MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members

Historic Preservation Board

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB22-0561, **829 4th Street**.

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing building on the site, the construction of an attached multi-family residential addition and a variance

from the setback requirements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions. Approval of the variance with conditions.

EXISTING STRUCTURE

Local Historic District: Ocean Beach Classification: Contributing

Construction Date: 1952

Architect: Harry C. Schwebke

ZONING / SITE DATA

Folio: 02-4203-009-5070

Legal Description: East 50 feet of Lots 7 and 8, Block 75, of the Ocean Beach

Addition No. 3 Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 81, of the public records of

DATE: April 11, 2023

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Zoning: C-PS2, General mixed-use commercial Future Land Use Designation: C-PS2, General mixed-use commercial

Lot Size: 5,000 sq. ft. (2.0 Max FAR)
Existing FAR: 2,088 sq, ft. / 0.41 FAR
Proposed FAR: 9,997 sq. ft. / 1.99 FAR

Existing Height: ~10'-9" measured from first finished floor elev. (8.00' NGVD)
Proposed Height: 38'-0" measured from base flood elevation plus 5'-0"

freeboard (13.00' NGVD)

Existing Use/Condition: Multi-family residential

Proposed Use: Mixed-use, multi-family residential and commercial

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Mixed-Use Project", as prepared by CIC Architecture, dated January 17, 2023.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application appears to be consistent with the City Code with the exception of the variance requested as part of this application.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY AND HARDSHIP CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

- That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;
- That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that
 is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
- That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this
 Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise
 detrimental to the public welfare; and
- That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

• The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

 Not Satisfied
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Satisfied**

The windows proposed to be replaced will be impact resistant.

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Satisfied

Operable windows are proposed.

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

 Satisfied
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

Satisfied

The land elevation of the site is consistent with the surrounding properties.

- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

 Satisfied
- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

Not Applicable

The first finished floor elevation of the existing building is located at base flood

elevation.

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Not Applicable

(10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the City Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as may be amended from time to time.
 Satisfied
 - b. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Reconstruction as may be amended from time to time.

Not Applicable

c. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.

Satisfied

- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.

Satisfied

b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

c. Texture and material and color.

Not Satisfied

The color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. **Satisfied**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.

Satisfied

f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

Satisfied

g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

Satisfied

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

Satisfied

b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

Not Satisfied

See the variance analysis section of this report.

c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary

public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

Satisfied

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

- Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
 Satisfied
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

 Satisfied
- The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
 Satisfied

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing structure is designated as Contributing within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The existing building is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The existing building is a distinctive example of a style of architecture that contributes to the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

Satisfied

The subject building is classified as Contributing in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of the building is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Satisfied

The applicant is not proposing the total demolition of the existing building.

h. The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The subject structure was constructed in 1952 and designed by Harry Schwebke in the Post War Modern style of architecture. This 1-story Contributing building contains four apartment units accessed via a side yard garden located along the alley (Jefferson Court). The primary (4th Street) façade features a number of architectural elements characteristic of the Post War Modern style of architecture including decorative slump brick panels to either side of the windows and, slump brick built-in planters and entry piers.

The applicant is currently proposing the construction of a rear 4-story addition as part of a redevelopment project consisting of a small commercial space and 8 parking spaces at the ground level, 9 residential units at the upper levels and a rooftop amenity deck. In order to construct the new addition, the applicant is proposing to demolish the rear approximately 75% of the building. The portion of the building to be retained is proposed to be substantially restored; however, the decorative entry piers located to the east of the building are proposed to be demolished. The applicant has provided several historical photographs that show the piers topped with a decorative iron archway. Staff recommends that the existing piers be retained, and the original archway be recreated to the greatest extent possible.



1955 Photograph

While the amount of demolition is substantial, staff would note that alley elevation is repetitive and nearly all of the character defining architectural features are located on the portion of the building to be retained. As such, staff has no objection to the demolition proposed.

Staff is generally supportive of the contemporary design language of the addition which incorporates variations in surface materials and changes in plane. Further, the height, scale and mass of the proposed building is consistent with nearby projects that have been completed within the last several years and the larger, surrounding context of the Ocean Beach Local Historic District. Staff does, however, have several concerns relative to the proposed design. First, to subdue the vertical accentuation of the windows, staff recommends that the extra window surround cladding be eliminated. Second, staff recommends that the color of the exterior aluminum cladding be reevaluated to be more complimentary to the Contributing building such as a champagne or light bronze color that would recall the natural slump brick features. Finally, staff recommends that the rear (north) elevation be further developed to break of the large expanse of porcelain tile cladding.

VARIANCE ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

1. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required rear setback of 10'-0" in order to construct the attached addition at a setback of 5'-0" from the north property line. Variance requested from:

Sec. 142-700. - Mixed use buildings.

The calculation of setbacks and floor area ratio for mixed use buildings shall be as follows: (1) Setbacks. When more than 25 percent of the total area of a building in a C-PS district is used for residential or hotel units, any floor containing such units shall follow the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 setback regulations.

Sec. 142-697. – Setback requirements in the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 districts.

(a) The setback requirements in the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 districts are as follows: Pedestal and subterranean; Rear: Non-oceanfront lots – 10% of lot depth.

The requested variance is related to the construction of an attached addition at the rear portion of the Contributing building to remain. The applicant is proposing a rear setback of 5'-0" where the code requirement is 10'-0". Staff would note that the existing lot is 5,000 square feet. where the current minimum lot size requirement is 6,000 square feet. However, as the property has already been developed and a portion of the building is proposed to remain, the undersized lot is permitted to remain. Further, the rear property line of the site immediately abuts a surface parking lot and drive-thru for a 1-story commercial bank building. Staff finds that the undersized lot and retention of a Contributing building create practical difficulties that warrant the issuance of the variance.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends that the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness and a variance be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness and practical difficulty and hardship criteria, as applicable.

MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members DATE: April 11, 2023

Historic Preservation Board

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB22-0561, **829 4th Street**.

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing building on the site, the construction of an attached multi-family residential addition and a variance

from the setback requirements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions. Approval of the variance with conditions.

EXISTING STRUCTURE

Local Historic District: Ocean Beach Classification: Contributing

Construction Date: 1952

Architect: Harry C. Schwebke

ZONING / SITE DATA

Folio: 02-4203-009-5070

Legal Description: East 50 feet of Lots 7 and 8, Block 75, of the Ocean Beach

Addition No. 3 Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 81, of the public records of

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Zoning: C-PS2, General mixed-use commercial Future Land Use Designation: C-PS2, General mixed-use commercial

Lot Size: 5,000 sq. ft. (2.0 Max FAR)
Existing FAR: 2,088 sq, ft. / 0.41 FAR
Proposed FAR: 9,997 sq. ft. / 1.99 FAR

Existing Height: ~10'-9" measured from first finished floor elev. (8.00' NGVD)
Proposed Height: 38'-0" measured from base flood elevation plus 5'-0"

freeboard (13.00' NGVD)

Existing Use/Condition: Multi-family residential

Proposed Use: Mixed-use, multi-family residential and commercial

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Mixed-Use Project", as prepared by CIC Architecture, dated January 17, 2023.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application appears to be consistent with the City Code with the exception of the variance requested as part of this application.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY AND HARDSHIP CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

- That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;
- That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that
 is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
- That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this
 Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise
 detrimental to the public welfare; and
- That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

• The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

 Not Satisfied
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Satisfied**

The windows proposed to be replaced will be impact resistant.

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Satisfied

Operable windows are proposed.

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

 Satisfied
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

Satisfied

The land elevation of the site is consistent with the surrounding properties.

- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

 Satisfied
- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

Not Applicable

The first finished floor elevation of the existing building is located at base flood

elevation.

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Not Applicable

(10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the City Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as may be amended from time to time.
 Satisfied
 - b. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Reconstruction as may be amended from time to time.

Not Applicable

c. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.

Satisfied

- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.

Satisfied

b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

c. Texture and material and color.

Not Satisfied

The color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. **Satisfied**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.

Satisfied

f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

Satisfied

g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

Satisfied

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

Not Satisfied

Satisfied

See the variance analysis section of this report.

c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary

public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Not Satisfied

The extent and color of the proposed dark metal exterior cladding has an adverse impact on the character of the portion of the Contributing building to remain.

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

Satisfied

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

- Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
 Satisfied
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

 Satisfied
- The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
 Satisfied

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing structure is designated as Contributing within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The existing building is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The existing building is a distinctive example of a style of architecture that contributes to the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

Satisfied

The subject building is classified as Contributing in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of the building is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Satisfied

The applicant is not proposing the total demolition of the existing building.

h. The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The subject structure was constructed in 1952 and designed by Harry Schwebke in the Post War Modern style of architecture. This 1-story Contributing building contains four apartment units accessed via a side yard garden located along the alley (Jefferson Court). The primary (4th Street) façade features a number of architectural elements characteristic of the Post War Modern style of architecture including decorative slump brick panels to either side of the windows and, slump brick built-in planters and entry piers.

The applicant is currently proposing the construction of a rear 4-story addition as part of a redevelopment project consisting of a small commercial space and 8 parking spaces at the ground level, 9 residential units at the upper levels and a rooftop amenity deck. In order to construct the new addition, the applicant is proposing to demolish the rear approximately 75% of the building. The portion of the building to be retained is proposed to be substantially restored; however, the decorative entry piers located to the east of the building are proposed to be demolished. The applicant has provided several historical photographs that show the piers topped with a decorative iron archway. Staff recommends that the existing piers be retained, and the original archway be recreated to the greatest extent possible.



1955 Photograph

While the amount of demolition is substantial, staff would note that alley elevation is repetitive and nearly all of the character defining architectural features are located on the portion of the building to be retained. As such, staff has no objection to the demolition proposed.

Staff is generally supportive of the contemporary design language of the addition which incorporates variations in surface materials and changes in plane. Further, the height, scale and mass of the proposed building is consistent with nearby projects that have been completed within the last several years and the larger, surrounding context of the Ocean Beach Local Historic District. Staff does, however, have several concerns relative to the proposed design. First, to subdue the vertical accentuation of the windows, staff recommends that the extra window surround cladding be eliminated. Second, staff recommends that the color of the exterior aluminum cladding be reevaluated to be more complimentary to the Contributing building such as a champagne or light bronze color that would recall the natural slump brick features. Finally, staff recommends that the rear (north) elevation be further developed to break of the large expanse of porcelain tile cladding.

VARIANCE ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

1. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required rear setback of 10'-0" in order to construct the attached addition at a setback of 5'-0" from the north property line. Variance requested from:

Sec. 142-700. - Mixed use buildings.

The calculation of setbacks and floor area ratio for mixed use buildings shall be as follows: (1) Setbacks. When more than 25 percent of the total area of a building in a C-PS district is used for residential or hotel units, any floor containing such units shall follow the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 setback regulations.

Sec. 142-697. – Setback requirements in the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 districts.

(a) The setback requirements in the R-PS1, 2, 3, 4 districts are as follows: Pedestal and subterranean; Rear: Non-oceanfront lots – 10% of lot depth.

The requested variance is related to the construction of an attached addition at the rear portion of the Contributing building to remain. The applicant is proposing a rear setback of 5'-0" where the code requirement is 10'-0". Staff would note that the existing lot is 5,000 square feet. where the current minimum lot size requirement is 6,000 square feet. However, as the property has already been developed and a portion of the building is proposed to remain, the undersized lot is permitted to remain. Further, the rear property line of the site immediately abuts a surface parking lot and drive-thru for a 1-story commercial bank building. Staff finds that the undersized lot and retention of a Contributing building create practical difficulties that warrant the issuance of the variance.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends that the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness and a variance be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness and practical difficulty and hardship criteria, as applicable.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: April 11, 2023

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 829 4th Street / 02-4203-009-5070

FILE NO: HPB22-0561

APPLICANTS: 13 Jan Real Estate LLC

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness

for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing building on the site, the construction of an attached multi-family residential

addition and a variance from the setback requirements.

LEGAL: East 50 feet of Lots 7 and 8, Block 75, of the Ocean Beach Addition No. 3

Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 2, Page

81, of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' & 'c' in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b', 'c' & 'd' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.

Page 2 of 7 HPB22-0561

Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 and 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The existing slump brick piers located along 4th Street shall be retained and restored and the previously existing archway shall be reintroduced to the greatest extent possible, consistent with available historical documentation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. Within the portion of the building to remain, the existing non-original windows shall be replaced with new casement windows with no muntins, and shall have a light grey, silver or clear anodized aluminum finish, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. The aluminum cladding surrounding the proposed windows located within the new addition shall be eliminated, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. The color of the exterior aluminum cladding of the new addition shall be a champagne or light bronze color, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - e. The rear (north) north façade shall be further developed to break up the large expanse of porcelain tile cladding, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.
 - b. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Page 3 of 7 HPB22-0561

Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

c. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized, if applicable.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance which was either approved by the Board with modifications, or denied:
 - 1. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required rear setback of 10'-0" in order to construct the attached addition at a setback of 5'-0" from the north property line.
- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

Page 4 of 7 HPB22-0561

Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

- C. The Board hereby **Approves** the requested variance, and imposes the following conditions based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - 1. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.
- B. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- C. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- D. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- E. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.

Page 5 of 7 HPB22-0561

Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

- F. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall not be located within the required setbacks with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- G. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- H. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- I. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- J. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- K. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- L. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Mixed-Use Project", as prepared by CIC Architecture, dated January 17, 2023, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate

Page 6 of 7 HPB22-0561

Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this day	of, 20
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
	BY:
	DEBORAH TACKETT HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLORIDA))SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrumen	t was acknowledged before me this day of 20 by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture
•	nt, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on ne is personally known to me.
	NOTARY PUBLIC
	Miami-Dade County, Florida
	My commission expires:

Approved As To Form:

Page 7 of 7 HPB22-0561 Meeting Date: April 11, 2023

City Attorney's Office:	()	
Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board or	n	1	,

