

# MIAMI BEACH

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### Staff Report & Recommendation

### Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members  
Historic Preservation Board

DATE: December 13, 2021

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP  
Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB21-0472, **915 Washington Avenue.**

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for modifications to the 2<sup>nd</sup> level roof deck including the construction of two additions and the installation of a retractable canopy structure.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions

#### **BACKGROUND**

On December 13, 2016 and February 14, 2017, the Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (HPB0716-0046) for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing structures and the construction of a new 7-story ground level addition.

On December 8, 2020, the Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (HPB20-0438) for the introduction of a canopy structure at the 2<sup>nd</sup> level roof deck.

On October 12, 2021, the Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for two rooftop additions and continued the rooftop canopy structure to a date certain of December 13, 2021.

#### **EXISTING STRUCTURES**

Local Historic District: Flamingo Park

##### **915 Washington Avenue**

Status: Contributing  
Construction Date: 1936  
Architect: Henry Hohausser

##### **947 Washington Avenue**

Status: Contributing  
Construction Date: 1942  
Architect: Kiehnel & Elliott

##### **955 Washington Avenue**

Status: Contributing  
Construction Date: 1936  
Architect: E. L. Robertson

**New Hotel Structure**

Status: Non-Contributing  
Construction Date: 2020  
Architect: Kobi Karp Architecture

**ZONING / SITE DATA**

Legal Description: Lots 10-15, Block 31 of Ocean Beach Addition No. 2, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 56 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

Zoning: CD-2, Commercial, medium intensity  
Future Land Use Designation: CD-2, Commercial, medium intensity

Lot Size: 39,000 S.F. / 2.0 Max FAR  
Existing FAR: 76,722 S.F. / 1/96 FAR  
Proposed FAR: 77,251 S.F. / 1.98 FAR

**THE PROJECT**

The applicant has submitted plans entitled “Moxy Hotel Roof Top Terrace”, as prepared by Saladino Design Studios, dated November 15, 2021.

**COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE**

The application, as submitted, appears to be consistent with the requirements of the City Code.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

**CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the existing **commercial** use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

**COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA**

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.  
**Not Applicable**
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.  
**Not Applicable**
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

**Not Applicable**

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

**Not Applicable**

- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

**Not Applicable**

- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

**Not Applicable**

- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

**Not Applicable**

- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

**Not Applicable**

- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

**Not Applicable**

- (10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

**Not Applicable**

- (11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

**Not Applicable**

- (12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

**Satisfied**

**COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA**

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section

118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

- a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.  
**Satisfied**
- b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.  
**Satisfied**

II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

- a. Exterior architectural features.  
**Not Satisfied**  
**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**
- b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.  
**Not Satisfied**  
**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**
- c. Texture and material and color.  
**Satisfied**
- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district.  
**Not Satisfied**  
**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.  
**Not Satisfied**  
**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**
- f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.  
**Satisfied**
- g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

**Satisfied**

- h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

**Not Applicable**

III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):

- a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

**Satisfied**

- b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

**Satisfied**

- c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

**Not Satisfied**

**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**

- d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

**Not Satisfied**

**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**

- e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district,

contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

**Not Satisfied**

**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**

- f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

**Not Applicable**

- g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

**Satisfied**

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

**Not Applicable**

- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

**Satisfied**

- j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

**Not Satisfied**

**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**

- k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

**Not Applicable**

- I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.  
**Satisfied**
- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).  
**Not Satisfied**  
**While the proposed canopy located at the western edge of the second level rooftop has been reduced in height, staff remains concerned about its impact on the three Contributing building facades at the ground level.**
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.  
**Not Applicable**
- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.  
**Not Applicable**

### **ANALYSIS**

As noted in the Background section of this report, in 2017, the Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of three Contributing buildings and the construction of a new 7-story ground level addition, as part of a new hotel development.

On October 12, 2021, the Board partially approved the subject application including the introduction of two rooftop additions at the second level roof deck and continued the request for the rooftop canopy structure to the December 13, 2021 meeting. Since the October meeting, the applicant has submitted revised plans. Additionally, staff met with the applicant at the site to review the existing conditions and explore alternative design options for the canopy system.

In response to concerns expressed by the Board and staff, the applicant has submitted revised plans which include a reduction in height of the canopy located along the western edge of the pool deck wrapping around the north side of the lounge building. The currently proposed design also includes a taller portion (15'-0" tall) located adjacent to the east. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to remove the existing decorative projecting overhang attached to lounge building in order to expand the new canopy over this area.

During staff's site visit, the challenges associated with a large rooftop restaurant with extremely limited indoor dining were apparent and staff believes that the proposed configuration is an improvement over the previously proposed design. However, staff remains concerned with the impact this canopy structure will have on the three Contributing facades at the ground level, as well as the character of the surrounding historic district. Consequently, staff recommends the elimination of the proposed lower height canopy that is located within 9'-3" of the westernmost edge of the roof deck. Additionally, staff recommends that the structure of the canopy be reduced to the greatest extent possible including the consolidation of adjacent columns and elimination of

the secondary horizontal beams. If the Board determines that the location of a canopy within the first 9'-3" satisfy the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria, staff recommends that the height of the lower canopy be reduced by a minimum of 1'-6".

**RECOMMENDATION**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be for a Certificate of Appropriateness be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria.



**HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD**  
**City of Miami Beach, Florida**

MEETING DATE: December 13, 2021

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 915 Washington Avenue / 02-3234-008-1400

FILE NO: HPB21-0472

IN RE: An application has been filed by Washington Ave Associates, LLC requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for modifications to the 2nd level roof deck including the construction of two additions and the installation of a retractable canopy structure.

LEGAL: Lots 10-15, Block 31 of Ocean Beach Addition No. 2, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 56 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

**CONSOLIDATED ORDER**

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

**I. Certificate of Appropriateness**

- A. The subject site is located within the Flamingo Park Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
  - 1. Is consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 2. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'a', 'b', 'd' & 'e' in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'c', 'd', 'e', 'j' & 'm' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 and 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

- A. ~~The design of the rooftop canopy structures at the second level shall be further developed in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Historic Preservation Board. The proposed lower height canopy that is located within 9'-3" of the westernmost edge of the roof deck shall be eliminated, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.~~
- b. The structure of the canopy system shall be reduced to the greatest extent possible including the consolidation of adjacent columns and elimination of the secondary horizontal beams, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. Final design and details of the proposed retractable canopy structure shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Any lighting, fans, speakers, conduit etc. shall be integrated within the canopy frame.
- d. ~~b.~~ The design of the second level roof top additions shall be simplified, including the elimination of the barrel tile roofing material, in in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

## II. Variance(s)

- A. No variances have been applied for as part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

## III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. This Final Order consolidates all conditions and requirements for Certificate of Appropriateness and variance approval as same as are contained herein, in the Order dated October 12, 2021. Accordingly, this Order shall serve as the Final Order for the proposed project. In the event of a conflict between the provisions hereof and those of the previous Orders, the provisions hereof shall control.
- B. A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.:

Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.

- C. ~~B.~~ The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- D. ~~C.~~ The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- E. ~~D.~~ The applicant shall comply with the electric vehicle parking requirements, pursuant to Sec. 130-39 of the City Code, as applicable.
- F. ~~E.~~ Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- G. ~~F.~~ All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- H. ~~G.~~ A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- I. ~~H.~~ The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- J. ~~I.~~ Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- K. ~~J.~~ The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- L. ~~K.~~ The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- M. ~~L.~~ Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

- N. ~~M.~~ Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled “**Moxy Hotel Roof Top Terrace**”, as prepared by **Saladino Design Studios, dated August 2, 2021 and November 15, 2021**, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD  
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEBORAH TACKETT  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER  
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA            )  
  )SS  
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE    )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 20\_\_ by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture Officer, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me.

\_\_\_\_\_  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
Miami-Dade County, Florida  
My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved As To Form:  
City Attorney's Office: \_\_\_\_\_ (                    ) )

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on \_\_\_\_\_ (                    ) )

~~Strike-Thru~~ denotes deleted language  
Underscore denotes new language