# MIAMIBEACH

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

DATE: May 9, 2017

TO:

Chairperson and Members

Historic Preservation Board

FROM.

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

HPB16-0074, 161 Ocean Drive.

The applicant, Komar Investments, Inc., is requesting an after-the-fact Certificate of Appropriateness for partial demolition within the public interior and a Certificate

of Appropriateness for modifications to the original public lobby space.

### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval with conditions

**EXISTING STRUCTURE** 

Local Historic District:

Status:

Original Architect:

Construction Date:

Ocean Beach

Contributing

Anton Skislewicz

1939

#### **ZONING / SITE DATA**

Legal Description:

Lot 1 through 3 less west 15 feet of block 113 of Ocean Beach, Florida Addition No. 4, according to the plat thereof recorded in plat book 3, at page 151 of the public records

of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Zoning:

R-PS4 (Residential Performance Standard District, high

density)

Future Land Use Designation:

R-PS4 (Residential Performance Standard District, high

density)

### THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Stanton: South Beach Marriott, Starbucks Renovation" as prepared by UCI Engineering, dated March 13, 2017.

The applicant is requesting an After the Fact Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition within the public interior and a Certificate of Appropriateness for modifications to the original public lobby space.

## **CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **hotel use with accessory commercial use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

# **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE**

The application appears consistent with the City Code; this shall not be considered final zoning review or approval.

These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

# COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
     Satisfied
  - Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
     Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - a. Exterior architectural features.

Not Applicable

- b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

  Satisfied
- c. Texture and material and color.Satisfied
- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. **Satisfied**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created. **Satisfied**

- f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

  Not Applicable
- g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
   Satisfied
- h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

  Satisfied
- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

    Not applicable
  - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project. **Satisfied**
  - c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

    Satisfied
  - d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

#### Not applicable

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and

district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

#### Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

#### Not applicable

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

#### Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

#### Not applicable

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

### Not applicable

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

### Not applicable

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

## **Satisfied**

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

#### Satisfied

- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
   Not applicable
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

  Satisfied
- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

  Satisfied

# **CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

#### **Satisfied**

The existing building is designated as a 'Contributing' structure within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

## **Satisfied**

The existing structure would be difficult and inordinately expensive to reproduce.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The subject structure is distinctive example of the Art Deco design styles which contributes to the character of the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

#### Satisfied

# The subject structure is designated as a 'Contributing' building in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

#### **Satisfied**

The retention of the subject structure is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

### **Not Applicable**

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

#### Not Applicable

The applicant is not proposing to totally demolish the building.

h. The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

### **Not Applicable**

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

#### **ANALYSIS**

Staff would preface this analysis by noting that the applicant submitted an application for a building permit on May 20, 2015. The building permit (B1404064) was for the conversion of existing hotel guest rooms into two new restaurants, structural reinforcement, new electrical, mechanical and plumbing systems and the modification of existing fire alarms and fire sprinkler systems. The original historic lobby was not part of the permitted scope of work.

The applicant has advised staff that during the course of construction, a water leak formed and caused a partial roof collapse above the original lobby area, previously occupied by Starbucks. The original decorative terrazzo floor was protected and the remaining portions of the ceiling were demolished in order to prevent additional damage. Staff was invited to inspect and photograph the site on October 24, 2016. During the inspection, staff determined that an original stair located within the northern portion of the lobby space had also been demolished. It is important to note that the previously existing stair structure had no significant design features, and that the decorative stair located within the center of the lobby was protected during the course of demolition. The applicant subsequently met with staff and submitted an application

for the after-the-fact approval of the demolition of the ceiling and stair. Additionally, the applicant has submitted renovation plans for the interior of the space which will remain a Starbucks café.

The renovation plans include the reconstruction of the original ceiling design including the decorative crown molding, the restoration of the original terrazzo floor, the restoration of original green tile discovered during the course of demolition east of the former stair and new furnishings and fixtures throughout. Staff has no objection to the applicant's request as the proposed renovation is consistent with the character of the original Art Deco design and all significant interior architectural features are proposed to be retained and restored. Further, staff would note that if the northern stair were to be reconstructed, current Fire Code would require an inappropriate security gate which would adversely impact the character of the original lobby design.

#### RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order.

# HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: May 9, 2017

FILE NO: 7600

PROPERTY: 161 Ocean Drive

APPLICANT: Komar Investments, Inc.

LEGAL: Lot 1 through 3 less west 15 feet of block 113 of Ocean Beach, Florida

Addition No. 4, according to the plat thereof recorded in plat book 3, at

page 151 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The application for after-the-fact Certificate of Appropriateness for partial

demolition within the public interior and a Certificate of Appropriateness for

modifications to the original public lobby space.

#### ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

### I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
  - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 3. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

Page 2 of 4 HPB16-0074

Meeting Date: May 9, 2017

- a. Final design and details of the lobby, including material and finish samples shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- b. All new rooftop mechanical equipment and screening shall be located out of the line-of-sight to the greatest extent possible, in a manner to be approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

## II. Variance(s)

A. No Variances were requested as a part of this application.

# III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record an unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- B. All new construction over 7,000 square feet or ground floor additions (whether attached or detached) to existing structures that encompass over 10,000 square feet of additional floor area shall be required to be, at a minimum, certified as **LEED Gold by USGBC**. In lieu of achieving LEED Gold certification, properties can elect to pay a sustainability fee, pursuant to Chapter 133 of the City Code. This fee is set as a percentage of the cost of construction.
- C. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- D. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- E. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- F. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- G. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

Page 3 of 4 HPB16-0074 Meeting Date: May 9, 2017

- H. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- I. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Stanton: South Beach Marriott, Starbucks Renovation" as prepared by UCI Engineering, dated March 13, 2017, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20
------------	--------	------

Page 4 of 4 HPB16-0074 Meeting Date: May 9, 2017

	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
	BY: DEBORAH TACKETT PRESERVATION AND DESIGN MANAGER FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLORIDA )	
)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )	
20	s acknowledged before me this day of by Deborah Tackett, Preservation and Design Manager, ni Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf known to me.
	NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:	
Filed with the Clerk of the Historic F	Preservation Board on( )
F:\PLAN\\$HPB\17HPB\05-09-2017\Draft Orders	MPB16-00074 161 Ocean Dr May17 FO DRAFT docy