

MIAMI BEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members
Historic Preservation Board

DATE: April 10, 2017

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB17-0100 a.k.a. HPB File No. 6013, **250-260 Collins Avenue.**

The applicant, TwoFifty Collins, LLC, is requesting modifications to a previously issued Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation, and restoration of two existing 2-story multifamily buildings, including the construction of a new roof-top addition on each of the existing 2-story buildings. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to modify conditions of the order.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the requested modifications to previously issued Certificate of Appropriateness

BACKGROUND

On October 14, 2008, the Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for partial demolition, renovation, and restoration of two (2) existing 2-story multifamily buildings, including the construction of a new roof-top addition on each of the existing 2-story buildings.

On April 13, 2010, the Board reviewed and approved a one (1) year Extension of Time to obtain a Building Permit for a previously issued Certificate of Appropriateness.

On March 2, 2011, a Building Permit (B1002147) was issued for the subject project.

EXISTING STRUCTURE

Local Historic District:	Ocean Beach
Status:	Contributing
Original Construction Date:	1959
Original Architect:	Gene Baylis

SITE DATA

Legal Description:	Lots 1 & 2, Ocean Beach, according to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 38, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
--------------------	---

Zoning:	R-PS3, Medium-High Density Residential
Future Land Use Designation:	R-PS3, Medium-High Density Residential
Lot Size:	13,000 S.F. / 1.75 Max FAR
Approved FAR:	20,102 S.F. / 1.55 FAR

Approved Height: 32'-11" / 3 stories
Existing Use/Condition: Multi-family residential
Proposed Use: Suites Hotel

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "TwoFifty Collins LLC", as prepared by CIC Architecture, Interior Design, Real Estate Advisory, dated January 31, 2017.

The applicant is requesting approval for modifications to conditions contained in the previously issued Order dated October 14, 2008 in order to operate the property as a Suites Hotel.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **suites hotel use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the requested modifications indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
Not Applicable
 - b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.
Not Applicable
 - b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

Not Applicable

- c. Texture and material and color.
Not Applicable
- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district.
Not Applicable
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.
Not Applicable
- f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.
Not Applicable
- g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
Not Required
- h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.
Not Applicable

III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

- a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Not Applicable
- b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Not Applicable
- c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.
Not Applicable
- d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the

appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Not Applicable

- e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Not Applicable

- f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Not Applicable

- g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Not Applicable

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Not Applicable

- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Not Applicable

- j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Not Applicable

- k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which

shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Not Applicable

- l. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Not Applicable

- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Not Applicable

- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Not Applicable

- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Not Applicable

ANALYSIS

The subject project was originally approved by the Historic Preservation Board in 2008. The project, which includes the renovation and restoration of the existing 2-story 'Contributing' building and construction of a roof-top addition, has been permitted and is in construction.

The plans approved by the Board included twenty-seven residential units. At that time, in consideration of the residential neighborhood surrounding the subject property, the Board imposed a series of operational conditions to help ensure that the project would not negatively impact the surrounding residents.

The applicant has expressed a desire to change the use from multi-family residential to suites hotel, and has submitted an application requesting the Board modify the previously imposed operational conditions to be consistent with the currently proposed suites hotel use. As the underlying zoning district, R-PS3, allows for the hotel and suites hotel along with limited accessory uses, staff has no objection to the applicant's request. Several modifications to the conditions enumerated in C.11. of the Order dated October 14, 2008 have been recommended, in order to clarify that the previously imposed operational conditions are applicable to any and all permitted main and accessory uses. These recommendations are contained within the attached Draft Supplemental Order.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Supplemental Order.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: April 10, 2017

FILE NO: HPB17-0100

PROPERTY: 250-260 Collins Avenue

APPLICANT: TwoFifty Collins, LLC

LEGAL: Lots 1 & 2, Ocean Beach, according to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 38, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The application for modifications to a previously issued Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation, and restoration of two existing 2-story multifamily buildings, including the construction of a new roof-top addition on each of the existing 2-story buildings. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to modify conditions of the order.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' & 'g' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.

C. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following revised conditions are met:

11. The Applicant agrees to the following operational conditions for any and all permitted ~~hotel~~ main and accessory uses and shall bind itself, lessees, permittees, concessionaires, renters, guests, users, and successors and assigns and all successors in interest in whole or in part to comply with the following operational and noise attenuation requirements and/or limitations.

a. The applicant shall obtain a Business Tax Receipt (BTR) for **one** of the following uses: Apartment, Suites Hotel, Hotel or Apartment Hotel. In the event the applicant applies for a BTR for a Suites Hotel, all units in the building shall be licensed for Suites Hotel use. In the event the applicant applies for a BTR for Hotel, all units in the building shall be licensed for Hotel use and all kitchens shall be removed. In the event the applicant applies for a BTR for Apartment Hotel, all units in the building shall be either Apartment or Hotel use and kitchens shall be removed for all units proposed for Hotel use.

b. ROOFTOP CONDITIONS

- i. The applicant shall ensure through appropriate contracts, assignments and management rules that these restrictions are enforced. Owner agrees to include the rules and regulations set forth in these conditions in any contract or assignment.
- ii. Rooftop facilities, inclusive of any pool or spa and pool or spa deck, shall be for the exclusive use of registered owners, renters or hotel guests and their invitees. No bar counter shall be placed on the roof or on any terraces, private decks or balconies at any time. No parties shall be permitted on the rooftop.
- iii. The Condominium, apartment or hotel rules and practices shall require that the rooftop pool be closed from 11PM to 8AM.
- iv. Rooftop lighting fixtures shall be designed to deflect light from spilling over to adjacent and nearby properties.
- v. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be screened from view.
- vi. All stair towers, elevators, and mechanical equipment shall be appropriately designed, screened and detailed in a manner consistent with the building's design, subject to the review and approval of staff.

c. NOISE CONDITIONS

- i. The Historic Preservation Board (HPB) or the Planning Director shall retain the right to call the owners and/or operators back before the HPB, at the expense of the owners and/ or operators, to impose and/or modify the hours of operation, and the placement or use on the property of exterior loudspeakers, fixed or portable, or amend or impose other conditions, should there be a valid violation (as determined by Code Compliance) about loud, excessive, unnecessary, or unusual noise or other conditions of this approval. An adverse adjudication of a violation against the owner or operator is not necessary for the board to have jurisdiction over the matter under this condition. This condition vests jurisdiction independent of any other condition hereof.
- ii. A violation of Chapter 46, Article IV, "Noise," of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida (a/k/a "noise ordinance"), as amended, shall be deemed a violation of this approval and subject the approval to modification in accordance with the procedures for modification of prior approvals as provided for in the Code, and subject the applicant to the review provided for in the first sentence of this subparagraph.
- iii. No outdoor bar counters shall be permitted on the premises.
- iv. Except as may be required for fire or building code/Life Safety Code purposes, no loudspeakers shall be affixed to or otherwise located on the exterior of the premises.
- v. No outdoor live music shall be permitted at any time, inclusive of percussion, musical instrument, vocal.
- vi. Outdoor and open air entertainment establishments, as well as dance halls, as defined in the Miami Beach City Code, shall be prohibited, and the applicant will not seek permits therefore.
- vii. Special events pursuant to the Miami Beach City Code may not be held on the premises and the applicant agrees that it will not seek or authorize applications for such permits.
- viii. In addition to rooftop facilities, use of any pool or spa and pool or spa deck, courtyards and open air portions of the public areas of the ~~hotel~~ premises, as well as all terraces, private decks, and balconies associated with apartment or hotel units, shall be for the exclusive use of registered owners, renters or hotel guest and their invitees. No bar counter shall be placed on any of these areas at any time.
- ix. The Condominium, apartment or hotel rules and practices shall prohibit registered owners, visitors, invitees and others using the facilities or otherwise on the premises, from operating audio amplification equipment,

inclusive of loudspeakers, radio receivers, television sets, musical instruments, or other machines or devices for the producing or reproducing of sound, that produces noise that is plainly audible at any apartment unit in the adjacent and nearby properties.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

f. Variance(s)

- a. No variances were filed as a part of this application.

g. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- a. A copy of all pages of the recorded Supplemental Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- b. The Supplemental Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- c. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record an unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- d. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- e. The Supplemental Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- f. The previous Orders dated October 14, 2008 and April 13, 2010 shall remain in full force and effect, except to the extent modified herein.
- g. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.

- h. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- i. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "TwoFifty Collins LLC", as prepared by CIC Architecture, Interior Design, Real Estate Advisory, dated January 31, 2017, and as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20__.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
DEBORAH TACKETT
CHIEF OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, 20__ by Deborah Tackett, Preservation and Design Manager, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: _____

Approved As To Form: _____
City Attorney's Office: _____ ()

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on _____ ()

~~Strike Thru~~ denotes deleted language
Underscore denotes new language