DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: April 5, 2016

FILE NO.: 23224

PROPERTY: 44 Star Island Drive

APPLICANTS: Shay Kostiner

LEGAL: Lot 44 of CORRECTED PLAT OF STAR ISLAND, according to Plat

thereof, recorded in Plat Book 31, at Page 60, Public Records of Miami-

Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The Application for modifications to a previously issued Design Review

Approval for the construction of a new two-story single family home to replace an existing pre-1942 architecturally significant two-story home. Specifically, the applicant is requesting new variances to exceed the maximum allowed elevation within required yards, to exceed the maximum allowed projections within required yards, and to exceed the

maximum building height permitted.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning

Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 1-12 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.

- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings for the proposed new home at 44 Star Island Drive shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The side open space requirement shall be waived as proposed.
 - b. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
 - c. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
 - 2. A revised landscape plan, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
 - b. Trees identified on the 'Existing Tree Survey' and 'Tree Disposition Plan' as #3, #5 and #7 shall be designated to remain in their existing location and be protected. No construction or excavation shall be permitted within the dripline of these trees, and the hardscape plans shall be modified subject to staff review and approval.
 - c. Those portions of the proposed driveway, parking and swimming pool, which are in conflict with the retention of Trees #3, #5, and #7 shall be redesigned in a manner that does not conflict with the retention of such trees, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. The proposed planters along the perimeter of the roof shall be planted with a low planting material that will not exceed 12" above the main permitted height of the structure, in a manner to be reviewed and

- approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- e. New Royal Palms should not be installed within the tree canopy and rooting zone of the existing Ficus trees.
- f. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- g. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in conflict with existing utilities, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department.
- h. Any existing plant material within the public right-of-way may be required to be removed, at the discretion of the Public Works Department.
- i. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- j. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- k. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventors and all other related devices and fixtures. The location of backflow preventors, siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- I. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms. The location of any exterior transformers, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- m. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City

Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s), which were either approved by the Board with modifications, or denied (<u>Underlying</u> denotes new language and <u>strikethrough</u> denotes stricken language):
 - 1. A variance to exceed by <u>0.74'</u> <u>2.24'</u> the maximum allowed elevation of +9.26' NGVD within the required interior side yards in order to construct driveways and including portions of the side yards up to <u>+10.0'</u> <u>+11.5'</u> NGVD. (Modified variance)
 - 2. A variance to exceed by 0.5' the maximum permitted elevation of +10.0' NGVD (Base Flood Elevation) within the rear yard in order to raise portions of the rear yard up to +10.5' NGVD.
 - 3. A variance to exceed by 4'-8" the maximum allowable projection of 6'-0" within required yards in order to construct the finish floor of the house at 13.0' NGVD encroaching up to 10'-8" into the required rear yard.
 - 4. A variance to exceed by 3'-0" the maximum allowable building height of 28'-0" for flat roofs in order to construct the finish floor of a new two-story single family home at 13.0' NGVD and a building height up to 31'-0" as measured from Base Flood Elevation of 10.0' NGVD.
- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in

the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

- C. The Board grants the requested variance(s) and imposes the following condition based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - 1. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
 - 2. Revised site plan drawings shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The proposed circular drive should be reconfigured to significantly reduce its encroachment within the tree canopy and rooting zone of the existing Ficus trees, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
 - b. The access drive and at grade parking within the proposed front yard of the property should be constructed not higher than the existing site elevations.
 - c. Access to garages may be provided in the form of a limited ramp / bridge structure set on piles in close proximity to the home, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
 - d. Existing grade adjacent to ramp / bridge structure should remain unchanged. The area underneath the structure shall be open and unfilled.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. *Design Review Approval* and II. *Variances*' noted above.

- A. During Construction of the new home, the Applicant will maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles existing and entering the site and with an 8'-0" high fence with a wind resistant green mesh material along the front of the property line. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way. All construction vehicles shall either park on the private property or at alternate overflow parking sites with a shuttle service to and from the property. The Applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
- B. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- C. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- D. The Supplemental Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- E. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy, a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- F. The Supplemental Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- G. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- H. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Kostiner Residence", as designed by **Ricardo Bofill** and prepared by **Beilinson Gomez Architects P.A.** signed, sealed and dated February 12, 2016, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20
		DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
		BY:
		DEBORAH J. TACKETT DESIGN AND PRESERVATION MANAGER FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLO	RIDA)	
COUNTY OF MI	•	
	,	owledged before me this day of
0 0		by Deborah J. Tackett, Design and Preservation Manager,
•		Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf
of the Corporation	on. He is personally	known to me.

N	NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:				
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:	()			
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review	Board on	(
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB16\04-05-2016\APR16 Final Orders\DRFT DRB 23224 44 Star Island Dr.APR46.fo.docx					