

MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members
Historic Preservation Board

DATE: July 9, 2024

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director



SUBJECT: HPB23-0579, **7401, 7409, 7417 & 7425 Harding Avenue.**

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a mixed-use building of an existing surface parking lot and a variance to reduce the number of required loading spaces.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions.
Approval of the variance with conditions.

BACKGROUND

On June 25, 2024, the Planning Board is scheduled to review an application requesting conditional use approval for a Neighborhood Impact Structure (NIS) for a new 5-story, mixed-use building exceeding 50,000 square feet, to replace an existing parking lot.

EXISTING SITE

Local Historic District: North Shore

ZONING / SITE DATA

7401 Harding Avenue
Folio: 02-3202-003-0240
Legal Description: Lot 14, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

7409 Harding Avenue
Folio: 02-3202-003-0230
Legal Description: Lot 13, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

7417 Harding Avenue
Folio: 02-3202-003-0220

Legal Description:	Lot 12, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.
	7425 Harding Avenue
Folio:	02-3202-003-0210
Legal Description:	Lot 11, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.
Zoning:	CD-2, Commercial, medium intensity
Future Land Use Designation:	CD-2, Commercial, medium intensity
Lot Size:	30,000 sq. ft. (2.0 maximum FAR for mixed-use buildings)
Proposed FAR:	60,000 sq. ft. / 2.0 FAR
Proposed Height:	55'-0" as measured from B.F.E. +1'-0" freeboard (9.00' NGVD)
Existing Use:	Surface parking lot
Proposed Use:	Mixed-use townhome, office and commercial

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "7401-7425 Harding Avenue", as prepared by Urban Robot Associates, dated May 6, 2024.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application appears to be consistent with the Land Development Regulations, with the exception of the requested variance.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the residential and commercial use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

VARIANCE CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 2.8.3(a) of the Land Development Regulations:

- i. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- ii. The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

- iii. Granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by these land development regulations to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- iv. Literal interpretation of the provisions of these land development regulations would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of these land development regulations and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
- v. The variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- vi. The granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of these land development regulations and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare;
- vii. The granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan; and
- viii. The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- 1. A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Applicable
- 2. Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Not Applicable
- 3. Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Satisfied
- 4. Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations.
Satisfied
- 5. The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
Satisfied

6. The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.
Satisfied
7. In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.
Satisfied
8. Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.
Not Applicable
9. When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 in General Ordinances.
Not Applicable
10. In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.
Satisfied
11. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.
Satisfied
12. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a certificate of appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(1) of the Land Development Regulations (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
Not Applicable
 - b. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Reconstruction as may be amended from time to time.
Not Applicable

- c. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by resolution or ordinance by the city commission.
Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties the historic preservation board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(2) of the Land Development Regulations (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
- a. Exterior architectural features.
Satisfied
 - b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.
Satisfied
 - c. Texture and material and color.
Satisfied
 - d. The relationship of subsections a., b., c., above, to other structures and features of the district.
Satisfied
 - e. The purpose for which the district was created.
Satisfied
 - f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.
Satisfied
 - g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
Not Applicable
 - h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.
Not Applicable
- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(3) of the Land Development Regulations and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
- a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Satisfied

- b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

Not Satisfied

See the Variance Analysis section of this report.

- c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 2.13.1(c).

Satisfied

- d. The proposed structure, or additions to an existing structure are appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhance the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Satisfied

- e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

- f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

- g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a city master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.
Satisfied
- j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).
Satisfied
- k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.
Satisfied
- l. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.
Satisfied
- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
Satisfied
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.
Satisfied
- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
Satisfied
- p. In addition to the foregoing criteria, the requirements of chapter 104, of the General Ordinances, shall apply to the historic preservation board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.
Not Applicable
- q. The structure and site comply with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.
Satisfied
See Compliance with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria section of this report.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The applicant is proposing to construct a new 5-story building on the site of an existing surface parking lot located at the northeast corner of the intersection of 74th Street and Harding Avenue. The new structure consists of 2-story townhomes along Harding Avenue, ground level retail along 74th Street, as well as three levels of office space and 69 parking spaces.

Staff would commend the applicant for proposing to construct a high-quality structure to replace the existing surface parking lot. Over time, the parking lot has had an increasingly adverse impact on the context and character of Harding Avenue and the surrounding historic districts. The design of the building has been developed in a manner that appropriately responds to the architectural character of the North Shore Historic District. In this regard, the location of the 2-story townhouse units along Harding Avenue, maintains the low scale, as-built context of the neighborhood. Further, the taller office portion has been setback over 55'-0" from the Harding Avenue property line, lessening visual impacts to the surrounding 2-story contributing buildings. Additionally, staff would note that the facades of the townhomes have been grouped in pairs, subtly recalling the original 50-foot-wide development pattern of the North Shore neighborhood.

Finally, staff would note that the applicant is proposing to introduce 14 mature shade trees within the right-of-way which should significantly improve the pedestrian experience. In summary, staff is extremely supportive of the proposed project and looks forward to the replacement of the existing surface parking lot, which has been in use for over 70 years. As such, staff recommends approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness.

VARIANCE ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

1. A variance to reduce by one space, the off-street loading space requirement of three spaces, in order to provide two off-street loading spaces on-site. Variance requested from:

Section 5.2.6 Off-Street Loading Requirements

(a) When any new building or structure is erected or an existing building is modified resulting in an increase in FAR, accessory off-street loading spaces shall be provided for the new building, new structure, or increase in floor area in accordance with the following schedule:

LOADING REQUIREMENTS

Retail store, department store, restaurant, wholesale house, warehouse, repair, general service, manufacturing or industrial establishment, or similar use, which has an aggregate floor area in square feet of, Over 2,000 but not over 10,000: One space

Office building, hospital or similar institutions, places of public assembly, or similar use, which has an aggregate floor area in square feet of, Over 10,000 but not over 100,000: Two spaces

The applicant is proposing to provide two off-street loading spaces on site where three are required: one space for the retail use and two spaces for the office use. In addition to the two on site loading spaces, the applicant is also providing two loading spaces in the redevelopment project across the alley to the east. A Certificate of Appropriateness for this project was reviewed and approved by the Board at the June 11, 2024 meeting. Staff would note that the neighboring project requires only one loading space and as noted in the letter of intent, the applicant has

agreed to record an easement, making the loading spaces of the adjacent property accessible to the subject development. Essentially, the applicant is proposing to provide the third loading space in the project located across the alley. In summary, staff finds that practical difficulties exist due to the dimensions of the site in relation to the proposed uses. Additionally, the applicant is providing one of the off-street loading spaces in the adjacent development project to the east. As such, staff is supportive of the requested variance.

Finally, as noted herein, this project is scheduled to be reviewed by the Planning Board on June 25, 2024. The Planning Board will be addressing any issues pertaining to off-street loading operations.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends that the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness and variance be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness and practical difficulty and hardship criteria, as applicable.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: July 9, 2024

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 7401 Harding Avenue / 02-3202-003-0240
7409 Harding Avenue / 02-3202-003-0230
7417 Harding Avenue / 02-3202-003-0220
7425 Harding Avenue / 02-3202-003-0210

FILE NO: HPB23-0579

APPLICANT: 7410, LLC

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a mixed-use building of an existing surface parking lot and a variance to reduce the number of required loading spaces.

LEGAL: 7401 Harding Avenue
Lot 14, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

7409 Harding Avenue
Lot 13, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

7417 Harding Avenue
Lot 12, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

7425 Harding Avenue
Lot 11, Block 2, of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

A. The subject site is located within the North Shore Local Historic District.

- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
1. Is consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.
 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.
 3. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(2) of the Land Development Regulations.
 4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(3) of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of sections 2.13.7(d) and 7.1.2.4(a) of Land Development Regulations if the following conditions are met:
1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted, and at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.
 - b. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
 - c. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized, if applicable.

In accordance with section 2.2.4.8(c) of the Land Development Regulations the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected

person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special magistrate appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance:

1. A variance to reduce by one space, the off-street loading space requirement of three spaces, in order to provide two off-street loading spaces on-site.

B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of section 2.8.3(a) of the Land Development Regulations:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district.

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant.

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by these land development regulations to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.

That literal interpretation of the provisions of these land development regulations would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of these land development regulations and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant.

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure.

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of these land development regulations and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

C. The Board finds that the application satisfies Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts and the requirements of section 2.8.3(a) of the Land Development Regulations, and hereby **approves** the requested variances; and imposes the following condition based on its authority in section 2.8.4 of the Land Development Regulations:

1. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. Per the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) dated April 24, 2024, the applicant shall remove parking space #8 on the east wall of the west garage and convert parking space #7 to ADA.
- B. Per the TIA dated April 24, 2024, the applicant shall include a stop sign for vehicles using the garage ramp to exit the west garage, as well as the appropriate pavement markings.
- C. The applicant shall submit the revised west garage ingress/egress design to the City of Miami Beach Transportation & Mobility Department, in the form of a revised TIA with the updated Site Plan and bicycle parking space locations and totals, for review and approval prior to the issuance of the building permit.
- D. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.
- E. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- F. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- G. The applicant shall comply with the electric vehicle parking requirements, pursuant to section 5.2.12 of the land development regulations, as applicable.

- H. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- I. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the main building setbacks with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- J. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- K. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- L. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- M. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- N. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- O. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- P. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled **“7401-7425 Harding Avenue”**, as prepared by **Urban Robot Associates**, dated **May 6, 2024**, as

approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of section 2.13.7 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
DEBORAH TACKETT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of

_____ 20__ by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture Officer, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: _____

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: _____ ()

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on _____ ()

