AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH. FLORIDA. AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH. BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT REGULATIONS," DIVISION 18, "PS PERFORMANCE STANDARD DISTRICT," SEC. 142-"PERMITTED USES," BY RESTRICTING OUTDOOR **ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS** AND **OPEN** ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE R-PS4, RESIDENTIAL HIGH DENSITY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND C-PS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, COMMERCIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the residents of the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," believe their quality of life is being diminished; and

WHEREAS, the noise emanating from entertainment establishments in the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," is the source of the noise pollution in the area and is one of the major influences in the diminishing quality of life in that area of the City; and

WHEREAS, the residents of the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," have petitioned the City Commission to take affirmative action in restoring their quality of life.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA.

<u>Section 1.</u> That Chapter 142, "Zoning Districts and Regulations," Article II, "District Regulations," Division 18, "PS Performance Standard District," is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 142-693. Permitted Uses.

(a) The following uses are permitted in the performance standard district.

Category	1, 2	3, 4	1, 2, 3, 4	
Single-family; townhome; apartment; apartment/hotel	Р	Р	Р	P Apartment/hotel not permitted
Hotel	N	Р	Р	N
Commercial	N	N	Р	Р
				8% of floor area
Institutional	С	С	С	C 1.25% of Floo Area
Accessory outdoor bar counters, provided that the accessory outdoor bar counter is not operated or utilized between midnight and 8:00 a.m.; however, for an accessory outdoor bar counter which is adjacent to a property with an apartment unit, the accessory outdoor bar counter may not be operated or utilized between 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.	N	N However, accessory outdoor bar counters are permitted in oceanfront hotels with at least 100 hotel units in the R- PS4 district.	P*	N
Outdoor entertainment establishments, <u>and</u> open air entertainment establishment, neighborhood impact establishments	N	N However, in the R-PS4 district, these uses are permitted as accessory uses in oceanfront hotels with 250 or more hotel units with access to the establishment only from the interior lobby and not from the street.	Ċ <u>N</u>	N
Neighborhood impact establishments	<u>N</u>	N However, in the R-PS4 district, this use is permitted, as an accessory use in oceanfront hotels with 250 or more hotel units, as a Conditional Use. Access to the establishment shall be only from the interior lobby of the hotel and not from the street.	<u>C</u>	<u>N</u>

P--Main permitted use

C--Conditional use

N--Not permitted

* -- Accessory use only

Floor area in the RM-PS1 district refers to total floor area in project. Commercial uses in RM-PS1 limited to stores and restaurants.

- For purposes of this section, a car wash, filling station and any use that sells gasoline, (b) automobiles or automotive or related repair uses are considered as industrial uses and are not permitted in the redevelopment area.
- For purposes of this section, pawnshops and dance halls and entertainment (c) establishments not also operating as alcoholic beverage establishments and restaurants with full kitchens and serving full meals are not permitted as a main permitted or accessory use in the redevelopment area; however, in the C-PS3 and C-PS4 districts dance halls and entertainment establishments shall be permitted as an accessory use within a hotel of 250 rooms or more as a Conditional Use. with aAccess to the dance hall or entertainment establishment shall be only from the interior lobby of the hotel and not from the street.

Section 2. Repeal

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Severability

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 4. Codification

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made part of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida. The sections of this Ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the work "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

Section 5. Effective Date

This ordinance shall take effect 10 days after adoption. Passed and adopted this 11th day of June . 2003. Approved As To Form And Language

First Reading: May 21, 2003 Second Reading: June 11, 2003

And For Execution

Verified by:

Jorge G. Gomez, AICP Planning Director

Underscore denotes new language Strikethrough denotes deleted language F:\PLAN\\$PLB\draft ordinances\1608 ent estbl in RPS4 & CPS ord.doc(1st version)

CITY OF MIAMI BEACH **COMMISSION ITEM SUMMARY**



^	nd	۵n	20	Ы	Tit	le:

An ordinance of the Mayor and City Commission amending restricting outdoor entertainment establishments and open air entertainment establishments in the Redevelopment Area.

Issue:

Should the City Commission:

- Prohibit outdoor and open air entertainment establishments in the Redevelopment area? 1.
- Allow neighborhood impact establishments in the R-PS4 and C-PS districts as conditional uses? 2.

Item Summary/Recommendation:

Currently, the outdoor entertainment establishments, open air entertainment establishments and neighborhood impact establishments are not permitted in the R-PS 1, 2 and 3 districts. These uses, however, are permitted in the R-PS4 as accessory uses only in hotels with 250 units or more, with access from the lobby and not from the street. The outdoor entertainment, open air entertainment and neighborhood impact establishments are currently permitted in the C-PS 1, 2, 3 and 4 districts as conditional uses.

The proposed ordinance would prohibit the outdoor and open air entertainment except in the R-PS4 as accessory uses in oceanfront hotels and would allow the neighborhood impact establishments in the R-PS4 and C-PS districts as conditional uses.

The Administration recommends that the City Commission approve the proposed amendment.

Advisory Board Recommendation:

At the March 25, 2003 meeting, the Planning Board passed a motion 6-0, with one member absent) recommending that the City Commission approve the proposed ordinance.

Financial Information:

Source of	Amoun	Account Approved
Funds:		
	2	
	4	
Finance Dept.	Total	

City Clerk's Office Legislative Tracking: Mercy Lamazares/Jorge G. Gomez, Planning Department

Sign-Offs:		ger City Manager
Department Director	Assistant City Manag	
Man Armer	1016	L. laider for

T/AGENDA\2003\jun1103\regular\1608 outdoor ent in PS 1st rdg sum.doc

AGENDA ITEM _RSH DATE 6-11-03

CITY OF MIAMI BEACH

CITY HALL 1700 CONVENTION CENTER DRIVE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 33139 www.miamibeachfl.gov



COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

To:

Mayor David Dermer and

Members of the City Commission

From:

Jorge M. Gonzalez J. Pardur (**)
City Manager

Second Reading - Public Hearing

Date: June 11, 2003

Subject:

Restriction of outdoor and open air entertainment in R-PS and C-PS districts.

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT **PERFORMANCE** "PS 18. **REGULATIONS.**" DIVISION STANDARD DISTRICT," SEC. 142-693, "PERMITTED USES," **ENTERTAINMENT** OUTDOOR RESTRICTING BY ESTABLISHMENTS AND OPEN AIR **ENTERTAINMENT** ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE R-PS4, RESIDENTIAL HIGH DENSITY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND C-PS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, COMMERCIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION

The Administration recommends that the City Commission adopt the proposed ordinance as modified on first reading.

BACKGROUND

At the January 8, 2003 meeting, the City Commission held a long discussion which included public participation relative to the issue of noise emanating from clubs and restaurants in the South Pointe area. Among several other locations discussed, more specifically, the Commission talked about the noise emanating from Opium Gardens (f/k/a Amnesia), located on Collins Avenue between First and Second Streets. The end result of this Commission meeting was a directive to the Planning Department to draft an ordinance for review by the Planning Board that would prohibit any more open-air entertainment establishments in the C-PS zoning districts.

ANALYSIS

The following terms are very commonly used in the City Code. They are offered here as a tool to understand how they are used in this report and in the legislation that is being

proposed at this time. These terms are defined terms in the Land Development Regulations of the Code:

Accessory use means a subordinate use which is incidental to and customary in connection with the main building or use and which is located on the same lot with such main building or use.

Entertainment means any live show or live performance or music amplified or nonamplified. Exceptions: Indoor movie theater; big screen television and/or background music, amplified or nonamplified, played at a volume that does not interfere with normal conversation.

Dance hall means a commercial establishment where dancing by patrons is allowed, including, but not limited to, restaurants, alcoholic beverage establishments and entertainment establishments.

Entertainment establishment means a commercial establishment with any live or recorded, amplified or nonamplified performance, (excepting television, radio and/or recorded background music, played at a volume that does not interfere with normal conversation and indoor movie theater operations). Entertainment establishments may not operate between the hours of 5:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., except as provided for under subsection 6-3(3)(b).

Neighborhood impact establishment means:

- (1) An alcoholic beverage establishment or restaurant, not also operating as an entertainment establishment or dance hall (as defined in section 114-1), with an occupant content of 300 or more persons as determined by the chief fire marshal; or
- (2) An alcoholic beverage establishment or restaurant, which is also operating as an entertainment establishment or dance hall (as defined in section 114-1), with an occupant content of 200 or more persons as determined by the chief fire marshal.

Open air entertainment establishment means a commercial establishment which provides entertainment, as defined in this section, indoors or in an enclosed courtyard or area which by its design is open to the outside, thereby enabling the entertainment to be audible outdoors.

Outdoor entertainment establishment means a commercial establishment which provides outdoor entertainment as defined in this section.

Currently, the outdoor entertainment establishments, open air entertainment establishments and neighborhood impact establishments are not permitted in the R-PS 1, 2 and 3 districts. These uses, however, are permitted in the R-PS4 as accessory uses only in hotels with 250 units or more, with access from the lobby and not from the street. The

outdoor entertainment, open air entertainment and neighborhood impact establishments are currently permitted in the C-PS 1, 2, 3 and 4 districts as conditional uses.

The following table lists existing establishments in the redevelopment area, which are licensed as restaurants or a combination of restaurant/bar serving alcoholic beverages until 2:00 a.m. or 5:00 a.m., and some also include a dance and entertainment component in the license:

	ADDRESS	NAME	RESTAURANTS	2:00 a.m.	5:00 a.m.	DANCE/ENT
840	1 ST STREET	DAILY BREAD	X			
124	2 ND STREET	SO FI HIDEAWAY	X			
300	ALTON RD	MONTY'S	Х		X	X
227	BISCAYNE ST	JOE'S STONE CRAB	X			
100	COLLINS AVE	THE ROOM	Х		X	
100	COLLINS AVE	SHOJI SUSHI	X			
100	COLLINS AVE	NEMO	X	X		
124	COLLINS AVE	LA FACTORIA	X		X	
136	COLLINS AVE	OPIUM GARDENS	X		X	X
157	COLLINS AVE	BIG PINK	Х		X	
1	OCEAN DRIVE	PENROD'S	X		X	X
36	OCEAN DRIVE	TAVERNA OPA	X		X	X
150	OCEAN DRIVE	PURE LOUNGE/JOIA	X		X	
161	OCEAN DRIVE	M.B. MARIOTT	X	X		
350	OCEAN DRIVE	GREEN COMET	Х			
444	OCEAN DRIVE	OCEAN FIVE BISTRO	X	X		
455	OCEAN DRIVE	THE SAVOY	Х	X		
1000	SO. POINTE DR	LA PIAGGIA	X	Х		
500	SO. POINTE DR	FLUTE CHAMPAGNE	X		X	
1	WASHINGTON AV	SMITH & WOLLENSKY	X		X	
235	WASHINGTON AV	ODYSSEY	X		<u> </u>	
347	WASHINGTON AV	HOTEL ST. AUGUSTINE		Х		
404	WASHINGTON AV	CHINA GRILL	X	X	 	
411	WASHINGTON AV	CLUB IBIZA	X		X	x
413	WASHINGTON AV	LA LOCANDA	X		 	
419	WASHINGTON AV	L'ENTRECOTE	X		X	X
433	WASHINGTON AV	TUSCANY	X	X		

Of the restaurants listed above, the only one that is presently an outdoor/open air entertainment establishment is Opium Gardens. Monty's has an outdoor stage-like structure that has been approved for some time, thus would not be affected by the proposed regulations. China Grill, Taverna Opa, and Penrod's (except that portion of the

outdoor space that is included in the original lease with Penrod's) have outdoor spaces which could potentially be affected by the proposed regulations.

Presently, the narrowly defined circumstance when the entertainment provided in an outdoor area is played at a volume that interferes with normal conversation, but below a level that would violate the Dade County Noise Ordinance (21-28), qualifies as a Conditional Use. Passage of the proposed ordinance would limit entertainment to background music, amplified or non-amplified, played at a level that does not interfere with normal conversation.

This ordinance addresses only one component of a complex set of issues. The Administration will continue to analyze the development regulations and propose further amendments to fine tune the balance between the interests of the entertainment industry and the quality of life of the City's residential neighborhoods.

Following the direction of the City Commission to ban all outdoor and open air entertainment, the attached ordinance proposes to prohibit outdoor entertainment and open-air entertainment establishments in the R-PS4, where it is currently permitted as accessory use to an oceanfront hotel and in C-PS1, 2, 3 and 4 districts, where it is permitted as a conditional use. The proposed ordinance would allow neighborhood impact establishments in the R-PS4 as accessory use to an oceanfront hotel with 100 rooms or more as well as in the C-PS districts, but only as conditional uses only. The rationale for 100 rooms is derived from Chapter 561 of the Florida Statutes, wherein there is a limit on the number of alcoholic licenses that the State of Florida will issue based on the population of the county. However, Section 561.20(2)(a)1. states that "No such limitation of the number of licenses... shall prohibit the issuance of a special license to any bona fide hotel, motel or motor courtof no fewer than 100 guest rooms in any county having a population of 50,000 residents or greater..." Thus it is apparent that a liquor license could be construed as the rational nexus for a greater size accessory use restaurant in hotels that have 100 or more hotel rooms.

The information shown in the table below was extracted from the current Occupational License database. The four hotels below are the only licensed ones located in the R-PS4 zoning district, which is the district located east of Ocean Drive, south of 5th Street.

ADDRESS	NAME OF HOTEL	NUMBER OF ROOMS
125 OCEAN DR	VILLA LUISA HOTEL	50
161 OCEAN DR	MIAMI BEACH MARRIOTT @ SOUTH B	236
425 OCEAN DR	ARDEN SAVOY PARTNERS, LLC	74
455 OCEAN DR	THE SAVOY ON SOUTH BEACH	40

The Bentley Beach at 101 Ocean Drive is still under construction, but initially proposed with 98 hotel rooms. The Continuum, which is located at the terminus of So. Pointe Drive is

also within the R-PS4 district. The phase 1 of this project is still under construction and initially proposed as a 400-unit condominium building with 180 hotel rooms. This project is also on the oceanfront.

PLANNING BOARD ACTION

At the March 25, 2003 meeting, the Planning Board recommended by a vote of 6-0 (one member absent) that the City Commission approve the proposed ordinance.

CITY COMMISSION ACTION

Although the City Commission could not take any action with regard to the proposed ordinance at the April 30, 2003 meeting, there was a long and vociferous presentation by members of the public in opposition to further regulations of the nightlife industry. In addition, there was a request made by the representative of the Arlington-Savoy hotel to allow neighborhood impact establishments as a conditional use in the R-PS4 zoning district for hotels with 100 rooms or more.

At the May 21, 2003 meeting, the Commission reviewed an ordinance that incorporated the request by the representative of the Arlington-Savoy hotel. Although the Commission approved the ordinance on first reading, requested that it be amended before the second reading public hearing to reflect that outdoor and open air entertainment establishments would not be allowed in the R-PS4 zoning district. The Commission also requested that the revised ordinance reflect that the threshold for hotels in the R-PS4 district that could apply for a neighborhood impact establishment is returned to the original 250 rooms.

CONCLUSION

Pursuant to Section 118-164 (2) a. of the City Code, the City Commission must hold two advertised public hearings on this proposed ordinance, which changes the actual list of permitted, conditional or prohibited uses within the Performance Standards districts. At least one of the public hearings must be held after 5:00 p.m.

The first public hearing must be advertised at least 7 days before the hearing date; the second public hearing must be advertised at least 5 days before the hearing date. The Commission may adopt the proposed amendment by a 5/7ths vote immediately after the second public hearing.

JMG/CMC/JGG/ML

T:\AGENDA\2003\jun1103\regular\1608 outdoor ent in PS 2nd rdg.doc

ORDINANCE	NO	
------------------	----	--

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT REGULATIONS," DIVISION 18, "PS PERFORMANCE STANDARD DISTRICT," SEC. 142-RESTRICTING OUTDOOR USES." BY "PERMITTED OPEN AND **ESTABLISHMENTS ENTERTAINMENT** ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE R-PS4, RESIDENTIAL HIGH DENSITY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND C-PS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, COMMERCIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the residents of the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," believe their quality of life is being diminished; and

WHEREAS, the noise emanating from entertainment establishments in the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," is the source of the noise pollution in the area and is one of the major influences in the diminishing quality of life in that area of the City; and

WHEREAS, the residents of the area known as the "PS Performance Standards District," have petitioned the City Commission to take affirmative action in restoring their quality of life.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA.

<u>Section 1.</u> That Chapter 142, "Zoning Districts and Regulations," Article II, "District Regulations," Division 18, "PS Performance Standard District," is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 142-693. Permitted Uses.

(a) The following uses are permitted in the performance standard district.

General Use	R-PS	R-PS	C-PS 1, 2, 3, 4	RM-PS1
Category Single-family; townhome; apartment; apartment/hotel	1, 2 P	3,4 P	P	P Apartment/hotel not permitted
		Р	Р	N
Hotel	N_	N	P	P
Commercial	N			8% of floor area
Institutional	С	С	Ċ	C 1.25% of Floor Area
Accessory outdoor bar counters, provided that the accessory outdoor bar counter is not operated or utilized between midnight and 8:00 a.m.; however, for an accessory outdoor bar counter which is adjacent to a property with an apartment unit, the accessory outdoor bar counter may not be operated or utilized between 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.	N	N However, accessory outdoor bar counters are permitted in oceanfront hotels with at least 100 hotel units in the R- PS4 district.	P*	N
Outdoor entertainment establishments, <u>and</u> open air entertainment establishment, neighborhoed impact establishments	N	N However, in the R-PS4 district, these uses are permitted as accessory uses in oceanfront hetels with 250 or more hetel units with access to the establishment only from the interior lobby and not from the street.	Ċ÷ N	N
Neighborhood impact establishments	N	N However, in the R-PS4 district, this use is permitted, as an accessory use in oceanfront hotels with 250 or more hotel units, as a Conditional Use. Access to the establishment shall be only from the interior lobby of the hotel and not from the street.	C	<u>N</u>

P--Main permitted use

C--Conditional use

N--Not permitted

* -- Accessory use only

Floor area in the RM-PS1 district refers to total floor area in project. Commercial uses in RM-PS1 limited to stores and restaurants.

- For purposes of this section, a car wash, filling station and any use that sells gasoline, (b) automobiles or automotive or related repair uses are considered as industrial uses and are not permitted in the redevelopment area.
- For purposes of this section, pawnshops and dance halls and entertainment (c) establishments not also operating as alcoholic beverage establishments and restaurants with full kitchens and serving full meals are not permitted as a main permitted or accessory use in the redevelopment area; however, in the C-PS3 and C-PS4 districts dance halls and entertainment establishments shall be permitted as an accessory use within a hotel of 250 rooms or more as a Conditional Use. with aAccess to the dance hall or entertainment establishment shall be only from the interior lobby of the hotel and not from the street.

Section 2. Repeal

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Severability

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 4. Codification

Underscore denotes new language Strikethrough denotes deleted language

F:\PLAN\\$PLB\draft ordinances\1608 ent estbl in RPS4 & CPS ord.doc(1st version)

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made part of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida. The sections of this Ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the work "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

Section 5. Effective Date This ordinance shall take effect 10 day	ys after adoption.
Passed and adopted this day of	, 2003.
	MAYOR
ATTEST:	
City Clerk	Approved As To Form
First Reading:	And Language
Second Reading:	And For Execution MHULL 5-30-03 City Attorney Date
Verified by: Jorge G. Gomez, AICP Planning Director	34

Revised Notice CITY OF MIAMI BEACH NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING



NOTICE IS HEREBY given that a public hearing will be held by the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, in the Commission Chambers, 3rd floor, City Hall, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida, on Wednesday, June 11, 2003, at 5:01 p.m., to consider the following:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT REGULATIONS," DIVISION 18, DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE II, "DISTRICT REGULATIONS," DIVISION 18, "PS PERFORMANCE STANDARD DISTRICT," SEC. 142-693, "PERMITTED USES," BY RESTRICTING OUTDOOR ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS AND OPEN AIR ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE R-PS4, RESIDENTIAL HIGH DENSITY ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE R-PS4, RESIDENTIAL HIGH DENSITY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND C-PS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, COMMERCIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ZONING DISTRICTS, PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Inquiries may be directed to the Planning Department at (305) 673-7550.

INTERESTED PARTIES are invited to appear at this meeting, or be represented by an agent, or to express their views in writing addressed to the City Commission, c/o the City Clerk, 1700 Convention Center Drive, 1st Floor, City Hall, Miami Beach, Florida 33139. Copies of these ordinances are available for public inspection during normal business hours in the City Clerk's Office, 1700 Convention Center Drive, 1st Floor, City Hall, Miami Beach, Florida 33139. This meeting may be continued and under such circumstances additional legal notice would not be provided.

Robert E. Parcher, City Clerk City of Miami Beach

Pursuant to Section 286.0105, Fla. Stat., the City hereby advises the public that: if a person decides to appeal any decision made by the City Commission with respect to any matter considered at its meeting or its hearing, such person must ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. This notice does not constitute consent by the City for the introduction or admission of otherwise inadmissible or irrelevant evidence, nor does it authorize challenges or appeals not otherwise allowed by law.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodation to participate in this proceeding, or to request information on access for persons with disabilities, or to request this publication in accessible format, or to request sign language interpreters, should contact the City Clerk's office at (305) 673-7411, no later than language interpreters, should contact the City Clerk's office at (305) 673-7411, no later than language interpreters, should contact the City Clerk's office via the four days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the City Clerk's office via the four days prior to the proceeding. (800) 955-8771 (TTY) or (800) 955-8770 (VOICE).

Ad #0179R

Horald www.herald.com

THURSDAY, JUNE

5, 2003

7

IN RE: CITY OF MIAMI BEACH COMMISSION MEETING

ITEM NO.: ITEM R5D PUBLIC HEARING

CITY OF MIAMI BEACH COMMISSION MEETING

MAY 21, 2003

ITEM R5C PUBLIC HEARING

RESTRICTION OF OUTDOOR/OPEN AIR ENTERTAINMENT
IN R-PS AND C-PS DISTRICTS

Page 2 1 **COMMISSION MEMBERS:** 2 DAVID DERMER, MAYOR LUIS R. GARCIA, JR., VICE MAYOR 3 SAUL GROSS, COMMISSIONER SIMON CRUZ, COMMISSIONER 4 MATTI HERRERA BOWER, COMMISSIONER JOSE SMITH, COMMISSIONER 5 RICHARD STEINBERG, COMMISSIONER 6 ALSO PRESENT: 7 MR. GOMEZ GONZALEZ, CITY MANAGER 8 MURRAY DUBBIN, CITY ATTORNEY ROBERT E. PARCHER, CITY CLERK 9 MR. GOMEZ GOMEZ, PLANNING DEPARTMENT 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

1 (Thereupon:)

MAYOR DERMER: All right. This is

230 R5C, restriction of outdoor/open air
entertainment in R-PS and CP-S districts,
first reading. Please read the ordinance.

THE CLERK: An ordinance of the
Mayor and the City Commission of the City
of Miami Beach, Florida, amending the land
development regulations of the code of the
City of Miami Beach by amending
Chapter 142, zoning districts and
regulations, Article 2, district
regulations division 18, PS performance
standards; Section 142-693, prohibited
uses.

By modifying the regulations for neighborhood impact establishments in the R-PS4 zoning districts and restricting outdoor entertainment establishments and open air entertainment establishments in the R-PS4 residential high density performance standard and C-PS1, 2, 3, and 4 commercial performance standard zoning district providing for repeal or codification, severability, and an

1 effective date.

MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Jorge, would
you like to present this. Travel mic,
travel mic, we're on travel mic.

MR. GOMEZ: Thanks.

6 MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir.

MR. GOMEZ: I gave the commission a presentation, and I just want to just refresh your minds, so I'm going to go rather quickly, and then just if there's any questions, I'll go about it.

What this map shows is all the areas in the entire City of Miami Beach that allow outdoor entertainment. The green areas, it's allowed as a conditional use. The red areas or the pink areas are the areas of the city that the commission has already made a determination that outdoor entertainment is not appropriate within the context of those areas.

And generally it's because these are areas that allow hotel development but they're in smaller less -- less intensive areas of the city that are surrounded by more residence -- multifamily residential,

so you've excluded them from areas such as
Indian Creek Drive, the area around Collins
Avenue and 63rd Street on the
non-oceanfront side, the areas of -- in
between Indian Creek and Collins between
24th and 44th Street, the area around the
museum district and the area around West
Avenue.

You've also excluded them already, outdoor entertainment is not allowed in the South Pointe area in all of the residential zone areas.

The yellow areas in this map are the commercial districts in South Pointe, which is the subject of the proposed ordinance.

The proposed ordinance would also eliminate outdoor entertainment in all the commercial districts in South Pointe, which is the area south of 6th Street. It's the 5th Street corridor basically, and then the commercial corridor that's between Biscayne Street and 1st Street from basically Alton to Collins Avenue.

The other part of the ordinance which came up as a request at the last

public hearing, Mr. Bercow pointed out that neighborhood impact establishments, now, these are indoor entertainment venues that go above a threshold, and it's either a restaurant with 300 occupancy or a restaurant with entertainment of 200 occupancy or greater are designated as neighborhood impact establishments, and they are -- they are listed still as conditional uses in all the commercial areas, those yellow areas on the map, but they were -- currently the existing regulations does not permit them in the residential areas unless it's associated with a hotel with 250 units or more.

Mr. Bercow came to you and suggested that perhaps that ought to be modified.

We did some research and modified the ordinance, and we're proposing that the -you can leave the neighborhood impact establishments as accessory to hotel through a conditional use approval in the R-PS3 and 4 areas if the hotel has a minimum of 100 units.

The 100 unit count comes from a

1	state statute that we found that requires a
2	different type of liquor license. It's one
3	of the thresholds that the state uses for
4	liquor licenses. So there's those two
5	components of the proposed ordinance, one
6	deals with just the outdoor entertainment
7	in the commercial districts, which would
8	ban them, this would be this would
9	prohibit them, and it changes the for
10	oceanfront hotels, it changes the standard
11	in the R-PS4 district, I misspoke earlier
12	when I said R-PS3 and 4, it's just the
13	R-PS4, which is the oceanfront district,
14	you can have a neighborhood impact
15	establishment, that's just an indoor
16	establishment, if the hotel has 100 units
17	or more.
18	That, in essence, is the gist of
19	this ordinance. If there's any questions,
20	I'll gladly answer them now.
21	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Any questions
22	from the commission?
23	Hearing none, I have a motion to
24	open the public hearing. Public hearing is
25	now open. Can we please remove that board,

hearing.

opportunity to voice our concerns in this

24

25

	Page 9
1	MAYOR DERMER: Solidad, hang on one
2	second. I did misspeak. It's two minutes
3	for every speaker.
4	MS. AWAAD: Okay.
5	MAYOR DERMER: I said take your
6	time, but so we can make our way through
7	the volume of speakers.
8	MS. AWAAD: Yes.
9	MAYOR DERMER: If you can do
10	it within two minutes, I'd appreciate it.
11	MS. AWAAD: Yes, I can.
12	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you.
13	MS. AWAAD: I would like to read an
14	email that my husband sent to you a few
15	days ago. His name is Robert Awaad, and
16	unfortunately he couldn't come today
17	because of his work, so let me read this to
18	you.
19	"We are new residents living at 1000
20	South Pointe Drive at the Murano at
21	Portofino building in the residential
22	neighborhood of South Pointe. My wife
23	Solidad," that's me, "is giving birth to
24	our first child next month. It will be the
25	first baby born at the Murano Portofino,

1 and we hope not the last one.

Our friends think it's completely insane that we plan to continue living in South Beach after our daughter is born. They recommend we move to a more quiet oriented places like Key Biscayne or Coral Gables. This is not what we would like to do, because we like living at Miami Beach, being close to the cinema, to restaurants, and the beach.

However, if this ordinance is not passed, the message from the commission will be very clear, South Pointe at South Beach is not a place for working families with kids that need to sleep at night, therefore, we're in full support of the ordinance approved by the planning board that bans outdoor entertainment in commercial zones and nightclubs in residential zones.

Please respect this growing neighborhood and our growing family by ensuring that this ordinance is passed. We hope you prove our friends wrong. Sincerely, me and my husband."

1 MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, Solidad 2 for your comments. Let us move to Frank 3 Del Vecchio, please. Welcome, Frank. MR. DEL VECCHIO: Frank Del Vecchio, 4 5 31 Ocean Drive. I will be brief, and what 6 I say is really directed not only to the 7 commission and the residential community, but to our community, our business 9 community, and our nightlife community. 10 let me -- let me say it in a non-lawyerly 11 kind of way. 12 People are upset by change. We --13 we live -- we're living in a time of 14 change. The country is changed, South 15 Beach has changed, and the South Pointe 16 area has totally changed due to residential 17 development. This is an extremely positive 18 change. It is one to be supported, and 19 it is one that the planning board 20 recognized should be supported. It's good 21 planning to have a vital residential area 22 with more investment in it. 23 The economic engine for South Beach

24

25

walking environment, and nightlife, but the economic downturn complicated by 911 poses a new challenge. What is being handed the business community in Miami Beach and South Beach is a new engine of economic growth, which is to the good. It is residential development.

Let me give you just a few quick facts. Seven towers, the seven towers on the perimeter that we didn't want to see blocking the sun, well, they're there and they will be completed soon. Together with The Courts, a redevelopment project at -- lower down, contain and will eventually contain 2,707 condominium units representing about \$1.35 billion in taxable valuation.

That brings in 35 million in county and city tax revenues, about \$15 million to the City of Miami Beach each year. People paying an average of \$13,000 per year -- I just have a quick paragraph.

MAYOR DERMER: Sure, go ahead.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: In real estate -in real estate taxes alone are spenders,

	Page 13
1	and they do not require costly city
2	services. Good planning to support this.
3	There is absolutely no economic reason for
4	the nightlife industry to fight
5	residential. They are fighting what is a
6	solution to their problem. The new
7	restaurant the new residents frequent
8	the restaurants and businesses, they
9	contribute to the community in many ways,
10	all they are asking is that South Pointe
11	remain residential and that they can get a
12	night's sleep.
13	Outdoor entertainment is
14	incompatible with the residential
15	neighborhood of the area. Clubs in the
16	area should open and operate indoors and
17	we will all prosper. Thank you.
18	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir.
19	COMMISSIONER BOWER: May I ask,
20	Frank, that the new thing that came up with
21	that Jorge Gomez about the hotels issue,
22	the new
23	MR. DEL VECCHIO: The hotel
24	COMMISSIONER BOWER: The new
25	language that you (Inaudible.)

1 MR. DEL VECCHIO: Yeah, big --2 big -- big hotels in the old -- the old 3 ordinance, the current ordinance, and this 4 provision, we think, should not change, permitted a big hotel of 250 more rooms on 5 6 the ocean to have an indoor nightclub, and 7 that's what the planning board approved, they approved an ordinance to keep out new 9 entertainment outdoors in the commercial 10 zones, but they retained that. 11 What Mr. Bercow presented really 12 before the gavel was -- just about when 13 it was going to ring down on the last April 14 30th meeting was to reduce it so that 15 smaller hotels of 100 rooms could have 16 nightclubs indoors, and that's a mistake. 17 We think -- we think you should delete it, 18 you should go back to the ordinance that 19 we discussed extensively before the 20 planning board. 21 MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir. 22 MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you. 23 MAYOR DERMER: I appreciate it. 24 us go now to Kent Harrison Robbins followed 25 by Ruth Remmington. Welcome.

MR. ROBBINS: Good afternoon, Kent Harrison Robbins. I represent 301 Ocean Drive, a pedestrian family condominium on the ocean where the men are strong and the women are good looking, and I'm speaking, perhaps, not just for that condominium association but for the interests of residents, and we all know that residents are first in this town, and we have to respect them, and this ordinance is proposed by planning board and was approved by the planning board 6-0.

It's an excellent ordinance and a step to ensure compatibility between residential use and nightclub and entertainment use, and I think that it's wonderful that we have a planning board that who -- which is designated under the Growth Management Act to review the law and make determinations.

What concerns me about what's in front of you today is that change that occurred at the last minute at an improperly noticed hearing where it was slipped in that from -- instead of a

250-unit requirement in order to have a nightclub, they reduced it to a 100-unit hotel, expanding the potential of nightclubs and entertainment along the -- in the hotel areas, the smaller hotel areas, increasing the amount of nightclubs an aggravating the very problem we're trying to solve.

Now, it's almost as though there was a poison pill put into there, but what was the most irresponsible thing about it was we have plans and -- we have procedures in this town, and we have procedures under our charter, under the Growth Management Act to go to the planning board, and the planning board said 250 units, and it was slipped in by a lobbyist at the very last minute to 100 units. That's the only problem in this ordinance.

Otherwise, he's great, and it's a great step, and I want to tell you, this is not going to impact lawful businesses in place already. What this does is prevent additional aggravation and additional businesses that would adversely affect the

1 community -- the residential community.

minute.

There's no way that it can legally take away rights that are already there, but what it can do is prevent further noise problems. That's all this is about.

I strongly urge you to pass the ordinance that was approved by the planning board without the amendment that was placed in by Mr. Bercow and Mr. Milberg at the very last

MAYOR DERMER: Thank you for your comments. Jorge, you wanted to make a comment.

MR. GOMEZ: Yeah, I just want to clarify one thing. It's -- it's not -- there's already a section of the code that was passed in 1994, '95, that doesn't allow dance halls and entertainment establishments, the nightclubs, in the South Pointe area. So we're talking about, again, the exemption that the city commission has created for restaurants with entertainment.

So it could not be a stand-alone nightclub that would be allowed in these

	Page 18
1	hotel. It would have to be a restaurant
2	with the entertainment component, which
3	means it has an occupancy load of 200 or
4	more.
5	MAYOR DERMER: Okay.
6	MR. GOMEZ: And it would require a
7	conditional use approval if the hotel had
8	(Inaudible.)
9	MAYOR DERMER: Question.
10	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Can you repeat
11	what you just said.
12	MR. GOMEZ: There's no more
13	there's currently no more.
14	COMMISSIONER GROSS: No, nightclub
15	is not a permitted use in South Pointe, and
16	it hasn't been since 1994, standalone
17	nightclub not permitted, hasn't been for
18	ten, nine years.
19	MR. GOMEZ: Correct.
20	MR. ROBBINS: But what this does
21	is is and what this does clearly is
22	allow restaurants
23	MR. GOMEZ: Restaurants (Inaudible.)
24	MR. ROBBINS: to have
25	entertainment or dance halls, and after two

	rage 19
1	o'clock in the morning, we know what those
2	become, and those become functionally
3	nightclubs, and that's the loophole that
4	would have been open should this poison
5	pill proposed by Mr. Bercow be allowed in
6	this ordinance.
7	It was never discussed and never
8	considered by the planning board, and you
9	should not consider it here.
10	MAYOR DERMER: I thank you for your
11	comments. Do you have a question,
12	Commissioner Smith?
13	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yeah, Kent, let
14	me ask you a question. Come back, if you
15	could. Do you have any objections to a
16	restaurant operating in hotels with 100
17	units or more?
18	MR. ROBBINS: I don't think that's
19	an issue, the hotel. What happens, though,
20	you open the door up to the entertainment
21	part of it.
22	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Just on the
23	issue with the restaurant, do you have any
24	problem with the restaurant?
25	MR. ROBBINS: No, in fact, I think

1 it's fabulous what's been going on in South 2 Pointe. I think restaurants like Nemo's 3 have been an example of what should happen 4 in South Beach and throughout Miami Beach. I'd welcome it in North Beach and Middle 5 6 Beach to have such a high quality 7 restaurants. What we're concerned about is the 9 opening up the door through this side --10 through the side door of (Inaudible.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SMITH: How do you -how do you close that loophole? How do you
allow a restaurant without turning into a
nightclub at two o'clock in the morning?
Can that be done?

MR. ROBBINS: Well, what you can do, is you're going to have to modify some -- some definitions, because right now what you're allowing, a neighborhood impact establishment means an alcohol beverage establishment or restaurant which is also operating as an entertainment establishment or dance hall.

So what you would have to do, then, is then make a specific specification, and

I don't know if you can do that without

changing the other part the ordinance.

MAYOR DERMER: Let's hold that is:

MAYOR DERMER: Let's hold that issue until after we have the public hearing.

5 MR. ROBBINS: Well, let me answer 6 this here.

MAYOR DERMER: Very briefly, because

I want to get through this hearing tonight.

MR. ROBBINS: Okay. What you can do is possibly, and I think Jorge is going to have to and Gary is -- Mr. Held is going to have to help us with this, is you may be able to craft certain language in there that prohibits any type of dance or entertainment establishment within those restaurants, but right now the language does not permit that, but we can -- we can do that, and maybe it can be done at this hearing or maybe we'll just have to bring this back by a separate ordinance.

MAYOR DERMER: Just -- just think about it, and as we go through the whole process, see if you can come up with language that would allow a restaurant without allowing a nightclub after two

	Page 2
1	o'clock.
2	MR. ROBBINS: That's correct.
3	COMMISSIONER BOWER: But if we don't
4	get it today, can that go as an
5	amendment
6	MAYOR DERMER: Sure, let's just read
7	it.
8	COMMISSIONER BOWER: and then go
9	through the right channel of going that
10	specific issue, to the planning board and
11	through
12	MR. ROBBINS: You're right Matti.
13	You're right, Matti.
14	COMMISSIONER BOWER: You know,
15	it shouldn't be done like that here,
16	it should be done the right way, the way
17	that this was done and let the boards, you
18	know, come up with language.
19	MAYOR DERMER: Well, at the time
20	that we have discussion at the end, this
21	can be amendment amended, or if there's
22	a portion of it you don't want for further
23	review, you can do that.
24	Yes, sir.
25	MR. GOMEZ: Yes, and I know you want

1 to get the public testimony gone. 2 MAYOR DERMER: Yeah. 3 MR. GOMEZ: There is another 4 ordinance that the planning board is working on. They decided to hold off on 5 until June, and they want some further 6 7 studies done, but in that particular ordinance, although it was not going to 9 include hotels, restaurants that were in 10 hotels but rather standalone restaurants 11 that became nightclubs after a certain 12 hour, if they were in residential 13 districts, which the R-PS4 is one or within 14 close proximity within a residential 15 district, they would then have to abide by 16 all the regulations of a nightclub. 17 In the case of a district where 18 nightclubs are already not allowed, then 19 the restaurant would have to close at 2 20 That was a very contentious ordinance a.m. 21 that the planning board held some 22 discussion already on, and but they put 23 that off until their June meeting. 24 Thank you, sir. MAYOR DERMER: 25 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Mr. Mayor.

1 Yes, commissioner. MAYOR DERMER: 2 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: In view this is 3 going to be a workshop with the hotels, 4 wouldn't this be prudent to bring that as 5 an issue to our workshop? 6 MR. GOMEZ: That's exact -- I mean, 7 that was one of the things that I was going to do, to take the opportunity, the 9 workshop that's going to be created 10 sometime in mid-June and talk about, beside 11 all these outdoor noise issues and all 12 that, I wanted to talk about that 13 ordinance. I thought that would be another 14 forum to discuss that ordinance and have 15 some further feedback. 16 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Let me ask you, 17 you know, there seems to be a number of 18 ordinances coming out of the planning 19 board. I mean, how did they get to the

you know, there seems to be a number of ordinances coming out of the planning board. I mean, how did they get to the planning board, how did they get presented -- excuse me, Mr. Gross -- is the planning board a legislative body now or are they making laws for all of us or do we still run a commission up here?

MR. GOMEZ: First of all, the

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 planning board is an advisory body.

2 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Okay.

MR. GOMEZ: And they have standing to make recommendations for proposed ordinances. This ordinance that you're hearing today came out of the commission. Obviously, all those type of ordinances require the recommendation of the planning board, but it originated here.

The ordinance about the restaurants that operate as nightclubs after a certain hour, that was held as a discussion item with the planning board to deal with some of the quality of life issues, and they asked us to -- actually, it was a slightly different ordinance which we were dealing with which the planning board did not like, and they came up with this alternative ordinance. Again, it will be just a recommendation that's brought to you.

VICE MAYOR GARCIA: My concern is a lot of the -- a lot of legislation -- a lot of legislation seems to be coming from the planning board (Inaudible) through this commission, and ultimately --

	Page 26
1	MR. GOMEZ: (Inaudible.)
2	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Hear me out.
3	Ultimately, you know, and in the past,
4	we had given some of our land boards some
5	powers at the expense of commission
6	decisions, you know, and I think they're
7	coming back to bite us in the rear end.
8	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Well, let me
9	chime in on that, because we had a meeting,
10	a lot of these same people were here,
11	because I put it on the agenda in January,
12	which is now five months ago, and the whole
13	idea of prohibiting outdoor entertainment
14	in South Pointe came out of that two and a
15	half hour discussion, and we asked the
16	planning board to draft an ordinance to
17	implement what this commission all agreed
18	in a 7-0 vote that we wanted to do.
19	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: But that's
20	I'm referring to the other to the other
21	ordinance that's going through the planning
22	board right now.
23	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Right, that -
24	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I have no
25	problems with the way this ordinance was
1	

1 referred, the other one is the one I'm 2 concerned. 3 COMMISSIONER GROSS: That one 4 actually was discussed at the land use 5 committee meeting, I believe, only in 6 relation to South Pointe. It did get 7 broadened by the planning board, but at the land use committee meeting, I think you 9 chair it, we had a discussion -- or maybe 10 it was neighborhoods, I'm not sure which 11 one. 12 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I don't remember 13 being on the land use, but I --14 COMMISSIONER GROSS: Well, we had a 15 discussion --16 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I'm also there, 17 too, so --18 COMMISSIONER GROSS: -- about the 19 fact that nightclubs are not permitted 20 under the zoning code right now in South 21 Pointe, and somehow nightclubs were ending

up operating after two o'clock in the

morning under the guise of restaurants, and

that -- that was discussed by the land use

committee, and that was referred also to

22

23

24

25

1 the planning board.

MR. GOMEZ: Let me -- yeah, let me just go ahead and clarify that one point. The ordinance, the format that we discussed it had to do with a rational nexus between the size of the hotel room and the allowed accessory restaurant.

We were finding that, for example, you could have an 8-unit hotel room with 150 seat restaurant. So that was sort of the genesis, and I believe that was the ordinance that we discussed at land use.

When we got to the planning board with that proposed ordinance which was referred to the commission by the planning board, a lot of the restaurant industry folks came out and -- and thought that that was not the proper mechanism. The planning board agreed with them, that they thought that that was not how you had to control the issue.

After further discussion, we came up with the idea that it was those restaurants that were exempt from the nightclub regulations were perhaps something

	Page 29
1	we should focus, and it was drafted so
2	narrowly when we did discuss it at the
3	planning board, that of the 60 restaurants
4	that had that were operating past 2 a.m.
5	with the entertainment-like components,
6	only, I think, like 10 percent were going
7	to be affected if the ordinance had been in
8	place at the time that they were
9	(Inaudible.)
10	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: But how many of
11	the hotels came on board, because that
12	that seems to be the big concern
13	(Inaudible)?
14	MR. GOMEZ: (Inaudible) ordinance?
15	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: No, no, on the
16	other ordinance, the ordinance with the
17	that's going through the planning board
18	right now with the restaurants?
19	MR. GOMEZ: The hotels are not
20	involved.
21	MAYOR DERMER: Let us let us
22	continue with the public hearing
23	if we could. Let's focus on where we're
24	at. All right. Let us go.
25	Ms. Remmington, Ruth, welcome, and Ruth

1 will be followed by Enrique -- Enrique 2 Bargioni. 3 Go ahead, Ruth. 4 MS. REMMINGTON: Hi, I'm Ruth I live at 1000 South Pointe 5 Remmington. 6 Drive. Thanks for listening. Contrary to 7 the spin this ordinance is getting, it's not about residents versus the nightclubs. 9 My husband and I both work in the 10 travel business, and we get how a tourist 11 destination survives. We would never 12 support an ordinance that tried to 13 eliminate nightlife or make clubs close at 14 2 a.m., or other falsehoods that are being 15 promoted to distract us from the issues at 16 hand. 17 This or answer is about giving 18 residents the ability to live in our homes 19 in a really specific area of South Beach. 20 It's about allowing residential 21 neighborhoods to evolve and improve rather 22 than decline and deteriorate. 23 The South Pointe Redevelopment Plan

seems to be a big success, and you guys

should feel really good about that, but you

24

25

have to pony up and govern based on the fact that South Pointe was planned as a residential neighborhood. I think you should think of this ordinance as catch-up urban planning. I think that's the way that the planning board probably saw it, and I'm also sort of surprised that the nightlife industry would put itself -- pit itself against an ordinance that's really only asking for future clubs to have a roof and only if they decide to open up in South Pointe, and it seems kind of like a weird fight to take on.

There's nothing hypocritical about wanting to sleep at night in an apartment that you bought that wasn't near outdoor clubs when you bought it. The ordinance still allows new clubs to open up in South Pointe, they just need to have a roof.

If the nightclub guys feel my
hankering for a roof is a special interest,
they should wake up and smell the coffee,
because South Pointe is not the wild west
anymore. It's filling up with people who
work, people who vote, and people whose

1 kids go to schools in the neighborhood.

I think if you enact this ordinance, you create a win-win. You get to keep the revenues from the businesses that are already here, you get the political and the financial support of a huge wave of new residents, you -- because of that new wave of new residents, other businesses survive besides nightclubs, restaurants, retail, commercial, and that ensures that residential and the business tax base grows and continues to grow.

For the record, I'm not retired.

This is not about a bunch of the retirees fighting nightlife. Every time I show up at one of these meetings, I have to take time off of work. Most of my neighbors couldn't be here because they couldn't take time off in the middle of the workday, although they'll probably be here now, and I just want you to do your job so we can all go back to doing ours and paying our mortgages. Please do the right thing. Please enact this ordinance.

Thank you, Ruth.

MAYOR DERMER:

	rage 33
1	Enrique Bargioni followed by
2	it says here Deborah Reece, a letter to be
3	read by Marianne Del Vecchio, and you've
4	got two letters, Marianne, right?
5	MS. DEL VECCHIO: Yeah.
6	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Well, as soon
7	as we finish with Enrique, then we'll call
8	them.
9	MS. DEL VECCHIO: Okay.
10	MAYOR DERMER: Go ahead, welcome,
11	sir.
12	MR. BARGIONI: Good afternoon.
13	Thank you for the opportunity, Mr. Mayor.
14	I'm Enrique Bargioni. I live on 1000
15	Venetian Way, and I'm here representing the
16	Venetian Causeway Neighborhood Association,
17	which as you know part of it belongs to the
18	City of Miami and part of it is the islands
19	which belong to the City of Miami Beach.
20	I'm I'm not a lawyer, and
21	therefore, the only thing I want to present
22	very quickly is real life examples of what
23	can happen when noise is out of control,
24	and the example that I have happened on
25	April 19th as a result of the opening of

Parrot Jungle, which as you know is across from where we live.

There was an outdoor event which started roughly around 9 p.m., it went on until 5 a.m. Okay? And let me delineate the human impact, the valuation impact, and the impact on the resources of this city as well.

Number one, there were senior citizens and very good voters who did not sleep that night and did not go to church as a -- in the following morning as a result of being exhausted can from lack of sleep. There were also convalescent people who were unable to sleep.

Number two, the following morning,
Sunday morning, there was a prospective
buyer for one of the units. As part of his
due diligence, he normally asked the
residents, you know, what are the problems
that you see down the road. The residents
said, look, be careful, because we have
noise around there. What did the
perspective buyer did? He said let me see
what happens.

	1436 33
1	Finally, there were no less than 40
2	calls to the City of Miami and, I believe,
3	to the City of Miami Beach police in order
4	for them to attend to this matter, and I do
5	not think that in this time of stretched
6	resources, that is the best way for the
7	police to spend their time. Thank you very
8	much.
9	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir.
10	Okay. Marianne, you're going to read us a
11	letter, a couple of letters. They're brief
12	letters, I take it?
13	MS. DEL VECCHIO: Very brief.
14	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. From Deborah
15	Reece and Jean Mochridge, welcome.
16	MS. DEL VECCHIO: You know, these are
17	two women who couldn't be here because they
18	couldn't get away from work, and Deborah
19	Reese is the senior vice president and
20	general counsel of the restaurant services
21	to I won't give the address. Okay. She
22	is a resident. I'm cutting it down a bit.
23	She's a resident at Murano at
24	Portofino at 1000 South Pointe. She's in
25	favor of this ordinance, the proposed

1 ordinance. She writes:

"Prior to taking occupancy of my unit at 1000 South Pointe Drive, I lived at South Pointe Towers at 400 South Pointe Drive. I felt I had no alternative but to move due to the noise and disruption caused by the Nikki Beach club.

I would be tremendously disappointed should you fail to read the ordinance referred to above at Wednesday's meeting and should you fail to fully support it going forward. My work at restaurant service prevents me from attending the 2:30 meeting. I sincerely hope that you will represent my interests and the interests of the other residents in the neighborhood since I cannot be there."

And this letter is from Jeanie
Mochridge is who is a realtor at Majestic
Properties:

"I'm a resident living at 1000 South
Pointe Drive, Murano. I'm in full support
of the ordinance." Cutting down here.
"Please respect my right to have piece and
quiet in my neighborhood and pass this

Page 37

	Page 37
1	ordinance. As a side note, many of my
2	clients are selling their properties at
3	Murano and Portofino because of the noise
4	factor and urban weekends and lack of the
5	police patrols in the South Pointe area.
6	Three of my clients have recently been
7	mugged. My work prevents me from attending
8	the 2:30 meeting on Wednesday. I hope you
9	will represent my interests as well as my
10	clients who have bought property in the
11	area."
12	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, Marianne.
13	All right. I've got Joe Del Vecchio who
14	wants to read another letter. Now, we're
15	not going to do this anymore. Okay?
16	This Joe, you're the last one with the
17	letter reading. If you want to send this
18	letter, send it, because we'll make it part
19	of the record, but in the interest of time,
20	this will be our last letter.
21	MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you.
22	MAYOR DERMER: Go ahead.
23	MR. DEL VECCHIO: I'm reading a
24	letter from Josh White:
25	"Dear Mayor Dermer and

commissioners, I live at 1000 South Pointe Drive, and I'm writing in support of the ordinance on outdoor entertainment in commercial zones in South Pointe and nightclubs in residential zones.

My wife and I moved to South Beach wanting a diverse, lively, and interesting city to live in. While we could have moved almost anywhere, the attractions of Miami Beach, including its nightlife, were a big factor. My support of this ordinance is not anti-nightclub, I simply want to be able to enjoy the inside of my apartment as I wish without being blasted by noise.

This seems like a simple request,
and laws to support this are the least
I expect from my legislators, relying on
the very difficult to enforce county noise
ordinance is not practical and simply drags
on while the noise violations continue.

In addition, I would like the sections of South Pointe to retain their residential character by zoning them to be residential. This ordinance is the embodiment of the major selling point for

the very expensive housing in South Pointe
and one that we thought we bought.

We could live close to entertainment districts but could live in a residential district. I wish I could be there to testify, because I feel very strongly about this, but I'm writing from Barcelona where I'm working for two weeks.

I find the nightclubs' resistance to this ordinance most unfortunate. They have mischaracterized your potential support of this ordinance as being anti-nightclub.

I have spoken to several of you personally, have testified on this issue before, and I am confident that the logic of this measure is apparent to all of you.

I hope that you can stand up to a rational protest and support this measure.

Thank you, Josh Fisher, 1000 South Pointe Drive."

MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir. Let us get to Michael Critser, or Critzer, Michael, and we'll follow that with Christina Labusetta. Welcome.

MR. CRITSER: Hi, Mike Critser, I'll

1 be really brief. One point I want to get 2 across is we already have enough noise 3 problems in the south of 5th Avenue between 4 Opium and Nikki Beach, which are both outdoor entertainment establishments. 5 Now 6 we have Taverna Opa which has an open 7 air -- open air part of their establishment, a back patio, and I hear 9 noise from Opium, Taverna Opa, and Nikki 10 I've got enough noise to deal with. Beach. I can't sleep on certain nights of the 11 weekend, what's today -- or during the week 12 13 when someone's yelling happy birthday or 14 screaming Opa from Taverna Opa. That's a 15 small area. 16 You know, I don't think any of us 17 down in South Pointe want anymore outdoor 18 establishments. We have enough already, 19 we can't even deal with what we have. 20 are you going to let anymore go in down 21 I mean, you can't even control there. 22 what's going on right now.

MAYOR DERMER: Thank you for -thank you for your comments, sir. Let's
get to Christina Labusetta, and that will

23

24

25

be followed by Claire McCord. Okay.

MS. LABUSETTA: Hi, my name is

Christina Labusetta, 465 Ocean Drive

president of the Ocean Drive Preservation

Association. I want to say that I'm fully

behind the proposed ordinance. It does

protect us from new outdoor entertainment

establishments, but what I don't approve of

is the amendment to the ordinance which

would allow hotels with 100 plus rooms to

have -- to essentially have nightclubs,

accessory -- accessory -- well, I forgot

what I called. Anyway, it would allow them

to have restaurants which would be thinly

veiled as nightclubs.

What this would end up creating is one long nightclub row from 15th Street to South Pointe. The Savoy Hotel is the first one. They're the ones that added -- wanted the amendment added, and that would -- that would begin at the 400 block, it would be a nightclub there.

Going down a little further, there's two -- there's two properties that are going to be developed into a hotel. They

1	would have 100 plus rooms. That would be
2	potentially another nightclub. You go down
3	further, you have the Marriott which has
4	over 100 rooms but not enough for the 200.
5	They would also potentially have a
6	nightclub. Further down you have you
7	already have Penrod's, Nikki Beach Club,
8	Pearl, whatever you want to call the whole
9	establishment.
10	That would create a whole gridlock,
11	noise, drunk and disorderly all the way
12	from 15th Street to South Pointe. It's
13	something that people can't live with.
14	It also makes the hotels that do not have
15	entertainment economically unviable, they
16	can't rent those rooms.
17	And in conclusion, I would hope that
18	you pass the ordinance, remove the
19	amendment, and send it back to the planning

amendment, and send it back to the planning board for further discussion.

Thank you very much. MAYOR DERMER:

MS. LABUSETTA: Thank you.

23 MAYOR DERMER: Claire McCord

20

21

22

24 followed by Morris Sunshine. Welcome.

25 MS. MCCORD: Good afternoon.

just a great residential neighborhood.

The piece I want to read just

24

25

briefly is from a guy named Bart Giamatti.

Bart was president of Yale University, a

Renaissance scholar, and commissioner of

baseball. He was a Renaissance guy.

Here's what he said:

Human beings made and make cities,

Human beings made and make cities, and only human beings kill cities or let them die, and human beings do both, make cities and unmake them by the same means, by acts of choice. We enjoy diluting ourselves in this as in other things. We enjoy believing that there are forces out there completely determining our fate, natural forces or forces so strong that would send cities through organic or biological faces of birth, growth, and decay.

We avoid the knowledge that cities are at best works of art and at worst ungainly artifacts, and that we, not some mysterious force or cosmic biological system, control the creation and the life of a city."

We do. You do, and we ask you to do that here today by acts of choice, namely

by the respect for the character of an area and the passing of that ordinance with the changes of -- with the sending it back to the planning board because of those changes that slipped through. I would support what Kent says, what Christina says, and what Bart Giamatti says.

Just thinking about baseball for a second makes me think of Field of Dreams, "If you build it, they will come." Well, we built it, we built the South Pointe area as a residential area, and they came.

I came, and all we ask now is that we do that catch-up urban planning and protect the value and the life of our area.

It doesn't mean we don't have nightclubs, it doesn't mean we don't have energy, it means we have the correct and appropriate character for the area that we built. I ask you to make that choice. Thank you.

MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Morris

Sunshine, Dr. Sunshine, and then we'll

follow that by Erica Brigham. Welcome.

MR. SUNSHINE: Members of the board,

for the record, my name is Morris Sunshine.

I live at 456 Ocean Drive. I live on the

north side of the Savoy property, and

therefore, I have a major interest in what

I think is an addendum to a law which

I think everybody approves of, which was

entered into the record in a moment of

parliamentary disorder.

At the last minute at your last meeting, Mr. Bercow approached the podium and suggested that you tamper with the neighborhood impact establishment ordinance which currently does not permit internal nightclubs for hotels unless there's 250 rooms.

You've got the staff report.

It shows you that the staff moves for 100 rooms. I talked with Mr. Bercow before this meeting started, and I think when he approaches this podium, he will tell you that's not good enough, he would like you to reduce that standard to 50.

Since I live next door to the Savoy and have lived there since 1991, I can tell you, and I think some of you know, and you

in particular, Mr. Mayor, know, because you
were once the attorney for my condo
building.

MAYOR DERMER: Uh-huh.

MR. SUNSHINE: -- know that this particular property has always been a problem property, and I assure you that if you tamper with the neighborhood impact establishment standard as it exists now, the 250 standard, what will happen is that we will get a nightclub immediately adjacent to us.

On both sides of the Savoy are large apartment buildings with 238 apartments in each building, so it's a very serious issue for us, and I hope that you recognize when people stand up and say they approve of the ordinance, I'm talking residents, they mean the ordinance which would forbid outdoor and open air entertainment. They do not approve of the proposition to tamper with the neighborhood impact establishment clause.

I will say only one more thing and then I'll let you go. My friends --

1 Thank you for your MAYOR DERMER: 2 I appreciate it. courtesy. 3 MR. SUNSHINE: Thank you. 4 MAYOR DERMER: Let us know when 5 we can be excused. 6 MR. SUNSHINE: People have 7 overestimated my intellectual power simply by a half inch of paper explaining all this 9 in legal terms, but let me explain it to 10 you in vernacular terms. I asked the 11 attorney for Bercow & Radell who represents 12 Arden Group which owns the Savoy. 13 If Mr. Craig Spencer would send me a 14 letter explaining his intentions for the 15 use of the Savoy, if he did in fact receive 16 a neighborhood impact establishment permit. 17 I told a member of that firm that of course 18 I was concerned about the possibility of a 19 restaurant turning into a nightclub, and 20 we have seen that, for example, empirically 21 Joya, where their initial proposal was a 22 lounge. Within two weeks of opening it had 23 a DJ, it had a dance floor, and it was a 24 full-blown nightclub as an accessory, of 25 course, to a hotel.

	Page 49
1	What response did I get back? I got
2	back a response to wit to wit that
3	Mr. Spencer does not wish to put anything
4	in writing. You may, therefore, conclude
5	Savoy NIE, neighborhood impact
6	establishment, equals Savoy nightclub.
7	Please don't do that. Thank you.
8	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you. Let me
9	let me ask a question of planning, because
10	you jogged a memory of mine, because
11	I remember when I represented you, this
12	goes back ten years, at least ten years,
13	and Ted April, I think
14	MR. SUNSHINE: Yes.
15	MAYOR DERMER: was the adjoining
16	condo president at that time, and the issue
17	we had was that property was going to be
18	turned into it was after Woody's, right?
19	It was going to have Charles Asnavor was
20	coming and was going to put piano bars out
21	there, and they were all upset about it.
22	We got a list of conditions on the
23	property associated with the license,
24	I believe if I recall.
25	MR. GOMEZ: It was (Inaudible) of

	Page 50
1	adjustment variance.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Right, and there was
3	a whole list of conditions that we threw in
4	there. Are those still in effect?
5	MR. GOMEZ: Those are still
6	applicable, yes. There's been a few
7	modifications throughout the year, and
8	MAYOR DERMER: So they can't have
9	any outdoor noise, and they can't
10	MR. GOMEZ: I think they restrict
11	the volume of the level outside, they
12	restrict the hours that yeah.
13	MAYOR DERMER: Because I remember
14	MR. GOMEZ: That's all those are
15	all still in place.
16	MAYOR DERMER: Okay.
17	MR. GOMEZ: The establishment does
18	have a license for a 200-seat restaurant
19	with a 300 person occupancy, and that's a
20	valid license right now. I don't know
21	whether they they have entertainment
22	inside or not. I do know that they are
23	bound by those conditions that have been
24	listed in the board of adjustment file.
25	MAYOR DERMER: Oh, okay. I'd like

1 to see what those look like again if you 2 could, so if you could pull them up. 3 MR. GOMEZ: Like 27 of them, I think. 4 5 Yeah, it was a long MAYOR DERMER: Thank you. 6 time ago. All right. 7 Yes, hello, Erica, how are you? MS. BRIGHAM: Erica Brigham. I'm 9 one of those 301 Ocean Drive residents. 10 I was also a member of the South Pointe 11 Advisory Board for years until it was 12 disbanded a little while ago, and one of 13 the points that the South Pointe Advisory 14 Board always made was that this is a very 15 special residential district that's -- and 16 the zoning is completely separate from that 17 north -- on Ocean Drive north of 5th Street 18 and on Collins Avenue north of us. 19 The Marriott has 238 rooms and could 20 easily get to the 250 barrier. The Bentley 21 could combine with the lots below it and 22 could come up to the 250. I think that 23 this prescription against outdoor 24 entertainment establishments should be 25 completely removed.

If you don't pass the total prescription against outdoor entertainment and outdoor -- neighborhood impact establishments, it would just open the door to more litigation and animosity and perpetuate the intensity of the Amnesia/Opium syndrome which just pits various factions of the city against each other.

If an outdoor entertainment establishment or a neighborhood impact establishment becomes a draw in itself, it's not just the noise, it's the traffic jams, bad behavior, screaming, thumping bass until 5 or 6 p.m., plus the litter that hangs on around for days.

It can cost the city massive extras in policing, code enforcement, street and sidewalk cleaning, and trash removal and spreads all of those forces thin, particularly in this time of economic downturn this could be very bad.

It will affect thousands of people who have moved in here and the millions that the city takes in. The people did not

1 move in here to be hermetically sealed 2 behind airport windows. We all want to be 3 free to open our windows, to use our 4 balconies, to smell and feel the salt air, 5 and hear the ocean. These provisions could 6 be devastating to the residents, and the 7 intent of the RDA in a high-end residential area along the perimeter of South Beach in 9 a neighborhood which is supposed to have 10 simply neighborhood businesses to serve the residents, not to torture them. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it could also be very
devastating for the established businesses,
the outdoor clubs that exist and the
entertainment areas north of 5th Street on
Ocean Drive and such successful
contributing enclosed clubs such as Crobar
and Level, and it could all -- it could
hurt the very people who are probably going
to be in opposition to this, because South
Pointe is thought of as a very trendy area
at the moment.

MAYOR DERMER: Ms. Brigham, I'm going to have to ask you to finish up.

MS. BRIGHAM: (Inaudible) the clubs

	Page 54
1	that are there are enough.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you very much,
3	Erica. Call upon Gary May followed by
4	Clotile Luz.
5	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Mr. Mayor.
6	MAYOR DERMER: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Can we point
8	of order. The position, I think, of the
9	advocates of the ordinance is very
10	well-known. We can go on for hours,
11	I think.
12	MAYOR DERMER: I agree with you.
13	(Inaudible.)
14	COMMISSIONER GROSS: I was wondering
15	is there a way if people have a
16	different slant on it, you know, agree, or
17	maybe just ask all the people in support of
18	the ordinance to stand. At this point
19	we've gotten a pretty good flavor or for
20	the arguments.
21	MAYOR DERMER: Well, I know that,
22	but we got to do public hearing, and we're
23	almost there. We're almost you've just
24	got to be a little patient. People are
25	hoofing it over here, we have to let them

1 speak.

Okay. Go ahead.

MR. KNIGHT: Okay. I'm Gary Knight,
I reside at -- in 2401 Collins, otherwise
referred to as North South Pointe. I'm
here to speak on behalf of -- of this
ordinance. I want to point out that the
Collins Park Neighborhood Association did
pass a resolution three meetings ago
opposing any outdoor entertainment venues
adjacent to residential properties, and
we are in -- therefore, we are in support
of our neighbors in South Pointe
endeavoring to accomplish this today, and
I encourage you to do that without the -the change in that amendment.

I think that there's one thing that
we need to talk about here that hasn't been
raised yet, to address Commissioner Gross's
point, and that is that I think the city
reached a high point during the meetings of
the Blue Ribbon Task Force when the
citizens and the residents and the business
people and the club owners and the
restaurant owners and the hotel operators

and managers all met together to work on common solutions for common problems, and how we could have come from the period after September 11th to be in a period where we are -- where everyone seems to be at everyone's throats and saying very negative things about each other is to my way of thinking a tragedy for a civilized community like -- like Miami Beach.

So I want to suggest some principles by which -- that we need to consider as you consider this -- this particular -- these issues that are going to be coming forward, and this is just one of, I think, the first of several that are going to be coming -- coming forward.

First, I think the commission, the hotel operators, the club owners, and -- and the business operators in Miami Beach need to recognize that the residents do have a right to the quiet enjoyment of their property, and that is, I think, an ineluctable principle which is at the basis of any civil society that we hope to create here.

Second, I think we need to also recognize that we have -- we are in an economic condition which is tough, and that the businesses, the hotels, the clubs, and so on are in tough economic times, and I think as a resident, I'm -- I'm -- I regret it, and I hope that they do as well as possible; however, we need to have a certain amount of respect for each other.

So I would like to see successful clubs, and I think the proceeding person, Erica Brigham made a very good point, let the nightlife industry exist in enclosed clubs. Let it be as -- as -- as vibrant as possible, but let not the nightlife industry violate the residents' right to a quiet enjoyment of their property.

Additionally, I think it's extremely important, if we're going to continue to have a civil society here, that the hotels in particular to which nightlife efforts are migrating, that they not object to the county noise ordinance, or to do so is to tear at the basic fabric of the respect that people previously enjoyed in this

1 community.

It's very, very important that
we solve these problems in a -- in a
respectful manner, but if we start to lack
respect for each other and start making
claims that are not true, we are in a very
big problem here in Miami Beach. Thank
you.

MAYOR DERMER: Thank you for your comments. I'd like to get to Clotile Luz followed by Frank Fiorentino.

Welcome, Clotile.

MS. LUZ: Good afternoon, everyone,
Clotile Luz. Yes, I am very concerned
about the amendment that's been tacked on
here, and I think -- and I do have,
I think, two points that maybe we haven't
brought up yet.

Let me just say as a preamble that

I think when so many of these projects went
up in South Pointe, something like 5,000
condo units, the developers said, you know,
pay \$1 million, your view of the ocean
includes spa, parking. It didn't say
it includes three neighborhood DJ's playing

or you could hear it. You know, no one elects DJ's to send you music at a time when you might want to read, nap, have a conversation. I just I think it's unfair.

Now the points I would make that have not come up yet, I think, are that many -- probably the most successful nightclubs of Miami Beach history have been indoor clubs, Liquid and Salvation are no longer around, but they mutated, Crobar, Level today.

I went to a place called Mynt.

Those are indoor clubs, they are packed,

people are buying liquor, they're dancing,

they look trendy, they're all much younger

than I am, and they're working very well,

and I don't think anybody's trying to put

them out of business, honestly. The most

famous nightclub in history, Studio 54, was

an indoor club.

One other thing, I think it was kind of unfair and undignified to claim that Miami Beach owes its identity to the nightlife. I think that's a disservice to Barbara Captiman and people, some of whom

are on this commission, who worked very
hard in preservation.

I think that there are a thousand resorts in the world that have nightclubs and are beach -- are on the beach, and you can go to Ibiza or Mykonos or something, but Miami Beach is famous for Art Deco, and I will make the point that if you go on Goggle on the internet and you look up Miami Beach Art Deco, you come up with in one -- 15 seconds, 54,600 hits mentioning Miami Beach and Art Deco.

If you go on Google and you look up
Miami Beach and nightclubs, you come up
with half that number. 54,600 press
mentions of this town and preservation.
Those are quality of life, people, that
made this town what it is.

I don't think the nightclub people should be so delusional that they're the only one's making the identity of this town. Thank you.

MAYOR DERMER: Thank you. Let us go to Frank Fiorentino followed by Gene Woodard. Frank, are you present? Welcome.

Τ.	Frank	ıs	not	nere.	Gene,	wercome.	

2 MR. WOODARD: Frank had to leave.

3 MAYOR DERMER: Okay.

MR. WOODARD: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, commissioners. My name is Eugene Woodard.

I'm here representing the North Ocean Drive Area Residents' Association, and we support the passage of the ordinance approved by the planning board to restrict outdoor entertainment in South Pointe on the grounds that the residential community needs greater protect now from the unwanted noise than perhaps it ever has.

And so (Inaudible) I think I might have a slightly different spin on some of these comments that I'm going to make, so hopefully it will enliven it a bit.

There are some in the audience who will say we should have known better when we made our choices to live in South Beach with its high-intensity urban environment and history of entertainment. I would strongly argue that that point of view is out of touch with the reality of change, which has occurred in South Beach over the

last five years in terms of capital investment and the resulting demographic shift that followed.

And I have a few numbers that
I think might put this notion of change
into better perspective for all of us.
From a financial standpoint, in the last
five years, assessed property values in
Miami Beach increased by \$4 billion in the
last five years, from 6.5 billion to
10.6 billion. That's a 63 percent increase
in a five-year period, which is rather
phenomenal.

A sizable share of this \$4 billion reflects the growth in the residential community. In the current budget year, property tax revenues in the general fund totaled \$85 million. 73 percent of that \$85 million comes from residential properties in Miami Beach.

Now, just to give you a contrasting number to think in terms of, the resort tax in the current year generated revenues in the general fund of \$16 million. That's roughly 25 percent of the residential ad

1 valorem tax contribution.

Demographics, approximately 6,000
new residential units will have been
created in Miami Beach from 1997 through
2004, approximately 85 percent of which are
here in South Beach. The individuals and
families moving into these new units are
not simply moving down from north and
Mid-Beach but rather are coming from New
York, Boston, San Francisco, and other
major world urban locations which adds
considerably to the diversity mix here in
South Beach.

The inhabitants are largely young professionals who are not only bringing dollars to local businesses, including entertainment, but are also giving their time to government affairs and serving as volunteers on various local boards.

Our decision to move here was rational and it had the following two expectations. One, the city administration wished to encourage the development of high-end condominium projects on South Beach as a stabilizing influence to its

evolution and as a means of strengthening the economic base for the future.

Two, many of us who purchased prior to the start of construction did so on the basis of promised capital improvements throughout South Beach.

MAYOR DERMER: Eugene, I have to ask you to finish up.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, let me just -- if I can just make one more final thought, I'd appreciate it. Implicit in our decision-making process, therefore, was the presumption that our rights under existing laws would be protected, and I want to emphasize that point.

We did not investigate here on the expectation that new laws granting new protections would be needed, but only that existing laws would be enforced. Mr. Mayor and fellow commissioners, as political leaders, we believe that you not only have a moral duty to protect the rights of all of those who make substantial capital investments in this promised new South Beach, but as elected officials, you have

	Page 65
1	an obligation to protect our interests by
2	supporting enforcement of existing laws
3	which were designed to protect us and our
4	rights against those who would otherwise
5	have you champion their personal interests
6	above the city's. Thank you.
7	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir. All
8	right. I have mal Mallory Kauderer.
9	Mallory? Not present.
10	Beatrice, Beatrice Crites,
11	I believe. No? Okay. Followed by Frank
12	Dosa.
13	MS. CRITES: Hello.
14	MAYOR DERMER: Welcome.
15	MS. CRITES: My name is Beatrice
16	Crites, and I live at the Portofino Towers.
17	Okay. First, I want to make clear I'm not
18	here against any legislation or create
19	controversy. Okay? There's a number of
20	people that live in the same building
21	I live, and they feel the same way I feel,
22	and that is that we don't hear any noise.
23	Okay?
24	I feel sorry that some people around
25	the South Pointe area feel affected, and

it's -- it's creating a lot of controversy and problems. However, I just want to mention to you that Portofino Towers has no windows, only sliding glass doors, and those windows are very special adaptive against noise or hurricanes or anything, sand or anything, so I don't understand what they're doing.

You know, people that live there
don't hear any noise. Okay? But they're
being affected -- they're being affected by
the fact that their parking lots are
getting crowded by people going to the
clubs at night, because there's a number of
people that live in Portofino that invite
their friends, and they park over there, so
it's creating a problem, a parking problem.

And I personally call a friend of mine today that lives there, and I told him what was going on that they were trying to put down Opium Garden because of the noise, and he said I don't have a problem about the noise, my problem is that they're turning this place into Hialeah and our parking is getting crowded, and he lives in

	Page 67
1	38 he lives on the 38th Floor.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Well,
3	I appreciate your comments.
4	MS. CRITES: So I'm here to ask
5	people to get their thoughts together and
6	to come to a conclusion, and not I'm
7	here to support the nightlife.
8	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you very much.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was that
10	reference to Hialeah?
11	MS. CRITES: He said he said the
12	crowds are turning into like like
13	if it was Hialeah. He never said anything
14	about
15	COMMISSIONER BOWER: But what does
16	it what does Hialeah have to do with the
17	crowd? What kind of crowd is it that
18	Hialeah has, do you know?
19	MS. CRITES: I don't know. He said
20	that, and I said what are you talking
21	about.
22	COMMISSIONER BOWER: I I yeah.
23	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Let's stay
24	let's stay on Miami Beach.
25	COMMISSIONER BOWER: He's from

1 Hialeah.

- 2 MS. CRITES: Yeah.
- MAYOR DERMER: Let's -- listen, it's
 wonderful having you, and we appreciate

5 your comments.

- 6 MS. CRITES: Yeah, and (Inaudible.)
- 7 MAYOR DERMER: But we're going to

keep focusing on this ordinance and moving

9 forward.

- 10 MS. CRITES: Yes.
- 11 MAYOR DERMER: All right. Frank

Dosa. Frank, welcome, and we'll follow him

with Steve Polisar.

MR. DOSA: So Frank Dosa. I living

in 93 (Inaudible) Avenue. So I came here

because I moved here two years ago from

Paris, because I really like South Beach,

18 and what I like here first is the Art Deco

19 architecture and second the nightlife, and

I'm not affiliated to any club, I just go

as a regular customer, and the nightclub --

the outside nightclub, outdoors nightclub

are beautiful, like Nikki Beach, like Opium

24 Garden, there is a lot of activity, there's

a lot of energy, and they are beautiful.

Page 69

1	So I don't think that the resident
2	in South Pointe I mean, I understand
3	that you I understand that they want
4	less noise, but at the same time you come
5	in a place where there is nightclub and you
6	have to expect some noise. If you don't
7	want to expect some noise, you do when
8	I chose to moved here, I could have lived
9	in Aventura or in Coral Gables or in Key
10	Biscayne or some place like this, so.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hialeah.
12	MR. DOSA: Hialeah, exactly.
13	MAYOR DERMER: You know, we're going
14	to listen, listen, we're going to be in
15	Dade politics in the newspapers, so let's
16	just focusing
17	MR. DOSA: So I think that we
18	MAYOR DERMER: (Inaudible.)
19	MR. DOSA: we should (Inaudible.)
20	MAYOR DERMER: And we don't need any
21	comments from Raul Martinez talking about
22	Miami Beach, let's just let's just cut
23	it off here. Go ahead.
24	MR. DOSA: So I choose to move here
25	because I like the Art Deco architecture

	Page 70
1	and I like the nightclub, and I think that
2	if you choose to move in South Beach,
3	if you choose to live here, you should
4	respect both. The Art Deco architecture,
5	and I don't think the high-rise condominium
6	respect the Art Deco architecture, and
7	if you choose to move here, you have to
8	deal also with the nightclub, and this is
9	the life. If you don't like the nightclub,
10	if you don't like the Art Deco
11	architecture, you move somewhere else.
12	MAYOR DERMER: Well, I thank you,
13	sir, for your comments. Let us have let
14	us have Mr. Polisar followed by now, why
15	were the people in North Beach clapping.
16	They're here on the guard gate issue.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
18	MAYOR DERMER: Right. Okay. You
19	can discuss that when we get to your issue.
20	Okay, let's go Steve Polisar followed by
21	Cecilia Glasser.
22	MR. POLISAR: Ladies and gentlemen,
23	Steve Polisar, chairman of the Nightlife
24	Task Force.
25	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Steve,

welcome.

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POLISAR: There's -- when there's a lot of money and power and elections, there's always going to be -there's always a lot of hysteria around them, a lot of interest, however, I can tell you from -- as chairman of the nightlife committee that if you just isolate this one particular ordinance from the responsible nightclub owners and restauranteurs, if you ask them individually or at the Nightlife Task Force, they will tell you that they're not opposed to legitimate legislation that deals with legitimate problems. There's, in fact, an acknowledgment that residents have some legitimate problems below 5th Street.

When you circumscribe the ordinance to grandfathering in the present businesses, businesses that have made their investments, businesses -- businesses that have been down there for years, that have established the area, that does not create a problem.

What I think is missing the point
here, though, is that the real problem that
needs to be acknowledged isn't -- is -- is
whether or not this is in fact it, because
what I think the nightlife industry has
really been expressing and maybe not in the
correct words up to now is, is this the -is this what the residents are going to be
satisfied with, we grandfather this in, or
what's next.

I mean, the fear out there, and
I say this to the city commission is not
this ordinance that's going to be
grandfathered in. I talk to people on the
street all the time, nobody's concerned.
No rational person is worried about
something if they're grandfathered in, and
nobody from the nightlife industry is
thinking about the great future of Miami
Beach and what's going to happen.

They're worried about what's tomorrow and what's in their business, but what they are worried about isn't this particular ordinance, they're worried about where is it going to stop.

1 Yes, there is a shift of balance of 2 power in the city, and it hasn't been 3 expressed by the city up to now as to the 4 assurance to our nightlife industry that 5 we're going to pass some responsible 6 legislation that makes sense, but we're not 7 going to start passing legislation that is -- if it's not broke, you don't have to 9 fix it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so what we would really like to know out here in the nightlife industry is, is our future assured or is there an undercurrent from the fact that, yes, there's new residents from the new high-rises that they're getting power.

Are you going to ask next year from the residents, are you going to roll back the hours? Where does it stop, we need to know.

MAYOR DERMER: We have a couple of questions, Commissioner Cruz, and then Garcia.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Hi, Steve.

First on the shifting of the balance of power, the balance of power has not

	Page 74
1	shifted. I think this city has
2	historically been run or has elected its
3	officials from the residential community.
4	Middle Beach has historically held the
5	political power in this city. Any analysis
6	will show you that.
7	If there is a shift of power, it's
8	that it's being an imbalance because you
9	now have a huge amount of residents moving
10	into South Beach and they're going South
11	Pointe and that's going to have an impact.
12	That said, though, that's not at
13	MR. POLISAR: I disagree.
14	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: That's not the
15	issue.
16	MR. POLISAR: Simon, I disagree with
17	you on that, because there's been
18	acknowledged even in the press and even
19	yourself acknowledged in the press that
20	there's a shift in balance, that there's
21	more residents.
22	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: No, let
23	MR. POLISAR: They have our votes
24	and wait, wait, let me finish.
25	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I know where

1 you're going, though.

MR. POLISAR: And up until now, up until now we have had I think a fair balance. Yes, there's been issues like Middle Beach and so forth, but those issues were relegated to different things than what we're dealing -- Mid-Beach never concerned itself about the hours and what's happening now with the nightclubs below 5th Street.

people below 5th Street didn't exist. The fact is that the nightclubs settled that down there and the rest of South Beach.

We've heard it before, but they're like the Indians, and what we're concerned about is now that the settlers are coming in and they've got 160 acres and a mule, are we going to be pushed out and put on the reservation, and that's what we don't want.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Steve, let me
put it to you this way -- let me put it to
you this way, I have -- I've been following
the nightlife here for a very long time,
I'm a very strong supporter of the

nightlife here for different reasons than
what you support it for. I think it gives
us our soul. It gives a lot of what drives
this city, you know, it really has enhanced
what we have with the beaches and the like,
but please realize one thing. The zoning
down there has historically been
residential.

Those buildings that have now gone up there were originally planned. When South Pointe Tower was built, there were four other buildings that were projected to go up there. Rebecca and Hope were already up, and that had been in the 1979 redevelopment agency was planned as being the niche of Miami Beach that was all going to be residential.

That a couple of clubs may have opened up there because it was desolate as it was going through a transition and the RDA was buying up land in order to redevelop it into a residential community, fine, but that is the reality. Let's not even go there.

MR. POLISAR: You know what you're

Page 77

	Page 77
1	saying to me, Simon, you're absolutely
2	confirming my worse fears, and I'll tell
3	you why.
4	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: No, no, Steve
5	MR. POLISAR: Because instead of
6	saying to this community
7	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: But I didn't get
8	to where I'm going
9	MR. POLISAR: that there's
10	balance, you're taking a one-sided
11	position.
12	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: No, I'm not.
13	Let me get to the position I'm going to
14	now. All right. The clubs down there that
15	are there are going to be protected. There
16	is no issue. Now, if there has been, and
17	you're saying and you made a very valid
18	point by saying this legislation doesn't
19	concern us because it's rational
20	legislation.
21	MR. POLISAR: Correct.
22	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: It's we fear
23	what's coming down the line, right?
24	MR. POLISAR: Correct.
25	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: And you're
1	

	Page 78
1	absolutely right, but who has started a lot
2	of those rumors and who started a lot of
3	the hysteria about this was not us. Okay?
4	So it was no us.
5	MR. POLISAR: Wait, wait, I don't
6	want
7	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I heard about
8	the two o'clock rollback on the hours, and
9	I'm saying where did this come from.
10	MR. POLISAR: Simon.
11	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I first heard
12	it from David Wallack as a friend came to
13	me and said where is this coming from, and
14	I stated from this dais that I am not in
15	favor of rolling back hours. The five
16	o'clock licenses will remain at five
17	o'clock. I am not interested in
18	(Inaudible.)
19	MR. POLISAR: I have to correct you
20	on one thing, Simon, I have to correct you,
21	because
22	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: (Inaudible.)
23	MR. POLISAR: The record will
24	reflect. This isn't personal, but the
25	record will reflect that at a hearing not

1 too long ago when the residents were in the 2 vast majority of the assembly, the 3 atmosphere was very much -- and statements 4 were made while some of the nightclub 5 people were in the room, that perhaps 6 we should, in fact, examine rolling back 7 the hours for all of the -- of the nightlife industry. Now, let me say this, 9 I've been around the city a long time, and 10 I know the context -- I'm not saying you 11 said it. 12 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Did I say it? 13 MR. POLISAR: No, but the record 14 will reflect it was said. 15 F: That's correct, that 16 (Inaudible.) 17 MR. POLISAR: Now, what does that --18 what does that say? I as a guy that's been 19 around for a long time took it in context, 20 there's a lot of residents, you know, it's 21 water off a duck's back. However, there

are other people, and what those comments

did was give the extremist elements in the

nightlife industry a sword, and the truth

is, despite the fact, Simon, that they were

22

23

24

25

wrong in disseminating misinformation, the fact remains that when those statements are made, there wasn't -- in principle they were correct in rallying their troops to the defense of the industry, because nothing later was said from this body that would have dispelled that notion, so it was easy for a sword to be handed out and a rumor to start.

And what I would like to propose is that in the future, because this city deserves better than -- than what we've been enduring up to now, and I mean, I'll go even one further. I had a meeting with Mr. Gomez, I had a meeting with Bob (Inaudible), we have had some very rational and productive meetings.

The idea that we're going to a workshop is a terrific, I think, suggestion, and we're glad that we adopted it, but in the future, to avoid the schizophrenia that's been circulating, we need to be making statements along the lines that, yes, we have a tremendous residential upsurge. We also have a very

1	important viable nightlife industry. Let's
2	come together and resolve these matters
3	rationally so that we can avoid and
4	you're right, these were unfair, unfounded
5	statements.

6 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I think
7 (Inaudible.)

MR. POLISAR: I apologize for the nightlife industry in that, but the fact is the principle remains the same.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: And I think
that's where we're getting to. But that's
where we're getting to, so people
understand that there's not an assault on
the nightlife. About a week or a week and
a half ago there was an entire week on the
travel channel, and it centered around
nightlife in South Beach. Do you know what
publicity that gave us? That was
tremendous and very good publicity.

So there is no doubt that that industry is extremely important. It may not be in dollars cents as Eugene Woodard stated, it may not be at that point, but it's important, because it gives us our

	Page 82
1	soul, but the dollars are predominantly and
2	the preponderance of them come from the
3	residential sector, but we have to work
4	together. What we don't want is the
5	hysteria that was created before.
6	MR. POLISAR: Let me just say this,
7	Simon, if we have so and I agree with
8	you, the dollars are coming from the
9	it's important that we have the dollars,
10	but why can't we take some of those dollars
11	and solve some of the practical problems
12	with them? If we've got so many dollars
13	coming in from this now source, why
14	can't some of the people two practical
15	suggestions.
16	People complain about the urination,
17	why can't we build some bathrooms back
18	there?
19	MAYOR DERMER: Look
20	MR. POLISAR: They're worried about
21	flyers, let's (Inaudible.)
22	MAYOR DERMER: Hang, hang, hang on.
23	We're really digressing.
24	MR. POLISAR: You're right.
25	MAYOR DERMER: This is an ordinance

	Page 83
1	dealing with, outdoor noise (Inaudible.)
2	MR. POLISAR: Correct, you're right.
3	MAYOR DERMER: Commissioner Garcia,
4	go ahead.
5	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Yes, okay.
6	Again, Steve, you know, you and I have
7	known each other probably longer than
8	anybody else around here.
9	MR. POLISAR: We put our football
10	uniforms (Inaudible.)
11	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: That's right,
12	going back to 1961.
13	MR. POLISAR: Correct.
14	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: This guy was a
15	four letter sportsman, one of the finest
16	athletes ever to come out of Miami Beach
17	High.
18	MAYOR DERMER: Did you just call him
19	a four letter word?
20	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Four letter
21	athlete.
22	MAYOR DERMER: Four letter sport.
23	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Four letter
24	sports.
25	MR. POLISAR: Thank you, Luis.
l	

1 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: You know, I -2 I respect you, and Simon, I respect you.
3 In a way you're both right. Okay? Back a
4 couple of meetings ago, neighbors came here
5 and they complained, you know, and some -6 some statements were made.

The fuel was fanned even more when it went to the planning board, because again, all of the decisions of, I guess, every board are coming from the planning board. I guarantee you, Simon, like me and probably most of the members of this commission will -- probably will -- not probably, they will in favor a roll back to the two o'clock. I think that at the same time -- at the same time as I look at this ordinance, this ordinance really, you know --

MAYOR DERMER: This is going to be a long meeting.

VICE MAYOR GARCIA: (Inaudible.)

I mean, (Inaudible) however, it's not as
ominous as it could be for -- you know, for
existing places, however -- however, and
this is what -- this is what we -- that

	Page 85
1	we're concerned. You're concerned of where
2	the industry is heading.
3	MR. POLISAR: Correct.
4	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: And I think
5	I think we have taken a tremendous step by
6	getting a workshop for the hotels which
7	(Inaudible) you know, I when when the
8	hotels met, you guys you know, the
9	nightlife was invited, and the you know,
10	the participation was very little. There
11	were very few people in there.
12	This is a time for the nightlife,
13	the hotels, and the neighbors to come
14	together, you know, and work out a plan,
15	work out a plan that can help that
16	that will govern for the next ten years.
17	MR. POLISAR: Luis, we agree with
18	that.
19	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Otherwise, we're
20	going to be up from prosperity to to be
21	in a nursing home city back and forth. So
22	you know, we we got to go like this,
23	we gonna to go up and down.
24	MR. POLISAR: That is the sentiment
25	that we're looking for, because, look,

	Page 86
1	we're not against the residents, we're not
2	against this particular ordinance, we just
3	wanted to see that there was the respect
4	out there for the industry and that you're
5	going to work with us, and we're not going
6	to be subject to every year we're going to
7	be rolled back.
8	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Let's
9	COMMISSIONER BOWER: (Inaudible.)
10	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I think if you
11	have respect for this commission, probably
12	you get (Inaudible) to get the ordinance
13	they're they're requesting.
14	MAYOR DERMER: Commissioner
15	Commissioner Bower.
16	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: And we and
17	we move forward.
18	COMMISSIONER BOWER: Steve, I
19	I you know, I'm the one (Inaudible)
20	looking for my glasses, because I can't see
21	you. I just want to assure you also that
22	I believe that this is a community that
23	needs to respect each other. It has never
24	occurred to me to roll back or not roll
25	back, but when it gets out of whack from

	Page 87
1	one side or the other, it doesn't matter
2	which side, then we have these
3	confrontational issue.
4	I don't want to kill anybody,
5	I don't want to put anybody out of
6	business. That is not even my nature as
7	as a person, but I do think that the one
8	most important thing that we need any in
9	any relationship is respect for each other.
10	MR. POLISAR: You're correct.
11	COMMISSIONER BOWER: And when the
12	lack of respect starts swinging one way or
13	the other, these are the issues. I want to
14	make clear to you that I'm not here I,
15	you know, speak for the residents many
16	times up here because I speak their
17	their side of the story, but I don't want
18	to kill anything that has made Miami Beach
19	what it is today. So I just want you to
20	know.
21	MR. POLISAR: I think we needed to
22	hear that, and I appreciate
23	we appreciate it.
24	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Thank you,
25	sir. I appreciate your comments, Steve.

	Page 88
1	MR. POLISAR: Thank you.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Cecilia Glasser
3	followed by Jeff Bercow. Cecilia?
4	MS. GLASSER: Guard gate.
5	MAYOR DERMER: Oh, that's coming a
6	little later. All right. Guard gate we'll
7	deal with later.
8	All right. Jeff Bercow, please, and
9	we are going to go after Jeff, and I really
10	suggest to just really focus on the issue
11	and wrap this thing up already. I know the
12	commission getting antsy, Jerry Pinard.
13	If what's been said already and you want to
14	pass, please feel free. Go ahead.
15	MR. BERCOW: Thank you, Mr. Mayor,
16	commissioners, Jeffrey Bercow with Bercow
17	and Radell, 200 South Biscayne Boulevard,
18	and I also live in Miami Beach. I'm here
19	today representing Arden Savoy Partners,
20	LLC, the owners of the Arlington and Savoy
21	hotels.
22	As I indicated three weeks ago when
23	I appeared before you, and I promise not to
24	give you any poison pills today,
25	we strongly support the proposed ordinance

as it relates to open air and outdoor entertainment establishments in the redevelopment area.

In particular in the R-PS4 district where we're located, we know an outdoor/open air entertainment establishment would not be approved by the planning board, and we believe it's not an appropriate use where we're located surrounded by residential buildings.

On the other hand, we do think that having an indoor neighborhood impact establishment within our project that would be accessed only from the interior lobby and not from the street would be an appropriate use for the area, especially for a resort hotel located on the ocean.

What's a neighborhood impact establishment? Well, it's defined in the code, and Kent Robbins didn't get it exactly correctly a few minutes ago, but suffice it to say that, for example, Nobu is a neighborhood impact establishment and has been approved by the planning board as such.

1 Unfortunately, the code as written 2 today wouldn't even allow us to apply to 3 have a restaurant like Nobu in our hotel. 4 Today you have to have a 250 room hotel in 5 the R-PS4 district just to apply for a 6 neighborhood impact establishment approval. 7 The administration recommendation notes that there is no hotel of that size in the 9 R-PS4 district. 10 We understand that you need to have 11 a minimum number of rooms because you don't 12 want to have a large restaurant or a 13 nightclub that overwhelms some of these 14 smaller hotels in the area, but we think 15 that the appropriate minimum is 50 rooms, 16 and we've gotten a booklet from the Greater 17 Miami Visitors and Convention Bureau on 18 boutique hotels, and we've excerpted about 19 a dozen hotels from that booklet, and I'd 20 like to put -- pass that out at this point. 21 COMMISSIONER BOWER: May I ask you a 22 question? 23 MR. BERCOW: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER BOWER: I don't have a 25 problem looking at this issue if it goes to

	Page 91
1	the team you know, if you bring back an
2	amendment. Do you feel that it has to be
3	done today or can it come back as an
4	amendment to this ordinance and go through
5	the through the routine way that all
6	these ordinance go through? I mean, is
7	that something that that
8	MR. BERCOW: I think it's
9	appropriate and it's been noticed before
10	you today, and I think the ordinance as
11	drafted, the code as drafted is
12	inappropriate. It's overly broad, because
13	you have a 250 room floor for these
14	neighborhood impact establishments where
15	there, quite simply, is not any 250 room
16	hotel in the district today.
17	COMMISSIONER BOWER: But
18	MR. BERCOW: So you've got an
19	you've got an ordinance or code provision
20	that's not appropriate.
21	COMMISSIONER BOWER: I understand
22	that, but
23	MAYOR DERMER: She's asking is there
24	time sensitive issues with this (Inaudible)
25	in your information?

	Page 92
1	MR. BERCOW: It is it is not time
2	sensitive for us, but I think that it is
3	appropriately before you today. Let me
4	let me just finish on the I'm sorry.
5	COMMISSIONER BOWER: That's okay.
6	MR. BERCOW: No, no.
7	COMMISSIONER BOWER: It's okay.
8	I was just going to say that, you know,
9	we may be able to reach a consensus on this
10	issue if it goes the routine and
11	appropriate way through the planning board
12	and whatever it is that it has to be done.
13	Instead, I'm going to have to make up my
14	mind, because the rest of the community was
15	not involved at this moment, you know,
16	I just don't feel comfortable voting on
17	that issue.
18	So I was just trying to give you
19	the the benefit that if you come the
20	right way and everything is approved and
21	everybody is happy and a consensus is
22	filled, maybe you can have that, but not
23	today. I don't know (Inaudible.)
24	MR. BERCOW: Well, quite frankly,
25	and I've spoken to Mr. Del Vecchio, and

I've spoken to Mr. -- Dr. Sunshine, and

others, and I don't think we can talk for

three more months and have workshops and

public hearings. I, quite frankly -
MAYOR DERMER: Well, that's for

sure.

MR. BERCOW: -- don't believe they are going to agree with our position, nor do I think they're going to agree with the staff position. I just want to wrap up and make a point about these 50-room hotels.

What I'm trying to show you in this booklet is that you have some rather substantial hotels that are between 50 and 100 rooms like the Sagamore, the Victor, Nash, The Hotel, that are significant enough hotels that will not be overwhelmed by having a neighborhood impact establishment in them. We are not asking for this as of right, we are simply asking for the right to apply for a neighborhood impact establishment approval to the planning board in the future.

MAYOR DERMER: I thank you for your comments.

are -- you misunderstood me. That those
are hotels --

is the way -- but Jeff, the way the ordinance is drafted now, you could put 299 people in a restaurant in the Arlington and the Savoy and not have to change this ordinance whatsoever. It's only when the put the 30oth person, and, you know, I think it's (Inaudible.)

MR. BERCOW: Commissioner, we don't want to play the game that Joya and Pure has played by segregating the two uses so that collectively they're less than 300. If we want to have a quality restaurant come in like -- like Nobu or like Emeril's that's now coming in. I've heard that there's a Chinese -- famous Chinese restaurant from New York that's looking down here.

And if they say we want to have 300 to 400 people as an occupancy load in your project, because we think this is the perfect location for it, and if we can convince the planning board that we've

	Page 96
1	mitigated our impacts in a way that is
2	sensitive to the R-PS4 district and
3	recognizes what's there, why shouldn't we
4	be allowed to apply? And by (Inaudible.)
5	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Well, if you're
6	asking me, I would say that 300 is enough
7	in that particular residential
8	neighborhood. That's why.
9	MR. BERCOW: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER GROSS: So, you know,
11	you
12	MR. BERCOW: You say that in the
13	abstract without even having the proof
14	before you. I mean, we can show how we can
15	mitigate our impact.
16	MAYOR DERMER: Jeff, let's not
17	let's wrap that up and let's get moving to
18	our next speaker.
19	MR. BERCOW: I'm done.
20	MAYOR DERMER: All right. Thank
21	you, sir. Let us go to David Kelsey and
22	then David Haber, and then we'll go yes,
23	we'll get everybody. I got everybody's
24	name down here. We won't forget anyone.
25	I know you came out.

1 Thank you, David MR. KELSEY: 2 Kelsey, South Beach Hotel and Restaurant 3 Association. This ban on outdoor and open 4 air entertainment, I think we need to be 5 clear on this. This really does not effect 6 some existing venues, Nick's, for instance, 7 it doesn't effect Opium, for instance, but it probably does effect Penrod's and Nikki 9 Beach, any other establishment that now has 10 some open air component, even Nemo's cafe 11 is open air, but they don't have 12 entertainment.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The concerns about the size of hotels, clearly it doesn't make much sense if you've got a 250 room threshold and you have no 250 room hotels. It should reflect the makeup, I think, of the historic district and the size of those hotels.

What I'm afraid is happening here is the wrong message is going out and the wrong problem is trying to be addressed.

The message goes anywhere from -- from being against nightlife to basically perhaps not buying condos in the South Pointe area because the quality of life

isn't -- doesn't justify living there. The problem is not open air or outdoor entertainment. The problem is the music or noise, if you want to view it that way, that emanates from that that someone somewhere else is hearing. It's a noise problem.

If you could have a wedding reception on the pool deck of the Marriott, and you asked this question the last commission meeting, but unfortunately, you asked it as could you have a wedding. You could have a wedding. It's a solemn occasion, vows are spoken, but you couldn't have a reception, because you couldn't have a band and dancing and drinking, and that's -- that's where the issue comes in.

There's no -- for instance, no cutoff time. It's sort of like an absolute prohibition in that it doesn't say you could only have outdoor entertainment during the day or up until eleven o'clock or until two in the morning. It just says you can't have it at all, and you can't get a conditional use permit under those

	Page 99
1	conditions or, I'm wondering, could you
2	even get a special event permit.
3	What does that do to some place like
4	Opium and Nikki Gardens, where are they in
5	this situation?
6	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: As I understand
7	it, they're exempt.
8	MR. KELSEY: Well, I don't think so.
9	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: It doesn't
10	you know
11	MR. KELSEY: And those those are
12	questions that I think
13	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: They're
14	grandfathered in. Why
15	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Well, Simon,
16	Simon, we need to clarify that, because
17	it's been spoken a lot of times.
18	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yeah, because
19	COMMISSIONER GROSS: You know they
20	will be grandfathered for whatever license
21	they currently hold. If they want to
22	change the use and apply for a new license,
23	then the new license would come under the
24	new ordinance, but anybody with an existing
25	license is allowed to do what they're

	1030 100
1	allowed to do understand that license.
2	MR. KELSEY: The question is what
3	is what is their license, yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER BOWER: About Nikki
5	Beach Nikki Beach
6	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: See, David, and
7	here's the problem we've had, and, you
8	know, I've addressed it with Steve, and
9	and, you know, Steve was, forthright, and
10	he said, look, we apologize for the
11	misinformation or getting, you know, a
12	little too historical, but this that you
13	have just stated
14	MR. KELSEY: Well, I don't apologize
15	for that (Inaudible.)
16	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: but you
17	no, because you have been predominantly the
18	one that's disseminating that sort of
19	misinformation.
20	MR. KELSEY: Simon, I have I have
21	stood up for continuing our 5 a.m. licenses
22	for the last five years. It's come before
23	the planning board at least twice, and
24	it was mentioned by two people sitting on
25	this commission on April 9th. It is time

to maybe look at rolling back the hours.

Those things concern people. They concern people for their investments, they concern

4 people for their jobs.

We're concerned for tourism as well.

This is our business and nightlife is our

key ingredient. It makes us different from

Key Biscayne, it makes us different from

Delray Beach.

We're concerned about the sentiments that were expressed. We told you that at the last commission meeting. We're trying to work on this. The association has scheduled a workshop to deal with the issue of restaurants that have accessory uses of entertainment and dancing and 5 a.m. liquor licenses. We're doing that next Thursday.

You have scheduled a workshop to deal with the broader ramifications of this ban, which could be citywide, and that's appropriate, but what happened on April 9th basically sent a shock through the industry in hearing so much sentiment that threatened the business community that deals with nightlife and tourism. And

know, the city is going to be holding a

VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Yeah, but, you

24

25

	Page 103
1	workshop the next couple of weeks.
2	MR. KELSEY: And we will come to
3	your workshop. It's appropriate. That's
4	what we wanted.
5	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: You know,
6	everybody
7	MR. KELSEY: That's what we wanted.
8	We asked for that at the last meeting,
9	if you'll recall.
10	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Well, you know
11	what, and I brought it up to the
12	commission, and it got approved, and it got
13	passed, and it's going to take it's
14	going to take place in June sometime, you
15	know, and that's the time when we can get
16	assurances
17	MR. KELSEY: Well, I had also asked
18	you earlier today
19	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: the
20	assurances for the industry.
21	MR. KELSEY: if this particular
22	issue which is part of this same group of
23	ordinances and regulations can be part of a
24	workshop, but you decided against that.
25	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I didn't decide

	Page 104
1	against it. That was
2	MR. KELSEY: Well, the mayor decided
3	against it.
4	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: The mayor
5	decided (Inaudible.)
6	MR. KELSEY: We're just concerned
7	that we're we're a party to what you're
8	doing, that we're at the table, that these
9	things are not happening and being dictated
10	to us.
11	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you. I thank
12	you for your comments David. Let us move
13	on now to David Haber. Welcome. I haven't
14	seen you since the (Inaudible.)
15	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Mr. Mayor, can
16	we just have the head of the planning
17	department
18	MAYOR DERMER: Sure.
19	COMMISSIONER GROSS: clarify on
20	the record so there is no ambiguity
21	MAYOR DERMER: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GROSS: about this
23	grandfather issue in terms of existing
24	licenses.
25	MR. GOMEZ: Obviously, you're

you're quite correct in saying earlier that
whatever the license holder has specified
in that approval will be a legal conforming
if this ordinance were to be enacted. A
couple of places that were mentioned,
I think Monty's, Opium, and Taverna Opa and
Penrod's, all of those currently have,
according to the research that was prepared
for the report, a dance and entertainment
component to their license.

So to the extent that Penrod's has an outdoor area or Monty's has an outdoor area, they already have, also, the dance and entertainment component allowed, so they would be grandfathered in if this ordinance were to be passed.

And again, just to remind the commission, the ordinance right now, the way it reads right now in the commercial districts, outdoor entertainment is a conditional use.

That means that if you're playing
music at a level that interferes with
normal conversation but in no instance are
violating the Dade County noise ordinance,

because that's the upper threshold, that
narrow band is the province of the
conditional use, we're just removing that.
You can still have outdoor music as long as
it's not interfering with normal
conversation.

We've in essence removed the conditional use approval for what is that narrow band of, I guess, loud background music, because that approval does not allow you to violate the Dade County noise code.

That's all we're talking about here.

Events in pool decks of hotels that are occasional in nature and do not violate the Dade County noise ordinance.

COMMISSIONER GROSS: Like a wedding.

MR. GOMEZ: Like a wedding reception in my opinion are not a conditional use today, therefore, they wouldn't be precluded from this ordinance. This ordinance is trying to address those outdoor areas that become a regular chronic outdoor type of entertainment venue, and it's just banning it in this particular area like you've banned it in other areas

	Page 10
1	of the city.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you, sir.
3	Mr. Haber, and then we'll call about Juan
4	Rodriguez.
5	MR. HABER: David Haber representing
6	Portofino Towers Condominium Association.
7	I've been before this commission a number
8	of times on this issue since January 2003,
9	and I appreciate the opportunity to present
10	this matter again today, and I apologize
11	for the length of time I may take, but
12	having waited three hours and 15 minutes.
13	MAYOR DERMER: I know you've waited,
14	David, but if you can simple (Inaudible.)
15	MR. HABER: I will narrow it on the
16	issues that have not been discussed.
17	MAYOR DERMER: Thank you.
18	MR. HABER: First of all, at the
19	April hearing, this concept that people
20	were going to roll back hours and this fear
21	factor that has been created not by the
22	residents but by the business interests in
23	the nightlife community which is akin much
24	to a paranoia, was in relation to the fact
25	that we had just come through the music

conference where we had six straight nights of pounding music on South Beach and violations galore, a brazen disregard for the laws and ordinances of this city and county, and more importantly, we had a situation where we had just recently passed 18 days which allowed the nightclubs to stay open until seven o'clock in the morning.

So when the roll back was being discussed, one of the issues that was being discussed was this rollback as it related to the 18 days and the 7 a.m. going back to 5 a.m. I don't think anybody in their right mind was contemplating taking existing nightclubs, ruining their business, and putting them out of business by having them close at two o'clock in the morning.

Surely that was not the residents' intention, that is not my client's intention, and of the 20 people you've heard from today from the neighborhood associations and from individual residents, and the 30 people you heard from in April

and the 30 people you heard from in January and February, not one of them talked negatively about the nightlife industry, not wanting nightlife, not liking nightlife, and not wanting to go to those same nightclubs that are trying to keep pounding bass in their ears to all hours of the night.

In terms of a democracy lesson, I'm sure all of you commissioners went to school and are very well aware that liberty for another person ends where it infringes upon my liberty. And therefore, it's very clear that whether you punch a person in the nose or whether you pound bass in my ear until all hours of the night is still an assault. An assault by any other name is still an assault.

This legislation is proper legislation. It's unfortunate that the situation is where it is today, and it is as Commissioner Steinberg had stated about four and a half hours ago with relation to the valet parking situation, a brazen disregard for our laws and ordinances.

One commission meeting ago both

Commissioner Garcia and Commissioner

Steinberg mentioned why do we need anymore
laws, why can't we just enforce the ones

we had. If we had a situation different
than what we currently have, and if we can
have club owners come in in areas and keep
indoor entertainment, we wouldn't have
these problems, but we have people wanting
to open up 50-room hotels and then have 350
people come in and do a discotheque in the
middle of the hotel. That's not
appropriate.

I think what Commissioner Gross had stated earlier which is, you know what, 299 people is enough. This is a South Pointe community. This was always intended for the last 25 years to be residential, and we have to stick with the character of the community. There are already 31 places there to drink and eat or go out at night. We don't need another 50 in that small area.

But that's not to say there aren't places north of 6th Street to go, nor does

1 it say anything or send any negative 2 message to the community that has for some 3 reason decided upon their own to take this 4 as a message from the commission that 5 someone is against their business 6 interests, that the sky is falling, and 7 that otherwise there is a reason for them to be concerned that either the residents 9 don't want them in the business community 10 or alternatively that the commission doesn't want the business community. 11 12 just the opposite. 13 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: David, I thought 14 I had spoken everything, but since you 15 mentioned my name, I feel I have to --16 MAYOR DERMER: You don't have to. 17 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Yeah, I have to. 18 It's my nature, what can I tell you. 19 David, probably the failure -- I mean, 20 probably the problem that we have here has 21 been the failure to enforce the existing 22 laws that we have, because plain and

simple, if we would have enforced the

ordinance -- the noise laws as mandated by

the county, we wouldn't have this problem

23

24

25

1 at all.

Had we enforced -- had we patrolled the area a little bit to prevent urinating on the streets and whatever, these things wouldn't have happened at all. So basically what we have here is a problem of enforcement.

You know, I -- I'm going to tell you one thing, the character of the neighborhood is changing, and that's why I would favor some type of curtailment for now, however -- however, you know, let's enforce what we have on the books before we go out and, you know, penalize the people.

MR. HABER: I hear what you're saying, Commissioner Garcia, but I have to say to you in response to that comment, that the resources of this city, which I hear these same commissioners tell me are so thin every time someone comes here for money and every time the police says I have to share from here to here to here, if we're going to send the police resources to keep south of 5th Street on South Pointe

on these same issues rather than passing rational legislation to deal with the issues, then we're not using our resources correctly.

VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Well, you know, we are -- we are also not using our resources, and this is one that I tend to agree with the mayor on the RDA. We're collecting a lot of taxes, and we cannot reinvest in police and community, and I understand there's going to be (Inaudible) to be available.

So basically what's happening on
South Beach is you have been paying taxes,
but the taxes to provide police and
especially fire, because I tried to get
extra units on the south end back when
I was the fire chief, and we couldn't do
it because the RDA wouldn't allow it.

So I mean, that's -- that's monies that, yes, you pay taxes, but you know what, they don't (Inaudible.)

MR. HABER: But commissioner, the point here of this legislation is even at the last hearing when all the interests of

the nightlife industry came together, so to speak, not one of them spoke against the ordinance that is before you today. They spoke about perspective future, what might happen. That's what Steve Polisar talked about today. He said we're not worried about today, we're worried about what might happen in the future (Inaudible.)

VICE MAYOR GARCIA: And we're taking steps to try to work our dialogue in the future. That -- that was earlier today.

MR. HABER: And we should be and we are trying to and we should continue to, but when the nightclub industry, where they lose credibility, complete credibility with the residents of this city and where they should lose credibility with this commission is in two places, that there has been a fair balance up until now, because anybody who goes down there can see that there is no fair balance south of 5th Street.

MAYOR DERMER: And the second?

MR. HABER: And the second issue is not only is there not a fair balance, but

1 that they believe for some reason that 2 because we are going to pass this 3 legislation, we are all out to get rid of nightclubs, and we want to turn this 4 into -- I think last commission meeting 5 6 it was Kendall. This one it's Hialeah, and 7 I'm sure by next one it will be Pembroke Pines. 9 None of that is the case and none of 10 residents that live here want it to be that 11 way, all we want is the liberty to sleep at 12 night in our homes. 13 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: But David, 14 if you -- I'm sorry, Mr. Mayor. 15 MAYOR DERMER: Okay. 16 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I thought I was 17 in dialogue with David. 18 MAYOR DERMER: No, go ahead, if you 19 guys want to finish up so we'll move up. 20 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Basically, what 21 you have heard here is an overwhelming 22 support for the ordinance, plain and 23 However -- however, if you listen simple. 24 to the industry, you have -- you should

also have heard a concern as to what could

25

	Page 116
1	come up next.
2	I don't think the industry is that
3	worried about this ordinance per se.
4	MR. HABER: I don't think so either.
5	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: You know what,
6	with all due with all due respect to the
7	people that were here and are welcome for
8	being here, it's been very repetitious.
9	This thing could have been solved about two
10	hours ago. You know, I think that you
11	know, I think the outcome would have been
12	the same whether 2 people had spoken or 20
13	people had spoken.
14	MR. HABER: And I think and
15	I think, commissioner
16	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: We have citizen
17	concerns, and we have industry concerns,
18	and we're going to balance them.
19	MR. HABER: And that's why I think
20	that the whether it's a whether it's
21	a retreat or a workshop, whatever you call
22	it, I think it will be helpful for the
23	other areas of Miami Beach to be able to
24	figure out how the hotels and the nightclub

industry are going to work within this

25

	Page 117
1	context, but in this specific area, we have
2	to
3	MAYOR DERMER: I I we
4	I think we understand this. Does every
5	do other folks feel the need to speak?
6	Yes, of course. I I Juan
7	Rodriguez I called on. Juan, where is
8	Juan? Is Juan present? Let us go
9	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Mr. Mayor?
10	MAYOR DERMER: And then also J.B.
11	Slater. Yes.
12	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Now, with all
13	due respect to, you know, I think that
14	it would be in the best interest of
15	everybody involved in the decision is taken
16	right now, you know, just out of
17	consideration of some of the other people
18	that have some other issues (Inaudible.)
19	MAYOR DERMER: I would like to
20	basically you know what, I take an
21	agreement to, I think, what you're saying
22	what commissioner gross is saying. I don't
23	mean an affront to anyone that came to
24	speak, but I think we've heard everything
25	that needs to be heard on this issue.

We've exhausted it pretty extensively and
we understand the positions. Those are the
people applauding that want to have their
issue heard after this, they want it to
wrap up. So we're gonna -- at this time
I need a motion to close the public
hearing.

CARTER: (Inaudible.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MAYOR DERMER: You've been standing with a lot of papers, what is it, Carter? You're going to make a legal argument?

CARTER: No, there's many of us that also represent clients that are affected, and I --

MAYOR DERMER: Are you against the ordinance, do you want to speak against it or for it?

CARTER: I think the ordinance is unnecessary, and I'd like to suggest to you why.

MAYOR DERMER: In -- just give it to me so fast so we can move on. I know that -- and I'm talking to the wrong person to do that.

25 CARTER: I understand.

Page 119 1 Is it going to be --MAYOR DERMER: 2 is this going to be protracted? 3 CARTER: No. 4 I mean, am I -- okay. MAYOR DERMER: 5 CARTER: No, I think it will be two 6 minutes, probably. 7 MAYOR DERMER: Out of respect for you, please, go ahead, and then we'll wrap 9 it up. 10 The issue is --CARTER: 11 MAYOR DERMER: And that will be it. 12 The issue is the inability CARTER: 13 to ask for permission to do something, not 14 that you are prohibiting it. I suggest you 15 three examples of why this ordinance --16 MAYOR DERMER: By the way, who are 17 you representing here today? 18 I -- well, I'll give you CARTER: 19 three examples, Monty's upstairs. 20 MAYOR DERMER: Examples or people? 21 CARTER: Monty's downstairs. 22 MAYOR DERMER: Okay. 23 CARTER: Both of which are 24 neighborhood impact establishments by definition. 25

	Page 120
1	MAYOR DERMER: Okay.
2	CARTER: None of which cause a
3	problem for anybody.
4	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Who else do
5	you represent?
6	CARTER: Let me give you another
7	example.
8	MAYOR DERMER: I don't want I
9	just want to know who you're here
10	representing, I don't want (Inaudible.)
11	CARTER: I also represent Taverna
12	Opa and several other property owners in
13	the area.
14	MAYOR DERMER: Opa?
15	CARTER: Yes, I do.
16	MAYOR DERMER: Okay.
17	CARTER: And they have not been a
18	problem at all. They are activity their
19	noise activities are inside. I asked code
20	enforcement, there hasn't been any
21	complaints. Although, the sky was falling
22	when we learned that they were going to
23	open and they do have an outdoor area,
24	but the music is inside, and they have not
25	caused a problem that I am aware of and

	Page 121
1	that code enforcement is aware of.
2	MAYOR DERMER: Well, they wouldn't
3	be affected by this ordinance, right?
4	CARTER: Let me tell you well,
5	they will they're grandfathered in,
6	I agree.
7	MAYOR DERMER: (Inaudible.)
8	CARTER: As are the two as are
9	the two Monty's restaurants, although
10	MAYOR DERMER: So what
11	CARTER: Let me give you one further
12	example of what could never be approved
13	again if you approve this ordinance.
14	Joe's. You could never have Joe's in South
15	Beach again.
16	MAYOR DERMER: I don't think we have
17	Joe's again anywhere with the way Joe's is,
18	but anyway, go ahead.
19	MR. GOMEZ: I'm sorry, I'm sorry,
20	that's not true.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible)
22	neighborhood impact establishment?
23	MR. GOMEZ: Joe's is a neighborhood
24	impact establishment. If you look at the
25	code, the ordinance as before you, it would
I	

Page 122

1 allow it as a conditional use, it's not

prohibiting it. That would be that C right
there.

4 CARTER: I apologize.

5 MAYOR DERMER: Whoa, look at the big 6 grin on Jorge Gomez.

7 CARTER: I stand corrected. I stand
8 corrected. I stand corrected. I really
9 didn't understand that the ordinance would
10 permit that application.

MAYOR DERMER: Yeah, just to spell it out for those in the audience, it would only prohibit Joe's in a residential zoning district, not in a commercial zoning district.

16 CARTER: That's right, I understand
17 that.

18 MAYOR DERMER: Okay.

19 CARTER: I really didn't think that
20 it (Inaudible.)

21 MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Anything else,

22 Carter?

11

12

13

14

15

23 CARTER: I guess the point here, and just an odd-off-the-wall suggestion.

25 MAYOR DERMER: Sure. You're in the

	Page 123
1	right place.
2	CARTER: Obviously obviously
3	they're been an awful lot of complaints
4	about noise, and most of it has emanated
5	from Opium, and the neighborhood is upset
6	about it, and the neighborhood has raised
7	hell about it. Why doesn't the
8	neighborhood think about getting together
9	and maybe raising some funds to sit down
10	with Opium and build a roof over it,
11	because that is a practical solution that
12	would work, and I'm not saying that there
13	should be a shared
14	MAYOR DERMER: Next.
15	CARTER: Opium good-bye.
16	MAYOR DERMER: All right. Okay.
17	We're going to have a motion a motion to
18	close the public hearing.
19	MS. RUBINSON: Mayor Dermer,
20	I apologize, we've never met or I've not
21	been before this board. I've signed in
22	with Mr. Del Vecchio and everyone, I've
23	been here since two o'clock. I need two or
24	three minutes.
25	MAYOR DERMER: There's something

	Page 124
1	that hasn't been said you need to say.
2	MS. RUBINSON: Actually, I wrote it,
3	so I don't think it's been said, so I would
4	like to
5	THE CLERK: Excuse me, your name.
6	MS. RUBINSON: My name is Jamie
7	Rubinson, I live at 40 Star Island, Miami
8	Beach, Florida, 3313 (Inaudible.)
9	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Welcome
10	Jeanie.
11	MS. RUBINSON: Jamie.
12	MAYOR DERMER: Jamie, excuse me.
13	MS. RUBINSON: I have been involved,
14	I know some of you up there, I have real
15	estate commercial, residential, I'm an
16	attorney, I'm a real estate agent for over
17	12 years, and I am responsible for getting
18	over a thousand people to write the city
19	objecting to any future legislation
20	ordinances or laws governing what we have
21	now are existing uses.
22	Mr. Gross has had real estate
23	holdings on the beach for many years, and
24	our concern is not Opium, it's not Monty's,
25	it's the fact that people come here,

whether you buy a condominium, a commercial property, you're a landlord, or you're a tenant and you believe in a bundle of rights. I spend my time in the city here every single day. I run around and I build, permit, do everything.

I have the experience that perhaps you guys do not. There are a couple of things that were brought up about neighborhood impact establishments, we're talking about occupancy laws.

What you start to do and what you ultimately wind up with as your occupancy load, this legislation and the planning board ordinance that's happening on June 25th, everything is a direct relationship to your occupancy load. The City of Miami Beach determines that. Okay? You go, you build, you invest, then you go to fire, then they decide you have 299 people, you have 301 people, you have 199 people.

We object, and people object to the fact that we come here, and we invest, and my family moved here in 1947, and you have got to know what you have, and we are

	Page 126
1	concerned. It's not about the present uses
2	that we do have, we are concerned about the
3	fact that we are rushing. There's no
4	question that we need to all work together,
5	and there's no question that people need to
6	operate responsibly, but people need to
7	have the opportunity to come and rejuvenate
8	Miami Beach with their own dream like Mark
9	Soyka did with News Cafe, and the kosher
10	restaurant that became The Strand that
11	became The Living Room.
12	I mean, Mr. Dermer is looking at me
13	very funny. I don't know if people
14	MAYOR DERMER: No, I'm sorry, it's
15	the hour of the day.
16	MS. RUBINSON: I don't know if you
17	understand what happens. I go
18	MAYOR DERMER: What about this
19	ordinance, Jamie, do you have objection to?
20	MS. RUBINSON: What I object to,
21	actually, there was an attorney here
22	speaking on behalf of the Savoy and who
23	suggested the 50-room limit as opposed to
24	the 250-room limit. Yeah, I actually agree
25	with him 100 percent. The point is 250 is

1 arbitrary and pointless. It effectively 2 eliminates everything because there is no 3 place south of 5th or south of 6th that 4 will ever have more than 250 rooms. It's not possible with current FAR's and all 5 6 that other stuff. So 250 is completely 7 useless. COMMISSIONER GROSS: Jamie, 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it didn't eliminate everything, it allows up to 299 people in a restaurant.

MS. RUBINSON: But who are you to decide when I invest \$3 million how many people I can have if fire says I can have more.

COMMISSIONER GROSS: Before you invest, you draw a set of plans, you go to the fire department, and they will give you an occupancy load.

I have sat -- I have MS. RUBINSON: hired licensed architects on more than one project, had them reviewed, had them reviewed again, and those plans, there's a standard -- there's a standard number for a certain square foot of standing room and seating room.

	Page 126
1	We all have computers now-a-days,
2	it's very standard, you highlight it,
3	it comes up, it spits it out. Believe
4	it or not, there's stuff that perhaps you
5	haven't done. There's convergence loads,
6	there's multiple stair (Inaudible.)
7	MAYOR DERMER: Jamie, what does that
8	have to do with the question?
9	MS. RUBINSON: No, the point of the
10	matter is I've had architects calculate
11	1,200 people and come out with 700 people,
12	and I've had architects calculate 300
13	people and come out with 212 people. So
14	you do not know, you start building
15	you're occupancy load does not get approved
16	until it is time for your certificate of
17	occupancy. It does not get approved the
18	day you pull your permit.
19	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. We're
20	running you're running over. I gave you
21	the time that you requested.
22	MS. RUBINSON: Okay. That's fine.
23	MAYOR DERMER: I appreciate your
24	comments very much.
25	MS. RUBINSON: These are for you.

	Page 129
1	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Thank you very
2	much for coming in. Motion to close the
3	public hearing? Motion, motion, motion?
4	COMMISSIONER GROSS: I'll move it,
5	yeah.
6	MAYOR DERMER: Okay. Motion
7	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: What are you
8	moving?
9	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Public hearing,
10	we're closing the public hearing, that's
11	very controversial.
12	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER GROSS: I'll move the
14	ordinance as adopted by the planning board.
15	MAYOR DERMER: Motion by Gross,
16	second by Bower. Any further discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER STEINBERG: I have some
18	comments.
19	MAYOR DERMER: Go ahead.
20	COMMISSIONER STEINBERG: First,
21	I want to read a portion of a letter from
22	the chamber of commerce that was circulated
23	to us today since no one from the chamber
24	read it. This is from the executive
25	committee. It says, "Recognizing the

sensitivity and urgency of both the residential and business communities all propose legislation to address noise complaints should be taken in total and not individually. The response to the issue should be comprehensive prior to adopting any legislation. An overall examination of the matter should be obtained."

You know, we earlier today decided we're going to have a workshop to deal with these type of issues, to deal with the noise issue. I don't understand what the rush is to get this done. There's nothing that I know of that is slated right now that is going to be stopped by this.

It doesn't affect anyone that's already in business. It's looking prospectively.

What we're doing here is piecemeal, and, frankly, you know, as has been raised by the nightlife industry, they're concerned because they feel, you know, back to the my mayor's comment at a prior meeting on the salami, that this is a salami issue, and we're cutting the salami down and cutting the salami down.

	Page 131
1	MAYOR DERMER: That comment didn't
2	go over too well, actually, so.
3	COMMISSIONER STEINBERG: And then
4	eventually the nightlife industry
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
6	MAYOR DERMER: Anyway, we'll keep on
7	moving. Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER STEINBERG: that the
9	nightlife industry is going to be hurt.
10	There clearly is a perception out there
11	that the City of Miami Beach is turning
12	against nightlife, and we need to make sure
13	that that is not the message we are
14	sending, especially when the concerns that
15	are being raised by the community are not
16	going to be addressed by this ordinance.
17	This ordinance is not going to do
18	anything to address the concerns that
19	originally brought the people here.
20	They're still going to have the noise
21	whether or not this ordinance is there or
22	they won't have it depending on how
23	we enforce the laws. The real
24	MAYOR DERMER: Let me ask, your
25	suggestion of a workshop or including this

19 MAYOR DERMER: If you would like to 20 make -- if anybody wants to amend, that's 21 fine. 22 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: I'm sorry, 23 excuse me, Commissioner Steinberg, 24 I thought you had finished over there. 25 COMMISSIONER STEINBERG: No, I'm not done yet. I also want to highlight from a
memo from the manager from May 9th, the
clean sweep operations that started on
April 16th. Within the first three weeks
383 arrests were made. 30 -- 13 were for
excessive noise, 13 for urination or
defecation in public, 79 for open
containers, and 80 for prostitution.

The city has started addressing the concerns. The residents came to this commission very concerned about problems, specific problem, noise, urination, prostitution, the city is taking steps.

The city has gone after RDA funding specifically for South Pointe to make sure that we can have greater police presence to help enforce these quality of life issues.

This is not a matter of new legislation. The laws that are on the books today, the county's noise ordinance, will adequately deal with this, and the city has stepped up the enforcement of that ordinance to make sure that it does.

Another thing on the agenda today that we added as an emergency item is

something -- there's a rumor going around
that all of a sudden someone's trying to
weaken the county's noise ordinance, and
I'm asking this commission to state its
position that we are in favor of the county
keeping the current noise ordinance to make
sure that the resident's interest and the
business interests can be balanced, because
the reality is if there's an outdoor
establishment and they can exist in a way
that doesn't disturb the residents, then
why not let them exist?

The reality is when they cross that line, the law should come down on them, and should be -- they should be dealt with appropriately, but if they're able to operate in a way that does not offend the county ordinance, I don't see a problem, personally. For that reason (Inaudible.)

MAYOR DERMER: Let me ask -- let us just get some perspective. We'll call on you commissioner. I just want to -- I just want to review where we're at right now. We have a motion and a second on the ordinance.

	Page 135
1	COMMISSIONER GROSS: But as approved
2	by the planning board.
3	MAYOR DERMER: As approved by the
4	planning board. Commissioner Garcia.
5	COMMISSIONER GROSS: With the 250.
6	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: What I what
7	I would suggest, and maybe we can cut the
8	chatter, too, let's pass the the
9	ordinance assistance with the everything
10	except the min the 250 thing, the 250
11	room. That we can discuss at a later date.
12	We will have a first reading right now,
13	we will approve part of the ordinance as
14	far as the moratorium on new
15	establishments.
16	That would that would help the
17	residents where no new businesses would be
18	planned with that area, number one.
19	It wouldn't hurt existing businesses
20	because they're grandfathered in, number
21	two. Number three, the the issue as far
22	as the that Mr. Bercow exposed,
23	we could we could discuss it at the
24	workshop. Would that be a compromise that
25	would be

	Page 136
1	MAYOR DERMER: Well, what we have is
2	already an Commissioner Gross's motion
3	is the original planning board.
4	COMMISSIONER GROSS: And what you're
5	suggesting is the same thing, because
6	if we're silent
7	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: No
8	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Let me finish.
9	If you if we're silent on the issue of
10	the conditional use for the neighborhood
11	impact establishment, what is current law
12	would govern, and the current law is 250
13	rooms or more.
14	VICE MAYOR GARCIA: With the
15	caveat okay. Excuse me, I'm not an
16	attorney, but what I'm trying to maybe
17	I didn't express myself well. With the
18	caveat that the the issue of the of
19	the lowering the the requirement of
20	rooms to be discussed at the workshop, with
21	view with that in the future might change.
22	Would that be a compromise?
23	COMMISSIONER BOWER: But that could
24	be a second motion that you could
25	COMMISSIONER GROSS: That could be a
1	

Page 137

T	secona	motion.	

2 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Maria, Maria, 3 I'm trying to work out a compromise. Right 4 now. We have been at it for the best part 5 of four hours, and, you know --

6 COMMISSIONER BOWER: I'm just --

7 COMMISSIONER GROSS: Clearly, no

one -- I don't think anyone on the 9 commission is prepared to amend that 10 provision without it going to the planning

12 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I don't -- I 13 don't think anybody on the commission 14 really has any --

board, so --

15 COMMISSIONER GROSS: And I'm not --16 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: -- any problems 17

18 establishment being prohibited regardless 19 of what any workshop has to say --

with the outdoor entertainment

20 COMMISSIONER GROSS: Exactly.

21 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: -- or anything

22 else.

11

23 COMMISSIONER GROSS: All right.

24 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: I think

25 that's -- that's -- I think we've heard

What we're doing today is sending a clear message, and we're saying to them, if you want to have outdoor entertainment,

24

25

do it in a district other than South
Pointe. There are other places that you
can do it, just don't do it in South
Pointe.

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: But if the

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: But if the conditional use process isn't working, why don't we address that and fix that as opposed to putting a Band-Aid on one area of the city and still having the problem (Inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER GROSS: I think at the workshop that is what we're going to do.

I think the objective would be to establish --

COMMISSIONER CRUZ: All right. So if that -- if that's the problem and we're addressing another means to fix it, then this is not necessary.

COMMISSIONER GROSS: No, it is necessary, because everyone has agreed upon it, and it solves a problem that we have now, and what we're going to do in other areas of the city we'll work out in the workshop. I call the question.

25 MAYOR DERMER: All right. We have

Page 140 1 a --2 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: How about can 3 I -- can I --4 MAYOR DERMER: (Inaudible) 5 amendment? 6 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: Well, maybe --7 maybe not to bring this back for a second reading until the time that the workshop 9 has been concluded. 10 I don't -- I don't --MAYOR DERMER: 11 you see, I -- I'll speak to that. 12 Basically, I --13 VICE MAYOR GARCIA: No, I'm asking 14 you. Like I said, I'm not an attorney, I'm 15 just looking for (Inaudible.) 16 MAYOR DERMER: I'll speak to that 17 and state my opinion. 18 COMMISSIONER GROSS: Well, 19 Mr. Mayor, as a matter of procedure, let's 20 see if there's a second to the proposed 21 amendment. 22 MAYOR DERMER: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER GROSS: To his 24 proposed. 25 MAYOR DERMER: Is there -- as an

	Page 14.
1	amendment, that's correct, as an amendment,
2	is there a second to Commissioner Garcia?
3	All right. Hearing none, amendment
4	dies. Back to the original motion.
5	COMMISSIONER GROSS: Okay. I'll
6	call the question.
7	MAYOR DERMER: And there is a
8	second, calling the question. Very good.
9	Let us any other comment?
10	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yeah, I just
11	want to make one last comment, and that is
12	I want to again address what Commissioner
13	Gross has stated and so everyone
14	understands that what we're doing is not
15	going to effect any business that is
16	already in place.
17	Those businesses will continue to be
18	guided by their underlying zoning and
19	whatever regulations, whether it be Dade
20	County noise or anything else. What we're
21	doing here is prospectively putting in
22	legislation so that the issues that have
23	arisen, now we have it contained.
24	We know what we're dealing with, the
25	number of people, and hopefully we've seen

people emerge here from both sides of the equation, from the residential side and from the nightlife industry side, I think it would behoove them not to get together. You've now identified each other, get together and come out to a working situation between you so it doesn't have to end up in front of us as an arbiter of something that would only create more legislation.

It's a reality, we have residents there, we have nightlife industry in the area. Try to get together and work something and establishes -- establish your own lines of communication so that the problems can be resolved before they can get to a crisis situation.

That is the way that community down there is going to thrive. We don't want to put anyone out of business, and we hopefully want our residents to be able to get some sleep. So if you work at it together and you have -- and you yourselves can identify who you can speak to, who is someone that is rational, take that step,

Page 143

and if you need help from any one of us to intermediate and whatnot, we'd be more than happy to, but it's a community issue. Try to work it out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I think we're onto something good.

This is a tremendous city we have going here where all of a sudden, you know, we have investment from the residential side, we have the business side continuing to want to come here, and we'll address the side of hopefully making it easier for everyone to live and work here and set the ground rules and police and clean up and make it easier to get your building permits and the like, and we'll be here to do that.

But try to work out the differences that exist. Get together, work them out, and hopefully we can stay out of this sort of arena for a while.

MAYOR DERMER: Let's call the question, call the roll, please.

22 THE CLERK: Commissioner Cruz?

23 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yes.

24 THE CLERK: Commissioner Gross?

25 COMMISSIONER GROSS: Yes.