	2.16	1.66	1.71	2.08	2.12	2.00	1.67	1.97	1.91					14.4%	-8.17%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment	2.49	2.17	2.25	2.01	2.23	1.87	1.89	2.19	2.40	1.68	1.83	2.03	1.89					3.3%	-13.70%
Cleanliness Per Factor																			
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Litter/Trash	2.41	2.50	2.74	2.39	2.51	2.06	1.97	2.61	2.64	2.65	2.21	2.53	2.64					19.5%	1.15%
Organic Materials	1.95	2.06	2.38	2.05	2.11	2.00	2.04	2.65	2.64	2.84	2.18	2.58	2.41					10.6%	-9.06%
Fecal Matter	1.48	1.47	1.78	1.44	1.54	1.04	1.16	1.25	1.30	1.23	1.03	1.20	1.03					0.0%	-17.60%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumpsters	2.22	3.06	2.43	2.14	2.46	1.50	1.69	1.80	1.90	1.30	1.25	1.56	1.54					23.2%	-14.44%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																			
Litter/Trash	3.10	2.67	2.38	2.37	2.63	2.23	2.12	2.65	2.81	1.97	2.38	2.45	2.54					6.7%	-4.15%
Organic Materials	2.78	2.33	2.42	2.01	2.39	2.32	2.31	2.79	2.86	2.10	2.38	2.53	2.49					4.6%	-10.75%
Fecal Matter	1.66	1.75	1.76	1.48	1.66	1.16	1.27	1.35	1.27	1.16	1.17	1.24	1.09					-6.8%	-19.26%
Litter/Garbage Cans/Dumpsters	2.41	1.92	2.42	2.19	2.24	1.72	1.90	2.00	2.65	1.48	1.38	1.88	1.45					5.1%	-27.50%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week				•		•	•	•							•	•	•		
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 5PM)	1.86	2.17	2.49	1.78	2.07	1.59	1.69	2.04	2.04	2.30	1.62	2.00	1.96					21.0%	-3.92%
Weekend(Friday 8PM - Sunday 12AM)	2.14	2.37	2.03	2.43	2.24	1.73	1.70	2.08	2.15	1.86	1.69	1.95	1.89					11.8%	-9.13%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																			
Weekday(Monday 8AM - Friday 5PM)	2.59	2.06	2.29	1.88	2.21	1.87	1.86	2.08	2.35	1.77	1.86	2.02	1.82					-2.2%	-12.50%
Weekend(Friday 8PM - Sunday	2.42	2.37	2.09	2.14	2.26	1.86	1.92	2.29	2.40	1.62	1.92	2.06	1.97					2.6%	-13.97%
12AM) Cleanliness Per Time of the Day																l			<u> </u>
Commercial – Entertainment																			
Daytime(8AM - 7:30PM)	2.04	2.26	2.22	2.30	2.21	1.67	1.59	1.95	2.05	2.09	1.64	1.93	1.90			Ι		15.9%	-2.56%
Night(7:30PM - 12AM)	1.89	2.31	3.67	1.68	2.38	1.65	1.72	2.02	2.18	1.87	1.55	1.91	1.79					15.5%	-11.39%
Late Night(12AM - 8AM)	2.44	2.31	1.65	1.70	2.03	1.76	1.86	2.16	2.21	2.00	1.89	2.07	2.09					10.6%	-3.24%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment											1100				<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Daytime(8AM - 7:30PM)	2.48	2.17	2.23	2.01	2.22	1.88	1.76	2.18	2.48	1.69	1.91	2.07	2.03		T	1	1	6.3%	-6.88%
Night(7:30PM - 12AM)	2.49	N/A	3.00	2.02	2.50	1.70	2.04	2.27	2.30	1.65	1.68	1.98	1.70					1.2%	-25.11%
Late Night(12AM - 8AM)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.19	2.28	2.00	2.37	1.70	2.16	2.06	N/A						
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week and Time of the Day Commercial – Entertainment		1		1	1										ı	ı			
Weekday Daytime	2.04	2.16	2.16	1.91	2.07	1.60	1.62	1.93	1.97	2.34	1.58	1.96	2.06					30.4%	6.74%
Weekday Night	1.64	2.13	3.67	1.64	2.27	1.57	1.79	2.25	2.16	2.00	1.75	2.04	1.78		-	 		1.7%	-20.89%
Weekend Daytime	2.03	2.72	2.40	2.71	2.46	1.78	1.55	2.00	2.13	1.70	1.67	1.88	1.75		-	-		4.8%	-12.50%
Weekend Night	2.10	2.58	2.29	1.83	2.20	1.73	1.69	1.89	2.19	1.85	1.50	1.86	1.80		 	 		20.0%	-4.76%
Weekend Late Night	2.44	2.28	1.65	1.88	2.06	1.72	1.84	2.14	2.27	1.91	1.97	2.07	2.09		-	 		6.1%	-2.34%
Commercial – Non-Entertainment																l			
Weekday Daytime	2.59	2.06	2.29	1.94	2.22	1.87	1.68	2.03	2.62	1.66	1.81	2.03	1.86					2.8%	-8.37%
Weekend Daytime	2.36	2.37	2.00	2.09	2.21	1.89	1.83	2.34	2.30	1.75	2.03	2.11	2.10		-	\vdash	 	3.4%	-10.26%
Weekend Night	2.49	N/A	3.00	2.29	2.59	1.76	1.88	2.06	2.40	1.61	1.57	1.91	1.77		<u> </u>	 		12.7%	-14.08%
Wookshu Night	2.40	14// (0.00	2.20	2.00	1.70	1.00	2.00	2.40	1.01	1.07	1.01	1.11			L		12.170	14.0070

Cleanliness Summary for Parking Lots (target = 1.5)

			FY05/0	6		FY14/15	FY15/16			FY16/17	7				FY17/1	В			
Parking Lots	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior	% change from prior
10th Street / Washington Avenue	2.20	2.69	1.58	1.92	2.10	1.70	1.75	2.00	N/A	2.25	1.50	1.92	1.75					Qtr	FY Qtr -12.5%
11th Street / Collins Avenue	1.75	2.38	2.25	1.50	1.97	1.44	1.23	1.75	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.75	N/A			\vdash			-12.370
11th Street / Jefferson Avenue	1.75	1.00	1.75	1.50	1.50	1.60	1.89	2.00	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	1.50			 			-25.0%
13th Street / Collins Avenue	1.90	2.13	1.67	1.56	1.81	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						20.070
13th Street Provisional Lot	1.92	1.00	2.25	1.75	1.73	1.33	1.54	1.95	1.50	2.00	1.63	1.77	1.50						-23.0%
15th Street / Michigan Avenue (Softball Lot)	2.13	2.00	2.75	1.96	2.21	2.31	1.88	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.17	2.23	N/A						
16th Street / West Avenue	3.17	2.00	1.88	3.63	2.67	1.75	1.78	2.50	2.88	1.75	2.33	2.37	N/A						
17th Street / West Avenue (Epicure)	2.23	2.58	1.88	2.40	2.27	2.07	2.35	2.55	2.38	N/A	1.25	2.06	2.42						-5.1%
17th Street / Conv. Center Drive	1.92	1.00	2.25	1.29	1.62	1.60	1.66	2.00	2.00	1.50	1.75	1.81	1.63						-18.5%
18th Street / Meridian Avenue	2.67	1.00	1.88	1.54	1.77	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
18th Street / Purdy Avenue (south)	2.10	1.50	2.00	2.35	1.99	1.65	1.75	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.50	1.25	1.75						
19th Street / Conv. Center Drive	2.00	1.00	2.50	1.29	1.70	N/A	2.25	N/A	2.13	3.00	2.00	2.38	1.50						
19th Street / Meridian Avenue (Holocaust)	2.33	N/A	2.00	1.71	2.01	1.66	1.47	2.25	N/A	1.00	N/A	1.63	N/A						
1st Street / Ocean Drive	1.86	1.88	1.92	2.33	2.00	1.43	1.77	1.50	2.25	1.75	1.79	1.82	1.75						16.6%
21st Street / Collins Avenue	2.31	1.88	2.58	2.40	2.29	1.77	1.92	2.92	2.42	N/A	N/A	2.67	2.00						-31.5%
6th Street / Collins Avenue	1.28	2.75	1.75	2.58	2.09	1.39	1.97	2.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00	N/A						
6th Street / Meridian Avenue	2.92	6.00	1.38	2.88	3.29	1.39	1.92	2.25	3.50	1.92	N/A	2.56	2.25						0.0%
9th Street / Washington Avenue	2.00	2.67	2.17	1.79	2.16	1.75	1.92	1.75	3.25	N/A	1.75	2.25	2.42						38.2%
South Pointe Drive/Ocean Drive	2.03	2.25	2.46	1.69	2.11	1.85	1.69	N/A	1.88	2.08	1.50	1.82	1.75						
Lincoln Lane N / Michigan Ave	1.83	2.92	2.56	1.63	2.23	2.54	2.04	2.33	2.38	3.00	1.38	2.27	1.83						-21.4%
Lincoln Lane N / Lenox Avenue (west)	1.54	2.50	2.44	1.25	1.93	1.85	1.44	2.00	1.75	1.88	1.75	1.85	2.00						0.0%
Lincoln Lane N / Meridian Avenue	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.25	2.48	1.79	1.88	N/A	2.75	1.50	N/A	2.13	2.00						
Lincoln Lane S / Euclid Avenue (west)	1.92	2.58	3.67	2.22	2.60	1.39	1.71	1.75	3.00	1.50	N/A	2.08	N/A						
Lincoln Lane S / Jefferson Avenue (east)	2.75	2.17	3.03	2.33	2.57	1.61	1.56	1.25	1.50	N/A	N/A	1.38	1.88						50.4%
Lincoln Lane S / Jefferson Avenue (west)	2.67	2.50	2.70	2.17	2.51	1.50	2.15	N/A	N/A	1.25	2.13	1.69	1.88						
Lincoln Lane S / Michigan Avenue (west)	2.67	2.83	3.42	2.58	2.88	1.20	1.64	2.50	2.25	1.75	N/A	2.17	1.63						-34.8%
South Pointe Park - Restaurant	1.50	1.88	1.56	2.69	1.91	2.00	1.67	1.75	2.44	2.75	1.75	2.17	1.88				<u> </u>		7.4%
27th Street / Collins Avenue	2.17	N/A	2.50	1.83	2.17	1.81	1.88	1.50	N/A	1.50	N/A	1.50	1.65						10.0%
34th Street / Collins Avenue	2.92	3.50	2.67	1.83	2.73	2.50	1.98	1.75	1.75	N/A	1.50	1.67	N/A		-	-	.		40.50/
35th Street / Collins Avenue	2.63	4.50	2.00	1.71	2.71	1.80 1.57	1.96	2.00	2.88	N/A	N/A	2.44	1.75			_	<u> </u>		-12.5%
40th Street / Chase Avenue	3.25	4.67 2.25	2.38	1.58	2.97	1.85	2.02 1.67	2.50	N/A N/A	1.63 N/A	1.50 N/A	1.88 2.63	1.38			_	<u> </u>		-44.8% -28.5%
40th Street / Prairie Avenue	2.88	1.67	2.00	2.00	2.25	1.15	1.79	2.63 N/A	N/A	2.25	N/A	2.25	1.75			-	 		-28.5%
40th Street / Royal Palm Avenue 41st Street / Alton Road	2.38	N/A	1.75	2.25	2.14	1.15	1.79	1.50	2.75	1.50	1.50	1.81	1.75		-	-	 		16.6%
42nd Street / Jefferson Avenue	3.17		2.25	1.83	2.13	1.61	1.85	N/A	2.75	1.50	1.50	1.75	2.00		-	\vdash	1		10.0%
42nd Street / Royal Palm Avenue	1.67	1.75	2.23	1.54	1.77	1.66	2.25	N/A	1.75	2.50	1.67	1.73	1.92		-	-	1		
46th Street / Collins Avenue	3.00	2.75	2.13	2.17	2.60	1.77	2.34	N/A	2.50	N/A	1.50	2.00	2.00		-	-	 	-	
47th Street / Sheridan Avenue	1.83	2.73	2.25	2.17	2.14	1.69	1.44	N/A	1.50	1.50	2.50	1.83	1.58			\vdash	1		
4th Street / Alton Road	2.17	2.00	1.25	1.83	1.81	N/A	1.78	1.50	N/A	1.67	1.75	1.64	1.25			_	1		-16.6%
53rd Street / Collins Avenue	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.58	1.90	1.55	2.04	2.38	3.38	N/A	N/A	2.88	1.25			-	 		-47.4%
West 24 Terrace/Flamingo Dr	2.89	N/A	2.00	2.67	2.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			\vdash			41.470
64th Street / Collins Avenue	1.63	1.63	3.50	2.67	2.36	1.58	1.81	N/A	N/A	2.00	1.75	1.88	N/A			\vdash			
65th Street / Indian Creek (MARINA)	3.33	1.67	3.00	2.00	2.50	1.94	1.92	N/A	2.25	1.00	N/A	1.63	2.13						
71st Street / Bonita Drive	3.00	1.50	2.75	1.89	2.28	3.09	2.15	2.13	3.25	1.50	1.88	2.19	2.92				1		37.0%
71st Street / Carlyle Avenue	2.17	1.67	2.25	2.67	2.19	1.75	1.83	3.25	2.63	1.50	1.25	2.16	N/A						
71st Street / Harding Avenue	1.58	2.13	1.25	2.44	1.85	1.59	1.32	1.94	2.50	2.25	1.38	2.02	N/A						
71st Street / Harding Avenue (west)	1.00	1.42	2.83	2.25	1.87	1.74	1.90	N/A	2.25	1.50	1.50	1.75	N/A						
72nd Street / Carlyle Avenue	1.78	1.75	2.50	1.70	1.93	1.63	1.71	2.13	N/A	N/A	2.25	2.19	1.67						-21.6%
72nd Street / Collins Avenue	2.25	2.50	2.88	2.33	2.49	2.54	2.30	N/A	2.25	N/A	N/A	2.25	2.13						
75th Street / Collins Avenue	2.33	2.38	2.25	2.83	2.45	2.07	1.96	2.38	3.25	N/A	2.00	2.54	2.13						-10.5%
79th Street / Collins Avenue	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.00	2.19	2.21	2.22	2.06	2.50	1.25	N/A	1.94	N/A						
80th Street / Collins Avenue	2.75	2.17	2.25	2.00	2.29	2.37	2.00	N/A	N/A	1.75	N/A	1.75	1.75						
83rd Street / Abbott Avenue	2.67	2.50	3.33	1.78	2.57	2.80	2.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00	2.00	3.00						
83rd Street / Collins Avenue	3.17	2.67	2.00	2.33	2.54	1.88	1.94	2.25	2.38	2.00	2.00	2.16	2.00		Ĺ				-11.1%
84th Street / Collins Avenue	3.50	1.83	2.00	2.00	2.33	2.20	2.41	N/A	2.38	1.38	N/A	1.88	N/A						
87 Terrace / Collins Avenue	2.17	2.50	2.00	1.78	2.11	1.88	1.27	1.50	1.44	N/A	1.25	1.40	1.88						25.3%
Normandy Drive / Bay Road (north)	2.67	1.00	3.25	1.67	2.15	2.33	1.84	2.63	N/A	N/A	1.75	2.19	2.25						-14.4%
	2.13	2.88	4.00	2.25	2.81	1.93	1.67	3.25	1.75	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.00						-38.4%



Cleanliness Summary for Parks (target = 1	.5)																		
		1	FY05/06	1		FY14/15	FY15/16		1	FY16/17	1				FY17/18	1	1	% change	% change
Parks	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	from prior Qtr	from prior FY Qtr
Cleanliness by Sub-Area																		Qti	FTQII
Playground	1.85	1.27	1.78	1.76	1.66	1.39	1.34	1.47	1.60	1.47	1.54	1.52	1.35					-12.3%	-8.16%
Pedestrian Trails	2.21	1.43	2.00	2.21	1.96	1.41	1.33	1.48	1.69	1.60	1.63	1.60	1.59					-2.4%	7.43%
Pavilion Shelter	1.81	1.77	1.75	2.02	1.84	1.24	1.41	1.44	1.56	1.15	1.50	1.41	1.27					-15.3%	-11.81%
Open Green Space	2.30	1.58	2.00	2.05	1.98	1.42	1.43	1.64	1.84	1.54	1.48	1.63	1.44		\vdash		 	-2.7%	-12.20%
Bark Park	2.10	4.25	2.25	2.25	2.71	1.59	1.65	2.25	1.91	1.54	1.66	1.84	1.43		<u> </u>			-13.8%	-36.44%
Basketball Courts	1.67	1.35	1.48	1.82	1.58	1.25	1.08	1.36	1.60	1.27	1.35	1.40	1.31					-2.9%	-3.68%
Sports Courts/Fields	1.80	1.23	1.78	1.90	1.68	1.26	1.16	1.28	1.37	1.37	1.43	1.36	1.26					-11.8%	-1.56%
Beach Spoil/Beach Access	2.13	2.25	2.06	2.56	2.25	1.80	1.52	1.69	1.97	2.00	1.96	1.91	2.06					5.1%	21.89%
<u>Cleanliness Per Factor</u>																			
Playground																			
Litter/Trash	1.81	1.35	1.98	1.92	1.76	1.45	1.27	1.56	1.52	1.58	1.72	1.60	1.58					-8.1%	1.28%
Organic Materials	2.12	1.31	2.22	1.92	1.89	1.96	1.78	2.22	2.43	2.02	2.00	2.17	1.80					-10.0%	-18.92%
Fecal Matter	1.42	1.08	1.10	1.50	1.28	1.00	1.04	1.04	1.00	1.13	1.20	1.09	1.00				—	-16.6%	-3.85%
Litter/Garbage Cans	2.04	1.35	1.81	1.71	1.73	1.14	1.20	1.08	1.47	1,16	1.24	1.24	1.02		-		-	-17.7%	-5.56%
Pedestrian Trails															<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Litter/Trash	2.50	1.50	2.05	2.20	2.06	1.35	1.31	1.52	1.89	1.82	1.72	1.74	1.72					0.0%	13.16%
															<u> </u>		.		
Organic Materials	2.17	1.54	2.05	2.13	1.97	2.01	1.78	2.23	2.63	2.13	2.18	2.29	2.22					1.8%	-0.45%
Fecal Matter	2.00	1.25	1.80	2.10	1.79	1.05	1.08	1.11	1.00	1.21	1.18	1.13	1.04					-11.8%	-6.31%
Litter/Garbage Cans	2.17	1.46	2.14	2.40	2.04	1.22	1.10	1.05	1.26	1.26	1.45	1.26	1.36					-6.2%	29.52%
Pavilion Shelter																			
Litter/Trash	2.06	2.00	1.97	2.04	2.02	1.29	1.46	1.44	1.50	1.40	1.71	1.51	1.60					-6.4%	11.11%
Organic Materials	2.00	1.81	2.10	2.04	1.99	1.57	1.90	2.11	1.87	1.20	1.71	1.72	1.40		İ		ĺ	-18.1%	-33.65%
Fecal Matter	1.31	1.31	1.40	1.77	1.45	1.00	1.02	1.11	1.00	1.00	1.28	1.10	1.00		l		l	-21.8%	-9.91%
Litter/Garbage Cans	1.88	1.94	1.56	2.23	1.90	1.11	1.23	1.11	1.87	1.00	1.28	1.32	1.10		 		 	-14.0%	-0.90%
Open Green Space																			
Litter/Trash	2.58	1.62	2.16	2.19	2.14	1.41	1.36	1.88	2.00	1.67	1.70	1.81	1.77		1	1	ı	4.1%	-5.85%
		1.62					1.94								 		 	2.2%	-3.85%
Organic Materials	2.65		2.33	2.15	2.18	2.05		2.61	2.75	2.10	1.82	2.32	1.86						
Fecal Matter	1.92	1.47	1.85	1.90	1.79	1.07	1.20	1.11	1.26	1.19	1.14	1.18	1.03					-9.6%	-7.21%
Litter/Garbage Cans	1.98	1.69	1.65	1.98	1.82	1.15	1.30	1.05	1.36	1.19	1.24	1.21	1.09					-12.1%	3.81%
Bark Park																			
Litter/Trash	1.75	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.19	1.37	1.17	2.00	1.55	1.50	1.16	1.55	1.25					7.7%	-37.50%
Organic Materials	2.25	3.00	4.00	2.25	2.88	2.21	2.05	3.00	2.44	1.50	1.83	2.19	1.62					-11.4%	-46.00%
Fecal Matter	2.50	6.00	1.00	2.75	3.06	1.62	1.94	2.75	2.55	1.66	2.16	2.28	1.37					-36.5%	-50.18%
Litter/Garbage Cans	1.50	5.00	2.00	2.00	2.63	1.16	1.44	1.25	1.11	1.50	1.50	1.34	1.50					0.0%	20.00%
*	1.50	5.00	2.00	2.00	2.03	1.10	1.44	1.25	1.11	1.50	1.50	1.54	1.50					0.0 /6	20.00 /6
Basketball Courts			4.00	4.00	4.70	4.40	1 10		4.70	4.55	4.50	4.55	4.70					0.00/	10 110/
Litter/Trash	1.75	1.75	1.69	1.93	1.78	1.16	1.13	1.44	1.70	1.55	1.58	1.57	1.72					8.8%	19.44%
Organic Materials	1.75	1.33	1.57	1.57	1.56	1.70	1.16	2.00	1.70	1.44	1.50	1.66	1.50					0.0%	-25.00%
Fecal Matter	1.44	1.08	1.14	1.43	1.27	1.04	1.00	1.00	1.10	1.05	1.16	1.08	1.00					-13.7%	0.00%
Litter/Garbage Cans	1.79	1.25	1.58	2.36	1.74	1.12	1.06	1.00	1.90	1.05	1.16	1.28	1.05					-9.4%	5.00%
Sports Courts/Fields																			
Litter/Trash	1.64	1.38	2.22	2.23	1.87	1.23	1.17	1.37	1.62	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.46					-2.6%	6.57%
Organic Materials	1.57	1.31	1.94	1.82	1.66	1.68	1.25	1.75	1.87	1.71	1.75	1.77	1.53					-12.5%	-12.57%
Fecal Matter	1.71	1.00	1.39	1.64	1.43	1.00	1.13	1.00	1.00	1.07	1.16	1.06	1.00					-13.7%	0.00%
Litter/Garbage Cans	2.50	1.25	1.56	1.95	1.81	1.12	1.08	1.00	1.00	1,21	1.33	1,14	1.06		-		 	-20.3%	6.00%
Beach Spoil/Beach Access			1122		1101	=		1100	1100			1111	1100		<u> </u>		l		
Litter/Trash	2.67	2.83	2.38	2.75	2.66	1.74	1.76	1.78	2.08	2.01	2.17	2.01	2.22					2.3%	24.72%
		2.17	2.31	2.44	2.31	2.70	1.70		3.17	3.42					 		.		
Organic Materials	2.33							2.81			3.05	3.11	3.29					7.8%	17.08%
Fecal Matter	1.50	2.00	1.69	2.19	1.84	1.05	1.02	1.03	1.11	1.18	1.19	1.13	1.24					4.2%	20.39%
Litter/Garbage Cans	2.00	2.00	1.88	2.79	2.17	1.32	1.28	1.15	1.53	1.39	1.41	1.37	1.47					4.2%	27.83%
Cleanliness Per Time of the Week																			
Playground	L_																		
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.00	1.03	1.82	1.55	1.60	1.35	1.40	1.42	1.61	1.46	1.56	1.51	1.25					-19.8%	-11.97%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.66	1.53	1.46	2.02	1.67	1.45	1.28	1.50	1.60	1.48	1.51	1.52	1.41					-6.6%	-6.00%
Pedestrian Trails																			
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.40	1.33	2.12	2.06	1.98	1.39	1.45	1.37	1.62	1.40	1.59	1.50	1.63					2.5%	18.98%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.95	1.87	1.76	2.33	1.98	1.51	1.15	1.51	1.77	1.76	1.66	1.68	1.55		 		 	-6.6%	2.65%
Pavilion Shelter												1			<u> </u>	ı	I	1 2.070	
	0.00	4.00	4.05	4.44	4.70	4.47	4.44	1 11	4.00	4.45	1 11	1.45	1.40			_		40.00/	40.040/
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.00	1.82	1.85	1.44	1.78	1.17	1.44	1.41	1.83	1.15	1.41	1.45	1.18		ļ		<u> </u>	-16.3%	-16.31%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.38	1.45	1.83	2.22	1.72	1.33	1.25	1.45	1.40	1.15	1.56	1.39	1.33		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-14.7%	-8.28%
Open Green Space																			
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.48	1.40	2.10	1.99	2.00	1.40	1.42	1.49	1.77	1.47	1.58	1.58	1.38		L		L	-12.6%	-7.38%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	2.06	1.75	1.97	2.20	1.99	1.48	1.45	1.74	1.93	1.59	1.40	1.67	1.47					5.0%	-15.52%
Bark Park																			
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.62	N/A	2.25	1.25	2.04	1.47	1.81	1.75	1.66	1.50	2.75	1.92	1.50		1		1	-45.4%	-14.29%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.58	4.25	N/A	2.38	2.74	1.72	1.63	2.41	2.41	1.62	1.45	1.97	1.00		-		 	-31.0%	-58.51%
Basketball Courts															I		<u> </u>	1	
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.04	1.25	1.43	1.35	1.52	1.23	1.08	1.12	1.57	1.32	1.45	1.37	1.20					-17.2%	7.14%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.39	1.37	1.50	2.20	1.62	1.27	1.06	1.42	1.66	1.21	1.28	1.39	1.37		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	7.0%	-3.52%
Sports Courts/Fields																			
Weekday (Monday-Friday)		1.13	1.72	1.63	1.62	1.21	1.12	1.18	1.40	1.30	1.60	1.37	1.10					-31.2%	-6.78%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)	1.71	1.50	1.62	1.94	1.69	1.28	1.14	1.37	1.33	1.50	1.32	1.38	1.35					2.2%	-1.46%
Beach Spoil/Beach Access																	•	•	
Weekday (Monday-Friday)	2.00	2.17	1.92	2.19	2.07	1.80	1.55	1.78	2.05	2.06	2.02	1.98	2.08					2.9%	16.85%
Weekend (Saturday-Sunday)		2.00	2.19	3.22	2.38	1.77	1Hac		018914		1.90	1.83	2.04		 		 	7.3%	29.11%
		2.00		U.LL			T-ac	C TYU	T GPOIL	U					l		l		



Cleanliness Summary for Parks (target = 1.5)

			FY05/0	6		FY14/15	FY15/16		FY16/17						FY17/18				
Parks	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	FY Score	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY Score	% change from prior Qtr	% change from prior FY Qtr
Allison Park	N/A	N/A	1.63	3.33	2.48	1.58	1.37	N/A	2.12	N/A	N/A	2.12	1.25					1.2%	1.2%
Beachview Park	N/A	N/A	3.30	2.25	2.78	1.55	1.03	1.66	1.31	N/A	N/A	1.49	1.50					1.5%	-9.6%
Belle Isle Park	2.38	N/A	2.75	N/A	2.57	1.44	1.91	1.66	1.75	1.08	N/A	1.50	1.41					1.4%	-15.0%
Brittany Bay Park	N/A	2.06	2.12	2.00	2.06	1.96	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Buoy Park (Star Island)	2.67	N/A	1.50	2.50	2.22	1.50	N/A	N/A	2.25	N/A	1.50	1.88	N/A						
Collins Park	2.13	1.00	1.67	1.83	1.66	1.25	1.20	1.50	1.75	1.25	N/A	1.50	1.18					1.1%	-21.3%
Crespi Park	1.88	1.00	1.51	1.71	1.53	1.26	1.35	N/A	1.75	1.31	1.25	1.44	1.21					1.2%	1.2%
Fairway Park	1.61	1.42	N/A	1.96	1.66	1.43	1.26	1.45	1.35	1.18	1.65	1.41	1.42					1.4%	-2.0%
Fisher Park	2.00	1.75	1.94	1.50	1.80	1.38	1.47	1.31	1.62	1.58	1.62	1.53	1.75					1.7%	33.5%
Flamingo Park	1.79	2.00	2.04	2.09	1.98	1.40	1.33	1.64	1.66	1.53	1.65	1.62	1.45					1.4%	-11.5%
Hibiscus Island Park	2.33	N/A	1.50	1.90	1.91	1.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.25					1.2%	1.2%
Indian Beach Park	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.83	1.54	1.52	1.51	N/A						
La Gorce Park	1.31	1.60	1.75	1.38	1.51	1.40	N/A	1.25	2.00	1.25	N/A	1.50	1.50					1.5%	20.0%
Lummus Park	2.21	1.08	1.53	2.14	1.74	1.55	1.67	1.58	1.66	1.75	1.58	1.64	1.61					1.6%	1.9%
Marjory Stoneman Park	2.05	1.00	1.97	2.48	1.87	1.68	1.31	1.66	N/A	1.57	1.75	1.66	1.25					1.2%	-24.7%
Maurice Gibb Memorial Park	2.17	1.08	1.83	2.53	1.90	1.55	1.21	1.33	1.95	2.04	1.33	1.66	N/A						
Muss Park	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.58	1.29	1.41	1.51	1.58	1.50	N/A	N/A	1.54	N/A						
Normandy Isle Park	1.33	1.75	N/A	1.89	1.66	1.27	1.18	1.55	N/A	N/A	1.30	1.43	1.15					1.1%	-25.8%
Normandy Shores Park	1.97	1.00	1.56	2.13	1.66	1.41	1.33	1.62	N/A	1.12	1.50	1.41	1.12					1.1%	-30.8%
North Shore Open Space Park	1.97	2.08	2.38	1.88	2.07	1.61	1.61	2.18	2.08	1.16	1.91	1.83	1.58					1.5%	-27.5%
North Shore Youth Center	1.25	1.48	1.44	1.38	1.38	1.24	1.37	1.37	1.55	1.63	1.25	1.45	1.18					1.1%	-13.8%
Palm Island Park	2.00	N/A	1.83	1.63	1.82	1.17	1.16	1.46	1.40	1.16	1.50	1.38	1.25					1.2%	-14.3%
Parkview Island	N/A	N/A	2.75	2.50	2.63	1.38	1.46	1.14	N/A	1.56	1.12	1.27	1.12					1.1%	-1.7%
Pier Park	N/A	N/A	1.79	2.00	1.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Pinetree Park	2.86	N/A	2.00	1.79	2.22	1.65	N/A	N/A	1.91	N/A	1.33	1.62	1.87					1.8%	1.8%
Poinciana Park	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.54	1.25	1.25	N/A	N/A	1.25	1.25	1.37					1.3%	9.6%
Polo Park	2.28	N/A	2.13	1.50	1.97	1.16	1.13	N/A	N/A	1.56	1.18	1.37	1.37					1.3%	1.3%
South Pointe Park	3.25	3.00	2.58	3.68	3.13	1.26	1.41	1.37	1.87	N/A	2.00	1.75	1.75					1.7%	27.7%
Stillwater Park	1.69	1.21	1.47	1.76	1.53	1.50	1.06	1.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.25	1.50					1.5%	20.0%
Sunset Island II Park	2.00	N/A	2.00	1.67	1.89	1.35	4.50	N/A	1.75	1.50	N/A	1.63	N/A						
Sunset Lake Park Sunset #4	3.00	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Tatum Park	1.69	1.50	1.77	2.06	1.75	1.29	1.12	N/A	N/A	1.20	N/A	1.20	1.25					1.2%	1.2%
Triangle Park	N/A	N/A	1.33	1.50	1.42	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Washington Park	N/A	N/A	2.13	3.67	2.90	1.87	1.63	N/A	2.37	N/A	N/A	2.37	1.37					1.3%	1.3%
Scott Rakow Youth Center	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.43	1.08	1.75	N/A	1.25	1.25	1.42	1.25					1.2%	-28.5%
Altos Del Mar	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.61	1.50	2.50	2.08	N/A	1.41	2.00	N/A						
Botanical Garden	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
MB Soundscape Park	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.37	1.25	1.25	1.87	1.75	1.43	1.58	1.47					1.4%	17.6%

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING CABLERUNNER AND ITS TECHNOLOGY

ANALYSIS:

Multinet Cablerunner LLC (Cablerunner), is a company that specializes in building fiber optic networks without trenching by using existing infrastructure like sewer systems or storm water drains to install fiber networks, allowing for faster installation of fiber networks with competitive cost advantages compared to conventional deployment systems.

At the February 14, 2018 meeting, the City Commission approved a dual referral to the Finance and Citywide Projects Committee and the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee to discuss Cablerunner and its technology. Additionally, to have City staff carry out a comprehensive assessment of its technology and its implementation in the City of Miami Beach, specifically.

CONCLUSION:

The following is presented to the members of the Committee for discussion and further direction.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING A POTENTIAL PARK SHARE PILOT IN MIAMI

BEACH

HISTORY:

At the February 14, 2018 Commission meeting, item was dually referred to the Transportation, Parking and Bicycle Pedestrian Facilities Committee and the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee.

ANALYSIS:

Park sharing is a private parking space rental concept currently in use by cities across the US to alleviate parking concerns in their cities. This concept may be well received by residents and tourists of Miami Beach alike.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking guidance regarding the Park Share concept.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Туре
D	After Action (Feb 14, 2018)	Other
D	Commission Memo	Memo

February 14, 2018 Commission Meeting/Presentations & Awards

11:20:56 a.m.

C4 AG REFERRAL TO THE TRANSPORTATION, PARKING, BICYCLE-PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES COMMITTEE THE AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS A POTENTIAL PARK SHARE PILOT IN MIAMI BEACH.

Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Alemán

ACTION: Item dually referred. Item separated by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez. Motion made by Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez; seconded by Vice-Mayor Alemán. Voice vote: 7-0. Saul Frances and Kathie G. Brooks to place on the Committee Agendas. Saul Frances to handle.

REFERRALS:

Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee

AMENDMENT:

Dually refer the item to the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee and to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee.

Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez asked to refer the item to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee. This item is regarding another pilot program, which is a parking-share program.

Mayor Gelber stated that the item is currently only being requested to be referred to the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee, and Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez is asking for it to be dually referred to both the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee, and the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee.

Vice-Mayor Alemán stated that the item refers to five vendors, not just one. The list of vendors is on page 449 of the Agenda book. She expressed her dilemma with dual referrals because each committee does not have the benefit of the discussion and conclusion reached by the other committee. She does not have an issue with the item going to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee, but she asks that it go to the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee first. The item itself refers to a park-share program in which private parking spots can be rented out and located using mobile applications.

Discussion held.

Commissioner Rosen Gonzalez reminded Vice-Mayor Alemán that in the past, the City Commission had approved several dual referrals to the Planning Board and Land Use and Development Committee, and yet the Vice-Mayor did not state any opposition. She will, however, accept Vice-Mayor Alemán's proposal to have the item referred to the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee first and then to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee. She still believes this is circumventing the regular procedure. She asked the Vice-Mayor why she does not want Commissioner Góngora or herself to hear the item.

Discussion continued.

Mayor Gelber asked the City Commission to address conversations to the Chair.

Commission Committee Assignments - C4 AG

MIAMIBEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Aleman

DATE:

February 14, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO THE TRANSPORTATION, PARKING, BICYCLE-PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS A POTENTIAL PARK SHARE PILOT IN

MIAMI BEACH.

ANALYSIS

Please include in the February 14, 2018 City Commission Agenda, a referral to the Transportation, Parking, Bicycle-Pedestrian Facilities Committee to discuss the possibility of conducting a park share pilot project in Miami Beach.

Park sharing is a private parking space rental concept currently in use by cities across the US to alleviate parking concerns in their cities. This concept may be well-received by residents and tourists of Miami Beach alike, and I would therefore like feedback from the TPBP Committee to gauge interest.

Potential shared parking providers have various platforms and services that should be considered. Below is a list of competitors that could serve our area:

Rover Parking (Toronto - http://roverparking.com/)

SPOT (Boston - previously called Park Easier - http://www.parkeasier.com/)

Pavemint (LA - https://www.pavemint.com/)

CurbFlip (unknown - https://curbflip.com/)

Garage (Vancouver - http://www.garageapp.co/

I include two presentations from two potential pilot providers with whom I have met for additional information.

For additional information, please contact my office at ext. 6437.

Legislative Tracking

Vice-Mayor John Elizabeth Aleman

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING CREATING A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE PARKS

& RECREATION DEPARTMENT AND MIAMI BEACH SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL HONOR SOCIETIES, TO PROVIDE A VOLUNTEER TUTORING PROGRAM FOR RECREATION YOUTH PARTICIPANTS, AS REQUESTED BY THE YOUTH

COMMISSION

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

☐ Exhibit A- Item C4AH February 14, 2018 Commission Meeting Memo

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez

DATE: February 14, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE -

DISCUSS CREATING A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE PARKS & RECREATION DEPARTMENT AND MIAMI BEACH SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL HONOR SOCIETIES, TO PROVIDE A VOLUNTEER TUTORING PROGRAM FOR RECREATION YOUTH

PARTICIPANTS, AS REQUESTED BY THE YOUTH COMMISSION.

ANALYSIS

Please place a discussion on the February 14, 2018 Commission Meeting agenda, regarding the creation of a partnership between the Parks & Recreation Department and Miami Beach Senior High School honor societies, to provide a volunteer tutoring program for recreation youth participants.

On January 16, 2018, the members of the Youth Commission unanimously approved a resolution urging the City Commission to support the creation of the above-described tutoring program. A copy of LTC # 029-2018, containing additional information is attached hereto.

Legislative Tracking

Commissioner Kristen Rosen Gonzalez

ATTACHMENTS:

Description

LTC 029-2018

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

NO. LTC #

LETTER TO COMMISSION

029-2018

TO:

Mayor Dan Gelber and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE:

January 17, 2018

SUBJECT: Youth Commission Motion

The Youth Commission would like you to be aware of the following motions requesting support for a volunteer tutor program and youth job fair, approved at the January 16, 2018 youth commission meeting.

C: Executive Staff

Dr. Leslie Rosenfeld, Chief Learning Development Officer

KGB/I

City of Miami Beach Youth Commission

Meeting of January 16, 2018

Members Present: Itai Beaudoin De Roca, Rachel Edelstein, Hannah Gelber, Sophie

Tenenbaum, and Shira Hadar

Members Absent: Grant Cohen

Motion made by Sophie Tenenbaum Motion seconded by Itai Beaudoin De Roca

Motion Text:

The Youth Commission requests the Miami Beach Mayor and Commission support a partnership between the City of Miami Beach Parks and Recreation department and Miami Beach Senior High School honor societies to provide a volunteer tutor program for recreation youth participants.

The Youth Commission urges the Mayor and Commission to support the above request.

Motion Passage: Votes 5-0

Motion made by Rachel Edelstein Motion seconded by Sophie Tenenbaum

Motion Text:

The Youth Commission requests the Miami Beach Mayor and Commission support a job fair geared towards high school youth living in Miami Beach in partnership with the Miami Beach Chamber of Commerce. This fair would occur in the next few months, for employment beginning in the summer of 2018.

The Youth Commission urges the Mayor and Commission to support the above request.

Motion Passage: Votes 5-0

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSS REGARDING HOLDING A JOB FAIR FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

LIVING IN MIAMI BEACH, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE MIAMI BEACH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, TO ASSIST STUDENTS IN FINDING SUMMER

JOBS, AS REQUESTED BY THE YOUTH COMMISSION

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING POLICE AND PARKING DEPARTMENT TOWING PERMITS TO BEACH TOWING SERVICES, INC. AND TREMONT TOWING, INC.

HISTORY:

On February 14, 2018, the Mayor and Commission approved Resolution No. 2018-30161, approving a one-year extension of the towing permits with Beach Towing Services and Tremont Towing Services, commencing on March 1, 2018 and expiring on February 28, 2019.

In addition to the one-year extension, the City Commission also referred to the towing permit item to the Neighborhoods and Community Affairs Committee (NCAC) to discuss improvement of services and towing yard enclosures.

ANALYSIS:

In 2012, the Administration and Towing Permittees held extensive negotiations and the following enhancements and amendments to the towing permits were approved:

Enhancements:

- a. Uniforms Permittees to provide all employees with uniforms, which shall be approved by the City Manager or his designee.
- b. Employee Drug Screening Permittee to perform drug test screening on all employees, and provide pass/fail results to the City Manager or his designee, upon request.
- c. Driver's License Screening Permittee to perform driver's license screening on all employees with driving responsibilities.
- d. Reduce the storage requirement within the City limits, from seven (7) days to one (1) day.

Technological Improvements:

GPS (Global Positioning System) tracking devices on all vehicles. Any such GPS tracking devices must be accessible to the City for monitoring purposes.

Maximum Allowable Towing Rates:

Fees Non-Resident CMB Resident Class "A" Tow \$140.00 \$115.00

Administrative Fee	\$35.00	\$30.00
Labor	\$30.00	\$25.00
Dollies/Flat Bed Service	\$40.00	\$35.00
After Hour Fee	\$30.00*	\$25.00
Storage Fees (after 8 Hours):	\$30.00	\$25.00
Mileage:	\$6.00 per mile	\$5.00 per mile

* if retrieved 8:00 pm to 8:00 am

Resident Discount:

As noted above, the maximum allowable towing rates approved in 2012 are not applicable to Miami Beach residents. Residents are assessed towing rates in place prior to the 2012 increase. This exemption is referred to as the Miami Beach Resident Discount. The resident discount yields a 20%-22% discount in towing fees for residents, contingent upon the applicable fee.

Stronger Audit Rights:

The City's Auditor and Towing Permittees developed and implement systems, procedures, and controls for the City's financial audits of Permittees' operations pursuant to the Permit.

Other Enhancements:

• Waiver of "DROP FEES"

Drop fees occur when a vehicle owner (or other legally authorized person in control of the vehicle) arrives on the scene of a tow, prior to the removal of the vehicle from the scene, and the vehicle has been engaged (hooked) by the tow truck.

Although Florida Law and Section 106-261 of the City Code allows Permittees to assess a "drop fee" (of not more than 50% of the posted towing rates), both Permittees agreed to voluntarily waive assessment of "drop fees".

• In-Vehicle Cameras

Please note in-vehicle cameras to record the vehicle tow and customer interaction were discussed but not implemented in 2012 as it was not practical in achieving the desired results.

This was subsequently accomplished in the City's deployment of body worn cameras to parking enforcement specialists who are required to activate and record all tows from wrecker arrival to departure.

Towing Yard Enclosure

In regard to enclosures for towing storage facilities, the Permittees provided the following response:

Beach Towing is in the process of exploring design concepts and related costs to build a garage on its site. At this time they are not prepared to discuss any details concerning same or necessary contract terms related to a development the City is asking for to serve a public purpose.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking guidance regarding this item.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 18, AND SECTIONS 2-190.11 THROUGH 2-190.14 THEREOF, ENTITLED "RESERVED," TO CREATE THE "SENIOR AFFAIRS COMMITTEE," AND TO ESTABLISH AND SET FORTH THE PURPOSE, POWERS, DUTIES, COMPOSITION, AND SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT FOR THE COMMITTEE, AND, PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Type
D	Senior Affairs Committee Memo	Memo
D	Exhibit A-1st Rdg. Senior Affairs Committee Ordinance	Other
D	Exhibit B - Senior Affairs Committee Ordinance	Other



City of Miami Beach, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139, www.miamibeachfl.gov

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee

FROM:

Raul J. Aguila, City Attorney

DATE:

March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 18, AND SECTIONS 2-190.11 THROUGH 2-190.14 THEREOF, ENTITLED "RESERVED," TO CREATE THE "SENIOR AFFAIRS COMMITTEE," AND TO ESTABLISH AND SET FORTH THE PURPOSE, POWERS, DUTIES, COMPOSITION, AND SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT FOR THE COMMITTEE. AND. PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Pursuant to the request of Commissioner Michael Gongora, the above-referenced Ordinance was submitted for consideration by the Mayor and City Commission on First Reading at the February 14, 2018 City Commission meeting. At its meeting, the City Commission discussed the Ordinance (Exhibit A), and additional recommendations regarding the composition of the proposed Senior Affairs Committee (Committee) were made and referred to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee (NCAC). The attached revised Ordinance (Exhibit B) incorporates text amendments proposed at the February 14, 2018 City Commission meeting, which are submitted for further consideration and a recommendation by the NCAC.

At its February 14, 2018 meeting, direction was also given by the City Commission to the City Administration to broadcast Committee meetings on MB77 for the convenience of the City's senior community.

This item was placed on the February 21, 2018 NCAC meeting agenda, but was deferred to the March 21, 2018 NCAC meeting agenda.

ORDINA	NCE	NO.	

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 18. AND SECTIONS 2-190.11 THROUGH 2-190.14 ENTITLED "RESERVED," TO CREATE THE THEREOF. "SENIOR AFFAIRS COMMITTEE," AND TO ESTABLISH AND PURPOSE, THE POWERS. FORTH COMPOSITION, AND SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT FOR THE COMMITTEE. PROVIDING FOR REPEALER. AND. SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the opinions and insights of the City's senior citizens are valued by, and are of great importance to, the Mayor and City Commission who wish to obtain input from such citizens on issues and programs affecting the senior citizen community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. That Section 2-190.11 through 2-190.14 of Article III of Chapter 2 of the Code of the City of Miami Beach is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 2 ADMINISTRATION

ARTICLE III. AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

DIVISION 18. Reserved. Senior Affairs Committee

Sec. 2-190.11. Reserved. Established; purpose.

There is hereby established the Senior Affairs Committee which is an advisory board of the City. The purpose of the Senior Affairs Committee is to provide senior citizen residents of the City with the opportunity to represent and articulate the needs of persons 55 years of age and older, to foster increased involvement in the affairs of City government by senior

citizen residents, and to provide recommendations to the Mayor and City Commissioners on issues and programs affecting the City's senior citizen population.

Sec. 2-190.12 Reserved. Powers and duties.

The Senior Affairs Committee shall have the following powers and duties:

- (a) To serve in an advisory capacity to the Mayor and City Commission with regard to issues and programs affecting the City's senior citizen population including, but not limited to, meal programs and transit issues.
- (b) To research, discuss, and formulate recommendations on issues, activities, programs, and concerns of senior citizens residing in the City.
- (c) To provide recommendations regarding existing or proposed legislation, ordinances, resolutions, and policies that impact senior citizen residents in the City.
- (d) To obtain input from other senior citizen organizations in the City and in other cities, counties, states, and countries to collaborate on shared issues and interests and to develop new ideas for senior citizen programs, activities, and initiatives.

Sec. 2-190.13. Reserved. Composition.

The Senior Affairs Committee shall be composed of eleven (11) voting members and one (1) non-voting ex-officio member as follows:

- a) Three (3) at-large appointments by the City Commission.
- b) Eight (8) members shall be appointed as follows:
 - 1) An individual selected by the Board of Directors of Jewish Community Services of South Florida.
 - 2) An administrator selected by the Board of Trustees for Mount Sinai Medical Center who is not the Chief Executive Officer of Mount Sinai Medical Center.
 - 3) A resident of the Four Freedoms House who shall be an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
 - 4) A resident of the Blackstone Apartments who shall be an at-large appointment by the City Commission.

- 5) A resident of Stella Maris House selected by the Board of Directors of Stella Maris House.
- 6) A resident of Rebecca Towers selected by the Board of Commissioners of the Miami Beach Housing Authority.
- 7) A resident of Council Towers North who shall be an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
- 8) A resident of Council Towers South who shall be an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
- c) The chairperson of the Hispanic Affairs Committee, or the chairperson's designee, shall serve as a nonvoting, ex-officio member.

The members referenced in subsection a) above shall be 55 years of age or older or have a demonstrated interest and involvement in senior citizen services, issues, activities, or facilities at the time of appointment.

Sec. 2-190.13. Reserved. Supporting Department.

The supporting department for the Senior Affairs Committee shall be the Office of Housing and Community Services.

SECTION 2. REPEALER.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

<u>SECTION 4.</u> CODIFICATION.

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made part of the Miami Beach City Code. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

This Ordinance shall take effect on the ______ day of ______, 2018. PASSED AND ADOPTED this ____ day of ______, 2018. ATTEST: Dan Gelber, Mayor APPROVED AS TO FORM & LANGUAGE & FOR EXECUTION Underline denotes additions Strikethrough denotes deletions City Aftorney Date

(Sponsored by Commissioner Michael Gongora)

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION," BY AMENDING ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES," BY AMENDING DIVISION 18, AND SECTIONS 2-190.11 THROUGH 2-190.14 ENTITLED "RESERVED," TO CREATE THE "SENIOR AFFAIRS COMMITTEE," AND TO ESTABLISH AND PURPOSE, FORTH THE POWERS. COMPOSITION, AND SUPPORTING DEPARTMENT FOR THE COMMITTEE. AND, PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the opinions and insights of the City's senior citizens are valued by, and are of great importance to, the Mayor and City Commission who wish to obtain input from such citizens on issues and programs affecting the senior citizen community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> That Section 2-190.11 through 2-190.14 of Article III of Chapter 2 of the Code of the City of Miami Beach is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 2 ADMINISTRATION

* * *

ARTICLE III. AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

* * *

DIVISION 18. Reserved. Senior Affairs Committee

Sec. 2-190.11. Reserved. Established; purpose.

There is hereby established the Senior Affairs Committee which is an advisory board of the City. The purpose of the Senior Affairs Committee is to provide senior citizen residents of the City with the opportunity to represent and articulate the needs of persons 55 years of age and older, to foster increased involvement in the affairs of City government by senior



citizen residents, and to provide recommendations to the Mayor and City Commissioners on issues and programs affecting the City's senior citizen population.

Sec. 2-190.12 Reserved. Powers and duties.

The Senior Affairs Committee shall have the following powers and duties:

- (a) To serve in an advisory capacity to the Mayor and City Commission with regard to issues and programs affecting the City's senior citizen population including, but not limited to, meal programs and transit issues.
- (b) To research, discuss, and formulate recommendations on issues, activities, programs, and concerns of senior citizens residing in the City.
- (c) To provide recommendations regarding existing or proposed legislation, ordinances, resolutions, and policies that impact senior citizen residents in the City.
- (d) To obtain input from other senior citizen organizations in the City and in other cities, counties, states, and countries to collaborate on shared issues and interests and to develop new ideas for senior citizen programs, activities, and initiatives.

Sec. 2-190.13. Reserved. Composition.

The Senior Affairs Committee shall be composed of eleven (11) voting members and one (1) non-voting ex-officio member as follows:

- a) Three (3) Two (2) at-large appointments by the City Commission.
- b) Eight (8) Nine (9) members shall be appointed as follows:
 - 1) An individual selected by the Board of Directors of Jewish Community Services of South Florida.
 - <u>An administrator selected by the Board of Trustees for Mount Sinai Medical Center who is not the Chief Executive Officer of Mount Sinai Medical Center.</u>
 - 3) A resident of the Four Freedoms House who shall be recommended by the residents of the Four Freedoms House and ratified an at-large appointment by the City Commission.

- 4) A resident of the Blackstone Apartments who shall be recommended by the residents of the Blackstone Apartments and ratified an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
- 5) A resident of Stella Maris House selected by the Board of Directors of Stella Maris House.
- 6) A resident of Rebecca Towers selected by the Board of Commissioners of the Miami Beach Housing Authority.
- 7) A resident of Council Towers North who shall be <u>recommended by the</u> <u>residents of Council Towers North and ratified</u> an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
- 8) A resident of Council Towers South who shall be recommended by the residents of Council Towers South and ratified an at-large appointment by the City Commission.
- 9) An individual selected by the Board of Directors of UNIDAD of Miami Beach.
- <u>c)</u> The chairperson of the Hispanic Affairs Committee, or the chairperson's designee, shall serve as a nonvoting, ex-officio member.

The members referenced in subsection a) above shall be 55 years of age or older or have a demonstrated interest and involvement in senior citizen services, issues, activities, or facilities at the time of appointment.

Sec. 2-190.13. Reserved. Supporting Department.

The supporting department for the Senior Affairs Committee shall be the Office of Housing and Community Services.

SECTION 2. REPEALER.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

SECTION 4. CODIFICATION.

SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made part of the Miami Beach City Code. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

-	This Ordinance shall take effect on the _	day of	, 2018.
I	PASSED AND ADOPTED this day	of	_, 2018.
ATTES	ST:	Dan Gelber, Mayor	
Rafael	E. Granado, City Clerk		
Double Striketh	ine denotes additions <u>underline</u> denotes additions after First Forough denotes deletions estrikethrough denotes deletions after Fi	J	
(Spons	sored by Commissioner Michael Gongora	a)	

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 82 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "PUBLIC PROPERTY," ARTICLE IV, "USES IN PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY, DIVISION 5, "SIDEWALK CAFES," TO AMEND THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR SIDEWALK CAFE OPERATORS, AND TO FURTHER DROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL PENALTY PROVISIONS FOR SPECIFIED.

REQUIREMENTS FOR SIDEWALK CAFE OPERATORS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL PENALTY PROVISIONS FOR SPECIFIED VIOLATIONS OF THIS DIVISION; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER,

SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

Sidewalk Cafe Ordinance amendments - disclosure Memo

ORDIN	ANCE	NO.	

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 82 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, ENTITLED "PUBLIC PROPERTY," ARTICLE IV, "USES IN PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY," DIVISION 5, "SIDEWALK CAFES," TO AMEND THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR SIDEWALK CAFE OPERATORS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL PENALTY PROVISIONS FOR SPECIFIED VIOLATIONS OF THIS DIVISION; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Ordinance Nos. 2015-3982 and 2017-4082, and in order to prevent deceptive or misleading business practices in the operation of the City's sidewalk cafes, the Mayor and City Commission have amended the City's Sidewalk Cafe Ordinance, codified in Chapter 82, Article IV, Division 5 of the City Code, to require that sidewalk cafes display or disclose (i) prices for food and drink menu items or menu specials; (ii) any automatic gratuity or service charges; and (iii) the amount of all applicable City, County, and State taxes; and

WHEREAS, in order to further promote transparency and reduce the incidence of deceptive or misleading business practices by sidewalk cafe operators, the Mayor and City Commission now desire to adopt the amendments and additional disclosure requirements set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. Chapter 82, entitled "Public Property," is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 82 PUBLIC PROPERTY

ARTICLE IV. USES IN PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY

DIVISION 5. SIDEWALK CAFES

Subdivision I. Generally

* * *

Sec. 82-371. Civil fines and penalties; denial of future permits to repeat violators; enhanced penalties.

(a) [Civil fines and penalties.] The following civil fines and penalties shall be imposed for violations of this division:

- (1) First violation: \$500.00.
- (2) Second violation within the preceding 12 months: \$750.00.
- (3) Third violation within the preceding 12 months: Suspension of the sidewalk cafe permit for one weekend (Saturday and Sunday) and \$1,000.00.
- (4) Fourth violation within the preceding 12 months: Revocation of the sidewalk cafe permit for the remaining portion of the permit year and \$1,250.00.
- (5) Failure to apply for permit: Termination of sidewalk cafe operations until a permit is applied for and obtained.
- (6) Failure to renew permit: Suspension of sidewalk cafe operations until the permit is renewed.
- (b) Enhanced penalties. The following enhanced penalties must be imposed by the city manager:
 - (1) A permittee who has been issued more than four violations pursuant to this division within a permit year shall be prohibited from applying for and obtaining a sidewalk cafe permit for a period of two permit years, following the permit year in which the applicant/permittee incurred the aforestated violations.
 - (2) For life safety violations of this division, site plan violations, and violations of subsection 82-385(v), (w), or (x), the city manager shall be authorized to issue an immediate order suspending the sidewalk cafe permit and operation, and the sidewalk cafe operator must cease operations for at least 24 hours, and until the city manager finds that the violation(s) have been corrected and withdraws the suspension order. The issuance of a notice of violation or an order suspending the sidewalk cafe permit shall raise a rebuttable presumption that a life safety violation, site plan violation, or violation of subsection 82-385(v), (w), or (x) has occurred.
 - (3) For life safety violations of this division, site plan violations, and violations of subsection 82-385(v), (w), or (x), the city manager shall be authorized to commence proceedings, pursuant to section 102-381 of this Code, to suspend or revoke the sidewalk cafe operator's business tax receipt. The issuance of a notice of violation pursuant to this paragraph shall raise a rebuttable presumption that a life safety violation, site plan violation, or violation of subsection 82-385(v), (w), or (x) has occurred.
 - (4) Reporting requirement. The city manager, or the city manager's designee, shall, on a quarterly basis, present the city commission with a written report detailing the city's enforcement activities relating to life safety violations of this division, site plan violations, and violations of subsections 82-385(v), (w), and (x). The report shall include statistics relating to the number of enforcement actions taken against each sidewalk cafe operator, and the outcome of each enforcement action.

Subdivision II. Permit

* * *

Sec. 82-381. Permitted areas; condition permit; city manager's right to remove sidewalk cafes; requirement disclosures on menus, bills, and receipts.

* * *

- (h) A sidewalk cafe must display or disclose, in writing, actual prices for food and drink menu item(s), or display or disclose, in writing, those actual prices and accurate terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s). The displayed price for the food or drink menu item(s) or food and drink menu special(s) (and, if applicable, the terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s)) must be in a size (font) and typeface, which is at least as large as the name of the menu item. Such prices (and, if applicable, terms and conditions) must be displayed adjacent to the name, description, photograph, and/or image of each menu item or menu special, except as may be expressly authorized in writing by the city manager or the city manager's designee. All menu prices must be displayed in numeric format. A sidewalk cafe may not charge a price that is greater than the price displayed or disclosed for any food or drink menu item(s) or food or drink menu special(s). A menu that prominently displays the name of the sidewalk cafe operator; actual prices for food and drink menu item(s) and for food and drink menu special(s); and accurate terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s) must be provided to each sidewalk cafe patron.
- (i) A sidewalk cafe that automatically includes a gratuity or service charge, either, in the price of the meal or drink or separately imposed for all items ordered, must be displayed the actual amount of such gratuity or service charge on the menu and on the face of the customer's bill. This disclosure serves to provide the customer notification that an automatic gratuity or service charge is being included by the sidewalk cafe operator. The disclosure of the gratuity or service charge within the menu must not be smaller than 14-point font, or and the disclosure within the bill must not be smaller than 12-point font.
- (j) A sidewalk cafe operator that includes a gratuity or tip as a charge must separately itemize and state the <u>actual</u> amount of this charge on the face of the customer's bill and receipt, and such gratuity or tip must only be calculated <u>based</u> on the pre-tax sale amount of the food or drinks. A sidewalk cafe operator that includes a service charge, minimum charges, corkage fees, set up fees, <u>sharing fees or charges</u>, or <u>any other</u> similar charges, must itemize and separately state <u>the actual amount of</u> such charges on the face of the customer's bill and receipt. <u>Service charges, minimum charges, corkage fees, set up fees, sharing fees or charges, or other similar charges imposed by a sidewalk cafe operator as <u>part of the charges for furnishing, serving, or preparing food products must be subject to sales tax and surtax.</u> A sidewalk cafe operator must state the total combined percentage and amount of city, county, and state taxes on the face of the customer's bill and receipt, and must label such taxes accurately.</u>

* * *

Sec. 82-382. - Application.

* * *

(b) Application for a permit to operate a sidewalk cafe shall include, but not be limited to, the following information:

* * *

- (16) A sample menu that will be used by the sidewalk cafe to display or disclose <u>actual</u> prices for food and drink menu item(s), or display or disclose <u>those actual</u> prices <u>and accurate terms and conditions</u> for any food and drink menu special(s). The sample menu must display or disclose <u>the actual price for</u> food or drink menu item(s) or food and drink menu special(s) (and, if applicable, the accurate terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s)) in a size (font) and typeface that is at least as large as the name of the menu or food item, and such price (and, if applicable, terms and conditions) must be displayed adjacent to the name and/or description of each menu item or menu special, except as may be expressly authorized in writing by the city manager or the city manager's designee. All menu prices must be displayed in numeric format. The name of the sidewalk cafe operator must be prominently displayed on the menu. Each sidewalk cafe operator shall submit a sample menu each year, which must be approved by the city prior to each renewal of the operator's sidewalk cafe permit.
- (17) An affidavit by the sidewalk cafe that the inclusion of an automatic gratuity or service charge, either, in the price of the meal or drink or separately imposed for all items ordered, will be disclosed on the menu and the face of the customer's bill and receipt, and that the total combined percentage and amount of the city, county, and state taxes will also be stated on the face of the customer's bill and receipt. The affidavit must state that the notification to the customer of an automatic gratuity or service charge and the statement of the total combined percentage and amount of city, county, and state taxes are being included consistent with, and pursuant to, those requirement(s) set forth in subsections 82-381(i) and (j).

* * *

Sec. 82-385. Minimum standards, criteria, and conditions for operation of sidewalk cafes.

* * *

- (v) A sidewalk cafe shall be prohibited from continuing its operation until such time that it displays or discloses, in writing, actual prices for food and drink menu item(s), or displays or discloses, in writing, these actual prices and accurate terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s), consistent with those requirements set forth in Section 82-381(h) and 82-382(16). A sidewalk cafe may not charge a price that is greater than the price displayed or disclosed for any food or drink menu item(s) or food or drink menu special(s). A copy of the menu (including actual prices for food and drink menu item(s) and food and drink menu special(s) and, if applicable, accurate terms and conditions for any food and drink menu special(s)) must be provided to each sidewalk cafe patron.
- (w) A sidewalk cafe that automatically includes a gratuity, or service charge, minimum charge, corkage fee, set up fee, sharing fee or charge, or other similar charge, either in the price of the meal or drink or separately imposed for all items ordered, and fails to properly notify the customer of the automatic gratuity or service charge, is prohibited from operating on the city's property. The notification must be consistent with those requirements set forth in sections 82-381(i) and 82-382(b)(17).
- (x) A sidewalk cafe that fails to separately itemize and state the actual amount of the following:
 - (1) Gratuities or tips;

- (2) Service charges, minimum charges, corkage fees, set up fees, sharing fees or charges, or similar charges, or
- (3) The total combined percentage and amount of city, county, and state taxes, on the face of the customer's bill and receipt,

is prohibited from operating on the city's property. The notification must be consistent with those requirements set forth in subsections 82-381(i) and 82-382(b)(17).

* * *

SECTION 2. REPEALER.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

SECTION 4. CODIFICATION.

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made part of the Miami Beach City Code. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

As applicable to sidewalk cafes located in the mixed use entertainment (MXE) zoning district, this Ordinance shall take effect on July 2, 2018. As applicable to sidewalk cafes located in all other zoning districts in the City, this Ordinance shall take effect on January 1, 2019.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this day of _	, 2018.
ATTEST:	
	Dan Gelber Mayor
Rafael E. Granado City Clerk	
<u>Underline</u> denotes additions Strikethrough denotes deletions	
(Sponsored by Commissioner Mark Samuelian)	

F:\ATTO\KALN\ORDINANCES\Sidewalk Cafe Ordinance amendments - disclosures (NCAC 3-21-18).docx

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND CITY

COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, APPROVING, IN CONCEPT, THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION PROGRAM TO PLACE WAYFINDING SIGNAGE ON THE BEACH WALK

BETWEEN 6TH AND 16TH STREET (SERPENTINE AREA) AND DIRECTING THE

CITY MANAGER TO INVESTIGATE THE COST AND FEASIBILITY OF

IMPLEMENTING SUCH SUGGESTIONS AND TO REPORT FINDINGS TO THE

CITY COMMISSION

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS FOR BICYCLE EVENTS ON MIAMI BEACH ROADWAYS

HISTORY:

This discussion item relates to special permitting requirements for bicycling events that occur throughout Miami Beach. Over the past few years the City has seen an increase in bicycle related events. While some large scale bike rides do go through the permitting process (normally those associated with a charity or foundation) other large-scale bicycle rides were unpermitted and not organized in partnership with the City of Miami Beach. These unpermitted events have subsequently caused a disruption in weekday traffic as well as created indirect public safety hazards for the residents within the City.

The current process for special event permits within the City requires that an applicant apply for a special event permit for any "organized activity" held within the jurisdiction of City boundaries. This permitting process allows for the coordination of City services for all events held on public or private property. As defined by the Special Events Guidelines and Requirements: a City Permit is required for any and all special events. A "special event" is generally defined by the City as a temporary use on public or private property that would not be permitted generally or without restriction throughout a particular zoning district, but would be permitted if controlled with special review in accordance with the permitting guidelines.

A bicycle event which passes through or originates on Miami Beach and utilizes Miami Beach roadways would fall under this definition, therefore requiring a special events permit from the City of Miami Beach.

ANALYSIS:

Unlike other municipalities within Miami-Dade County, the City of Miami Beach has codified guidelines that regulate and enforce the special events process. The special events permitting process is intertwined with other City departments that work closely to monitor, staff, and permit such events.

In planning stages, various City Departments review, approve and staff these events including the critical activity of the Police Department to review and sign-off on the Street Closure form, FDOT application, MOT plan, pre-action plan, and course route. This information is then routed to the Transportation and Public Works Department, where Transportation reviews and approves the MOT Plan (Management of Traffic Plan). The MOT is then routed to the traffic management division in order to closely review the traffic monitoring service. Transportation then routes the Street Closure packet to Public Works for review and approval of the public right of way permit. Once completed and approved by all corresponding departments, the Department of Tourism, Culture and Economic

Development reviews the full permit, approves and routes to the City Manager to sign off for final approval. In most of these cases, specific bicycle permitting requests would need to obtain Commission approval due to the use of State roads such as the McArthur Causeway, Collins Avenue and Alton Road.

CONCLUSION:

Item R9M – Discussion regarding the permitting requirements for bicycle events on Miami Beach roadways was heard by the City Commission on February 14, 2018. The City Commission discussed the item and made a referral to the Neighborhood Community Affairs Committee for further discussion. The Tourism, Culture and Economic Development Department is seeking direction on instituting further permitting requirements for bicycle events on Miami Beach.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Type
D	Peloton Bicycle Event - Nov. 17, 2017	Memo



SPECIAL EVENT: Bicycle event Fri 11/17 7am-11am- EB on Julia Tuttle through #miamibeach and then west on MacArthur- Use caution @MiamiBeachNews #traffic



COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION DIRECTING THE CITY TO REVIEW ACCEPTABLE LIGHTING FOR

SIDEWALK CAFES ON OCEAN DRIVE

HISTORY:

On February 14, 2017, the Mayor and City Commission discussed item No. R9U, entitled, "Discuss directing the city to review acceptable lighting for sidewalk cafes on Ocean Drive" and the Mayor and City Commission referred this item to the Neighborhoods and Community Affairs Committee (NCAC) for discussion.

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking direction with regard to this item.

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE INCREASE IN CRIME IN MIAMI BEACH AND HAVING MORE COMMUNITY POLICING CITYWIDE

ANALYSIS:

ATTACHMENTS:

Description Type

☐ Memo from 2/14/18 Commission Meeting Memo

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission

FROM: Commissioner Michael Gongora

DATE: February 14, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE INCREASE IN CRIME IN MIAMI BEACH AND HAVING MORE COMMUNITY POLICING CITYWIDE.

ANALYSIS

Please place on the February 14, 2018 agenda, a discussion item regarding the increase in crime in Miami Beach and having more community policing in Miami Beach Citywide. Please feel to contact my Aide, Diana Fontani Martinez, for further details at Extension 6722.

Legislative Tracking

Commissioner Michael Gongora

COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NAMING OF NEW BALLROOM FACILITIES IN THE MIAMI BEACH CONVENTION CENTER

KEY INTENDED OUTCOME:

Maximize The Miami Beach Brand As A World Class Destination

HISTORY:

At the March 7, 2018 Commission Meeting, Agenda Item C4I - Naming of ballroom facilities in the Miami Beach Convention Center was referred to the Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee (NCAC) for review and discussion.

ANALYSIS:

As the renovation of the Miami Beach Convention Center nears completion, the highly anticipated new ballroom spaces will become an exciting new addition to the overall center's profile. The Greater Miami Convention and Visitor's Bureau (along with their branding consultant Bruce Turkel), Convention Center Advisory Board, Spectra Manangement, and JLL Consulting Services collaborated on suggested names for the new and improved ballroom spaces.

The suggested names are attached herein as Exhibit A.

Additionally, a site plan showing the ballroom spaces with proposed names indicated is attached here as Exhibit B.

CONCLUSION:

The Administration is seeking approval of the proposed ballroom names for the newly renovated Miami Beach Convention Center.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Туре
D	Exhibit A: Proposed Names	Other
D	MBCC site plans with proposed room names	Other



Miami Beach Convention Center Proposed Room Names

JLL, in collaboration with the MBCC and GMCVB's sales and marketing teams and brand consultants, have developed the suggested room names to reflect a variety of Miami Beach's famous landmarks, history, beauty and spirit of collaboration. The process has included a full session with the sales and marketing teams and two follow up sessions with the senior leadership. The overall consistent feedback was to create names that were unique to Miami Beach and had a "story" that could be told to future meeting planners and attendees.

The team believes these names will enhance the attendees' overall Miami Beach experience by tying their meeting sessions with iconic places, history, creativity and surroundings making the convention portion of their trip as unique as the destination. These room names correlate directly to the destination place-making and themes of inspiration, innovation and possibility that is the new, iconic Miami Beach Convention Center Brand.


The following are the recommendations and a brief description:

Room Name	Description

Ocean Drive Room (in front of Hall A)	Often ranked in the top ten in the US for iconic landmarks and considered a "must see" for visitors.
Lincoln Road Room (in front of Hall D)	Listed as a top ten attraction in the Miami/Miami Beach area with a lively atmosphere for shopping and dining.
Sunset Vista Salon (Specialty Space)	The positioning of this exciting space provides an opportunity to the attendees to experience the sunsets of Miami Beach from inside the Convention Center.
Grand Carl Fisher Ballroom	Cited as one of the "founders" of Miami Beach, adding Carl Fisher's name to the grand ballroom provokes thoughts of a visionary who imagined what is now Miami Beach.
Collaboration Corner (Junior ballroom level 2)	The location of this room enables more consideration in design to allow the attendees to feel creative and inspired to accomplish their goals with their fellow attendees in this section of the new MBCC.

These are the names that are submitted for consideration on behalf of the MBCC, CVB and City staff. Attached is a floor plan with the names associated with the location of the rooms rooms.































COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Neighborhood/Community Affairs Committee Members

FROM: Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE: March 21, 2018

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION REGARDING AN AUTONOMOUS MASS TRANSIT SHUTTLE TEST

PROGRAM IN MIAMI BEACH

ANALYSIS:

Discussion at Committee.