CFN: 20170028118 BOOK 30386 PAGE 2752 DATE:01/18/2017 08:08:49 AM

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK OF COURT, MIA-DADE CTY

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

January 10, 2017

FILE NO:

HPB0616-0043

PROPERTY:

355 Washington Avenue

APPLICANT:

Casa Coloretta, LLC

LEGAL:

Lot 15, Block 7, Ocean Beach Florida Subdivision, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 38, of the Public Records of

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition and renovation of the existing 1-story 'Contributing' structure and the construction of a 1-story rooftop addition, including variances to reduce the required rear and interior side setbacks and variances from the unit size

requirements.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Beach Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' & 'g' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria for Demolition in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:



Page 2 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

- 1. Revised elevations, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The windows on the front façade shall be removed and replaced with new windows consistent with the original window type and configuration, to the greatest extent possible according to available historical documentation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. The projecting eyebrow located on the north façade shall be retained or reconstructed from the western corner up to the point where the second floor addition begins, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. A gate shall be introduced at the top of the stair leading to the green roof of the rooftop addition, subject to the review and approval of the Fire Department and Building Department.
 - d. The interior drop ceiling located at the second level shall be raised above the top of the windows along the north elevation or the windows shall be lowered below the interior drop ceiling, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - e. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. The final location and details of all exterior ramp and railings systems, including materials, dimensions and finishes, shall be provided in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - g. The final design and details of all exterior lighting shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Lighting shall be designed in a manner to not have an adverse overwhelming impact upon the surrounding historic district.
 - h. All building signage shall require a separate permit. A uniform sign plan for the new building shall be required. Signage shall consist of reverse channel, back-lit letters, in a manner to be approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - i. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms; such transformers and vault rooms, and all other related devices and fixtures, shall not be permitted



Page 3 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

within any required yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk. The location of any exterior transformers, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- j. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The overall number of native and salt tolerant plant species should be increased, particularly on the roof deck, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. An automated irrigation system for the site inclusive of all exterior planters and roof gardens shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. The Clusia guttifera / Small Leaf Clusia shall be replaced with a different shrub species, preferably native, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the final revised landscape plan.
 - e. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- 3. The Applicant agrees to the following operational conditions for any and all permitted hotel and accessory uses and shall bind itself, lessees, permittees, concessionaires, renters, guests, users, and successors and assigns and all successors in interest in whole or in part to comply with the following operational and noise attenuation requirements and/or limitations.
 - a. ROOFTOP CONDITIONS



Page 4 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

- i. The applicant shall ensure through appropriate contracts, assignments and management rules that these restrictions are enforced. Owner agrees to include the rules and regulations set forth in these conditions in any contract or assignment.
- ii. Hotel guests or their guests shall not be permitted to access the roof of the rooftop addition and there shall be no commercial use of the rooftop.

b. NOISE CONDITIONS

- i. A violation of Chapter 46, Article IV, "Noise," of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida (a/k/a "noise ordinance"), as amended, shall be deemed a violation of this approval and subject the approval to modification in accordance with the procedures for modification of prior approvals as provided for in the Code, and subject the applicant to the review provided for in the first sentence of this subparagraph.
- ii. Applicant agrees that in the event Code Compliance receives complaints of unreasonably loud noise from mechanical and/or electrical equipment, and determines the complaints to be valid, even if the equipment is operating pursuant to manufacturer specifications, the applicant shall take such steps to mitigate the noise with noise attenuating materials as reviewed and verified by an acoustic engineer, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s), which were either approved by the Board with modifications, or denied (Underlying denotes new-language and strikethrough denotes stricken language):
 - 1. A variance to reduce by 1'-6" the minimum required pedestal side interior setback of 5'-0" in order to construct open stairs attached to the existing building at 3'-6" from the south side property line.
 - 2. A variance to reduce by 12'-5" the minimum required pedestal rear setback of 13'-0" in order to construct open stairs attached to the existing building at 7" from the rear property line.
 - 3. A variance to reduce by a range from 58 SF to 17 SF the minimum required area of 550 SF for new suite hotel units in order to construct 7 hotel units with full cooking facilities with a minimum area of 492 SF and a maximum area of 533 SF.



Page 5 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code, as noted above:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

- C. The Board hereby grants the requested variance(s), as noted in II.A.1 and II.A.2, and II.A.3., and imposes the following conditions based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - 1. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
 - 2. Landscape shall be provided to a larger extend between the entry steps at the north side of the property, subject to the review and approval of staff.



Page 6 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

3. The maximum width of the walkway at the north side shall not exceed 44 inches.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. Applicant agrees that in the event Code Compliance receives complaints of unreasonably loud noise from mechanical and/or electrical equipment, and determines the complaints to be valid, even if the equipment is operating pursuant to manufacturer specifications, the applicant shall take such steps to mitigate the noise with noise attenuating materials as reviewed and verified by an acoustic engineer, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- B. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- A. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, within 30 days of the Board approval.
- B. Applicant shall submit revised plans pursuant to Board conditions no later than 60 days after Board approval, as required.
- C. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- D. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- E. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- F. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is



Page 7 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

GRANTED, including the Application for Variances II.A.1 and II.A.2 as noted above, and that the Application for variance II.A.3 as noted above, is DENIED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Renovation/Additional 2nd Story To: Hotel Casa Coroletta, 355 Washington Ave" as prepared by JAM Associates, dated 12-12-16, and as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this // day of January, 2017

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI-BEACH, FLORIDA

DEBORANTACKETT

CHIEF OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA))SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day or
MONIQUE FONS MY COMMISSION #GG031914 EXPIRES. SEP 19, 2020 Bonded through 1st State Insurance NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires: Sep 19.0000
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office: (1/11/17)
Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on () () () () () () () () () () () () ()

Page 8 of 8 HPB0616-0043

Meeting Date: January 10, 2017

