

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: February 06, 2017

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB06-0097

1680 Meridian Avenue

The applicant, 1680 Costa LLC, is requesting Design Review Approval for exterior alterations to the façade of an existing six-story building, including the installation of new ground floor operable storefront windows and doors.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the design modifications

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot 6 and South ½ of Lot 7 of Block 36 Golf Course Subdivision Amended Plat, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 26, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

SITE DATA:

Zoning:

CD-3

Future Land Use:

CD-3

Lot Size:

11,250 SF

EXISTING STRUCTURE:

Six-story office building with ground floor retail

Architect: Gilbert Fein Year of Construction: 1959

LAND USES:

East: Two-story Macy's department store
North: Ten-story office with ground floor retail

South: Five-story building West: Surface parking lot

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Exterior Renovation Meridian Center – 1680 Meridian Avenue", as prepared by **MA Architecture Inc.**, dated, signed and sealed October 18, 2016.

The applicant is proposing exterior design modifications to the first floor and alterations to the overall façade of an existing six-story office building including new operable window and door openings, new NANA wall storefronts and raised platform and built in planters.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

The application, as submitted, appears to be consistent with the applicable requirements of the

City Code. This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria is found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
 Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Satisfied
- The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.
 Satisfied
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

 Satisfied
- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

 Satisfied
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Satisfied

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Satisfied; a lighting plan has not been provided.

- Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.
 Satisfied
- 11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

- 14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

 Not Applicable
- 15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

 Not Applicable

- All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.
 Satisfied
- 17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

 Not Applicable.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

DESIGN REVIEW

The applicant is proposing design modifications to the exterior and interior of an existing sixstory office building originally designed by Gilbert Fein.

The following summarizes the proposed modifications:

- restoration of the existing metal canopy at the front of the building:
- cladding the base of the new terrace, planters and columns with a stone veneer to match existing;
- new operable NANA wall type of storefronts at ground level facing Meridian Avenue; and
- raised front terrace approximately 18" high in order to create an elevated dining area.

At the time of this report there have been no tenants identified to occupy the building. Staff has no outstanding design concerns and recommends approval of the application as proposed.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/JGM

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\02-06-2017\FEB17 Staff Reports\DRB16-0097 1680 Meridian Ave.FEB17.doc

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

February 06, 2017

FILE NO:

DRB16-0097

PROPERTY:

1680 Meridian Avenue

APPLICANT:

1680 Costa LLC

LEGAL:

Lot 6 and South ½ of Lot 7 of Block 36 Golf Course Subdivision Amended Plat, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 26, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The application requesting Design Review Approval for exterior alterations to the façade of an existing six-story building, including the installation of new ground floor operable storefront windows and doors.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 9 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 if the following conditions are met:
 - Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings for the proposed modification to 1680 Meridian Avenue shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The proposed operable bifold door and folding glass wall storefront shall be permitted as proposed. All windows shall consist of clear glass and incorporate the minimum tint required by the energy code, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- b. The stone cladding proposed along the façades of the residence shall consist of a natural coral or other natural stone, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. The color and texture to match what is depicted in the submitted renderings and elevations.
- c. All interior fixtures, including, but not limited to, shelving, partitions, and checkout counters, shall be setback a minimum of ten (10') feet from the north and east walls of the building on the first and second levels, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. This shall not prohibit substantially transparent fixtures for display purposes only.
- d. The final design and details of all exterior and interior lighting shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Interior lighting shall be designed in a manner to not have an adverse overwhelming impact upon the surrounding area. No florescent or intensive 'white' lighting (or similar intensive lighting) visible from the adjacent public rights or way or adjacent properties shall be permitted.
- e. Final details of all proposed storefront systems and associated details shall be provided for all of the structures on the project sites, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- f. All kitchen ventilation shall be chased through the interior of the building to the roof or to the rear (west) of the building. No exhaust ducts or vents shall be permitted on any building elevations with the exception of the rear (west) elevation.
- g. A fully enclosed, air-conditioned trash room(s) shall be provided, which is sufficient to handle the maximum uses intended for the proposed structure. External dumpsters shall not be permitted.
- h. If any signage is projecting above or suspended below the proposed canopy, the signage shall be composed of individual pin-mounted lettering and all electrical conduits and exterior lighting elements shall be hidden from view. No exterior raceways shall be permitted.
- i. All exterior handrails and support posts shall incorporate a flat profile. The final design details, dimensions material and color of all exterior handrails shall be made part of the building permit plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board
- j. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.

- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
- 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The applicant shall remove and relocate the existing Royal Palm trees and replace with canopy shade street trees along Meridian Avenue consistent with the City's Street Tree Master Plan, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board, and root barriers shall be installed along the sidewalk in conjunction with structural soils.
- b. The applicant shall install, at minimum, 6 bicycle racks to be distributed along Meridian Avenue subject to review and approval of FDOT and the Public Works and Planning Department, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow prevention devices. Backflow prevention devices shall not be permitted within any required yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk, unless otherwise permitted by the Land Development Regulations. The location of all backflow prevention devices, and how they are screened from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all post-indicator valves (PIV), fire department connections (FDC) and all other related devices and fixtures, which shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans.
- d. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect for the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- B. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- C. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- D. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- E. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- F. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- G. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Exterior Renovation Meridian Center – 1680 Meridian Avenue", as prepared by **MA Architecture Inc.**, dated, signed and sealed October 18, 2016, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit,

the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of		, 20	-	
		DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA			
		BY:			
		JAMES G. MUR CHIEF OF URB FOR THE CHAI	AN DESIGN		
STATE OF FLORI	DA))SS				
COUNTY OF MIANTHE The foregoing instr	MI-DADE) ument was ackno	owledged before me t by James G. Murphy	his	_ day of	nning
Department, City o Corporation. He is	f Miami Beach, F	lorida, a Florida Muni	cipal Corpora	ation, on behalf	of the
		NOTARY PUBLI Miami-Dade Cou My commission	unty, Florida		
Approved As To Fo					
City Attorney's Offi	ce:		_ ()	
Filed with the Clerk	of the Design Re	eview Board on		()

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\02-06-2017\FEB17 Final Oders\DRFT DRB16-0097 1680 Meridian.FEB17.FO.docx