

MIAMI BEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members
Historic Preservation Board

DATE: March 8, 2022

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB21-0481, **1901 Collins Avenue.**

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition and renovation of two buildings on the site, the total demolition of two buildings, the construction of two new additions and landscape and hardscape modifications.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions.

EXISTING STRUCTURES

Local Historic District: Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue

Shore Club Hotel – 1901 Collins Avenue

Classification: Contributing
Construction Date: 1939
Architect: Robert A. Taylor

8-story rear addition

Classification: Contributing
Construction Date: 1955
Architect: Melvin Grossman

17-story addition

Classification: Non-Contributing
Construction Date: 1998
Architect: David Chipperfield Architects

Cromwell Hotel – 110 20th Street

Classification: Contributing
Construction Date: 1949
Architect: Albert Anis

ZONING / SITE DATA

Legal Description:

All of Lot 1 and a portion of Lots 2 and 3, Block B, of the OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, According to the Plat Thereof,

as Recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 7, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida and all of Lots 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10 and a portion of Lots 4 and 7, Block 1, FISHER'S FIRST SUBDIVISION OF ALTON BEACH, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 77, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida; and a portion of land lying East of and contiguous to the east line of said Blocks B and 1.

Zoning:	RM-3, Residential Multifamily, High Intensity
Future Land Use Designation:	RM-3, Residential Multifamily, High Intensity
Lot Size:	125,452 S.F. (Max FAR 3.0 +0.15 FAR for hotel amenities)
Existing FAR:	265,126 S.F. / 2.11 FAR, as represented by the architect
Proposed FAR:	395,173 S.F. / 3.14 FAR, as represented by the architect
Existing Height:	21-stories / ~200'-0"
Proposed Height:	17-stories / 200'-0"
Existing Use/Condition:	307 room hotel & accessory restaurants
Proposed Use:	65 room hotel, 80 residential units & accessory restaurants

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "The Shore Club", as prepared by Kobi Karp Architecture and Interior Design, Inc., dated December 6, 2021.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

The application for Certificate of Appropriateness, as submitted, appears to be consistent with the requirements of the City Code.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the **hotel use** is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Satisfied
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Satisfied
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Satisfied

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.
Satisfied
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
Satisfied
The land elevation of the site is consistent with the surrounding properties.
- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.
Satisfied
- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.
Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.
Not Applicable
The first habitable level of the Shore Club Hotel building is located at 11.27' NGVD and the first floor level of the Cromwell Hotel building is located at 11.45' NGVD.
- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.
Not Applicable
- (10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.
Satisfied
Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.
- (11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.
Satisfied
Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.
- (12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
Satisfied
 - b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
Satisfied

- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.
Not Satisfied
The proposed canopy located along the primary façade of the Cromwell Hotel building obscures an original architectural feature and could be perceived as part of the original design.
 - b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.
Satisfied
 - c. Texture and material and color.
Satisfied
 - d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district.
Satisfied
 - e. The purpose for which the district was created.
Satisfied
 - f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.
Satisfied
 - g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
Satisfied
 - h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.
Not Satisfied
The proposed interior design of the Cromwell Hotel lobby is not consistent with historic documentation.

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
- a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Not Satisfied
The loading spaces located along 20th Street have an adverse impact on the surrounding historic district and could be relocated within the basement garage level.
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Satisfied
 - c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.
Satisfied
 - d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.
Satisfied
 - e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.
Satisfied
 - f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these

roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Not Satisfied

The loading spaces located along 20th Street have an adverse impact on the surrounding historic district and could be relocated within the basement garage level.

- g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

- j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

- k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

- l. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Satisfied

- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Satisfied

- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing buildings are designated as part of the Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue Local Historic District.

- b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The 1939 Cromwell Hotel and the 1949 Shore Club Hotel buildings are of such design, craftsmanship, or material that they could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

- c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The 1939 Cromwell Hotel and the 1949 Shore Club Hotel buildings are distinctive examples of an architectural or design style that contributes to the character of the district.

- d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a Contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a Non-Contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or Contributing building.

Satisfied

The 1939 Cromwell Hotel and the 1949 Shore Club Hotel buildings are classified as Contributing in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

- e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of the 1939 Cromwell Hotel and the 1949 Shore Club Hotel buildings promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design.

- f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

- g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a Contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Applicable

The applicant is not proposing the total demolition of a Contributing building.

- h. The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

ANALYSIS

The applicant is proposing a comprehensive renovation of the subject property which contains two Contributing hotel structures and multiple later additions. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to construct a new residential tower addition at the center of the site to replace the existing 20-story addition and a 2-story cabana structure at the eastern portion of the site. Further, landscape and hardscape improvements are proposed throughout the site.

Shore Club Hotel

The original 3-story Shore Club Hotel was constructed in 1949 and designed by Albert Anis in the Post War Modern style of architecture. In 1955, an 8-story attached addition, designed by Melvin Grossman, was constructed at the end of the south wing of the hotel. In 1997, the Joint DRB/HPB reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for a 17-story addition, designed by David Chipperfield Architects, on top of the existing 3-story north wing of the hotel, for a total of 20-stories.

The applicant is currently proposing the renovation of the existing Shore Club hotel structure including the restoration of the primary façade facing Collins Avenue and the original lobby space. Proposed demolition includes, the original south wing of the hotel, the attached 8-story rear addition constructed in 1955, a portion of the north wing and the entire 1998 Chipperfield addition. While the amount of demolition is significant, staff would note that the original wings of the hotel and the 1955 addition contain little in the way of significant architectural details and are not visible from Collins Avenue or from the Beachwalk. As such, staff has no objection to demolition requested.


Within the original hotel lobby, the applicant is proposing to retain and restore all significant architectural features including the cross shaped columns with cloud shaped ceiling details, terrazzo flooring and curved wall with sculpture. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to demolish the existing non-original reception desk and back of house spaces at the southern portion of the lobby in order to introduce a new lobby bar.

Cromwell Hotel

The Cromwell Hotel, constructed in 1939 and designed by Robert A. Taylor, is an excellent example of classic Art Deco, resort architecture. The structure incorporates significant nautical references including the stepped massing of the primary facade, culminating in a multi-tiered central element recalling a ship's bridge, vertical window openings, raised vertical bands and portholes which define its towering ocean liner-like design character. In 1997, the Joint DRB/HPB reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for a 1-story roof top addition, designed by David Chipperfield Architects, on top of the existing 7-story structure.

Lobby

The applicant is proposing to reconfigure the ground level floor plan of the structure to include a residential lobby connecting to the new residential tower and a new restaurant. Staff would note that the ground level of the hotel building including the lobby was subdivided over time. Staff has located the original ground level floor plan within the Building Department records which reveals that original lobby occupied the majority of the north side of the first floor and a dining room and kitchen were located at the south side.



First Floor Plan, Cromwell Hotel, Permit #33033

Additionally, the applicant has provided images shown on page 15 of the historic resources report (and included below) that document much of the original lobby design. Based upon the original floor plan and historical photographs, staff believes the original Cromwell Hotel lobby could be accurately restored including the geometry of the space, the octagonal columns and the flooring pattern and recommends as such.



Photograph of Cromwell Hotel Lobby, 1940



Postcard of Lounge at Cromwell Hotel Lobby, ca. 1940

External modifications

Along the north (20th Street) side of the building, the existing non-original stair is proposed to be removed and replaced with a new staircase designed in a manner more consistent with the architectural character of the building. Additionally, the architect is proposing the introduction of a new canopy structure over a portion of the front porch. Staff is supportive of the proposed design which has been inspired by the profile of the existing projecting eyebrow; however, staff is concerned that this new structure obscures this original architectural feature and could be perceived as part of the original design. As such, staff recommends the architect explore ways to further differentiate this feature which may include a greater separation vertically between the elements and/or a change in material between the new canopy and the existing building.

Along the east (ocean) side of the building, the applicant is proposing the introduction of projecting balconies. This modification will require the demolition of portions of the wall below the existing window openings. Staff has no objection to this request as this is a non-primary façade and will not require the demolition of any significant architectural features.

New residential tower addition

The applicant is proposing to construct a new 17-story residential addition within the center of the site, replacing the 1998 Chipperfield addition. The new tower will physically connect to both the Shore Club and Cromwell hotel buildings at the ground and second levels. The location within the center of the site (behind the Shore Club and Cromwell hotels) helps to minimize the visual impact of the tower from Collins Avenue. Additionally, the design of the eastern portion of the tower steps back at three intervals minimizing the perceived height of the addition from the Beachwalk and allowing for additional light and air to penetrate the site.

Staff is supportive of the design for the proposed addition as it has been developed in a manner that responds well to the existing buildings and site conditions. In this regard, the design of the proposed addition has been significantly influenced by the nautical architectural character of the Cromwell Hotel. Further, the glass to masonry ratio appropriately responds to the punched masonry character of both Contributing buildings on the site.

Additionally, staff would note that the applicant is requesting approval for an increase in the floor plate size. According to the regulations outlined in Section 142-246(f)(2) of the City Code, the maximum floor plate size for the portion of an addition that exceeds 50'-0" in building height is 15,000 sq. ft., excluding projecting balconies. The Historic Preservation Board may allow for an increase in the overall floor plate, up to a maximum of 20,000 sq. ft. per floor, excluding balconies, in accordance with the Certificate of Appropriateness criteria. The applicant is requesting approval for floor plate sizes that range between 16,280 sq. ft. and 19,177 sq. ft. for levels 5 through 12 of the addition.

To the north of the Cromwell Hotel, the applicant is proposing to construct a 1-story service building that includes a ramp to the basement level parking, a loading area and additional back of house space. Staff believes that as originally submitted, the loading spaces and associated wide curb cut along 20th Street will have an adverse impact on the character of the surrounding historic district. Consequently, staff recommends that the loading spaces be relocated within the basement which will allow for a reduction in the curb cut and will provide an opportunity to introduce additional landscaping, significantly enhancing the pedestrian experience along 20th Street.

Pool deck and accessory structures

The applicant is proposing a complete renovation of the rear pool deck area including the construction of multiple pools and decks, the demolition of the existing 2-story cabana structure and the construction of a new 2-story cabana building. The structures proposed within the rear yard have been designed in a manner compatible with the more casual beachfront environment. As such, staff has no objection to the improvements proposed.

UPDATE

On February 8, 2022, the application was continued by the Board to the March 8, 2022 meeting at the applicant's request. Since that time, the applicant has submitted the following supplementary information:

- A revised letter of intent dated February 14, 2022.
- A letter from Desimone Consulting Engineers, dated February 14, 2022.
- Revised plans for the loading area and canopy along 20th Street in response to staff recommendations outlined in the February 8, 2022 staff report.

Staff is supportive of the proposed modifications to the loading area and new canopy structure along 20th Street which are consistent with the intent of staff's previously issued recommendations. In summary, staff recommends approval as noted below.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: March 8, 2022

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 1901 Collins Avenue / 02-3226-001-0020

FILE NO: HPB21-0481

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition and renovation of two buildings on the site, the total demolition of two buildings, the construction of two new additions and landscape and hardscape modifications.

LEGAL: All of Lot 1 and a portion of Lots 2 and 3, Block B, of the OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 7, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida and all of Lots 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10 and a portion of Lots 4 and 7, Block 1, FISHER'S FIRST SUBDIVISION OF ALTON BEACH, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 77, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida; and a portion of land lying East of and contiguous to the east line of said Blocks B and 1.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'a' & 'h' in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'a' & 'f' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.

5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 and 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The Cromwell Hotel lobby shall be restored consistent with available historical documentation to the greatest extent possible, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board; at a minimum, this shall include the original shape and size the lobby, the retention and restoration of the octagonal columns and the introduction of a terrazzo floor.
 - b. The two existing “Shore Club” open-face neon signs facing Collins Avenue shall be fully restored, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. The proposed canopy along 20th Street shall be further differentiated for the original building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board; this may include a greater vertical separation from the original eyebrow feature and/or a change in material between the new canopy and the existing building.
 - d. The new circular feature located at the top of the north façade of the Cromwell Hotel shall not be permitted.
 - e. The design for the proposed loading area along 20th Street shall be substantially consistent with the supplemental plans submitted for the March 8, 2022 hearing, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. Final design and details of the proposed residential tower and 2-story cabana building shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - g. All outdoor bar counters shall comply with Section 142-1109 of the City Code.
 - h. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - i. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from

view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- j. A plaque or historic display describing the history and evolution of the original building shall be placed on the site in a manner visible from the right of way, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
2. In accordance with Section 142-246(f)(2) of the City Code, The Board hereby approves an increase in the overall floor plate area for levels 5 through 12 of the new tower addition.
 3. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. All hedge and ground cover plantings within the front yard shall not exceed 36" in height at maturity.
 - b. A minimum of 50% of the rear yard along the rear property line shall be transparent with plant materials that would naturally not exceed 36" at maturity and would not require periodic pruning in order to maintain such height in order to create view corridors. Such view corridors shall be graphically identified and dimensioned on the landscape plans and shall not including trees.
 - c. Prior to issuance of a Building Permit, the applicant shall provide a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Arborist for any existing canopy shade trees with a DBH of 3" or greater located in public or private property, which may be scheduled for removal or relocation for the review and approval of the City of Miami Beach Urban Forester.
 - d. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.
 - e. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
 - f. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. No variances have been applied for as part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.
- B. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- C. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- D. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- E. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- F. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- G. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- H. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- I. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be

returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- J. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- K. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- L. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "**The Shore Club**", as prepared by **Kobi Karp Architecture and Interior Design, Inc.**, dated **December 6, 2021**, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20__.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
DEBORAH TACKETT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____ 20__ by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture Officer, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: _____

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: _____ ())

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on _____ ())