## MIAMIBEACH

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: January 3, 2017

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICF

**Planning Director** 

SUBJECT:

DRB16-0086

7135 Collins Avenue

The applicant, Burleigh House Condominium, is requesting Design Review Board Approval for exterior modification to an existing building including the replacement of new full-view windows and doors throughout the exterior of an existing nineteen-story oceanfront building and the demolition of exterior knee walls in order to install floor to ceiling windows on balconies.

#### **Recommendation:**

Approval with conditions

#### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

Lots 1-6 of Block 9 of the Normandy Beach South Subdivision, According to the Plat Thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 21 at Page 54, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

#### SITE DATA:

Zoning:

RM-3

Future Land Use:

RM

Nineteen-story multifamily building (1969 G.C. Weisman)

#### LAND USES:

East: Beach and Atlantic Ocean North: North Beach Bandshell Park

South: Four-story hotel

West: One-story retail across Collins Avenue

#### THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans and renderings entitled "Window Replacement Proposal" by Miami Curtain Wall Consultants Corp. dated November 10, 2016.

The applicant is proposing to remove the existing awning style windows and doors, and replace them with full-view windows. The applicant is also proposing the demolition of exterior knee walls in order to install floor to ceiling windows on balconies.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code. The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:**

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria is found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
   Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

  Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

  Satisfied
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

  Satisfied
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

Satisfied

6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

**Satisfied** 

7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

**Not Applicable** 

8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all

buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

#### **Not Applicable**

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

#### Not Applicable

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

#### **Not Applicable**

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

#### Not Applicable

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

#### Not Applicable

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

#### **Not Applicable**

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

#### **Not Applicable**

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

#### **Not Applicable**

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

#### **Not Applicable**

17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

**Not Applicable** 

#### **STAFF ANALYSIS:**

The applicant is proposing to remove the existing awning style windows and doors, and replace them with full-view windows and doors. Additionally the applicant is proposing minor demolition of exterior knee walls in order to install floor to ceiling windows on balconies. The amount of demolition is limited to 240 of the units, and includes the removal of the solid transom panel over the existing swing door and the removal of the existing knee wall to accommodate floor to ceiling windows.

In order to more closely match the buildings original appearance, staff typically recommends applying horizontal muntins to the window panes in order to help add a sense of scale and rhythm to larger structures. However, in this instance, the building has a 'X' formation layout on the site and the setbacks of the tower's massing differ greatly from the property lines. Additionally the variations of existing horizontal concrete banding and the repetition of receding glass balconies provide adequate visual relief to the overall scale of the nineteen-story building. Since the Burleigh House does not have a continuous street façade and has an orientation with multiple vantage points of varying depth, staff does not object to the requested full-view windows as presented and recommends that the application be approved.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/JGM

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\01-03-2017\JAN 17 Staff Reports\DRB16-0086 7135 Collins Ave.JAN17.doc

# DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: January 3, 2017

FILE NO: DRB16-0086

PROPERTY: 7135 Collins Avenue 'The Burleigh House'

APPLICANT: Burleigh House Condominium

LEGAL: Lots 1-6 of Block 9 of the Normandy Beach South Subdivision, According

to the Plat Thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 21 at Page 54, of the Public

Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The Application for Design Review Board Approval for exterior

modification to an existing building including the replacement of new fullview windows and doors throughout the exterior of an existing nineteenstory oceanfront building and the demolition of exterior knee walls in order

to install floor to ceiling windows on balconies.

#### ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

#### I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Design Review Criteria in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
    - Manufacturer's drawings and Dade County product approval numbers for all new windows, doors and glass shall be required, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a building permit.

- b. All window frames shall be composed of brushed anodized aluminum frames. All windows shall consist of clear glass and incorporate the minimum tint required by the energy code and compliant with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection regulations, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- d. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the City Administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

#### II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

### III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and II. Variances' noted above.

- A. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- B. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- C. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <a href="mailto:prior">prior</a> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- D. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy, a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- E. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- F. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- G. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Window Replacement Proposal" by Miami Curtain Wall Consultants Corp. dated November 10, 2016, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20
------------	--------	------

В	BY:	
	JAMES G. MURPHY	
	CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN	
	FOR THE CHAIR	
STATE OF FLORIDA ) )SS		
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )		
	ledged before me this day of	
20 by	y James G. Murphy, Chief of Urban Design, Planning	
Department, City of Miami Beach, Flor	ida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the	
Corporation. He is personally known to	o me.	
	NOTADY DUDI 10	
	NOTARY PUBLIC	
	Miami-Dade County, Florida	
	My commission expires:	
Approved As To Form:		
City Attorney's Office:	(	
Filed with the Clark of the Design Boying	our Doord on	,
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Revie	ew Board on (	)
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\01-03-2017\.IAN Final Orders	SIDRET DRB16-0086 7135 Colling Ave. IAN17 to door	