PLANNING DEPARTMENT<br>1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139; Tel: 305.673.7550; Web: www.miamibeachfl.gov/planning

## BOARD APPLICATION CHECKLIST

A Pre-Application meeting must be scheduled via CAP to obtain a plan case number and for board staff review of all submittals.
Pre-Application meetings for applications that do not require a traffic study are scheduled on a first come-first served basis and must occur no later than five (5) business days prior to CAP First submittal.
Applications requiring a traffic study must meet with the Transportation Department and peer reviewer thirty (30) calendar days prior to the CAP First Submittal deadline to determine the methodology for the traffic impact study and obtain the Transportation Department's checklist. Fifteen (15) days prior to the First submittal the applicant must submit the traffic study via CAP. Seven (7) days prior to First submittal the Transportation Department/Peer Reviewer will provide first round of comments to the applicant. The applicant must address the comments and submit revised traffic study/plans by the CAP First Submittal deadline including a narrative responding to Transportation/Peer Reviewer comments.

Incomplete, or submittals found to be insufficient will not be placed on a Board agenda.

| Property address: 3425 Collins Avenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | ITEM DESCRIPTION | REQUIRED |
| CAP FIRST SUBMITTAL <br> To be uploaded online (CAP) by the applicant before $12: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ by First submittal deadline. ALL PLANS MUST BE DIMENSIONED AND LEGIBLE. INCLUDE A GRAPHIC SCALE. |  |  |
| 1 | Application Fee and Peer review fees shall be paid after Pre-Application meeting and before the First submittal. It is the applicant's responsibility to make this payment, if an invoice is not generated by the CAP system, the applicant should contact staff prior to first submittal to be invoiced and make payment. | $\checkmark$ |
| a | Is the property the primary residence \& homestead of the applicant/property owner? (If yes, provide office of the Property Appraiser Summary Report). |  |
| 2 | Copy of signed and dated check list issued at Pre-Application meeting. | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | Completed Board Application, Affidavits \& Disclosures of Interest (original signatures). | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | Signed and dated Letter of Intent. Letter must outline application details and identify hardships if Variances are requested. (see also Items \# 42,43 and 44). | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Mailing Labels: Upload property owner's list and copy of original certified letter from provider. See \#52 for submittal of Hard copy / originals of these items. | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | Copies of all current or previously active Business Tax Receipts. |  |
| 7 | School Concurrency Application for projects with a net increase in residential units (no SFH). Provide Planning Department - Miami Dade - School Concurrency Application for Transmittal | $\checkmark$ |
| 8 | Survey: Electronic version of original signed \& sealed, dated no more than six months from date of application. Survey must provide: lot area, grade per Section 114-1 of the City Code. (If no sidewalk exists, provide the elevation of the crown of the road) and spot elevations. | $\checkmark$ |
| 9 | Architectural Plans and Exhibits (must be 11"x 17") | $\checkmark$ |
| a | Cover Sheet with bullet point scope of work, clearly labeled "First Submittal" and dated with First Submittal deadline date. Include copies of previous recorded board orders, if applicable. | $\checkmark$ |


| Property address: 3425 Collins Avenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | ITEM DESCRIPTION | REQUIRED |
| b | Copy of the original survey included in plan package. See No. 8 above for survey requirements | $\checkmark$ |
| c | All Applicable Zoning Information (Use Planning Department zoning data sheet format). | $\checkmark$ |
| d | Context Location Plan, Min 8.5"X11" Color Aerial 1/2 mile radius, identifying project and showing name of streets. (no Google images) | $\checkmark$ |
| e | Full legal description of the property if not included in survey (for lengthy legal descriptions, attach as a separate document - label clearly). | $\checkmark$ |
| f | Existing FAR Shaded Diagrams (Single Family Districts: Unit Size and Lot Coverage Shaded Diagrams), if applicable | $\checkmark$ |
| g | Proposed FAR Shaded Diagrams (Single Family Districts: Unit Size and Lot Coverage Shaded Diagrams), if applicable. | $\checkmark$ |
| h | Site Plan (fully dimensioned with setbacks, existing and proposed, including adjacent right-of-way widths). | $\checkmark$ |
| i | Current color photographs, dated, Min 4"x 6" of project site and existing structures (no Google images) | $\checkmark$ |
| j | Current, color photographs, dated, Min 4"x6" of interior space (no Google images) |  |
| k | Current color photographs, dated, Min 4"x 6" of context, corner to corner, across the street and surrounding properties with a key directional plan (no Google images) | $\checkmark$ |
| 1 | Existing Conditions Drawings (Floor Plans \& Elevations with dimensions). Number of seats, furniture layout if applicable |  |
| m | Demolition Plans (Floor Plans \& Elevations with dimensions) If applicable |  |
| n | Proposed Floor Plans and Roof Plan, including mechanical equipment plan and section marks. Plans shall indicate location of all property lines and setbacks. | $\checkmark$ |
| o | Proposed Elevations, materials \& finishes noted (showing grade, base flood elevation, heights in NGVD values and free board if applicable) | $\checkmark$ |
| p | Proposed Section Drawings | $\checkmark$ |
| q | Color Renderings (elevations and three dimensional perspective drawings). | $\checkmark$ |
| 10 | Landscape Plans and Exhibits (must be 11"x 17") | $\checkmark$ |
| a | Landscape Plan - street and onsite - identifying existing, proposed landscape material, lighting, irrigation, raised curbs, tree survey and tree disposition plan, as well as underground and overhead utilities when street trees are required. | $\checkmark$ |
| b | Hardscape Plan, i.e. paving materials, pattern, etc. | $\checkmark$ |
| 11 | Copy of original Building Permit Card, \& Microfilm, if available. |  |
| 12 | Copy of previously approved building permits (provide building permit number) and/or Board Orders. |  |
| 13 | Existing and Proposed detailed topographic survey depicting existing spot grades (NAVD) as well as all underground/overhead utilities and easements/agreements with recording data. See Part 1 / Section 1 / A. Surveying \& Mapping Standards and submittal Requirements of the Public Works Manual. <br> http://www.miamibeachfl.gov/publicworks/engineering/engineeringmanual.aspx?id=12920 |  |
| 14 | Vacant/Unoccupied structures or sites shall provide recent photographic evidence that the site and structure are secured and maintained. The applicant shall obtain and post a No Trespassing Sign from the City's Police Dept. |  |


| Property address: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | ITEM DESCRIPTION | REQUIRED |
| 15 | Historic Resources Report (This report shall include, but not be limited to, copy of the original Building Permit Card and subsequent modifications, Microfilm records, existing condition analysis, photographic and written description of the history and evolution of the original building on the site, all available historic data including original plans, historic photographs and permit history of the structure and any other related information on the property. |  |
| 16 | Contextual Elevation Line Drawings, corner to corner, across the street and surrounding properties (dated). | $\checkmark$ |
| 17 | Line of Sight studies. |  |
| 18 | Structural Analysis of existing building including methodology for shoring and bracing. |  |
| 19 | Proposed exterior and interior lighting plan, including photometric calculations. |  |
| 20 | Exploded Axonometric Diagram (showing second floor in relationship to first floor). |  |
| 21 | Neighborhood Context Study. (Planning will provide guidance if necessary for application.) |  |
| 22 | Required yards open space calculations and shaded diagrams. |  |
| 23 | Required yards section drawings. |  |
| 24 | Variance and/or Waiver Diagram If applicable | $\checkmark$ |
| 25 | Schematic signage program |  |
| 26 | Detailed sign(s) with dimensions and elevation drawings showing exact location. |  |
| 27 | Elevation drawings showing area of building façade for sign calculation (Building ID signs). |  |
| 28 | Daytime and nighttime renderings for illuminated signs. |  |
| 29 | Floor Plan Indicating area where alcoholic beverages will be displayed. |  |
| 30 | Survey showing width of the canal (Dimension shall be certified by a surveyor) |  |
| 31 | Site Plan showing total projection of structures from seawall, location and dimension of all structures inclusive of dock, mooring piles, boat lift, etc. |  |
| 32 | DERM recommendation/preliminary approval. Docks or any structures shall have approval stamp from DERM or other regulatory agency before submitting for a variance. A letter from DERM or other agency, explaining specific requirements for the project is recommended. |  |
| 33 | Technical specifications of the boat lift and/ or boat, ship of vessel to be docked or moored. |  |
| 34 | Survey shall include spot elevations in rear yard and elevation points on the dune adjacent to the property. Provide highest elevation point on the due within the property. Erosion control line and Bulkhead line shall be indicated if present. |  |
| 35 | Scaled, signed, sealed and dated specific purpose survey (Alcohol License/Distance Separation) distance shown on survey with a straight line. |  |
| 36 | Proposed Operational Plan: Include deliveries and trash pickup times, hours of operations, number of employees, security and restaurant menu (if applicable). |  |
| 37 | Maneuvering plan for loading within the existing/proposed conditions, delivery and garbage trucks size (length and width). |  |
| 38 | Traffic Study, Site plan(s) : Revised version and narrative addressing first round of comments from Transportation Department and peer review, provide a narrative. (See Transportation Department check list for requirements.) If applicable | $\checkmark$ |
| 39 | Sound Study report (Hard copy) with 1 CD. |  |
| 40 | Site Plan (Identify streets and alleys) |  |
| a | Identify: setbacks $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ Height $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ Drive aisle widths ___Streets and sidewalks widths | $\checkmark$ |


| Property address: | $\text { ty address: } 3425 \text { Collins Avenue } \quad \text { Board: } \quad \text { HPB } \quad \text { Date: 08/0 }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | ITEM DESCRIPTION | REQUIRED |
| b | \# parking spaces \& dimensions $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ Loading spaces locations \& dimensions $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| c | \# of bicycle parking spaces |  |
| d | Interior and loading area location \& dimensions |  |
| e | Street level trash room location and dimensions |  |
| f | Delivery route $\qquad$ Sanitation operation $\qquad$ Valet drop-off \& pick-up $\qquad$ Valet route in and out $\qquad$ |  |
| g | Valet route to and from ___auto-turn analysis for delivery and sanitation vehicles ____ |  |
| h | Indicate any backflow preventer and FPL vault if applicable | $\checkmark$ |
| i | Indicate location of the area included in the application if applicable |  |
| j | Preliminary on-street loading plan |  |
| 41 | Floor Plan (dimensioned) |  |
| a | Total floor area |  |
| b | Identify \# seats indoors___ outdoors___ seating in public right of way ___ Total |  |
| c | Occupancy load indoors and outdoors per venue___ Total when applicable____ |  |
| 42 | The letter of Intent shall include and respond to all sea level rise and resiliency review criteria per section 133-50 of the City Code. | $\checkmark$ |
| 43 | The Letter of Intent for Variances shall include and respond to all review guidelines in the code as follows: |  |
| a | Section 118-53 (d) of the City Code for each Variance. If applicable | $\checkmark$ |
| 44 | The Letter of Intent for Planning Board shall include and respond to all review guidelines in the code as follows: |  |
| a | For Conditional Use -Section 118-192 (a)(1)-(7) |  |
| b | CU - NIE and or outdoor Entertainment Establishments - Section 142-1362 (a)(1)-(9) |  |
| c | CU - Mechanical Parking - Section 130-38 (3)(c)(i)(1)-(2) \& (4)(a)-(k) |  |
| d | CU - Structures over 50,000 SQ.FT. - Section 118-192 (b) (1)-(11) |  |
| e | CU - Religious Institutions - Section 118-192 (c) (1)-(11) |  |
| f | For Lot Splits - Section 118-321 (B) (1)-(6). Also see application instructions |  |
|  | Notes: The applicant is responsible for checking above referenced sections of the Code. If not applicable write N/A |  |
| Other | Gross square footage calculation and diagrams | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | Materials and finishes samples sheet | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | If modification, provide previously approved plans | $\checkmark$ |

[^0]

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A. Other information/documentation required for First submittal will be identified during Pre-Application meeting but may be modified based on further analysis.
B. It is the responsibility of the applicant to confirm that documents submitted via CAP, Paper Submittal sets (14 copies), and electronic version on CD are consistent with each other and legible.
C. Plan revisions and supplemental documentation will not be accepted after the Final Submittal deadline
D. All documents required for Board applications must be submitted in an electronic format (PDF) via CD in the manner prescribed herein. The CD is considered the "Formal Submission", and must include the electronic version of all hard copy documents associated with the application. A new Updated CD will be required if any modifications are made before or after hearing. Failure to comply with the aforementioned may result in a rehearing before the applicable board at the applicant's expense.
E. Please note that the applicant will be required to submit revised plans pursuant to applicable Board Conditions no later than 60 days after Board Approval. (If applicable)

Matthew Barnes
Applicant or Designee's Name


Applicant or Designee's Signature

8/4/20
Date

## MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139; Tel: 305.673.7550; Web: www.miamibeachfl.gov/planning

## LAND USE BOARD HEARING APPLICATION

The following application is submitted for review and consideration of the project described herein by the land use board selected below. A separate application must be completed for each board reviewing the proposed project.

## Application Information

FILE NUMBER
HPB20-0430


| Project Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is there an existing building(s) on the site? |  |  | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\square$ No |  |
| Does the project include interior or exterior demolition? |  |  | ■ Yes | $\square$ No |  |
| Provide the total floor area of the new construction. |  |  |  | 142,694 | SQ. FT. |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Provide the gross floor area of the new construction (including required parking and all usable area). } 303,259 & \text { SQ. FT. }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Party responsible for project design |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME <br> Luis Revuelta |  | ■ Architect $\square$ Contractor $\square$ Landscape Architect <br> $\square$ Engineer $\square$ Tenant $\square$ Other_ |  | Landscape Architect - Other $\qquad$ |  |
| ADDRESS <br> 2950 SW 27 Avenue |  | CITY <br> Miami |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATE } \\ & \text { FL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZIPCODE } \\ & 33133 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BUSINESS PHONE } \\ & 305-590-5000 \end{aligned}$ | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESSluisrevuelta@revuelta.com |  |  |  |
| Authorized Representative(s) Information (if applicable) |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME <br> Neisen Kasdin |  | $\square$ Attorney $\square$ Contact <br> $\square$ Agent $\square$ Other__ |  |  |  |
| ADDRESS98 SE 7 Street, Suite 1100 |  | CITYMiami |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATE } \\ & \text { FL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ZIPCODE } \\ 33131 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BUSINESS PHONE } \\ & 305-374-5600 \end{aligned}$ | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS neisen.kasdin@akerman.com |  |  |  |
| NAME <br> Matthew Barnes |  | $\square$ Attorney $\square$ Contact <br> $\square$ Agent $\square$ Other_ |  |  |  |
| ADDRESS <br> 98 SE 7 Street, Suite 1100 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CITY } \\ & \text { Miami } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { STATE } \\ \text { FL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ZIPCODE } \\ 33131 \end{array}$ |
| BUSINESS PHONE $305-755-5825$ | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESSmatthew.barnes@akerman.com |  |  |  |
| NAME |  | $\square$ Attorney $\square$ Contact <br> $\square$ Agent $\square$ Other_ |  |  |  |
| ADDRESS |  | CITY |  | STATE | ZIPCODE |
| BUSINESS PHONE | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADD |  |  |  |

## Please note the following information:

- A separate disclosure of interest form must be submitted with this application if the applicant or owner is a corporation, partnership, limited partnership or trustee.
- All applicable affidavits must be completed and the property owner must complete and sign the "Power of Attorney" portion of the affidavit if they will not be present at the hearing, or if other persons are speaking on their behalf.
- To request this material in alternate format, sign language interpreter (five-day notice is required), information on access for persons with disabilities, and accommodation to review any document or participate in any City sponsored proceedings, call 305.604.2489 and select (1) for English or (2) for Spanish, then option 6; TTY users may call via 711 (Florida Relay Service).


## Please read the following and acknowledge below:

- Applications for any board hearing(s) will not be accepted without payment of the required fees. All checks are to be made payable to the "City of Miami Beach".
- Public records notice - All documentation submitted for this application is considered a public record subject to Chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes and shall be disclosed upon request.
- In accordance with the requirements of Section 2-482 of the code of the City of Miami Beach, any individual or group that will be compensated to speak or refrain from speaking in favor or against an application being presented before any of the City's land use boards, shall fully disclose, prior to the public hearing, that they have been, or will be compensated. Such parties include: architects, engineers, landscape architects, contractors, or other persons responsible for project design, as well as authorized representatives attorneys or agents and contact persons who are representing or appearing on behalf of a third party; such individuals must register with the City Clerk prior to the hearing.
- In accordance with Section 118-31. - Disclosure Requirement. Each person or entity requesting approval, relief or other action from the Planning Board, Design Review Board, Historic Preservation Board or the Board of Adjustment shall disclose, at the commencement (or continuance) of the public hearing(s), any consideration provided or committed, directly or on its behalf, for an agreement to support or withhold objection to the requested approval, relief or action, excluding from this requirement consideration for legal or design professional service rendered or to be rendered. The disclosure shall: (II) be in writing, (III) indicate to whom the consideration has been provided or committed, (III) generally describe the nature of the consideration, and (IV) be read into the record by the requesting person or entity prior to submission to the secretary/clerk of the respective board. Upon determination by the applicable board that the forgoing disclosure requirement was not timely satisfied by the person or entity requesting approval, relief or other action as provided above, then (I) the application or order, as applicable, shall immediately be deemed null and void without further force or effect, and (III) no application form said person or entity for the subject property shall be reviewed or considered by the applicable board(s) until expiration of a period of one year after the nullification of the application or order. It shall be unlawful to employ any device, scheme or artifice to circumvent the disclosure requirements of this section and such circumvention shall be deemed a violation of the disclosure requirements of this section.
- When the applicable board reaches a decision a final order will be issued stating the board's decision and any conditions imposed therein. The final order will be recorded with the Miami-Dade Clerk of Courts. The original board order shall remain on file with the City of Miami Beach Planning Department. Under no circumstances will a building permit be issued by the City of Miami Beach without a copy of the recorded final order being included and made a part of the plans submitted for a building permit.

The aforementioned is acknowledged by:


## OWNER AFFIDAVIT FOR INDIVIDUAL OWNER

## STATE OF

$\qquad$

## COUNTY OF

$\qquad$
I, $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, depose and certify as follows: (1) I am the owner of the property that is the subject of this application. (2) This application and all information submitted in support of this application, including sketches, data, and other supplementary materials, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. (3) I acknowledge and agree that, before this application may be publicly noticed and heard by a land development board, the application must be complete and all information submitted in support thereof must be accurate. (4) I also hereby authorize the City of Miami Beach to enter my property for the sole purpose of posting a Notice of Public Hearing on my property, as required by law. (5) I am responsible for remove this notice after the date of the hearing.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by $\qquad$ , who has produced $\qquad$ as identification and/or is personally known to me and who did/did not take an oath.

My Commission Expires: $\qquad$
PRINT NAME

## ALTERNATE OWNER AFFIDAVIT FOR CORPORATION, PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

## STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Miami-Dade

I, Scott Geraghty Manager
being first duly sworn, depose and certify as follows: (1) 1 am the (print name of corporate entity). (2) 1 am authorized to file this application on behalf of such entity. (3) This application and all information submitted in support of this application, including sketches, data, and other supplementary materials, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. (4) The corporate entity named herein is the owner of the property that is the subject of this application. (5) I acknowledge and agree that, before this application may be publicly noticed and heard by a land development board, the application must be complete and all information submitted in support thereof must be accurate. (6) I also hereby authorize the City of Miami Beach to enter my property for the sole purpose of posting a Notice of Public Hearing on my property, as required by law. (7) I am responsible for remove this notice after the date of the hearing.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 4 th day of June
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ , 2020 . The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Scott Seracinty who has produced $\# 1$ driver license as identification and/or is personally known tome and who did/did not take an oath.

NOTARY SEAL OR STAMP

My Commission Expires:


PRINT NAME

## STATE OF Florida

## COUNTY OF Miami-Dade

1, Scott Geraghty $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, depose and certify as follows: (1) I am the owner or representative of the owner of the real property that is the subject of this application. \{2) I hereby authorize Neisen Kasdin to be my representative before the Historic Preservation. Board. (3) I also hereby authorize the City of Miami Beach to enter my property for the sole purpose of posting a Notice of Public Hearing on my property, as required by law. (4) I am responsible for remove this notice after the date of the hearing
Scott Geraghty, Manager of 3425 Collins, LL
PRINT NAME (and Title, if applicable)

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 4 day of June , 20, The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Scolt Geragity, who has produced Fldriver license as identification and/or is personally known fo me eind who did/did not take an oath.

SIGNATURE

NOTARY SEAL OR STAMP<br>My Commission Expires:<br>\section*{Carolline Pinto} Notary Public State of Florida<br>$\qquad$ Commission No. GG 257258



PRINT NAME

## CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE

If the applicant is not the owner of the property, but the applicant is a party to a contract to purchase the property, whether or not such contract is contingent on this application, the applicant shall list the names of the contract purchasers below, including any and all principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. If any of the contact purchasers are corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, trusts, or other corporate entities, the applicant shall further disclose the identity of the individuals(s) (natural persons) having the ultimate ownership interest in the entity. If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional individuals, corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, trusts, or other corporate entities, list all individuals and/or corporate entities.

## NAME

DATE OF CONTRACT

NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
\% OF STOCK
$\qquad$

In the event of any changes of ownership or changes in contracts for purchase, subsequent to the date that this application if filed, but prior to the date of a final public hearing, the applicant shall file a supplemental disclosure of interest.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

CORPORATION, PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
If the property that is the subject of the application is owned or leased by a corporation, partnership or limited liability company, list ALL of the owners, shareholders, partners, managers and/or members, and the percentage of ownership held by each. If the owners consist of one or more corporations, partnerships, trusts, partnerships or other corporate entities, the applicant shall further disclose the identity of the individual(s) (natural persons) having the ultimate ownership interest in the entity.

3425 Collins, LLC
NAME OF CORPORATE ENTITY
NAME AND ADDRESS
Leonard Blavatnik, 730 5th Ave, New York, NY 10019

## \% OF OWNERSHIP

100
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

NAME OF CORPORATE ENTITY
NAME AND ADDRESS
$\qquad$
\% OF OWNERSHIP
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there are additional corporate owners, list such owners, including corporate name and the name, address and percentage of ownership of each additional owner, on a separate page.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

## TRUSTEE

If the property that is the subject of the application is owned or leased by a trust, list any and all trustees and beneficiaries of the trust, and the percentage of interest held by each. If the owners consist of one or more corporations, partnerships, trusts, partnerships or other corporate entities, the applicant shall further disclose the identity of the individual(s) (natural persons) having the ultimate ownership interest in the entity.

TRUST NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS
\% INTEREST
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## COMPENSATED LOBBYIST

Pursuant to Section 2-482 of the Miami Beach City Code, all lobbyists shall, before engaging in any lobbying activities, register with the City Clerk. Please list below any and all persons or entities retained by the applicant to lobby City staff or any of the City's land development boards in support of this application.


Additional names can be placed on a separate page attached to this application.

## APPLICANT HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT (1) AN APPROVAL GRANTED BY A LAND DEVELOPMENT BOARD OF THE CITY SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ANY AND ALL CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY SUCH BOARD AND BY ANY OTHER BOARD HAVING JURISDICTION, AND (2) APPLICANT'S PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH AND ALL OTHER APPLICABLE CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS.

## APPLICANT AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF Florida
COUNTY OF Miami-Dade
I, Scott Geraghty
or representative of the applicant (2) This aping first duly sworn, depose and certify as follows: (1) 1 am the applicant or representative of the applicant. (2) This application and all information submitted in support of this application, including
sketches, data, and other supplementary materials, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this $\frac{4{ }^{\text {th }}}{}$ day of $\qquad$ June 20 $\qquad$ The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Scott Geragnty $\qquad$ who identification and/or is personally known to me and who did/did not take an oath.
NOTARY SEAL OR STAMP

Caroline Pinto Notary Public State of Florida

My Commission Expires: $\qquad$ My Commission Expires 09/11/2022 Commission No. GG 257258


PRINT NAME

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

## PARCEL 1

LOTS 1 THROUGH 8, INCLUSIVE, AND THE 16.00 FOOT ALLEY, ALL IN BLOCK 21. OF AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY. ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF. RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5. AT PACES 7 AND 8. OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY. FLORIDA.

TOGETHER WITH:

## PARCEL 2

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26. TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST. LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE SOUTH 82 ' 33 ' $12^{\prime \prime}$ E, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 8 AND 1 OF SAID BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 344.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH 06' 49’ $29^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EXISTING EAST LINE OF LOTS 1, 2, 3 AND 4 OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALSO ALONG THE BULKHEAD LINE. AS SHOWN IN THE PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE". AS RECORDED IN PEAT BOCK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, A DISTANCE Of 200.72 FEET TO THE EXISTING NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAO LOT 4;

THENCE SOUTH 82 ' $38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4. BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 25.57 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE AS SHOWN IN SAID PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE":

THENCE SOUTH 06 ' 59 ' 18 " WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A PONT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH 82'33' 12 " WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 25.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY. FLORIDA.

## PARCELS 7 AND 2 COLLECTIVELY ALSO DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO DE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE NORTH 07 ' $26^{\prime}$ 48" EAST, ALONG THE PEST LINE OF LOTS 8, 7, 6 AND 5 OF SAID BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 200.19 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 5, BLOCK 21;

THENCE THENCE SOUTH $82^{\prime} .38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOTS 5 AND 4, OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 367.39 FEET TO A PONT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, AS SHOWN IN PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE", AS RECORDED W PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA;

THENCE SOUTH 06' 59 ' 18 WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A PONT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH 82' $33^{\prime \prime} 72^{\prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 369.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

September 8, 2020

Chair and Members of the Historic Preservation Board City of Miami Beach
1700 Convention Center Drive
Miami Beach, FL 33139

## RE: Versailles - COA for modifications to the previously approved COA for a new design for the detached ground floor addition; HPB20-0430

Dear Mr. Mooney,
Our firm represents 3425 Collins, LLC ("Owner") the owner of the parcel of land located at 3425 Collins Avenue (the "Property"), which is improved with the Versailles Hotel, a contributing historic structure in the Collins Waterfront Historic District.

Recently Aman Resorts/OKO Group joined with Owner to develop the Property as the last element of the Faena District. The proposed redevelopment is generally similar to the currently approved certificate of appropriateness ("COA") ${ }^{1}$ in that the historic structure will be renovated and a new tower (a detached ground floor addition) will be constructed on the south side of the property as a residential condominium building. However, there are key differences, some of which were included in application HPB20-0376, which was an application for a partial COA for certain changes related to the historic tower that was approved by the Historic Preservation Board ("HPB") on June 9, 2020. Further details regarding the historic building were applied for in HPB20-0389. This application (the "Proposed Project") does not include any aspects dealing with the historic building because the details regarding the historic building were included with HPB20-0376 and HPB20-0389. The Proposed Project also does not include details of the rear yard areas which are subject to the Oceanfront and Dune Preservation Overlay Zone regulations, which will be handled in a separate application when the design of those areas is prepared.

[^1]The Proposed Project, along with the previously submitted applications restoring the historic building, demonstrates how the Aman design team is committed to preserving and restoring the past grandeur of the Versailles building while designing a new building that is sensitive to the unique context in which it will exist - all while maintaining the extremely high program requirements of Aman.

## Faena District

The redevelopment of the Property is the last piece of the larger Faena District, stretching in parts from 32nd Street to 35th Street. The Faena District includes the neighboring Faena Hotel (formerly the Saxony and also a Roy France designed building), the Faena House condominium (designed by Sir Norman Foster and Brandon Haw and whose condominiums have sold for record high prices), the Casa Faena (formerly the Claridge), the Faena Parking Garage, the Bazaar (the historically replicated Atlantic Beach Hotel and another Roy France building), and the Forum (designed by Rem Koolhaas of the Office of Metropolitan Architecture). Beneath Faena Park, the Bazaar and the Forum is an underground parking garage. Altogether, the Faena District provides a couple of hundred parking spaces where before only a handful of surface parking spaces existed. The Faena District also involved the complete reconstruction of the beachwalk within the District boundaries as well as the construction of the $32^{\text {nd }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street end improvements and improvements to the $34^{\text {th }}$ Street right of way ("34 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ").

The proposed project will continue the pattern that has made the Faena District a special place for residents and visitors alike - the preservation of important and invaluable historic buildings while injecting new life into the historic buildings and introducing new architecture and new uses that buoy the vibrancy and property values.

## Inspiration for Proposed Project

The Aman Miami Beach project has been designed by world renowned architect Kengo Kuma, a 2016 recipient of a Global Award for Sustainable Architecture. Notably, Kuma designed the New National Stadium in Tokyo, site for the opening and closing ceremonies of the 2020-21 Summer Olympics. The following is from Kuma, describing the design inspiration and intent for the proposed project:

The Art Deco and Post-War Modern architecture of the "Collins Waterfront Historic District" has unique characteristics, a rhythm, unlike any found elsewhere in the world. There is an architectural interplay akin to enjoying jazz that is played by geometry, with a freedom and openness in design and visual experience.

With 20 surviving buildings, by far the most prolific architect of the era and in this historic district was Roy F. France. An architect from Chicago that specialized in resort hotels, France came on vacation with his wife to Miami Beach in 1931. Feeling extremely inspired by the surroundings, he moved back to continue expanding his design work. And inspired he was, virtually creating the mid-beach skyline with such properties as the Versailles, Sea Isle, Patrician, Ocean Grande and Cadillac, and later after the war, the Saxony, the San Souci, and further north, the Casablanca.

It is within this context, a context surrounded by masterpieces of Art Deco and Post-War Modern architecture, that we come to add our own design next to the Versailles Hotel, a design for Aman Miami Beach, and we hope to continue the legacy of this community's brilliant built environment.

Our goal is to emphasize the "unique rhythm" of this architectural landscape; creating something new, something unique, yet characteristic of the place. Like Roy France, our instrument, too is geometry, and together we play many of the same notes; emphasizing towering verticality, smoothing the hard edges, and intertwining the verticality with layers of horizontal planes, stepping back to create a light and free architectural rhythm that resonates with the ocean's horizon.

As Roy France said, "Let in the air and sun. That's what people come to Florida for."

Under this deep blue sky and vigorous sunshine, the colors throughout the historic district are naturally light, and for this reason we have departed from more traditional warm wood tones, choosing a color more appropriate to the context. Not a pure white, but rather what we might call a honey white. A color that activates our geometric music, our design rhythm and sets the tone for our composition.

## Components of Proposed Project

Increased view of historic tower from Collins Avenue
A very important aspect of the design of the new residential tower is that the first floor lobby - which is approximately $55^{\prime}$ tall - is setback 128'-4" from Collins Avenue which offers pedestrians and motorists heading north on Collins a full view of the iconic historic Versailles building. The previously approved project had a first floor setback of 76'-4", which offered improved views of the historic tower compared to the 1955 addition that completely obliterated the south elevation of the historic tower but not a full view. The code required setback at the ground and pedestal level is only $20^{\prime}$.

The greatly reduced footprint of the residential tower, all the way up to approximately


55 ' in height, creates a sense of openness at the lower levels, visually connecting people to both the historic tower and the rear of the property towards the ocean.

On the right is a rendered view looking north on Collins towards the Property. The view shows a comparison of the previously approved condominium building in the image on the top and the propsoed condominium on the bottom image, with an outline of the previously approved building shown in a dashed line on the image below.

At the upper floors the proposed residential building is also setback from Collins at a greater distance than what is required by code (50') and greater than the previously approved project. To the edge of the balconies the front setback is $93^{\prime}-5$ " whereas with the previously approved project the front setback at the tower level was 65'-4".

## Lower Density

The proposed project consists of only 23 residential units and 56 hotel
 rooms. The previously approved project had 63 residential units. The proposed project will have a very low impact on the neighborhood.

## Access

The proposed project has separate driveway systems for the historic building and the residential building. Residents and visitors to the residential building will need to clear a security gate. If a vehicle does not clear security it can turn right and utilize the westernmost driveway connection into $34^{\text {th }}$. If a vehicle clears security the gate will open and it will proceed to the drop-off area under the canopy overhang. The valet driver will utilize the internal loop driveway and drive the vehicle to the basement level parking garage. When a resident or visitor leaves the residential building the valet driver will return the vehicle from the basement level to the space under the canopy overhang and to exit the Property the driver would utilize the westernmost driveway connection into $34^{\text {th }}$.

Parking for both the hotel and residential building will be provided in a subterranean parking garage. There are tandem spaces but mechanical parking is not utilized in the parking garage.

The loading spaces in the first basement level will be accessed through the driveway connection on $35^{\text {th }}$ Street and vehicle will exit through the easternmost driveway connection to $34^{\text {th }}$. The loading spaces are all fully enclosed in the basement level of the parking garage.

## Amendment to Faena District Overlay

The previously approved COA's included numerous variances that were granted for the project. The proposed project stays within the "envelope" of all the variances previously approved in HPB File No. 7603. Because the proposed project does not exceed any of the previously approved variances, the COA for the proposed project could restate and reapprove the same variances. However, Owner has made an application to the City to amend the Faena District Overlay regulations to, in essence, codify the previously approved variances. A copy of the proposed ordinance is enclosed as Exhibit A. As of the date of this submittal the ordinance for the Overlay amendments has passed review by the LUSC subcommittee on July 21 and it was recommended favorably by the Planning Board on August 25. Because of the proposed amendments to the Faena District Overlay, the application does not include any requests for variances.

Many of the previously granted variances related to the fact that the historic building was built prior to the implementation of the modern RM-3 zoning setback regulations and the historic building encroaches into the required north side setback. Therefore, in order for the new residential building to comply with the RM-3 sum of the side yard setbacks requirement it would have to be pushed further away from the south property line than otherwise necessary. From a historic preservation and design perspective, the opposite condition is desired - the new residential building should be pushed as far south as possible in order to provide distance between the historic building and the new residential building.

The amendments to the Faena District Overlay also propose to increase the allowable height for the Property from 200 to 250 '. The increased height allows the same amount of allowable FAR to be distributed across a more slender and taller building, which is key to opening up views of the historic Versailles building from Collins Avenue. In fact, the proposed residential building has less FAR than the previously approved residential building - FAR was taken from the residential building and placed in the historic building for the reconstruction of the Gulf Stream Room and extension of the floorplates to the east. The first floor of residential units in the residential building is lifted up approximately 55' from the ground floor - allowing a smaller footprint for the lobby, which is very transparent with large expanses of glass - and this 55 ' tall opening at the ground floor is directly related to the requested 50 -foot increase in height from 200' to 250'.

Also, increasing the height is consistent with the heights of other existing buildings in the Collins Waterfront Historic District. There are three buildings with heights over 250' - La Tour, Atlantis and Mirasol.

With regard to the modification of setback requirements, it is important to note that the Property is a uniquely situated property in the RM-3 zoning district. It is the only oceanfront property in the RM-3 district that has a public parking lot on both sides of it instead of neighboring private property. Thus, the Property is separated from its nearest neighbors by a much greater distance than a typical property. From property line to property line the nearest property to the south is a little more than 100' away and to the north the nearest property is a little over 300' away. Also, the historic Versailles building was built prior to the adoption of the modern RM-3 zoning standards and the building encroaches into the required north side
setback. The Gulf Stream Room and other additions to the historic tower will follow the existing setbacks of the historic building.

Sea Level Rise Criteria
In order to ensure that the Project is resilient in light of the effects of sea level rise, the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria from Section 133-50 of the LDRs is addressed below:

1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided. Not applicable to the new residential tower.
2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
All windows in the residential building will be hurricane proof impact windows.
3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Sliding glass doors and operable windows where possible have been proposed in all residential units and hotel guestrooms.
4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native, or Floridafriendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with chapter 126 of the city Code.
Resilient landscaping has been provided.
5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
The proposed residential building has it lobby set at $14^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ NGVD, which is six feet above flood requirement.
6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-way and adjacent land, and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three additional feet in height.
All ramps will be able to absorb the additional 3 feet in height based on the current street elevation of Collins Avenue.
7) As applicable to all new construction, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. All redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable and economically reasonable, include the relocation of all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

All critical mechanical and electrical equipment will be located between 1 and 2 feet above base flood elevation with the exception of the FPL vault, which will be located at grade elevation as required by FPL.
8) Existing buildings shall, wherever reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, be elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.
The existing building is located above the base flood elevation but it cannot be raised up to the freeboard due to its historic designation.
9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.
All proposed construction located below BFE will be dry flood proof construction up to the BFE plus freeboard. All entrances to the basement located below BFE plus freeboard will be protected with flood panels or gates.
10) As applicable to all new construction, stormwater retention systems shall be provided.
The project's Stormwater Management System will be designed to meet the requirements for on-site retention for the State of Florida Department Of Environmental Protection, the City of Miami Beach and the State of Florida Department of Transportation.
11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Cool pavement materials have been utilized.
12) The design of each project shall minimize the potential for heat island effects on-site.
The project's parking is located below grade, eliminating parking lots which contribute to the heat island effect. Hardscape areas will be limited. Landscaped areas will be planted with green lawns, bushes and trees for shade.

For this application we believe the following edits should be made to existing conditions in the consolidated order (HPB20-0376) that are no longer applicable or have been addressed with this application (edits are explained and shown in strikethrough and underline format):
I.C.1.a, $b$ and d

These three conditions were implemented due to the lack of design details provided at the June 9, 2020 HPB hearing. If found satisfactory to the Board, these conditions should be deleted.
a. The design of the north, south and east elevations of the new eastern extension of the Versailles building, as shown in the plans dated February 10, 2020, inclusive of the balcony design shall be further developed, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or a revision to any active Building Permit.


#### Abstract

b. The plans for porte-cochere/entry canopy on the west side of the Versailles building, as shown in the plans dated February 10, 2020, shall be further developed and shall require the review and approval of the Board, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or a revision to any active Building Permit that ineludes a porte cohere/entry canopy. d. The design of the entire rooftop addition on the Versailles building, as shown in the plans dated February 10, 2020, shall be further developed, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit of a revision to any active Building Permit that includes a rooftop addition.


## I.C.1.c

The interior design, including but not limited to materials, finishes and lighting elements of the new lobby of the Versailles building, as shown in the plans dated February 10, 2020, shall be further developed, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Board prior to the issuance of a temporary certificate of occupancyBuilding Permit or a revision to any active Building Permit.

## I.C.1.e

This condition related to a loading space shown on the approved plans from 2016 and should be deleted.
e. The loading space proposed to be located at the drop off area for the new residential tower along Gollins Avenue shall not be permitted. All loading spaces required for the new residential tower shall be located internal to the structure.
I.C.1.k

This condition related to the canopy on the west elevation of the historic tower shown on the approved plans from 2016 and should be deleted.
k. The final design and details of the proposed canopy located at the west elevation of the historic Versailles tower shall be provided, and all lighting and any required sprinkler systems shall be completely recessed into the structure, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Griteria and/or the directions from the Board.

## I.C. 3 and subsection a

This condition and sub-condition related to the demolition of the 1955 addition, which has already been demolished.
3. In accordance with Section 118-564(f)(6) of the Gity Gode, the requirement that a full building permit for the new construction be issued prior to the issuance of a demolition permit for existing noncontributing structures, is hereby waived, if the following requirement is met:
a. A Building Permit for the reconstruction of the south wall of the historie Versailles tower, according to the plans approved by the Board, shall be issued prior to or eoneurrently with the permit for the total demolition of the 8 -story 1955 south addition.
I.C.5.d, e, f, g and h

These conditions relate to streetscape improvements, improvements to $34^{\text {th }}$ and public beach access at $34^{\text {th }}$ that have all been completed so the conditions can be deleted.
d. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement executed between the owner and the Gity, signed by both parties in March 2009, the owner has agreed to enter into a Streetscape Agreement for all public right-of-way improvements abutting the subject property, including 32nd Street between Collins Avenue and the Ocean, Collins Avenue, and 34th Street between Gollins Avenue and the Ocean, inclusive of the Gity's public surface parking lot. The following eonditions shall be required to be completed, as part of the Streetscape improvements, prior to the issuance of a Partial Certificate of Occupancy (P.G.O), Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (T.G.O.) or final Gertificate of Occupancy (G.O.) for either the new building of existing building on the Versailles property ( 3425 Collins Avenue), whichever occurs last.
e. 34th Street: The owner will install drainage structures and hardscape improvements (including sidewalks, A.D.A. ramps, and vehicular approaches, as described in the Gity right-of way plans adjacent to the east side of Collins Avenue at 34th Street), or will provide funding for such work, at the discretion of the City's Gapital Improvement Projects Department.
f. 34th Street Surface Parking Lot: The owner will provide landscape and irrigation, or will provide funding for such improvements at the discretion of the Gity's Gapital Improvement Projects Department for the 34th Street surface lot.
9. Public Beach Access at 34th Street: The owner will construct the paved public beach aceoss, ineluding all associated hardscape, landseape, and irrigation, from Gollins Avenue to the Ocean. This shall also include all landscape, hardscape, and irrigation located between the east end of the 34th Street parking lot and the Ocean.
h. Pursuant to Condition 3.d.i below, the owner shall provide lighting in all tandscape areas constructed or funded by the owner, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.

## I.C. 6 and all sub-sections

These conditions all relate to construction of the public beachwalk, which has been completed so the conditions can be deleted.
6. The applicant has preffered and agreed to construct a grade level Public Beach Walk along the rear of the subject site, subject to the following conditions. The approval of the subject application is contingent upon such Public Beach Walk being constructed in accordance with the following conditions:
a. The existing raised boardwalk adjacent to the dune and the site, in between 34th and 35th Streets, shall be demolished and removed. A new Public Beach Walk shall be designed, permitted and built by the applicant and shall connect to the existing raised boardwalks to the north and to the south. All costs associated with the design, permitting and construction of the Public Beach Walk, as described herein, shall be borne by the applicant.
b. The applicant shall enter into and record a restrictive covenant, approved by the Miami Beach City Attorney, which runs with the land, confirming the applicant's agreement to
design, permit and construct a Public Beach Walk, in accordance with the conditions herein. The restrictive covenant shall be recorded in the public records, at the expense of the applicant.
e. The Public Beach Walk shall be generally consistent with the beach walk master plan, and shall require the review and approval of the Public Works Department, as well as all other applicable regulatory agencies and authorities.
d. The Public Beach Walk shall be substantially completed as soon as reasonably possible after the issuance of all required permits for its construction.
e. The construction of the Public Beach Walk will be timed to coincide with the beach walk project behind the Saxony Hotel. If the Versailles is ready for G.O. and construction of the Public Beach Walk has not commenced and completed, then the applicant shall post a bond, or provide other security acceptable to the City Attorney, for the cost of construction of the Public Beach Walk, to guarantee its construction and completion.

## II.A. 1 through 17

These conditions spell out the variances previously approved. None of these variances are necessary due to the proposed amendments to the Faena District Overlay.

## A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s):

1. A variance to reduce 6' 0 " from the minimum required setback of 11 ' 0 " from the Erosion Control Line in order to construct a perimeter fence in the Dune Preservation Overlay District at 5' 0 " from the Erosion Control Line and a height up to 16.50 NGVD.
2. A. A variance to reduce $10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the minimum required setback of $15^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the side property line in order to construct a perimeter fence in the Oceanfront Overlay District at $5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the north property line and a height up to 16.50 NGVD.
B. A variance to reduce $10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the minimum required setback of $15^{\prime} 0$ " from the side property line in order to construct a perimeter fence in the Oceanfront Overlay District at $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line and a height up to 16.50 NGVD.
3. A. A variance to reduce all minimum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{\prime}-0$ " in order to construct new stairs up to the north property line facing 35 th Street.
B. A variance to reduce 5' 7 " from the minimum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct a column in the elevated terrace at $10^{\prime}-5 "$ from the north property line facing 35th Street.
4. A. A variance to reduce by a range from $13^{\prime}-2$ " to $5^{\prime}-2$ " the minimum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct the first and second floor at a setback ranging from $2^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line facing 34 th Street.
B. A variance to reduce a range from $15^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ to - $3^{\prime \prime}$ the minimum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{\prime}-0$ " in order to construct the third and fourth floors of the new 16 story addition at a range from 8 " to 15 ' 9 " from the south property line facing 34th Street.
5. A variance to reduce 11' 0 " from the minimum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{\prime}-0$ " in order to construct a perimeter fence at $5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ " from the south property line facing 34th Street and a maximum height of 16.50 NGVD.
6. A variance to reduce $31^{\prime}-4$ " from the minimum required pedestal sum of the side setbacks of $32^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to provide a sum of the side yards of $8^{\prime \prime}$ ".
7. A variance to reduce 1' 10 " from the minimum required subterranean street side setback of $10^{\prime}-0$ " in order to construct columns at $8^{\prime}-2 "$ from the south property line facing 34th Street.
8. A. A variance to reduce a range from $15^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$ the minimum required tower street side setback of $16^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ ' in order to construct the fourth through sixteen floors of the new 46 story addition at a range from 8 " to $15^{\prime} 9$ " from the south property line facing 34th Street.
B. A variance to reduce 7' 2 " from the minimum required tower street side setback of $16^{\prime} 0$ " in order to construct the pool and pool deck at $8^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line facing 34th Street.
9. A variance to reduce $25^{\prime}-9$ " from the minimum required tower sum of the side setbacks of 32 ' 0 " in order to provide a sum of the side yards of 6 ' 3 ".
10. A variance to exceed by $3^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ the maximum permitted building height of $200^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct a new 16 -story residential addition on the southwest side of the property With a maximum height of $203^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ measured from base flood elevation plus $1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\left(9.00^{\prime}\right.$ NGVD) to the top of the roof kitchen counter.
11. A. A variance to reduce 4' 0 " from the minimum required subterfanean street side setback of $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to leave underground sheet piles for the construction of the basement retaining walls at $6^{\prime} 0$ " from the north property line facing 35 th Street.
B. A variance to reduce a range from $10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the minimum required subterranean street side setback of $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ ' in order to leave underground sheet piles for the construction of the basement retaining walls at a range from $0^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to $4^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line facing 34th Street.
12. A variance to reduce 4' 0 "' from the minimum required subterranean rear setback of $50^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to leave underground sheet piles for the construction of the basement retaining walls at $46^{\prime}-0$ " from the rear property line.
13. A variance to reduce 4' 0 " from the minimum required subterranean front setback of $20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to leave underground sheet piles for the construction of the basement retaining walls at $16^{\prime} 0$ " from the front property line facing Gollins Avenue.
14. A variance to exceed by $10.6 \%$ ( $9^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ ) the maximum permitted width of $30 \%$ ( $26^{\prime}-7$ ") of the building's core front ( $88^{\prime}-8 \prime$ ') in order to construct a new porte-cochere with a width of $40.6 \%$ ( $36^{\prime}-0$ ") of the building's front, facing Collins Ave.
15. A variance to exceed by $3^{\prime}-0$ " the maximum permitted height of $16^{\prime}-0$ " for a porte-cochere in order to construct a new porte-cochere in front of the property up to 19' $0^{\prime \prime}$ in height, facing Collins Ave.
16. A variance to reduce $11^{\prime}$ ' 6 " from the minimum required front setback of $20^{\prime}-0$ " for at grade parking in order to construct a new driveway at $8^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ from the front property line facing Gollins Avenue.
17. A variance to reduce by 10.47 ' the minimum required pedestal side facing a street setback of 16.0 ' in order to extend the floorplates on floors 2 through 5.
18. A variance to reduce by 10.47 ' the minimum required tower side facing a street setback of 16.0 ' in order to extend the floorplates on floors 6 through 14.

## III.A

This Final Order consolidates all conditions and requirements for Certificate of Appropriateness approval as same are contained herein, in the original Orders dated November 14, 2014, and-March 8, 2016 and June 9, 2020. Accordingly, this Order shall serve as the Final Order for the proposed project and, in the event of conflict between the provisions hereof and those of the November 14, 2014, or-March 8, 2016 or June 9, 2020 Orders, the provisions hereof shall control.

For all of the aforementioned reasons we respectfully request your favorable review of the proposed project and we look forward to working with your staff and presenting the project to the Historic Preservation Board.


## Exhibit A

## FAENA DISTRICT OVERLAY

## ORDINANCE NO.

$\qquad$
AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, BY AMENDING CHAPTER 142, ENTITLED "ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS," ARTICLE III, ENTITLED "OVERLAY DISTRICTS," AMENDING DIVISION 10, ENTITLED "FAENA DISTRICT OVERLAY," TO AMEND THE PARKING REQUIREMENT FOR PLACE OF ASSEMBLY USE; AMEND THE ALLOWABLE HEIGHT FOR RM-3 OCEANFRONT LOTS GREATER THAN 70,000 SQUARE FEET IN SIZE, WHICH ALSO CONTAIN A CONTRIBUTING HISTORIC STRUCTURE; AND AMEND THE ALLOWABLE SETBACKS AND REQUIRED YARDS FOR RM-3 OCEANFRONT LOTS GREATER THAN 70,000 SQUARE FEET IN SIZE, WHICH ALSO CONTAIN A CONTRIBUTING HISTORIC STRUCTURE; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of Miami Beach ("City") desires to encourage private property owners to redevelop and manage properties under common ownership comprehensively rather than in a piecemeal manner; and

WHEREAS, the City seeks to encourage and incentivize new development and the preservation and restoration of structures located within the Collins Avenue corridor; and

WHEREAS, contributing historic structures located within the Collins Avenue corridor pre-date the City's land development regulations and therefore do not meet the zoning standards and are frequently permitted as legal nonconforming structures; and

WHEREAS, the preservation and restoration of the City's historic buildings and character furthers the general welfare and is especially important to the citizens of Miami Beach; and

WHEREAS, the preservation and restoration of historic structures is often possible through the construction of additions and/or new buildings on the same property; and

WHEREAS, the amendment set forth below is necessary to accomplish all of the above objectives.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. Chapter 142, Article III entitled "Overlay Districts", Division 10 "Faena District Overlay" is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 142
ZONING DISTRICTS AND REGULATIONS

## ARTICLE III OVERLAY DISTRICTS

## DIVISION 10. FAENA DISTRICT OVERLAY

Sec. 142-867. Location and purpose.
The overlay regulations of this division shall apply to the properties identified in the Overlay Map below:


The purpose of this overlay district is to allow limited flexibility of uses, and limited increases in heights, and limited flexibility in setbacks because of the common ownership and operation of the properties within the overlay district and the value of preserving historic buildings within the overlay district.

## Sec. 142-869. Compliance with regulations.

The following overlay regulations shall apply to the Faena District Overlay. All development regulations in the underlying regulations shall apply, except as follows:
(a) One place of assembly may be permitted as a main permitted use, within the areas that have an underlying zoning designation of RM-2, in accordance with the following minimum requirements:
xvii. The required parking for a place of assembly is one space per eighty (80) square feet of floor area available for seating.
(g) Within areas that have an underlying zoning designation of RM-3, lots which are oceanfront lots with a lot area greater than $70,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. that also contain a contributing historic structure shall have a maximum height of 250 feet.
i. Any building with a height exceeding 203 feet shall have a front setback of 75 feet as measured to the closest face of a balcony.
(h) Within areas that have an underlying zoning designation of RM-3, lots which are oceanfront lots with a lot area greater than $70,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. that also contain a contributing historic structure:
i. The required pedestal and tower side street setback for alterations to and extensions of a contributing historic structure shall be equal to the existing setback of the contributing historic structure.
ii. The required pedestal side street setback for attached or detached additions to a contributing historic structure that are located on the ground is $0^{\prime}$.
iii. The subterranean, pedestal and tower side setbacks shall be zero (0') feet for properties abutting a GU zoned parcel, and which also provide a view corridor between an existing contributing building and the construction of a detached
ground level addition, subject to the review and approval of the historic preservation board, in accordance with the certificate of appropriateness review criteria.
vi. There are no required sum of the side yard setbacks for pedestal or tower side setbacks.
viii. The required subterranean rear setback is 46 from the bulkhead line.
ix. $\quad$ The required subterranean front setback is $15^{\prime}$.
x. The required front setback for at-grade parking and driveways is 8'-6".
xiv. The maximum permitted width of a porte-cochere for a contributing building may exceed the requirements of allowable encroachments as outlined in the city code section 142-1132, not to exceed the width of an original porte-cochere. The maximum permitted height of such porte-cochere shall be 19'.
xvi. The term "grade, average existing" which means the average grade elevation calculated by averaging spot elevations of the existing topography taken at tenfoot intervals along the property lines, shall be substituted for the term "grade" for purposes of fence and wall heights and setbacks. However, a fence or wall which faces Collins Avenue shall be measured from grade (the city sidewalk elevation at the centerline of the front of the property).

## SECTION 2. CODIFICATION.

It is the intention of the Mayor and City Commission of the City of Miami Beach, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made part of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intention, and, the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section", "article", or other appropriate word.

## SECTION 3. REPEALER.

All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed.

## SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is held invalid, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

## SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Ordinance shall take effect ten days following adoption.
PASSED and ADOPTED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2020.

## ATTEST:

Rafael E. Granado
City Clerk

# APPROVED AS TO <br> FORM AND LANGUAGE \& FOR EXECUTION 

City Attorney Date
First Reading:
Second Reading:
Verified by:
Thomas Mooney, AICP
Planning Director
Underscore denotes new language Strikethrough-denotes removed language

First submittal:
Comments issued:
Applicant responses in red:

August 17, 2020
August 28, 2020
September 8, 2020

SUBJECT: HPB20-0430, 3425 Collins Avenue.
Please provide a narrative response to the comments listed below.

## 1. LETTER OF INTENT

a. none

## 2. ZONING

1. Survey shall be revised to be updated not older than 6 months old. The old 34th Street on the south side is not a street anymore. The survey has a last updated date of June 30, 2020. The survey has been revised to remove the reference to 34 Street.
2. Revise overall building height. Building height shall be measured from BFE+5'$0 "=13.0$ ' NGVD, not from 14' NGVD. Indicate proposed overall building height on elevation drawings to the top of the counter at the roof (249'-0"). Overall building height reference level is 13 NGVD. Corrected in all Elevations.
3. A variance for triple stacking of vehicles is required. Triple stacked tandem spaces have been removed from the plans.
4. Revise zoning requirements on page A-004. Revise setback requirements in the table as per current required. The south side is not a street side it would be an interior side. Subterranean and pedestal setbacks are the same. Triple stacking of vehicles is proposed, which requires a variance. South side setback has been labeled as an interior side yard. Triple stacked tandem spaces have been removed from the plans.
5. Provide an enumerated parking plan showing number of parking spaces. Some spaces with dashed lines are not clear if they are parking spaces. Parking spaces have been numbered.
6. Note that the vehicular exit onto the parking area to the south removes existing parking spaces and will have to be approved by the City. Noted. Applicant had preliminary conversations with the Parking Department and they were supportive of the concept.
7. FAR diagrams shall be revised. Portions of terrace, including pool areas that are covered and on top of the slab of the floor below are not exempted from FAR. Revise FAR for the 16th floor to include these areas. Applicant does not agree with the assertion that this area on the $16^{\text {th }}$ floor should be counted as FAR but for purposes of the submittal applicant has included it. The resulting total FAR is slightly above the allowable FAR (by only $0.7 \%$ ) but applicant will rectify the total FAR by either continued discussion on the disputed areas on the $16^{\text {th }}$ floor, modifications to other parts of the building, and the proposed ordinance to eliminate certain elements for counting towards FAR will also reduce the total FAR for the project. Final zoning review will occur with the building permit process. Triangular balconies must be completely open above the guardrail in
order to not count in FAR. The proposed vertical louvers are for privacy and are more than 75\% open above the handrail. See details DA-106, DA-107, and DA108.

## 3. DEFICIENCIES IN ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION

a. Provide context line drawn elevations. Added, see sheet A-018.
b. Provide photos of the site. Added, see sheets A-012 and A-013.
c. Provide photos of the surrounding properties. Added, see sheets A-014 and A105.
d. Provide landscaping plans, landscaping comments cannot be issued at this time. Landscaping is not a part of this submittal.
e. Provide details of the proposed printed aluminum cladding. Provide installation details. Will the cladding have seams? Provide a physical material sample with printing for staff review. A sample has been requested. Details added in pages DA-106, DA-107, and DA-108.
f. Provide details of the vertical screening. Details added in pages DA-106, DA107, and DA-108.
g. Provide an enlarged elevation and rendering of the south pedestal facing the parking lot. Enlarged elevation and rendering provided. See DA-109. See Rendering DA-115.
h. Provide a methodology from a licensed structural engineer on how the 3-level basement and foundations will be excavated. Include a timeline. A letter has been provided. The letter from the engineer should include some assurances that the structure will not fail during excavation and will have to be demolished like the Atlantic Beach Hotel across the street. Is the project using the same contractor as the Atlantic Beach? No.
i. DA. 105 thru 111, correct red labeling. Corrected.
j. A-007, show previously approved site plan, not proposed. Corrected.
k. All pedestrian (eye) level renderings should be labeled as such. Renderings labels corrected DA 110 to DA 120.
I. Provide a rending(s) from the boardwalk. Rendering provided. DA-117.
m . Provide a rending from the intersection of Collins Av and 36th Street. Rendering is being prepared and will be presented at board meeting. Rendering from $35^{\text {th }}$ Street included. See sheet DA-115.
n. CA-101, show outside of the enclosed portion of the building for clarification. Columns have been included. CA-101.
o. With the exception of the previously approved site plan, the previously approved floor plans should not be included. A completed separate set of plans could be included, and clearly labeled for reference. All previously approved drawing have been placed in a single section at the end. Pages AR-101.A to AR-402.A.

## 4. DESIGN/APPROPRIATENESS COMMENTS (Recommendations)

a. Staff recommends that a model of the building and surrounding buildings be constructed for the Board to review. Noted.
b. Staff recommends the exploration of the reduction of the overall height of the building, which may include the reduction of the pedestal portion. In order to
open up views to the historic building as much as possible applicant believes the building and overall site function the best if the building is 250' tall.
c. Staff recommends stepping back the portion of the east side of the building above 200'. From the boardwalk the portion of the building above 200' will be minimally visible.
d. Staff recommends elimination of the hotel exit onto Collins Avenue. The hotel exit onto Collins creates a "loop" system that allows vehicles who do not pass the security screening to exit back onto Collins, which reduces congestion in front of the hotel drop-off and keeps the hotel drop-off secure.

## 5. LANDSCAPING

a. none

## 6. PUBLIC WORKS

1. Construction on the frontage/rear/side property lines must not encroach under or above ground into the adjacent property and/or right-of-way (Public Works Manual Part I / Section 4 / B / I). Revised. The proposed design does not encroach into the adjacent property or ROW.
A. There appears to be driveway ramps on 34th and 35th Streets on the east side of the site which commence within the public right of way. Only the driveway approaches may be within the right of way. All driveway ramps must be within the private property. (Sheet A-001, A-007, \& A-100.P). Corrected. Driveways and ramps are proposed outside of the ROW. A maximum cross slope of $2 \%$ is proposed at the approaches to the ROW. Drawings have been clarified. Sheet A-001, A-007, and A-100.P.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Electric Vehicles Parking ordinance if parking lot/space/garage will be part of the project Please add our minimum EV parking spaces and charging stations standards below, per EV parking policy:

1. Electric vehicle parking spaces shall be painted green, or shall be marked by green painted lines or curbs.
2. Each electric vehicle parking space shall be marked by a sign designating the parking space as an electric vehicle parking space, in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) of the Federal Highway Administration.
3. Each electric vehicle charging station shall be equipped with a sign that includes the following information: (i) voltage and amperage levels, (ii) any applicable usage fees, (iii) safety information, and (iv) contact information for the owner of the charging station, to allow a consumer to report issues relating to the charging station.
4. Electric vehicle charging stations shall contain a retraction device, coiled cord, or a fixture to hang cords and connectors above the ground surface.
5. Electric vehicle charging stations shall be screened from view from the right of way, with the exception of alleys.
6. Electric vehicle charging stations shall be maintained in good condition, appearance, and repair. https://www.miamibeachfl.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/2016-3988-Ordinance.pdf. Acknowledged. Electric vehicles parking spaces as required by the ordinance have been added and labeled. A-005, A-100.2.P, and A-100.1.P.

## The Aman Hotel at 3401 Collins Ave Miami Beach, FL

The amazing new Aman hotel at this iconic Miami beach site includes a three-level basement within the property and under the existing tower.

To accomplish this new Miami Beach feat, several successful techniques (proven in previous South Florida projects) provided by Keller North America (prior HJ Foundation and Hayward Baker) are proposed.

The project will commence by removing the existing sheet piling installed for the previous 1 level basement. Subsequently the East and South Facades of the existing building, which are to remain and preserved, will be underpinned via jet grouting (See description attached) to minimize its settlement. A Metal structure bracing will be build and installed to secure the remaining facades against vertical and lateral loads, such as wind, during construction and while it gets attached to the new structure.

Following, a complete property perimeter secant pile wall will be installed, including partitions and existing building support as required for the excavation, tremie pour and dewatering to allow for safe, water controlled, permanent waterproofing installation and basement construction. All new installation is based on minimal vibrations to prevent damage to existing and surrounding structures.

Similar projects in South Florida (with YouTube links) among others:
Aston Martin https://youtu.be/khgfAtmzc c
Estates at Acqualina https://youtu.be/x6x2tjcETmY
Monad https://youtu.be/k-rEhDggIFY
601 Washington
Raleigh Hotel
Oceana Bal Harbour
Auberge Condominiums https://youtu.be/ram6CpX-Hkc
Residences by Armani https://youtu.be/w2fJp1oOl2|
Turnberry Ocean
Optima Plaza https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cDxrYI87Yzw
River Landing https://youtu.be/5AFZq1BtD6U
Ocean Wave
Via Mizner (II, III)
830 Brickell

## Underpinning

Underpinning provides additional support to existing foundations that are unable to safely support existing or future loads.

Foundation underpinning techniques bypass the problem soils by installing structural elements to transfer the building's load to underlying competent soils or bedrock.

Jet Grouting uses high velocity fluid jets to construct cemented soil of varying geometries in the ground.

Video https://youtu.be/u2MtF90NMXk


## Process

Jet grouting creates in situ geometries of soilcrete (grouted soil), using a grouting monitor attached to the end of a drill stem. The jet grout monitor is advanced to the maximum treatment depth. Then high velocity jets (cement grout with optional water and air) are initiated from ports in the monitor. The jets erode and mix the in situ soil with grout as the drill stem and monitor are rotated and raised.

The jet grouting process constructs soilcrete panels, full columns, or partial columns with designed strength and/or permeability. The soilcrete geometry and physical properties are designed based on the in-situ soils.

## Quality assurance

With 40 years of experience, working across the globe, Keller has seen and completed more jet grouting projects than any other contractor in the world. Our robust design, testing procedures and experience provide us confidence in knowing when the technique can be used and also most importantly what the limits of application are.

To meet the intent of the project and ensure that the jet grout program is successful, an in situ test program is generally installed prior to production. Based on review of the project borings, the application, and previous project experience, initial jet grout parameters (or sets of parameters) are established and executed in the field for the test program. The test program will specifically demonstrate the column spacing, overlap, and geometry of the jet grouted elements. The test program will also verify consistency of the grout batching, evaluate the equipment functionality, and confirm the real-time recording and reporting of the jet grout parameters. All of these processes are used to establish a standardized protocol for each specific soil type that is consistent and repeatable for the production columns.

Keller's proprietary data acquisition (DAQ) system enables us to continuously monitor and record specific jet grout parameters at the rig. The DAQ interface provides real-time information to the drill rig operator, while the column construction data is uploaded wirelessly to a server soon after completion to be used for report generation. These reports can then be reviewed in near real-time by project management and submitted to the client.

## Secant piles

Are columns constructed adjacent (tangent) or overlapping (secant) to form structural or cutoff walls. From soil mixing to drilled shafts, Keller draws on its complete suite of techniques to optimize the design and construction of these walls.
https://youtu.be/1uQmTDNM2RA

## Process

The columns are constructed using Cased CFA/auger cast. Sequenced construction of the elements helps ensure a tight connection to minimize water intrusion (final waterproofing and concrete wall will follow). The design incorporate beams for reinforcement. Bracing and walers provide additional lateral support, if needed.

## Quality assurance

We use the latest technology, testing regimes and best practices protocols developed over many years to ensure the position, verticality and structural integrity of our pile walls.

Keller's proprietary data acquisition (DAQ) system enables us to continuously monitor and record specific parameters at the rig. The DAQ interface provides real-time information to the drill rig operator, while the construction data is uploaded wirelessly to a server soon after completion to be used for report generation. These reports can then be reviewed in near real-time by project management and submitted to the client.

## HSTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Eeach, Florida

| MEETING DATE | June 9, 2020 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROPERTYIFOLIO: | 3425 Collins Avenue / 02-3226-001-1440 |
| FLENO. | HPB20-0376 |
| LEGAL | Lots 1 through 8 inclusive, and the 16.00 foot Aley all in Block 21 , AMENDED PLAT OF OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MGAM! BEACH SUBOVISION, acording to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Pages 7 and 8, of the Public Records of Mam-Dade County, Florida. |
| /WRE | The application for modifications to a previously issued Centificate of Appropnateness for the partal demollion, renovation and restoration of the existing 16 -story hotel buiding, including the tow demoltion of the 1955 south addition, and the construction of a new 96 -story delached ground fevel addtion. Specifcaly, the applicant is requesting moditcations relative to the coninbuing versalles buibing including the design of the pubic inienor, partal demoltion and expansion of the floor plates easward and modications to the roofop addion including variances from the required side facing a street setbacks. |

## CONSOLTDATED ORDER

The City of Miam Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the folowing FINDINOS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, intomation, testimony and matenals presented at the pubic hearing and which are part of the record for this mater:

## 1. Centificate of Approphateness

A. The subject site is located within the Collns Waterfont Loca Histonc District.
8. Based on the plans and documents submited with the application, festmony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Plamnag Deparment Staff Report, the project as submitted:

1. Is consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria in Secion 133 -50(a) of The Mami Beach Code.
2. Is consistent with the Certifale of Appropriateness Cnteria in Secton 118 -564(a)/1) of the Mami Beach Code.
3. Is consistent with Certicate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section $118-564(a)(2)$ of the Miam Beach Code.
4. Is not consistent with Certicate of Appropriateness Critena 'b, \& k' in Section 118S6A(a)(3) of the Mami Beach Code.
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5. Is consistent with Certhicate of Appropnateness Criteria in Section 118-564()(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
C. The project would be consistent with the critena and requirements of section $118-564$ and 133-50(a) if the following condilions are met:
4. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan dravings shall be submited and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incoporate the followng:
a. The design of the north, south and east elevations of the new eastem extension of the Versalles buiding, as shown in the plans dated Febnuey 10.2020 . incusue of the baicony desion shall be further developed, in a mamer to be revewed and approved by the Board orior to the issuance of a Bulding Permit or a revision to any active Bulding Permit
b. The nans for porte cocherelentry canopy on the west side ofthe Versalles bulding, as shown in the plans dated Febuary 10.2020 shall be futher developed and shall recure the review and aporoval of the Boarc, prior to the bsuance of a Bulding Pemitorarevision to anv active Bulding Permithat includes a porte-cocherelenty canopy.
c. The interior deston includna but nol limited to matenals, frishes and linhtng elements of the new lobby of the Versalles bulding, as shown in the plans caied Eebruary 10,2020 , shall be futher developed, in a manner to be reviewed and aporoved by the Board prior to the issuance of a Bulding Permit or a revision to any active Bulding Permi:
d The desug of the entire rootop addion on the Versalles bulding, as show in the plans dated February 10,2020 , shall be further developed, in a manmer to be revewed and approved by the Board prior to the issuance of a Buldina Permit or a tevision to any active Bulding Permit that ind lides a rooflop adition.
a. e. The loading space proposed to be located at the drop off area for the new residential lower abong Collns Avenue shall not be permitted. All loading spaces reguted for the new residentian tower shall be located internal to the structure.
8. I. Ary kithen equipment and venting sysiems associated with the ground level cate shall be chased intemally through to the roof.
6. g. The maximum FAR for the profect site shall not exceed 3.0 .

4 The design for he new-tower addition shat be precented to the Beand in he form of a Status Feport at he December 3 , 2044 meeting










9. h. The enclosed corridors bocated on the roof temace of the new tower shat not be permitied, and sha\} be redesigned as open dir cormors.

An i. The tacades of the existing buiding shent be fuly restored to the greatest exient posstbie, with the exceptions moted om the plans, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff comsistemt with the Certhicate of Appropriatoness Criteria andor the diections from the Eoard.




; The originai historic versables" signage loceted on the west ekevation shall be recreated to the greakest exient posstbie, according to available historic documemtakom, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by statf consistent with the Certicate of Appropnateness Chteria andior the directions from the Board.
k. The final design and detals of the proposed camopy located at the west alevation of the histonc Versalles tower shall be provided, and all lighting and any regutred sprinker systems shat be completery recessed into the stucture, m a mamer to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent wth the Cembfeate of Appropriatenass Criteria andior the diredions from the Board.
4. Final details of at exterior suriace finishes and materiats for the historic versailles fower and the new residentict tower, including samples, shall be submited, in a manner to be reviewed and spproved by stak consickemi with the Centicate of Appropriateness Criteria sndior the direchons from the Board.
m. A museum quably historic analysis and display of the existing historio structure, inclusive of a photographe and written desoripton of the history and avolution of the origmat buiblng and is changes of use over lime, shall be submited to and cpproved by staff, prior to the issuance of a Gertficate of Docupancy or a Temporary Cerificate of Ocoupancy, such historic analysis shall be displayed prominently whitin the puble area of the historic struckure, in a location to be determined by staff.
n. Alf root-iop fixiures, ar-condikionimg units and mechanical cevices shal be cieany noted on a revised roof plan and elevaton drawings and shail be sereened from
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vew, in a mamer to be revewed and approved by staf, consistent with the Certhicate of Appropriateness Critena andor the diections from the Eoard.
2. In accordance with Section $118-395(b)(2)$ of the Cty Code the requirement pertaining to an existing structure's setbacks and parking credis, is hereby waved to allow for the reconstruction of the orginal foor slabs
3. In accordance win Section $118-564(16)$ of the City Code the recuirement that a ful buiding permit for the new construction be issued pror to the issuance of a demoltion permit for existing noncontrbuting structures, is heseby waved, if the following requirement is met:
a. A Bulding Pemif for the reconstruction of the south wall of the histotc Versalles tower, according to the plans approved by the Board, shall be issued prior to or concurently with the permi for the lolal demoltion of the 8 -stoy 1955 soum addition.

4 Sile plan approval is conimgent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requremenis. Applicant shal obtain a valid School Concurency Detemination Centicaie (Certicate) issued by the MiamiDade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event suficem seats are nol avalable, a proportionate share miligalion plan shall be incorporated into a tr-party deveboment agrement and duly executed pror to the issuance of a Quiling Permit

5 A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Flonda, and correspondmy site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by slaft, The species type, quantity, dimensione, spacing, bcation and overall height of all plant matenal shall be cleary delneated and subject to the revew and approval of stant. At a mmimum, such plan shall incorporake the following:
a. Street trees shall be requred along 35 ht Street and Colins Avenue, if feasible, in a manner to be revewed and approved by the Puble Works Department.
b. A fuly automatic imgation system with $100 \%$ covergge and an automatic rain sensor in order bo render the syslem moperalve in the event of ram. Right-of-way areas shall also be incoporated as pan of the imgation system.
c. Any overhead uthes located in the adjacent puble righ-of-ways, shal be places underground, if feasible, and subject to the review and approval of the Public Works Deparment.
d. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement executed between the owner and the City, signed by both paties in March 2009, the owner has agreed to enter into a Streetscape Agreement for al public righi-ofway improvements abuting the subject property, including $32^{*}$ Street between Collns Avenue and the Ocean, Colms Avenue, and $34^{*}$ Street between Colms Avenue and the Ocean, inclusive of the Cly's public surface parking lot. The following conditions shall be required to be completed, as part of the Streetscape improvements, prior to the ssuance of
a Partal Certifcate of Occupancy (P.C.O), Temporary Centicate of Occupancy (T.C.O.) or fral Centicate of Occupancy (C.O.) for ether the new bulting or existing bulbing on the Versalles property ( 3426 Collns Avenue), whichever occurs last.
e. $34^{4}$ Street The owner will install dramage structures and hardscape improvements Including sidewsks, A DA ramps, and vehicular approaches, as described in the Cly right-of-way plans adjucent to the easi side of Colims Avenue at $34^{*}$ Street, or whl provide funding for such work, at the discretion of the City's Capital Improvernent Projects Departrent.
f. 34" Street Surface Parking Lot. The owner will provide landscape and imgation, or wil provide funding for such improvements at the discretion of the Citys Capital Improvement Propects Deparment for the 34" Street surface lat.

9 Public Beach Access at 34" Street The owner will construct the paved public beach access, including all associated hardscape, bandscape, and imgation, from Colins Avenue to the Ocean. This shall also include all andscape, hardscape, and imgation located between the east end of the 34 : Street parking tit and the Ocean.
h. Pursuant to Condition 3 . . below, the owner shall provide lighting in all landscape areas consiructed or funded by the owner, in a mamer to be reviswed and approved by staff.
6. The applicant has proffered and agreed to constuck a grade level Publc Beach Wak along the rear of the subject site, subjed to the following conditons. The approval of the subjecl appicaton is contingent upon such Publc Eeaeh Wak beng constructed in scordance with the following conditions:
a. The existing raised boardwalk adiacent to the dune and the site, in between $34^{\text {hh }}$ and $36^{\circ}$ Streets, shall be demolished and removed. A new Pubic Beach Walk shall be designed, permited and bult by the applicant and shall comed to the existing reised boardwalks to the north and to the south. All cosis associated with the design, permiting and construction of the Public Beach Walk, as described heren, shall be borne by the applicant.
b. The applicant shat enter into and record a restrictive covenant, approved by the Mami Beach Cly Atomey, which runs wit the land, confirminy the applicants agreement to design, pemit and construci a Publio Beach Waik, in accordance with the conditions herein. The estritive covenant shall be recorded in the public records, at the expense of the applicant.
c. The Publc Beach Wak shall be generaly consistent with the beach wak master plan, ano shall require the review and approval of the Pubic Works Deparment, as well as all other applicable regulaby agencies and authorites.
d. The Public Beach Wak shall be substantaly completed as soon as reasonably possble after the issuance of all required permite for ise construction.
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e. The construction of the Pubke Beach Wabk wit be tmed to coincide with the beach wak propet behmd the Saxony Hotel. If the Versames is ready for C. O. and comstruction of the Puble beach Wak has not commenced and completed, then the applicamt shail post a bond, or provide other security acoeptabke bo the City Atmeney, for the cost of construction of the Public Beach Waik, to guarantee its construction and completion.

 person may Bppeal the Board's decision on a Gervficate of Appropriaksness to a specia! masker appointes by the City Commissions.

## 11. Varixnces(s)

A. The applicam fied an application with the Panning Deparment for the following varimoe(3):

1. A variance to reduce $6 . G^{\prime}$ from the minmum requied setback of 1 I -0" from the Erosion Control line in orcer to construct a permeter fence in the Dune Preservation Overlay District at $5.0^{\prime \prime}$ from the Erosion Contrel Line and a height up to 10.50 NGVD.
2. A A variance to reduce 10 - 0 " from the minimum required setback of 15 -0" from the side property fine in order to conctruct a perimeter fende in the Oceamfront Overkay Diskict gt 5 - G" from the moth property lme and a height up to 10 sy NOVD
B. A variance to reduce 10 - 0 "fom the mimimum requred setback of $15-0$ from the sice property lne in orier bo construet a perimeter fence in the Dcaantront Overlay District at $5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line and a height up to 15.50 NGVD.
3. A. A vanance io reduce al mimmum required pedestal street side setback of 10; 9 " in order to construct new stairs up to the north property line facing 35 street.
B. A variance to reduce 5-7" from the mimmum reguited pedestal stree; side
 from the north properly line focmg $35^{\text {" }}$ Streel.
4. A. A variance bo reduce by a range from $13-2$ to 5.2 "he mmimum required pedesta\} street side setback of th-0 in order to construct the fret and second hoor at a setback ranging from $2-10$ to 10-10 from he south propery line facmg 3a": Sireet.
W. A vanance to reduce a range from \{5 -4" to 3 " the minimum required pedesta street side setback of 16 -0" in order to construct the thirs and fouth floors of the

Page 7 of 13
GPE19-0376
Keebing Date: June s, 2020
new to story addition at a nange from 8 " to $15^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ from the south propery ine facing $34^{4}$ Street
C. A varince to reduce 11 -0 from the minmum required pedestal street side setback of $16^{.0} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct a permeter fence at $5.0^{\prime \prime}$ from the south property line facing $34^{*}$ Sireet and a maximum height of 16.50 NGVO.
5. A vanance to reduce $31-4$ " from the minimum requred pedestal sum of the side setbacks of $32-0 "$ in order to provide a sum of the side yands of 8 ".

6 A varance to reduce " -10 ' from the minmum requred subterranean street side setback of $10.0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct columns at $8-2$ from the south propery line facing 34h Stree:
7. A. A variance to reduce a range from 15 '4" to $3^{\prime \prime}$ the minmum required tower street side setback of $16.0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct the fourth through sixieen thors of the new is story adition at a range from 8 " io $15 \cdot 9^{\prime \prime}$ from the south propery Ine tacing 34" Street.
Q. A variance to reduce 7' -2 " from the minimum required tower street side setback of $16.0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to construct the pool and pool deck at 8 -10" from the south property line facing $34^{*}$ Street
8. A variance to reduce $25^{\circ}-9$ from the minimum regured tower sum of the side setbacks of $32^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ in order to provide a sum of the side yares of $6^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$.
9. A vanance to exceed by 3.0 " the maxmum pemited builing height of $200-0$ in prder to construct a new 16 story residential addtion on the southwest side of the property win a maximum height of $203-0^{\prime \prime}$ measured from base food elevation plus $\{-0$ ( 9.00 NGVD) to the top of the roof kichem counter.
10. A. A vanance to reduce 4.0" from the minmum required subteraneam street side setback of $10-0$ in order to leave underground sheet piles for the construction of the basement retaining walls at 6.0 " from the noth propery line taeng 35 " Street.
8. A vanance to reduce a range from $10-0^{\prime \prime}$ to $6.0^{\prime \prime}$ from the minimum reguired subterranean street side setback of $10 \cdot 0$ in order to leave underground sheet ples for the conctuction of the basement retainivy wals at a range from $0-0$ " to 4. 0 " from the south property line tacing $34^{4}$ Street.
11. A vanance to reduce $4-0$ - from the mimmum required subterranean rear seback of $50-0$ in order to leave underground sheet ples for the construction of the basement retaing walls at $46-0$ " from the rear property line
12. A varance to reduce 4. Q " from the minimum regured subterranean front setback of $20-0$ - in order to leave underground sheet ples for the construction of the basement retaining walls at 16.0 from the from property line facing Colins Avenue.
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13. A variance to exceed by $10.6 \%(5-5)$ the maximum permitted widh of $30 \%(26$. 7") of the butidings core front ( $88-8$ ) in order to construet a new pore-cochere with a width of $\left.40.5 \%\left(36^{\prime}-\right\}^{\prime}\right)$ of the buiboings front, facing Colins Ave
14. A variance to axcesd by 3 -5" the maximum pemmedted height of 16 - 0 for a portecochere in order io construat a new porie-cochere in front of the property up to toJ" in height, facing Colins Ave.
15. A variance to reduce 11 -6 from the minimum regured from setback of 20 - 0 for at grade parking in order to construct a new driveway at $8 \cdot 6$ from the fromt property line facing Collins Avernue.
16. A variance bo reduce by 10.47 the minmum required pedestal sude facine a shecer setback of 16.0 in order to extend the floorplates on floors 2 through 5 .
17. A vamance to reduce by 10.47 the minmum required tower sude tacino a strent setbeck of 16.0 in order 10 extend he floombles on floors 6 through 14.

- The applicamt has submited plans and documents wht ke applicoton that satisfy Article I, Section 2 of the Felated Special Acts, alowing the granting of a vanance it the Board finds that practical dificultes exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subjeci property.

The applicant has submited plans and documents with the applicaton that abo molcate the following, as they relake to the requirements of Section 1 \{ 8 - 353 (d), Miami Beach Ciky Code.

That special conditons and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, stucture, or bulding involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoniry district:

That the speciak condikons and croumstances do not resull from the action of ke applicant;

That granting the variance requested wil not conter on the applicent any special privikge that is denied by this Ordinance to other bands, buildinge, or stuctures in the same zoning disuct:

That ikerak interpretakon of the provisions of ths Ordinance wowid deprive the applicamt of rights commonly enjoyed by cther properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Groinance and would work unmecessary and undue hardship on the applicant:

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that wil make possibie the reasonable use of the land, builing or structure:
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That the granting of the varance wht be in hamony with the generat intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance wilk not be inurious to the area involved or otherwise detmmenta to the public weltare, and

That the granting of this request is consiskent wih the comprehensive pian and does not refuce the levels of senvoe ak sel forth in the plan.
C. The Goard heseby granis the requested variance(s) and mposes the following condithon based on lls aukhomy in Secion $118-354$ of the Miami Qeach Ciy Code:

1. Substankia! modificakons to the plans submitted and aporoved as part of the applicathon, 3 d determined by the Planming Drector of designee, may recuire the applicant to retum to the Board for approval of the modited plans, even tf the modifcations do not affect variances approved by the Board
2. Fevised detalled drawings shall be submibed and, at a mmmum, such drawings shail incorporate the following:
3. The top of the foundation of any structure and the top of underground sheet pies shats be at least 3 bekow the grate elevation (3. 58 NGVD) established for the propety in onder to provide emough rooting spesce for the proposed landscape.
4. Arevised lamdscape plan, prepared by a Protessiomal Landseape Archtect, registered in the Siake of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submited to and approved by staft. The species type, guanthy, dimensions, spacing, iocaton and overall height of all plani materia! shall be cleany delineated and subject to the review and approval of staft
5. A revised landscape plan, and corresponding site plan, shall be submithed to and approved by staff. The species ype, quantity, dimensions, spacmy, bcation and overail height of at plant material shal be ciearly dehneated and subject lo lhe review and approvel of staff. At a mmimum, such plan shall incorparaie the following:
a. Fror to the issuance of a buibng permi, the appkcank shall submit a tree protaction plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shail be subject to the review and approval of staft, and shall include, but not be limied to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any constuction.
b. In order bo dientify, proteet and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for sekention and relocation, Tree Repori prepared by a Certhed Tree Arborist shall be submited tor the mature trees on sike.
c. Ary ires identifed to be in good overall condilion shall be retamed, and proteried in their cument location th they are not in contict with the proposed home, of they shail be relocaked on stte, if detemthed taasib\}e, subject to the review and approvat of staft. A tres care and watering plan also prepared by a Certhed Arborist shal! be submited prior to the ssuance of a Butding Pemmit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permi. Subseguent to ary approved rebocation, a monthy repont prepared by a

Page 10 of 13
HPB19-0376
Meeting Dake: June 9, 2020
Cerified Arborist shall be provided to staff describing the overall fee perfomance and adustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure surivabilly, such report shall conthue for a period of 18 months unfess determined ohewise by slaft
d. Existing trees to be retaned on sife shail be protected from all ypes of constuction distubance. Root cuting, storage of soll or construction materals, movement of heavy vohicles, change in dramage patterns, and wash of concrete or other matenals shall be prohibited.
e. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in contict with existing ubithes, in a mamer to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Deparment.
\& Any existing plani material within the public nghtotway may be reguired to be removed, at the discretion of the Puble Works Deparment
g. A fuly automatic imgation system with $100 \%$ coverage and an automatic ram sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the imgation system.
h. The whlization of roo barriers andior Siva Cells, as applicable, shall be deary delineated on the revised landscape plan.
i. The appicant shall verify, pror to the issuance of a Eulding Pemtit the exact focation of all applicabie FPL transfomers or vaut rooms; such transfomers and vaut rooms, and all oher releted devices and fixtures, shall not be permitted within any requred yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk. The bocation of any exteror transfomers, and how they are screened with landscape materlal from the ngh-of-wey, shall be cleary indicaled on the site and landscape plans, in a mamer to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Cntena andor the directions from the Board.

1. Pnor to the issuance of a Certicate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project archiect shall verity, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape pians approved by the Plamning Department for Buiding Pemi.

The decision of the Board regarding vanances shan be final and there shaf be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent furisdiction by pethion for whit of sertiorari.
17. General Terms and Conditions applying to both '\}. Certifcate of Appropriateness' and 'fl. Variances' noted above.
A. This Final Order consolidates all condions and requirements for Cemficate of Appropnateness approval as same are contaned herem, in the enginat Orders dated November 14,2014 and March 8.2016 . Acordingly, this Order shall serve as the Finat Order for the proposed project and, in the event of conflict between the provisions hereof
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and those of the November 14,2014 or March 82016 Orderg, the provisions hereof shai control.
8. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Direotor pursuam to Chapter toc. Artice II, Division 3 of the Cly Code pror to the issuance of a Bulding Permit.
C. Where one or more parcels are unfied for a single development, the propery owner shall execute and record a unily of the or a covenant in lieu of unity of the as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the Cly Atomey.
D. Applicant agrees that in the event Code Complance receives complainis of unreasonably loud noise from mechanical andor electhical equipment, and delemines the complains to be vald, even if the equipment is operating pursuant to manutacturer specifcations, the applicant shall take such steps to mitgate the noise with noise atienuating materals as reviewed and ventied by an acoustic engineer, in a manner to be revewed and approved by staff consistent whth the Certicate of Appropmateness Chiena andior the directions from the Board.
E. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned mot the plans submitted for buibing pemit and shall be bcated immedately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
F. The Final Order shall be reconded in the Public Records of Mami-Dade County, prior to the ssuance of a Euiding Permit.
Q. Sabsiaction of all condilons is regured for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certicate of Occupancy, a Temporary Centicate of Occupancy or Pantial Certicate of Ocoupancy may also be conditonaly granted Planning Deparmental approval
4. The Final Oroer is not severable, and if any provision or conditon hereof is held void of unconsttutonal in a final decision by a coun of competent furisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the critera for approval absent the stricken provision or condilon, andior is is appropriate to modiy the remaining condtions or impose new condtions.

1. The condions of approval heren are binding on the applicamt the propery's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
2. Nothing in this order authorizes a volation of the Cly Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard sel forth in the City Code.
K. The applicant agrees and shall be regured to provide access to areas subject to this aporoval (not including pnvate resdences or hotelrooms) for inseecton by the City (ie. Planning, Code Complance, Buldmo Dewatment, Fire Safeth) to ensura compliance with the olans aporoved by the Board and condtuns of ithe order
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L. Al apolicable FPL fransformers or vult rooms and beckiow orevention devices shall be located within the bulding envelope with the exceplion of the velve (PlV) which may be visble and accessble from the street.
M. A cooy of all payes of the recorded Find Order shal be scanned into the plans submited for buildno oemm and shall be located mmedialdy atter the from cover nage of the permit plans.
N. Upon the issuance of a final Certicate of Occuancy or Centifcate of Completion, as apollcable, the profect approved herein shall be mamamed in accordance wth the plans agproved by the board and shall be sublect to all condltons of approval herein, untess pherwise modiled by the Board. Falure to maimain shall result in the issuance of a Code Complance ctation, and comtinued falure to comoly may result in revocaton of the Cerifcate of Occugancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt

IT is HEREBY ORDERED based upon the foregong findings of fact, the evidence, infomation, testimony and materals presented at the public hearing, which are pat of the record for this matter, and the staf report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Eoard, that the application is CRANTED for the above-referenced proed subect to those certain condtions specifed in Paragraph \}, I, ll of the Findings of Fact, io which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicani shall buld substantally in accordance with the plans entiled "plans entted "Versalles" as prepared by Nichols Brosch Wurst Wolfe \& Assocates, Inc, dated September 22. 2014, plans enthed "Versalles" as prepared by Nichois Brosch Wursi Wolte \& Assocales, Inc, dated January 25, 2016, and plans entiled "Aman Reson at the Historio Versalles Hote", as prepared by Revuelta archiecture intemational, dated Fobvary 10,2020 , as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by siaff.

When requesting a builing pemit, the plans submitied to the Buiding Deparment for permit shail be consistent with the plans aporoved by the Board, modited in accordance with the conditions set fort in this Order. No bulding permit may be issued unless and unth all condtions of approva! that must be satisfled pror to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obianing all oher requied Municpa, County andor State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval If accquate handicapped access is mot provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a buiding permi, the plans submitted to the Bulding Deparment for permit shall be congistent wit the plang approved by the Board, moolfed in accordance with the conditons set forth in this Oroer.

If the Ful Builing Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date al which ine orignal approval was granted, the application wil sxpire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an exiencion of lime, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the Cly Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Ful Buiding Pemit for the projed should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and contmuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Buiding
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Code), the spplication wil expire and become null and vid.
In acoordance with Chapter 118 of the Cly Code, the viotation of any conditons and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a vilation of the land develoment regulations of the Cty Code. Fablure to comply with this Order shall subjed the applcation to Chapter 118 of the Cly Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2020


STATE OF FLORIDA

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged betore me this $\sqrt{6}^{\sqrt[6]{y}}$ day of Une 202 L by Deboran Tacket, Chief of Histonc Preservation, Plaming Depatment, Cly of Mam Beach, Flonda, a Forida Municpal Corporaton, on behalt of the corporation, She is personally known to me.


Appreved As To Fom: Cly Atiomey's Office: $\qquad$ (6/11/2020 | $10: 34$ ym EdT


HSTORE FRESERVATION EOARE<br>City of Mam Each, Fronda<br>MEETNG DATE March B 2016<br>FLENO: 7490<br>PROPRRTY: 3425 comms Avenue<br>APPHCART: $\quad 325$ Comb UC

 AMENDED FLAT OF OCEAN FRONT FROPERTY OF THE MAMM BeAch SUEDNISON, aconding to the mat thereot as recorded in Plat Book 5 . Pages 7 and 8 , of the Puble Records of Wamb-aade Conny. Forida.

INRE: The appicant is requethy modicatona b prevously appoved Certhoate of Approprakeness for the partal demotion tenovation and reatoration of the existing 16 -atoy hotel bulding, incholng the totel demontion of the 1955 south adolion, the the consmuction of a new teskoy detached ground level adotion, Speofically, the applicant is requeating approval of additonal demoltion, deaign moditcations and site pian modfications.

## GONSOLYOTED ORDER

 based upon the eviomos momaton, testimony and makerals presented at the public heamy and which are pat of the record for this mater:

## 1. Gerthicate of Appropnateness

A. The subject ste a becaud whim the Cobme Watentonk Local Hstonc District.
E. A Cethlicaze of Approphateness for the panal demoltion renovaton and sestoration of the axisting te-story hotel buiding, mobuing the that demoltion of the 1055 souk axblion, and the constucton of a new 16 -story detached ground level apohton was apprese by the Board on Noyember $14,2014$.
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 Department Stan Repork, hac projeck as shmmikked
 of We Mamm Seack Coce.
 $564(\alpha)(2)$ of the Miam Beach Coce.
 118 - $64(3)(3)$ of the kimm Beach Code.
4. Ss consskent wh Centicate of Appophatenesk Crient for Demombn in Section $118-564(4)$ of hac Mami Beach Coco
 the following comdtione are met:
 minimum, such drawings shat moomonde he tollowng:
a. The loobin socce proosed to be bocod at he dop of area for the ney
 Gequred for lfa new readentol tower shall he located memat bo lte shucure.
 shatl be chased indernab trrow oh to the roof
Q. The maxmum FAB for one project she shah not ercead 30 .


8. The propased giask rainge for the new babomas at the eas sevation of the historie Versables struckure shall be repleced wh masonry and flase rablyse and shaik be consistent win the revised plans presented to the Boare on November
 Centhcua of Appoprateneas Cbtersa andor the diseckons hom the Board.
f. Tha vertical swopora for tha proposed front canopy stumbur at the weat ehevanon
 and shall be constrtent wh the revose phans presented to the Board on kovember $14,29 / 4$, in a manner bo be reviewa and approved by akm conamknt
 अ口ard.
9. The endosed ombors bcaked on tre mot terrace of the new tower chat not be
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h. The facades of the existing budng shall be fuy vestod to the greatest extent possible, wh the exceptions noted on the plans in a maner to be reviewed and appoved by staf comsistent whth the Centhcata of Approptateness Criena andor the dreckions from the Eoard.
(3. The metoric lobby of the existry bubling shall be fuly reabred to the geakent exten possble, acooding to avalable historic wocumentaion, in a manner to be revewed and appoved by stah consstent wht he Cemincate of Appropiateness Criteria andior the directions from the Boad.

1. The orbimal hetonc "Versemes" sionage beated on the west ebevan shall be receated to the greabest axent possibe, acoming to avalable hetho documentaton, in a manner to be revewed and approved by staf consstent with the Certicate of Approprateness Cuterna andor hae drections fom the Board.
k. The fan despo and detals of the proposed canopy localed at the west elevation of the histonc Veramiles tower shall ba provided, and all lyhng and any recured spinkier syswems shall be completely receswed mo he strucure in a maner to be swexed and approved by saf consisten wht hee certmate of Appropiateneas Crieria andor the drectons from the Board.
2. Fina detais of al extrior amace mishes and matcriak for the historic Venames tower and the new revibental tower, incbung samples, sham be submited, in a manner to be revewed and approved by staff consistent with the Cerficate of Appropnateness Criteria modor the diections from the Board.
m. A museum guaby hetoric anaysis and dipplay or the existing hatone stucture. mclusve of a photographe and whtem description of the hishoy and evolution of the original bubhry and ta changes of use over trie, shall be submited to and aproved by staft prior to the ssuance of a Centicale of Decmancy of a Temporay Certicate of Ocupancy swh histric anaysis shan be diplayed prominenty withm the pubic asea of the histore structure, in a location to be desmmined by sata.
n. All woftop fixtures airconobining unis and mechancal devices ghall be deary noted on a yevised roof plan and elevation drawngs and shal be screened from vew, in a mamer to be revewed and appoyed by staff, conestent whe the Cerimeate of Appropiateness Crienia andor the dechions from the Buad.
3. In acondance wht Secton 1 IS-3g5b/2 of he Cly Code, he equiremen verthing to an explino stucture setback and parino cedis, is heraby waved, to alow for the Geonatuction or the onimal for shes
4. In accordance wht Section $118-56$ (h) $)$ of the Cly Gode the requmement hat a ful bublme wemat for the new onstrubth be sabued phor to the hauance of a demolthon permik for existing noncontribuing skuckures, is hereby waived, it the thlowme regurement is met
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a. A Buhbu Femit for the reconstuction or he soun wall of he histon Versaines bover, acording to the plans approved by the soand, shall be lssued pror bo or concurenty wh the pemb for the total demoltion of the 8-stoy 1955 souh adotion.
4. Ste plan approval is contugent upon meekg puble Sohool Conourency requremens. Applicant shal obtain a vaid Shool Concurcency Deteminaton Ceruhcate (Certicate) isaued by the Mam-Dade Couny Fublo Bchools. The Centhcale shall sate the number of seats rescred at each schol hevel in the event sufficent seats are not avalable a proporionate share mikgaion pian shell be incorporaxed int a m-paty deyelopment arement and duy execuled piof to the issuance of a Bulding Pemits.
 the stake of Flobda, and coresponding ste plan, shall be submited to and approved by stah The species ype, cuantiy, dimensions, spache, bcaton and ouarall height of alt plan materal shell be cleary delneated and subech to the revew and approval of stat As a mimume, such plam shall hoorporate the followng:
 a mamer to be ceyeved and appoved by he Puble Work Deparment.
b. A fuly abtomatc magaibn syamm why $100 \%$ coverage and an automatic ran sensor in order to render the sysem inoperatve in he event of ram. Rightorway areas shall ako be incorporated as pant of the imgation sysum.
c. Any ovethead umbes bcated in the adjacen pubic roh-otways shall be placed underground, if feasible, and abiect to the review and approval or the Fuble Works Deparment.
6. Pursuant to the Escrow Agrament executed beween the owner and the Cby, signed by both paties in Warch 2000 , the owner has agreed to enter into a Streascape Agrement for all pubic right-btway improvemens abuting the subec propery, inoludny $32^{2}$ Street beween Colins Avenue and the Ocean, Collns Avenue, and $3 A^{*}$ Street bebveen Collms Avenue and the Ocean, molusive of the Gtys publo surace parking tot. The following condinne shall be requied to be completed, as part of the Srestscape mprovements, prior bo he iscuance of a Patal Centhcake of Ocoumany (P.C.O), Temporary Cembcake of Occupancy (T.C.O) or fna Certhcate of Ocupancy (C.O.) for ether the new bulling or existing buiding on the Verselles propery (3425 Colins Avenue), whichever occus last.
a. 34 Street The owner wil instal dranage struckuss and hamacape improvementa fincluding sdevalks, ADA romps, and vehioubr approaches, as descrbed in the Cly rightowney plans adjacent to the eas side of Collns Avenue a $3{ }^{3}$ street, or whl provide unding for such work at the decetion of the Ciys Caphal mprovenem Propect Department.
3. 3 . Strem Surtace Fanky Lot The owne wil provide landecape and ingation or wil provide funding for such mprovements at he discretion of

## Pages ofs

HPE Fise No. 7490
Weetng Date Warch ${ }^{2} 2015$
the Cbys Captai Improxement Fropas Deparmen for the $34^{*}$ Strex surace lo
c. Puble Beach Access at $34^{*}$ Stree The owner wil constuct he paved pobio beach acosss, incuding all associatw harscape fandscape, and migabon, from Collns Avenue to the Dcean. This shall also incude all \{moccape, hardocape, ath imgation located bekwen the east end of the 34 " Steet paring tot and the Ocean.
o. Pursunt to Condilon $3 d$ below, he owner shan provide loghng in al handscape areas consbucted or funded by the owner, in a mamer to be revieved and appoved by staf.
 abng the rear of the subject she, eubject to the following condtions. The approval or the
 sccordance wht the followng condmons:
a. The exating rabed boarovak adacent to the dome and the ste, in beween $34^{4}$ and 35 Strets, shal be demolshed and removed. A new Fuble Beah Wak shal be destoned, permitter and buh by the applicand and shall connect to the axstho raised boarduake to the north and to the south. Al cocte assochated whth the design, permbing and constuwhon of the Pwib Beach Wak as described herem, shall be bome by the applicant.
b. The applicam shall enver into and record a restrive covenant, approved ty the Mami Beach city Atomey, whib runs whe the land, contming the applicants agreement to design, permit and constuct a Publo beach Wak in accorance with the condions heren. The restrotve covenam shall be recorded th the puble records, at the expense of the applicant.
c. The Pbblic Beach Wak shall be generaly consistent with the beach wak maser phan, and shall requre be reviak and apoova of the Fuble Work Deparment as well as all other appltable regulatory agencies and athonties.
d. The Puble Beath Wak shall be subatantily completed as soon as yeasonably possible atter the saunce of all requited pemits for the construction.
e. The construction of the Public Beach Wak wht be timed to conode whth the beach wak projed behind the Saxony Hokel. If the versalles is ready for 0.0 and constwchon of the Pwble Beach Wak has not commenced and compleked, them the applican shal poat a bond, or provide oher secury acoptable to the Chy Atomey, fon the cost bl constrotion of the Fuble Beach Wak, to guarantee ta constnction and completion.

## 13. Variancep ${ }^{3}$

A. No Vananoes were reauested as a pat of this application.
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 'fl. Varances' noted aboye.

A This Fina Order coneolidates af condtione ane reabrements for Cermoate of Aporownateness aporovel as same are contaned herem, im the onomal Order dated Movember 14, 2014 Acordindy this order shall seve as the final Order for the prowesed profat and in the evemt of comfici between the provisioms hereof and those of the November 14.2014 Order Ane provisions hereot shall comrol
E. A Constmeton Farking and Tratic Managemant plan (CeTMP) shall be apooved by the Parkno Diretor pursuam to Chapler 109 , Anice il. Dusion 3 of the Cly Code, prio to the sevance of a Bulding Permit
C. Whers one of more parels are unffed for a whyle develowment the propert owner shall execut and recon an unty of fle or a covenam mo leu of unty of the as may be apolcable in a form acceptable to the Giv Atomex.
D. Applicant agrees that in the event Core Complance reopves complantas of umeasomaby bud noise from mentancal andor chachical equpment and deammes the complants to be vald, cxen in the equipment ta operatng pursum to mamuacturer specificatons, the applicant shal take such steps to mifate the noise with notse athenuaby makenals as revewed and verbed by an acoustic engmeer in a manmer to be revieved and approved by stan consincmit wh the Centicake of Approphateness Criena andlor the directions from the Board.
E. A copy of at pagea of the recorded Final Order chat be scanted ho the plans subnited for bulbing permit, and shall be bcated immediatey atter the font cover page of the permit plans.
F. The Fhal Orue shal be recored in the Puble Records of Mammade County pror to the ssuance of a Builing Permit
Q. Satimacion of all condtions is required for the Plamme Department to give it approva on a Cethicate of Ocupancy a Temporay Centicate of Ccoupancy or Patha Cemficate of Occupancy may aleo be conotionaly gramed Planning Depatmenka approval.

Fh. The Fine Order is not severable, and in my provision or condtion hereot is held void or unconstutional ha fhal decion by a cour of wmpetent fursdiction, the ower shall be rebraed to the Board for raconaderabion as to whether the order meata the chena for approval abant the stricken proviabn or condthon andion it is ayprophate to modiy the remaming condtions or mpose new conotions.

1, The condtions of approva heren are bhding on the applicant the properys owners. operators and all successors in interest and assigns.
3. Nothmy in this order authontes a violabon of the Ciy Code or other aphicable law, nor
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TH S HERESY ORDERED, based upon the foregomy frodnge of fact the evidence, momatiom, testimony and maknials presented at the November 14,2014 and March 16,2016 public hermes, which are part of the record for this mater, and the sam report and analysis, which are adopted herein, includmy the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application samara for he abovereferenced project subject to those certain conditions specked in Paragraph ( It in of the Findings of Fact to when the applicant has agreed

PROVDDED, the applicant shall build subvantialy in accordance wi th the pans ambled "Versailles" as prepared by Bohol Broch Wurst Whole \& Associates, Ic, dated September 22, 2014 and plans enticed "Versailles" as prepared by Nichols Broch Wurst Wolfe \& Associaks, Inc dated january 25,2016 as approved by the Histone Preservation Board a determined by stat.

When requesting a bulling permit, the plans vomited to the Buldme Department for permit shad be consctent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance when the condions set font in this Order. No bulking permit may be saved unis and until all conditions of approval that must be satimed prior bo permit ssumace, as wet tort in the Other, have been met

The issuance of hae approval does not relive the mphlam from tithing at other required Municipal, Count andor Sate reviews and permits, moludme final zoning approval if adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not reçured. When requestry a bulling permit the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent why the plans approved by the Board, modiled in accordance with the condions set forth in the Order.
 date at which the onginal approval was grand ed, he application wail expley and become null and void, undies the appleant makes an application bo the Board for an extension of the, in accordance win the regumements and procedures of Chapter 18 of the City Code, the granting of any such extmsion of tine shall be at the discretion of the Board. if the Fun Building Femit for the prod should expires for any reason (aching but not hated to construction not commencing and contriving, why requited hopections, in accordance wit the apphable Gulling Code), the application wile expire and become null and yod.

In accordance whit Chapter 148 of the Ely Code the violation of any condtoms and safeguards that are a pat of this Order shan be deer a violation of the land development regulations of
 the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Deter his
 day of $\qquad$ $20 / 6$
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## PRESERVATIONANO OESGN MANACER FOK THE CHAR

## STATE OF FLORDA ) 188

COUNTY OF MAMADADE )
 Pamning Deparment City of Wiami Beach, Fiorda a Fiovia Muncipal Comporaton on behal of the corporation He is personaty known to me.
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Cib Aknnays Ohnce:
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Fhed with the Clem of fae bbtone Presenction Boav on
 $\left.{ }^{2} 3 / 6\right)$
 Jnucuscore denokas new shavag.


# HGTORIC PRESERVATION EOARD <br> City of Biam Smach, Flonida 

MEETMEDATE Mach \& 201s

FHENO: 7003

PROPERTY. 3425 Colns Avenue

APPLICANT: 3425 Comns, ULC.

EGcAL: Lof 1 through 8 , holusive, and the 1600 foot diey, an in block 2 , of the amended map of the Ocem Front Fropery of Mami beach Improvemenk Compary, scooding to the pas thercof, reorded im plat book 5 , pages 7 \& B of he Fuble Records of Mam-Dede Conty, Fonta.

INRE: The applcation for moditcations to previouly approved yaramces to reduce the Dune Dueriay and Decantron Quertay sequired smbecks to reduce the minmum regured subtemanean, pedestal and tower setbacks: bo reduce the regured sum of the side pedestal and tower seback, to exceed the maximum bulding and fence helgh and to exceed the maximum propection of baiconies Addionally, new vaniances are requested to feave underground sheer ples whin the required font side and rear sebacks, to reduce the requited tron sebback for a diveway, to axceed the maximum alowed height for a pore-cochere and to exceed its maximum length at as pat of the renovation of an existmy hisont buting and the construction of a new 10 story residental buiding.

## CONSOLDDATEDORDER

The Ciy of Mam Qeach Hbtonc Preseraton Board makes he folown Finvinos of FACT; based upon the evidence mbmation kstmony and materals presented at he puble heamp and which are pat of he reobr for his mater:

## 1. Certicaak of Appropriateness

A. Cerffcate of Appopnateness hes not been requected as part of the apploation.
13. Vanance(a)
mge 2 of P
HFE Fila ko .7603
Mewing Deke: Karck B, 201母
A. The apolican bed an applcaton wh the manning Depathent for the folowhe vanance(s)

1. A vanance to reduce b-0" fom the minmm regured setback of 14 . 5 from the Erosion Contol Eme in order to constuck a permeter fance in the Dune Pesevation Ovenay District of $5-0$ from the Ewsion Control Une and a height sp to 16.50 MGVD .
2. A. A variance to reduce $10^{\circ}$ ) from the mimmm saused satbeck of $15^{\circ}-0^{\prime \prime}$ from the side propery line im onder bo concruck a permeter fence in the Oceanfont Overay Dinct at 5 - 0 from the nort propery the and a height up to 16 so NGVD.
P. A vanance to reduce 10.0 from the minmum repured sathack of 15 -9" from the side propery line in order to consmut a permeter fence im the Dceattont Ovenay Distrot at 5" $0^{\prime \prime}$ from the soum propery line and a haght up to 16.50 NGV.
 O' in order to conctwct new stars up to the noth propery lme fache $35^{\circ}$ Street.
3. A vaname to fecuce $5-7$ trom the mimmu requred pedesal street she sebback of 16.0 in oner to construct a column in the eqeyated terrace at tow" from the noth propery line fachy $3 s^{\text {' }}$ Greek.
4. A. A variance to reduce by a range from $13-2^{*}$ to $5.2^{*}$ the minimum requred pedestak strect sde setback of $16 .{ }^{\circ}$ in order to conembet the mst and second toor at a sebock ranghy from 2,10 to towlo from the swh propery fine behg $34^{*}$ Street

E A vanance to reduce a range fom $15^{\circ} 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$ the minmum regured pedentat street side setback of $10-0$ in order to construct the third and fouth flons of the new 16 stoy adotion at a tange from $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $15 \cdot 9^{\prime \prime}$ from the soun popeny lme Gang $34^{*}$ Street
C. A varince to reduce 11 'W" fom the minmum requred pedestal streer side setbeck of $16.0^{"}$ in order to constuch a pemmeter fenoe at s. 5 " from the soum propeny line facmg $34^{\text {t }}$ Greet and a maxmum height of 16.50 NGVD .
5. A vanance to redice 31 4 from the mimum requred pedestal sum of the side setbacks of $32-4$ in order to provide a smm of he side yarde of 8 ".
6. A vanance to seduce 1.10" from the mimum requiced sublemanean street side setback of $10-\mathrm{D}^{\prime \prime}$ im order to construct columa at $8 \times 2$ from he soum propenty hne hanay 34 Street
7. A. A vantanc to reduce a rame from $15{ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ the mimum recured tower
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of the new to stoy abdibn at a range from 8 to 15 .8 from the souh propery line facing 34 " street.
S. A varamce to reduce $7 \cdot 2^{*}$ from the mimum requied tower stree side setback of $16.0^{\circ}$ in order to construct the pool and pool ded, at $8-10$ from the south propeny line facing $34^{*}$ Strect.
8. A varance to recuce $25^{2}-9^{\prime \prime}$ from the mimimm requred tower sum of the she setback of $32.0^{\prime}$ in order to provide a sum or the side yard of 6.3 .
9. A vanance to exceed by $3-9$ the maximum pemmited bulding heigh or $200-0$ in prder to conctrub a new to stoy residenial addkon on the southwest side of the propery wh a maximum heght of $203-$ - measured from base food elayaton

10. A. A vanance to reduce 4. 0 " fom ha minmum requive subleranean shees side seback of $10-1$ in orcer to keave undergrond sheet ples tor the consthation of he baement reaning wall at 6 - 0 " from the noth propery line tache 35 Sreet
\& A vanance to reduce a range from to-b to 0 -a fom he mimum requied suberranean street abe seback of 10 - 0 in order to leave underground sheet piles for the constuction of the basement retaning walls at a range from $0 \cdot y$ " to 4.0" from the wouh propety he facha 34" Etreet.

Th. A vanance to reduce 4. 0 " fom the minmum required subtmanean rear seback of 50.0 in order to leave motrorund shee piles tor ke construction of the basement reaning wats a 46 - 0 trom the rear propery Ine.
12. A variance to teduce 4'- from the minmum requited subteranean fon setback of 20.0 in order to leave underground sheet piles for the condmetion of the basement retainny wals at lo'0" fom the front provery fine facho Colns Avenue.
13. A variance to exced by $10.6 \%(6,5)$ the maximum pemmed whin of 30\% 28 .
 with a widt of $40.6 \%(36-0)$ of the buldings trom fasing Collns Ave.
14. A varance to exeed by 3.0 . $h e$ maxmum pemmited heght of 18.0 " for a ponecochere in order bo conctuct a new portewchere in font of the propery up to 1Q-0" in heght facing Collins Ave.
15. A varance to reduce ' ' 6 " fom he mimmum required hont seback of 20.0 for a grade parkig in order to comatruet a new dryeway at $8-6$ from the from propety ine Facmy Colins Avemue.

Page 40 of
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8. The applicant has submbed plans and docmment wht the applcakon then sabiby Arkice f, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowng the amany of a vanance it the Eoard frads that practical diftculies exist whth respect to implementing the proposed projeck at the sujuct propery.

The applicant has submiter plans and documents wh the application that aso ndicate the following as they redak to the requitements of Secton $118-35(0)$, Mam Beach cky Code:

That special condtons and croumanames exat whon me pecular to the land stucure, or buling involyed and which are not applicabe to other lands, skuctures, or buldings in the same zoning district:

That the special condions and chtumetances do not tecut from the acion of the applaant:

That tranting the varance sequested wh not conter on the applicant any spacial priviege hat is dented by this Orinamee to oher lands. bubinge, or strutures in the same zoming cietrict

That hera! merpratron of the proximhs of this Ordinance would depive the applican of nghts commonty enoyed by bher propeniss in the same zonhg distrid under the tems of this Ordnance and would work unnecessay and made hardship on the appicant

That the vanance granted is the mimmom vanance that wh make posable the reasonable use of the land bubliyg or struches;

That the granting of the variance wbl be in hamony why the generat inten and purooes of this Oromance and that such vanance wit not be murous to the area myoted or othemse cermental bo the publo wetare, and

That he grantig of the request is consitent whe the comprehensbe plan and does not reduce the leves of service as sef fort in the plan.
c. The board hereby grants the requested varince(s) and mposes the followg condubn based on ta authony in Saction $118-354$ of the Mam Eeach Cby Code:
T. Substanta modficatons to the plans submited and approved as part of the spolcalion, as detemined by he Fimming Drector or deshame, may regure the applicant to retum to the soard for approval of the modtied plans, aven ff the modications do not whec vanances aporyed by the Bowrd.
2. Revised detabled drawngs shal be cubnited and at a minmum, such drawngs shall ncorporate the followmy:
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a. The top of the foundaton of any stucture and the top of underground sheet paes shall be at feast 3 below the grade बlevation (3.58 NGVD) established for the propery in order to provide mough toating space for the proposed landscape
3. A revised landscape pian, propared by a Proteswional Landscape Archtect, regstered in the State of Fhotda, and wrasponding site plan, shall be subnited to and approyed by staff The species type quanty, dimensions, spacing, bcation and overall height of all plant materal shall be deary colineated and subiet to the review and mproval of same
4. A revised landscape plan and coresponding ste plan chall be summed to and approved by stah The species type, quandiky dimenwions, spacing location and overal height of all plant makerial shall be ckary delineated and abiect to the review and approval of stath. At a minmum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 protection plan for all trees to be retamed on ste. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staf, and whall nobue but not be lmheo to a stury free protection fence inetalsd at the driphe of the trees pror to any construction.
6. In order to identry, protec and preserve mature treas on she, which are sulable for rebention and relocation, a Fee Report prepared by a Centied Tree Arbotist shay be submited for he mature trees on ste.
c. Any tree identined to be in good overall condition shal be reaned, and protected in their curent tocaton if they are hot in confict win the proposed home, or they shat be relocated on ste, if detemined feasble subject to the revew and approval of stafl. A tree care and wakenng plan abo prearmed by a Certhed Aborst shall be submithe prior to me samance of a Bulding Pembit or Tree Removalkebcation Pemt. Subsequent to any approved rebocaton, a monthy repon prepared by a Centfed Abonat shall be proviced to stan describing the overal tree peromance and adustments to the mamenance plan in order to ensure survivabity, acch repot whall conthue for a period of 18 months unlese delemined othewise by steff.
d. Eximing trees to be retaned on she shall be protected fom all Ypes of conatuckion disurbance Rov cuking, storage of soll or conctuction materials, movement of hevy veholes, change in dranage paterns, and wash of concrete or oher materais shan be prohibied.
*. Street treas shal be requited wibh the swala at he front of the propery if not in conlict wht existing ublites, ma manner to be reviewed and approvec by the Puble Works Depatment.
F. Any existing plant materal whin he puble rightotway may be reguted to be ramoved, at the diccrexion of he Fuble Works Deparment.
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a. A fly automatic ingation system with Yob coverage and an automate ran reno in order to sender the system mopertre in the event of ran. Fightofway areas shall also be moorporaker as part of the freaton system.
h. The animation of rot banians andior Siva Cells as applcabe, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
i. The applach shall verity, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all apphoable Fp transformers or vault rooms such tramstomers and vault rooms, and all other related devices and fixtures, shall hot be permitted with any required yard or any area fronting a stet or sdewak. The location of any exterior tansfomers, and how they are screened with landscape material from the ruhtomway, shall be clearly indicated on the ste and landscape pans: in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff connivent with the Design Qeymw Cnieva andiron the directions from the Board.

- Prot to the ssmance of a Cathode of Occupancy the Landscape Armed or
 she and landscape pans approved by the Planing Damamment for gutting Permit:

The decision of the Board regarding vanances shall bs max and here shall be no further
 cartoram.
16. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Cerfheate of Appropnakeness" and '3\} ~ V a l a n c e s ' ~ n o t e d ~ a b o v e . ~
A. Where one or more parcels are untied for a smoke deveboment the property owner shall execute and record an unity of hie or a covenant in fed of aunty of the, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the Cubby Atomey.
-. A Consmotion Parking and Traffic Management Man (CoMP) shan be approved by the Faking Director pursuant to Chapter 106 , Article in, Divion 3 of the Coy Code, prior to the trance of the Board Orem.
C. Sike plan approval is contingent upon meetra Public Shool Concurrency requirements. Applicant shall wham a valid School Concurrency Detmmmation Corticate (Centhicate) issued by the Gumb-Dade Count Ruble Schools. The Cernitate shat state the number of seats reserved at each school level in he event shficent seats are not avelable a proportionate share mikation plan shat be incoporakes mo to a teary development agrement and duly executed prior to the issuance of a Building Pert
D. The Applicant agrees thar a proper manager will be retained to ensure hat all aspects of the deveboment perniting and licensing processes are coordinated and consetent with the approved plane. The applicant agrees so submit the name and contact mfomation
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 notice and a hearng before the Board to exterd the tmetrame.
E. All costs ascocated wh the design, constuotion and maimename of all mprovements recured winin the puble niph-ofway shall be the responabiby of the applicamt
F. Tha Find Order consoldates all condbons and ravoremente for Varimo approvas as same are contaned heren, in the ongmal BoA Final Order dased December 5 2014, Accorcingy, this Order shall save as the Final Order tor the proposed project and, in the avent of combict between the provisions hereof and those of the December 52014 Orde, the provisions hereof shal control.

Q, The final bulding pans shall meet all other requimements of the Land Development Reguakiona of the Cby Code.
H. The applicam shall comply wh all conotuons mposed by the Hobe Work Depatment
(. The applicant shalk submi a Hob Hambes Covenank Rumning wh the Land to the Ciy Atwney* Offce in a tom accptable to the Cly Atomey indemniyine and hoding hambess the city aganet any cam or loss in the event of an abodemt mobling a motor vehide or oher inetrumentaly due to the promity of the new buiding to the puble nontormay
3. Frior to the sabanca of a Centicaie of Occupany, the probet Archiect shall vervy in wring, that the swojec progec has bem constucted in accordance wht the phans appoved by the Planing Deparment tor Bulidng Permit.
K. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be acamed ino the plans subntwed for buibing permt and shal be locatod mmedathy ater he front covar page of the pemtit plans.
2. The Final Order whal be recorded in the Public Records of Niami Dade County pror to the iscuanoe of a Euling Pembi.
A. Sakntaciom of all condhons is requited for the Plamhe Deparment to give ts appra) on a certifate of Ocoupancy, a Temporay Cemthcate of Occupancy or Fanta Cerbicate of Ocupancy may aiso be condimonaly ganted Pammb Depanmenta approyal
N. The Fina Oror is not averable, and f any proymion or condion hereot held wod of unconstublonal in a fhat decision by a court of wompetmt fursoliction, the order कhal be retumed to the Board for reconsideration as bo whether the order meata the oritera for appoval absent the stricery provision or condtom andior it is appropiata to modiy the remaining condions or mpose new conotions.

- Tha condions of approva hereh are binding on the applicant, the propery's owners, operabors, and all cuccessors in merest and assigno.
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 abows a relaxakon of any requimement or stamama set torth in he City Coode.



 recommendatons, whim waye amented and adopted by the Eoard, that the spolcation k





 contaned herem, th the ongmak Orom of Dmember 5,2014 and th the Conobhacted Order of

 and Barch th, $2 \omega 15$ णriers, the provisions trereot shal ontrok.
 shal be moncstemt whth the plans approved by the Board, modited in accordance with the

 have been mex.

 Handicapped apoess is not provided on the goprc-approved phne, his approval does not mean hate subh handicappes apcess is not requited. Whan requesthe a bubding permit.



 and facoma rub and voik undes the appleant makes am applicaton bo the Board for an
 Gity Code the grambing of any such extenston of hime shall be at the digcretion of the board. if




In accordmes win Chaptar 1 A of has Cby Code, the vobmbn of any mondions and safeguame

 the Cry Code for fevocetion or modhoction of the cpplicerion.
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Dated the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ $20 / 6$

HGTORIC PRESERVATON EOARD

DCBOR\& TACKET
PRESERVATON AND DESGGN MANAGER
FORTHECHMF
STATE OFFIORDA JS

COUNTY OF MAMMDADE

The foreqong instument was achowiedged berore me this $\qquad$ day of
$\qquad$ $20 / \$$ by Deborah Tacket, Peservation and Design Manager, Plaming Depatment City of Man Beach Fonda, a Fonda Monicipal Comoration, on behaif of the coporation He s personaly kown to me.






Mam-Dade Couny, Fonda My commenson exples:


Aproved As To Form Oty Atomeys Omee:

 Fled wh the Clek of the fictonc Fresenctan Board on $\qquad$ $(3446$
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ORDER/HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
VERSAILLES ON THE OCEAN, LLC PROPERTY LOCATED AT:
3425 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI BEACH, FL

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: September 13,2011

FILENO: 726

PROPERTY:

LEGAL: Lots 1 through 8 inclusive, and the 16.00 foot Alley all in Block 21, AMENDED PLAT OF OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Pages 7 and 8, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: $\quad$ The Application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of an existing 9 -story building and an existing 13 -story building, including the installation of new balconies on the east and south elevations, and the construction of a new 10 -story multifamily building with a roof-top pool deck at the rear of the site, along with a new landscape and hardscape plan for the entire site.

## ORDER

The applicant, Versailles on the Ocean, LLC, filed an application with the City of Miami Beach Planning Department for a Certificate of Appropriateness.

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:
A. The subject structure is classified as 'Contributing' in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database and is located within the Collins Waterfront Local Historic District.
B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code, is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code, is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria ' c ', ' $h$ ' \& ' $n$-o' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code, and is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:
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1. A detailed study and analysis, drawn plans and written procedures, for the rehabilitation and restoration of the existing structures on site, including all proposed demolition and methods of attachment to the new structures on site, shall be prepared and submitted by a Professional Structural Engineer, registered in the State of Florida, and submitted to City staff prior to the issuance of any Building Permit. Such study, plans and procedures shall fully ensure the safety of the public, as well as the protection of the existing structures on the subject site and all existing structures adjacent to the subject site during the course of construction.
2. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
a. The final design and details of the west elevation of the residential tower shall be provided, subject to the review and approval of staff.
b. The lobby of the 1940 hotel shall be fully restored to the greatest extent possible, consistent with available historic documentation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
c. The facades of the existing buildings shall be fully restored to the greatest extent possible, with the exceptions noted on the plans, subject to the review and approval of staff.
d. The final design and details of the restored ballroom of the 1940 building shall be provided and its original south wall shall be substantially restored to the original design, subject to the review and approval of staff.
e. The final design and details of the proposed porte-cocheres shall be provided, and all lighting and any required sprinkler systems shall be completely recessed into the structure, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
f. Further study and development of the north elevation of the first floor, inclusive of the porte-cochere, shall be required, in order to eliminate any conflict with the existing ballroom and better enhance the pedestrian character of the street, subject to the review and approval of staff. The final design and details of the proposed drop-off area shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
g. The final design and details of the proposed concrete roof-top canopy of the new residential tower shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
h. A fully enclosed air conditioned trash room that is sufficiently sized to handle the entire trash load of the building at all times shall be required, located within the envelope of the building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff. It shall not be located fronting any street.

Page 3 of 9
HPB File No. 7266
Meeting Date: September 13, 2011
i. The final location and details of all exterior ramp and railing systems, including materials, dimensions and finishes, shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
j. The design and location of the proposed 'satellite kitchen, storage, and prep area' at the southeast corner of the site, shall not be fully developed and designed, in a manner to be approved by staff.
k. Unless otherwise permitted by Code, accessory outdoor bar counters shall not be operated or utilized between 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., as required by City Code.
I. The final design and details of all exterior site structures shall be provided, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
m . A museum quality historic analysis and display of the existing structure, inclusive of a photographic and written description of the history and evolution of the original building and its changes of use over time, shall be submitted to and approved by staff, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy or a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy; such historic analysis shall be displayed prominently within the public area of the historic structure, in a location to be determined by staff.
n. Manufacturers drawings and Dade County product approval numbers for all new windows, doors and glass shall be required.
o. All rooftop fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be approved by staff.
p. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
3. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
a. Tall hedge material shall not be permitted anywhere along the perimeter of the property.
b. Any trees adjacent to the right-of-way shall be consistent compatible with the streetscape plan for the neighborhood, subject to the review and approval of staff.
c. All exterior walkways shall consist of decorative avers, decorative concrete or other decorative material, subject to the review and approval of staff.
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d. A fully automatic irrigation system with $100 \%$ coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-ofway areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
e. The utilization of root barriers and/or structural soil, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
f. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventers and all other related devices and fixtures; such fixtures and devices shall not be permitted within any required yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk. The location of backflow preventers, siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
g. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms; such transformers and vault rooms, and all other related devices and fixtures, shall not be permitted within any required yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk. The location of any exterior transformers, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
h. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect for the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
4. All building signage shall be consistent in type, composed of flush mounted, nonplastic, individual letters and shall require a separate permit.
5. The final exterior surface color scheme, including color samples, shall be subject to the review and approval of staff and shall require a separate permit.
6. A traffic mitigation plan, which addresses all roadway Level of Service (LOS) deficiencies relative to the concurrency requirements of the City Code, if required, shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit and the final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
7. All new and altered elements, spaces and areas shall meet the requirements of the Florida Accessibility Code (FAC).
8. The project shall comply with any landscaping or other sidewalk/street improvement standards as may be prescribed by a relevant Urban Design Master Plan approved prior to the completion of the project and the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. Specifically, all streetscape, sidewalk and landscape improvements in the public rights-of-way along $34^{\text {th }}$ Street, Collins Avenue and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street, shall be consistent with the
approved CIP plans for this area and shall be paid for at the sole expense of the applicant, prior to the issuance of a CO .
9. The Applicant agrees to the following operational conditions for all permitted uses and shall bind itself, lessees, permittees, concessionaires, renters, guests, users, and successors and assigns and all successors in interest in whole or in part to comply with the following operational and noise attenuation requirements and/or limitations. The applicant shall ensure through appropriate contracts, assignments and management rules that these restrictions are enforced and the applicant agrees to include the rules and regulations set forth in these conditions in any contract or assignment.

## a. NOISE CONDITIONS

i. The Historic Preservation Board (HPB) or the Planning Director shall retain the right to call the owners and/or operators back before the HPB, at the expense of the owners and/ or operators, to impose and/or modify the hours of operation, or amend or impose other conditions, should there be a valid violation (as determined by Code Compliance) about loud, excessive, unnecessary, or unusual noise or other conditions of this approval. An adverse adjudication of a violation against the owner or operator is not necessary for the board to have jurisdiction over the matter under this condition. This condition vests jurisdiction independent of any other condition hereof.
ii. A violation of Chapter 46, Article IV, "Noise," of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida (a/k/a "noise ordinance"), as amended, shall be deemed a violation of this approval and subject the approval to modification in accordance with the procedures for modification of prior approvals as provided for in the Code, and subject the applicant to the review provided for in the first sentence of this subparagraph.
iii. Exterior speakers, except those required to address Building and Life Safety Code, shall not be attached to the exterior of any building or structure on the property. Small, ground level speakers, within the landscape areas, may be permitted, but only for ambient, back ground music, which does not interfere with normal conversation.

## b. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

i. All trash containers shall utilize rubber wheels, or the path for the trash containers shall consist of a surface finish that reduces noise, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
ii. Adequate trash room space, air conditioned and noise baffled, shall be provided, in a manner to be approved by the Planning and Public Works Departments. Sufficient interior space must be provided so that doors can remain closed while trash and trash bags are being deposited in dumpsters. Doors shall remain closed and secured when not in active use.
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iii. Trash room(s)/garbage room(s) shall be large enough, or sufficient in number to accommodate enough dumpsters so that no more than one pick up of garbage per day will be necessary.
iv. Garbage dumpster covers shall be closed at all times except when in active use.
v. Garbage pickups and service deliveries shall not take place between 7PM and BAM.
vi. Outdoor cooking anywhere on the premises is prohibited. Kitchen and other cooking odors will be contained within the premises. All kitchens and other venting shall be chased to the roof and venting systems shall be employed as necessary to minimize or dissipate smoke, fumes and odors.
vii. Equipment and supplies shall not be stored in areas visible from streets, alleys or nearby buildings.
10. The applicant may be required to submit a separate analysis for water and sewer requirements, at the discretion of the Public Works Director, or designee. Based on a preliminary review of the proposed project, the following may be required by the Public Works Department:
a. A traffic and neighborhood impact study shall be conducted as a means to measure a proposed development's impact on transportation and neighborhoods. The study shall address all roadway Level of Service (LOS) deficiencies relative to the concurrency requirements of the City Code, and if required, shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code. The developer shall refer to the most recent City of Miami Beach's Traffic and Neighborhood Impact Methodology as issued by the Public Works Department.
b. Remove/replace sidewalks, curbs and gutters on all street frontages, if applicable. Unless otherwise specified, the standard color for city sidewalks is red, and the standard curb and gutter color is gray.
c. Mill/resurface asphalt in rear alley along property, if applicable.
d. Provide underground utility service connections and on-site transformer location, if necessary.
e. Provide back-flow prevention devices on all water services.
f. Provide on-site, self-contained storm water drainage for the proposed development.
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g. Meet water/sewer concurrency requirements including a hydraulic water model analysis and gravity sewer system capacity analysis as determined by the Department and the required upgrades to water and sewer mains servicing this project.
h. Payment of City utility impact fees for water meters/services.
i. Provide flood barrier ramps to underground parking or minimum slab elevation to be at highest adjacent crown road elevation plus 8 ".
j. Right-of-way permit must be obtained from Public Works.
k. All right-of-way encroachments must be removed.
I. All planting/landscaping in the public right-of-way must be approved by the Public Works and Parks Departments.
11. The Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition shall only remain in effect for the period of time that there is an active Certificate of Appropriateness for the associated new construction on the subject property.
12. At the time of completion of the project, only a Final Certificate of Occupancy (CO) or Final Certificate of Completion (CC) may be applied for; the staging and scheduling of the construction on site shall take this into account. All work on site must be completed in accordance with the plans approved herein, as well as by the Building, Fire, Planning, CIP and Public Works Departments, inclusive of all conditions imposed herein, and by other Development Review Boards, and any modifications required pursuant to field inspections, prior to the issuance of a CO or CC. This shall not prohibit the issuance of a Partial or Temporary CO, or a Partial or Temporary CC.
13. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
14. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
15. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
16. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended by the Board, that the Certificate of Appropriateness is
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GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in paragraph C of the Findings of Fact (Condition Nos. 1-16, inclusive) hereof, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff, entitled "Versaille Hotel", as prepared by Kobi Karp Architecture and Interior Design, Inc., dated 7/2011.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original Certificate of Appropriateness was granted, the Certificate of Appropriateness will expire and become null and void. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the Certificate of Appropriateness will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Section 118-561 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the Certificate of Appropriateness to Section 118-564, City Code, for revocation or modification of the Certificate of Appropriateness.

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\square$
HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
 FOR THE CHAIR
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## STATE OF FLORIDA ) <br> )SS

COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 16 day of September _20 ll by Thomas R. Mooney, Design and Preservation Manager, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me.


Randy Clear
NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: $\qquad$
Approved As To Form: Legal Department: $\qquad$ green (9-15-2011
Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on $\qquad$ $9-16-20 / 1$ RC
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ORDER/HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
VERSAILLES ON THE OCEAN, LLC
PROPERTY LOCATED AT:
3425 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI BEACH, FL

# PLANNING BOARD CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 

54

PROPERTY:<br>3425 Collins Avenue

## FILE NO. <br> 2050

IN RE:


#### Abstract

The Application by Versailles on the Ocean, LLC., requesting a Conditional Use Permit pursuant to Sections 130-38 and 118-193 of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code to construct and operate subterranean parking with approximately 56 mechanical lift spaces and approximately 10 standard spaces, as required parking for a new 10 -story, 54-unit residential addition inclusive of a rooftop pool deck, as well as, Conditional Use approval, pursuant to Section 1421362 of the Land Developmen: Regulations of the City Code, for the aggregate of Neighborhood Impact Establishment within the historic Versailles Hotel, inclusive of outdoor venues, not operating as entertainment establishments, open to the public, with background music only.


## LEGAL

DESCRIPTION: Lots 1 through 8 inclusive, and the 16.00 foot Alley, Block 21, "Amended Plat of the Ocean Front property of Miami Beach Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Pages 7 and 8, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## MEETING DATE: March 27, 2012

## CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

The applicant, Versailles on the Ocean, LLC., filed an application with the Planning Director for a Conditional Use Permit pursuant to Sections 130-38, 118-193, and 142-1362 of the Land Development Regulations of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida. Notice of the request for Conditional Use was given as required by law and mailed out to owners of property within a distance of 375 feet of the exterior limits of the property upon which the application was made.

The Planning Board of the City of Miami Beach makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

That the property in question is located in the RM-3, Residential multifamily high intensity zoning district.

That the use is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the area in which the property is located;

That the intended use or construction will not result in an impact that will exceed the thresholds for the levels of service as set forth in the Comprehensive Plan;

That structures and uses associated with the request are consistent with the Land Development Regulations;

That the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare will not be adversely affected;
That necessary safeguards will be provided for the protection of surrounding property, persons, and neighborhood values.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which is adopted herein, including the staff recommendation, that a Conditional Use Permit as requested and set forih above be GRANTED, subject to the following conditions to which the applicant has agreed:

1. The Planning Board shall maintain jurisdiction of this Conditional Use Permit. If deemed necessary, at the request of the Planning Director, the applicant shall provide a progress report to the Board. Additionally, the applicant shall provide a progress report to the Board 120 days after facilities constituting a neighborhood impact establishment are open and operating. The Board reserves the right to modify the Conditional Use approval at the time of a progress report in a non-substantive manner, to impose additional conditions to address possible problems and to determine the timing and need for future progress reports. This Conditional Use is also subject to modification or revocation under City Code Sec. 118-194 (c).
2. This Conditional Use Permit is issued to Versailles on the Ocean, LLC, as owner and operator of the property. Subsequent owners and operators shall be required to appear before the Board to affirm their understanding of the conditions listed herein.
3. The conditions of approval for this Conditional Use Permit are binding on the applicant, the property owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
4. Any substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans.
5. The applicant, now and in the future, shall abide by all the documents and statements submitted with this application for Conditional Use permit for mechanical parking lifts and a Neighborhood Impact Establishment.
6. The hours of operation shall be as follows, as proposed by the applicant:

- The Hotel Dinner Service Restaurant - from 6:00 PM to 2:00 AM, 7 days a week, and may provide supplemental beverage services to patrons from 12:00 noon to 2:00 AM.
- The Hotel Day Restaurant - from 6:00 AM to 2:00 AM, 7 days a week
- The Hotel Lounge - from 12:00 noon to 5:00 AM, 7 days a week
- The Beachside Lounge - from 9:00 AM to 2:00 AM, 7 days a week
- The Rooftop Pool Deck and Bar - from 9:00 AM to 12:00 AM, Monday thru Thursday, and from 9:00 AM to 2:00 PM Friday, Saturday and Sunday
- Sky Lounge - from 9:00 AM to 2:00 AM, 7 days a week
- The Coffee Bar - from 9:00 AM to 2:00 AM, 7 days a week

7. The occupancy content for each venue and amenity would be as determined by the Fire Marshall.
8. No outdoor venue shall have music, whether live or recorded, whether amplified or nonamplified, which is played at a volume that is defined as entertainment, i.e., louder than ambient background music (defined as a sound level that does not interfere with normal conversation), as proposed by the applicant provided that:
a. Outdoor entertainment may take place, until 10:00 PM on Sunday through Thursday and until midnight on Friday and Saturday, on the hotel pool deck, for events which are either:
i. Events which have been granted a special event permit; or
ii. Events which are the usual and customary hotel accessory events, such as weddings and receptions.
b. In the outdoor dining area along the Collins Avenue side of the dinner service restaurant:
i. Small loudspeakers with limited low-frequency (bass) output be placed so that the sound is concentrated within the dining space away from Collins Avenue; and
ii. The ambient background music shall be turned off at 10:00 PM on Sunday through Thursday and at midnight on Friday and Saturday.
c. Access to any indoor area that plays music at a volume that is defined as entertainment, shall be restricted to the hotel lobby or a vestibule as a sound buffer effectively mitigating sound within the premises from reaching the outside.
9. The sound systems shall be installed, maintained, and operated in compliance with the specifications and requirements of the Noise Ordinance and the Noise Study dated December 30, 2011 by The Audio Bug, Inc., and any amendments or supplements thereto. The installation of speakers shall be as recommended by the sound study and the installation plan shall be submitted to staff for review and approval prior to obtaining a building permit. Before business operations begin, The Audio Bug Inc., or similarly qualified sound consultant shall test the sound system for compliance with these requirements, and a report of such compliance shall be submitted to staff for review and approval before the issuance of a Business Tax Receipt.
10. All hotel and all condominium vehicle parking and retrieval shall be performed by valet attendants; all self-parking shall be prohibited. Valet attendants shall adhere to the traffic route plan submitted by the applicant. No pick-up or drop-off shall be permitted on 34th Street. There shall be sufficient valet attendants to handle demand.
11. As per Section 130-251 of the City Code a declaration of restrictive covenant running with the land stipulating that a valet operator shall be provided for such parking for so long as the use continues, in form and substance acceptable to the City Attorney and the Planning Director, and recorded in the official records of Miami Dade County, shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a building permit. In all other respects, the hotel and the condominium shall conduct their respective operations in compliance with the specifications and requirements of the following Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. reports and correspondence. (a) Traffic Impact Analysis, December 2011; (b) Valet Operations Analysis, December 29, 2011; (c) Maneuverability Analysis, January 20, 2012; (d) Response to Peer Review Comments, February 15, 2012; (e) Response to City Review Comments; and (f) all other reports and correspondence dated on or before the date of the approval of this Conditional Use Permit.
12. Valet storage of vehicles on the upper level of the mechanical lifts in the parking garage shall be exclusively for the condominium use, as proposed by the applicant.
13. The applicant shall contact and make arrangements with the City's Parking Department for the use of the two municipal parking facilities. If those arrangements are not made, the applicant shall find other storage facilities for its hotel guests and patrons. In either event, the arrangements/plan shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval. The arrangements/plan shall also specify where any vehicles which cannot be accommodated in the specified parking facilities may be parked.
14. The condominium valet and parking operations shall:
a. Use for vehicle drop-off and pick-up, the porte cochere on $35^{\text {th }}$ Street, via a dedicated driveway loop and;
b. Park vehicles in the 56 mechanical spaces in the garage, which shall be exclusively for the condominium use, as proposed by the applicant. The applicant shall submit to the Planning Department, for review and approval, applicant's arrangements/plan specifying where any vehicles which cannot be accommodated in the garage may be parked.
15. The hotel valet parking operations shall:
a. Use for vehicle drop-off and pick-up, the porte cochere on Collins Avenue north of $34^{\text {th }}$ Street via a dedicated driveway loop; and
b. Park vehicles in the municipal parking facilities on Collins Avenue at $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street, if an agreement with the City's Parking Department is reached. If an agreement is not reached, vehicles shall be parked at other storage facilities as may be available.
16. The applicant shall coordinate with the City's Parking Department for the removal of 5 parking spaces on the north side of 34th Street and the installation of signage on the sidewalk at the garage driveway.
17. The applicant shall coordinate with the City's Parking Department for the posting of cautionary "Vehicle Crossing" sidewalk signs at all vehicle entrance and exit points to the hotel and
condominium to alert pedestrians and bicyclists; and "Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossing" signs to alert drivers.
18. The garage and mechanical lifts shall be in operation 24 hours per day, seven days a week, as proposed by the applicant. In addition to the valet attendants, there shall be security personnel of at least one on site person monitoring the garage operation 24 hours a day, seven days a week to address any emergencies. The security office shall be located less than 100 feet from the mechanical lift operation. The mechanical parking lifts shall also be monitored by remote surveillance. The generators shall be tested every six months. The lifts shall also be capable of manual operation if the emergency stand-by generators fail. The generators shall be located where they will minimize negative impacts from their operation or testing, such as noise and fumes. The proposed generator locations shall be submitted for review and approval by staff, There shall be in place an emergency procedure which includes a quick response service contract with the supplier and the manual operation mechanism which provides for the availability of repair personnel 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The structure, operation, procedures, maintenance, service response procedures, remote technical service team, local, on-site service team, and spare parts inventory shall be in accordance with (a) manufacturer's specifications, and as detailed in the operations plan, as proposed by the applicant; and (b) the noise study dated December 30, 2011 by The Audio Bug, Inc., and all amendments and supplements to it up to the date of the Planning Board meeting at which this Conditional Use Permit is approved.
19. The calculations for the required parking for the project shall be determined by the Planning Department. A final determination for the required parking shall be conducted prior to approval of a Building Permit, Certificate of Occupancy or Business Tax Receipt, whichever comes first, and any deficiency shall be paid by a fee-in-lieu which shall be satisfied in one-time payment prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
20. Mechanical parking systems shall also satisfy the following conditions:
(a) The noise or vibration from the operation of mechanical parking lifts, car elevators, or robotic parking systems shall not be plainly audible to or felt by any individual standing outside an apartment or hotel unit at any adjacent or nearby property. In addition, noise and vibration barriers shall be utilized to ensure that surrounding walls decrease sound and vibration emissions outside of the parking garage;
(b) For mechanical lifts, the parking lift platform must be sealed and of a sufficient width and length (minimum of eight feet by 16 feet) to completely cover the bottom of the vehicle on the platform to prevent dripping liquids or debris onto the vehicle below;
(c) All free-standing mechanical parking lifts must be designed so that power is required to lift the car, but that no power is required to lower the car, in order to ensure that the lift can be lowered and the top vehicle can be accessed in the event of a power outage; robotic garages and vehicle elevators must have backup generators sufficient to power the system;
(d) All mechanical lifts must be designed to prevent lowering of the lift when a vehicle is

## parked below the lift;

(e) The ceiling heights of any parking level with parking lifts within the parking garage shall be a minimum of 11 feet by six inches;
(f) All parking lifts shall only be operated using a spring loaded underwriters laboratories (UL) approved key switch control. No push button is allowed;
(g) All electrical components of the lifts shall be underwriters laboratories (UL) approved;
(h) All mechanical parking systems, including lifts, elevators and robotic systems must be inspected and serviced at least once per year with an annual safety report signed by a licensed mechanical engineer and submitted to the Planning Department; and
(i) All parking lifts shall be maintained and kept in good working order.
21. Deliveries and trash and garbage pick-ups shall take place at the loading zone on 35th Street next to the cul-de-sac drop off/pick up area for the condominium of the property, as shown on the plans. The applicant shall work with the City to have the area designated and signed as a loading zone, as proffered. The trash/garbage containers shall have rubber wheels and pick-up shall take place at the curb. Deliveries and trash and garbage pick-ups for the restaurant in the southwest corner of the site shall take place on 34th Street at the designated service area shown on the plans. All trash/garbage for residential, hotel and hotel accessory uses shall be compacted and located in air-conditioned trash/garbage holding rooms.
22. The applicant shall enter into a contract with an appropriate waste removal operator, and at that time a more detailed sanitation plan shall be provided to Planning Department staff for review and approval, such plan to include a copy of the fully-signed contract with the waste removal operator.
23. The applicant shall provide roaming security service at entry points and throughout the interior and exterior of the hotel and the condominium 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Staffing shall be sufficient to meet demand. Security cameras shall be strategically placed throughout the property as shown on the submitted plans. During special events, or as otherwise needed, management shall hire off-duty police officers, or private security personnel for additional security.
24. The applicant shall submit an MOT (Method of Transportation) to Public Works Department staff for review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit. The MOT shall address any traffic flow disruption due to construction activity on the site
25. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall participate in a Transportation Concurrency Management Area Plan (TCMA Plan), if deemed necessary, by paying its fair share cost, as may be determined as determined by the Concurrency Management Division. A final concurrency determination shall be conducted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. Mitigation fees and concurrency administrative costs shall be paid prior to the project receiving any Building Permit.
26. The applicant has proffered and agreed to construct a grade level Public Beach Walk along the rear of the subject site, subject to the following conditions. The approval of the subject application is contingent upon such Public Beach Walk being constructed in accordance with the following conditions:
a. The existing raised board walk adjacent to the dune and the site, in between $34^{\text {th }}$ and $35^{\text {th }}$ Streets, shall be demolished and removed. A new Public Beach Walk shall be designed, permitted and built by the applicant and shall connect to the existing raised boardwalks to the north and to the south. All costs associated with the design, permitting and construction of the Public Beach Walk, as described herein, shall be borne by the applicant.
b. The applicant shall enter into and record a restrictive covenant, approved by the Miami Beach City Attorney, which runs with the land, confirming the applicant's agreement to design, permit and construct a Public Beach Walk, in accordance with the conditions herein. The restrictive covenant shall be recorded in the public records, at the expense of the applicant.
c. The Public Beach Walk shall be generally consistent with the beach walk master plan, and shall require the review and approval of the Public Works Department, as well as all other applicable regulatory agencies and authorities.
d. The Public Beach Walk shall be substantially completed as soon as reasonably possible after the issuance of all required permits for its construction.
e. The construction of the Public Beach Walk will be timed to coincide with the beach walk project behind the Saxony Hotel. If the Versailles is ready for C.O. and construction of the Public Beach Walk has not commenced and completed, then the applicant shall post a bond, or provide other security acceptable to the City Attorney, for the cost of construction of the Public Beach Walk, to guarantee its construction and completion.
27. The applicant shall resolve outstanding violations and fines on the property, if any, prior to the issuance of a building permit for the redevelopment project.
28. Without in any manner limiting the general rights of the Planning Director or the Planning Board to recall the owner or operator or to modify this Conditional use Permit, the Planning Board shall retain the right to call the owner or operator back before them and modify the hours of operation should there be valid complaints about loud, excessive, unnecessary, or unusual noise.
29. A violation of any provision of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, as may be amended from time to time, including without limitation a violation of Chapter 46, Article IV, "Noise," (a.k.a. "noise ordinance") shall be deemed a violation of this Conditional Use Permit and subject to the remedies as described in section 118-194.
30. This order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval
absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
31. Within a reasonable time after applicant's receipt of this Modified Conditional Use Permit as signed and issued by the Planning Director, the applicant shall record it in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County at applicant's expense and then return the recorded instrument to the Planning Department. No building permit, certificate of use, certificate of occupancy, certificate of completion, or business tax receipt shall be issued until this requirement has been satisfied.
32. The establishment and operation of this Conditional Use shall comply with all the aforementioned conditions of approval; non-compliance shall constitute a violation of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, and shall be subject to enforcement procedures set forth in Section 114-8 of the Code and such enforcement procedures as are otherwise available. Any failure by the applicant to comply with the conditions of this Order shall also constitute a basis for consideration by the Planning Board for a revocation of this Conditional Use.
33. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code
Dated this $5 \not / h$ day of $\qquad$ du $\qquad$ 2012.

Richard G. Lobber, Acting Planning Director, AICP, LEAD AP
For Chairman

## STATE OF FLORIDA ) <br> COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 544 day of tuNe, $20 / 2$ by Richard G. Lobber, Acting Planning Director of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally, known to me.
[NOTARIAL SEAL]
TERESA MARIA MY COMMISSION \#DD 928148 EXFWins December 2, 2013 Bonded Thru Budget Notary Services

## Approved As To Form:

Legal Department
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## BEFORE THE <br> BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

IN RE: The application of<br>VERSAILLES HOTEL AND CONDOMINIUM ASSOC.<br>3425 COLLINS AVENUE<br>MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA<br>(FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION PLEASE<br>SEE EXHIBIT "A".)

## MEETING DATE: JULY 12, 2010 <br> FILE NO. 3465

## ORDER

The applicant, Versailles Hotel and Condominium Assoc., filed an application with the Planning Department for a variance in order to provide cooking facilities in the existing 274 units, as follows:

1. A variance to waive a range of 140 s.f. to 8 s.f. of the required 400 s.f. minimum unit size in order to retain the existing units at a range of 260 s.f. to 392 s.f. and to provide cooking facilities within them.

Notice of the request for variance was given as required by law and mailed to owners of property within a distance of 375 feet of the exterior limits of the property on which application was made.

THE BOARD FINDS that the property in question is located in the RM-3 Zoning District.
THE BOARD FURTHER FINDS, based upon evidence, testimony, information and documentation presented to the Board, and portions of the staff report and recommendations, as applicable, which are incorporated herein by this reference, that with regard to the requested variances when conditioned as provided for in this Order:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of
rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, by the Board, that the variance be APPROVED as requested and set forth above; with the following conditions to which the applicant has agreed:

1. This variance only applies to the residential units. This variance does not apply to any commercial condominium units.
2. The applicant shall ensure that the residential units are brought up to code, with respect to all required building, structural, electrical, and plumbing permits necessary to legalize work previously performed within the building without proper permits.
3. The permits necessary to achieve Condition \#2 above shall be applied for within six (6) months of this hearing date, and be obtained within one (1) year of this hearing date. Any required work associated with these permits shall be completed within eighteen months (18) months from the date of this hearing, and any equipment, kitchen facilities, etc. that cannot be permitted within this timeframe shall be removed. If the necessary building permits are not obtained within the specified time limits, the applicant shall, prior to expiration of such period, apply to the Board for an extension of time. At the hearing on such application, the Board may deny or approve the request and modify the above conditions or impose additional conditions. Failure to comply with this order shall subject the variance to Section 118-356, City Code, for revocation or modification of the variance.
4. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
5. A landscape plan for the entire site, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, inclusive of street trees as per the City of Miami Beach Master Street Tree Plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff before a building permit is issued for the construction.
6. The applicant shall comply with all conditions imposed by the Public Works Department.
7. The conditions on this Order are binding on the applicant, the property's owners and all successors in interest and assigns.
8. This order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
9. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code, except to the extent of the variance granted herein.
10. This Order shall be recorded, at the expense of the applicant, in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County; the original or a certified copy shall be provided to the Planning Department prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans approved by the Board of Adjustment, as determined by staff, entitled "Versailles Hotel", as prepared by UCI Design, dated May 11, 2010, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order and staff review and approval.

The applicant shall have a full building permit for the work contemplated herein issued by the Building Department on or before July 12, 2011 (within twelve months of the date of this hearing) as per the above conditions. If the full building permit is not obtained within the specified time limits, the applicant shall apply to the Board for an extension of time prior to expiration of such period; otherwise, this Order will expire, and become null and void, unless the issuance of such permit is stayed by an appeal of this Order to a court of competent jurisdiction.

This Order does not constitute a building permit, but upon presentation of a recorded copy of this Order to the Planning Department, a permit shall be processed and approved (subject to compliance with the conditions hereof) in accordance with and pursuant to the ordinances of the City of Miami Beach.


## STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this SHh day of AugusT, 2010, by Richard G. Lobber, Acting Planning Director of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me.

TERESA MARIA MY COMMISSION ${ }^{\text {D }}$ DO 928148 EXPHES: December 22013 Bonded Mon Bury Notary Services.
[NOTARIAL SEAL]
My Commission Expires:

Notary:
Print Name:
Notary Public, State of Florida

Approved As To Form:
Legal Department (Selooresonce)
Filed with the Clerk of the Board of Adjustment on
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## EXHIBIT "A"

 FROPERTY OF THE AIIAhI BEACH IAPROYENIENT COAEPSNY SUBDYYSION, a subcivision recurded in



 by the Sowherty line of Blowk I1, Exiensed Exstarly to the Emasion Contral Lire

Thomas Mooney
Director of Planning Department
City of Miami Beach
1700 Convention Center Drive
Miami Beach, FL 33139

## RE: School Concurrency for 3425 Collins Avenue, HPB20-0430

Dear Mr. Mooney,
The Property at 3425 Collins Avenue has an active school concurrency reservation for a 67 -unit multifamily residential project. The school concurrency reservation letter is enclosed as Exhibit 1. The reservation letter was vested by (1) the property owner entering into a proportionate share mitigation agreement (enclosed as Exhibit 2) and (2) the issuance of a master building permit for the 67 -unit project (B1504467). The master building permit is still an active building permit.

Application HPB20-0430 proposes to develop the property at 3425 Collins Avenue with 23 multifamily residential units and 56 hotel rooms. Because the proposed project has less residential units and a vested school concurrency reservation, there is no need to apply for a new school concurrency reservation as long as the master building permit remains active.

Please accept this letter and enclosed materials in lieu of a school concurrency application for HPB20-0430.


Matthew Barnes, AICP

## Exhibit 1

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools

## giving our students the world

June 29, 2016

Miami-Dade County School Board
Perla Tabares Hantman, Chair Dr. Dorothy Bendross-Mindingall, Vice Chair

Susie V. Castillo
Dr. Lawrence S. Feldman Dr. Wilbert "Tee" Holloway Dr. Martin Karp Lubby Navarro

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL
Matthew A. Barnes, Principal Planner, AICP
Akerman LLP
Brickell City Centre
98 SE 7 Street
Miami, FL 33131
matthew.barnes@akerman.com

RE: SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION - FINDING 3425 COLLINS AVENUE- HPB FILE NO. 7490 LOCATED AT 3425 COLLINS AVENUE SP0215081701102- FOLIO No.: 0232260011440

Dear Applicant:
Pursuant to State Statutes and the Interlocal Agreements for Public School Facility Planning in Miami-Dade County (ILA), the above-referenced residential development application (Application) was reviewed for compliance with Public School Concurrency.

The review revealed that the applicable Level of Service (LOS) standard of 100\% Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH) was met at the elementary and middle school levels. While at the time of determination the LOS standard was not met at the high school level, the Applicant has since then entered into a Tri-Party Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement (Agreement), which was approved and executed by The School Board of MiamiDade County, Florida, the City of Miami Beach and the Applicant, effective April 21, 2016. The applicant is mitigating the deficiency of the two senior high school seats by creating a mitigation bank, consisting of 25 student stations, 23 of which will be banked and available to other developers, as such, the Applicant has now fully complied with the mitigation terms. Additionally, the capacity for three seats at the elementary school level and two seats at the middle school level have been reserved for a one-year period, under Master Concurrency Number MA0215081701102 (Certificate).

The reservation term for this Application will expire on March 9, 2017. The concurrency reservation may be extended for additional one-year periods, provided: 1) City of Miami Beach confirms the Application is still valid; 2) you request an extension at least 120 days prior to the expiration date, via email address concurrency@dadeschools.net; and 3) the total reservation period does not exceed
six years from the original effective date of the Certificate. Failure to request an extension at least 120 days prior to the expiration date will result in revocation of the reservation, and a new application must be submitted.

Extensions will be granted, upon payment of the corresponding review fee and acknowledgement from the local government. The reservation period may not exceed the term of the development approval issued by the City.

Therefore, this letter serves as the Finding of Available School Facility Capacity for the Application (Finding). Please be reminded that by virtue of the fact Applicant has a right to cancel the Agreement in accordance with the conditions enumerated therein, this Finding is likewise subject to rescission if those conditions were to be triggered.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 305 995-4501


IMR:ir
L-538

## Enclosure

cc: Ms. Ana Rijo-Conde, AICP<br>Mr. Michael A. Levine<br>Ms. Nathaly Simon<br>City of Miami Beach<br>School Concurrency Master File

# Miami-Dade County Public Schools 

MDCPS Application Number: Date Application Received: Type of Application:

Applicant's Name:
Address/Location: Master Folio Number: Additional Folio Number(s): PROPOSED \# OF UNITS SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED UNITS: SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED UNITS:

MULTIFAMILY UNITS:

## Concurrency Management System

## School Concurrency Determination

SP0215081701102 Local Government (LG)
8/17/2015 11:32:13 AM
Site Plan
3425 Collins Avenue, LLC
3425 Collins Avenue
0232260011440

67
$\frac{67}{0}$
-
67

Local Government (LG): Miami Beach
LG Application Number: HPB File No. 7490
Sub Type:
Redevelopment

| CONCURRENCY SERVICE AREA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSA <br> Id | Facility Name | Net Available <br> Capacity | Seats <br> Required | Seats <br> Taken | LOS <br> Met | Source Type |
| 3741 | NORTH BEACH ELEMENTARY | -181 | 3 | 0 | NO | Current CSA |
| 3741 | NORTH BEACH ELEMENTARY | 0 | 3 | 0 | NO | Current CSA Five <br> Year Plan |
| 6541 | NAUTILUS MIDDLE | 62 | 2 | 2 | YES | Current CSA |
| 7201 | MIAMI BEACH SENIOR | -149 | 2 | 0 | NO | Current CSA |
| 7201 | MIAMI BEACH SENIOR | 0 | 2 | 0 | NO | Current CSA Five <br> Year Plan |

ADJACENT SERVICE AREA SCHOOLS

| 5481 | TREASURE ISLAND ELEMENTARY | 273 | 3 | 3 | YES | Adjacent CSA |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7048 | ALONZO AND TRACY MOURNING SENIOR <br> HIGH BISCAYNE BAY | -169 | 2 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA |
| 7048 | ALONZO AND TRACY MOURNING SENIOR <br> HIGH BISCAYNE BAY | 0 | 2 | 0 | YES* | Adjacent CSA Five <br> Year Plan |

*An Impact reduction of $\underline{22.36 \%}$ included for charter and magnet schools (Schools of Choice).
MDCPS has conducted a public school concurrency review for this application and has determined that it DOES MEET (Concurrency Met) all applicable LOS Standards for a Final Development order as adopted in the local Government's Educational Element and incorporated in the Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning in Miami-Dade County. * LOS Standard met through Proportionate Share Mitigation Agreement.


1450 NE 2 Avenue, Room 525, Miami, Florida 33132 / 305-995-7634 / 305-995-4760 fax / concurrency@dadeschools.net

## Exhibit 2

# PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY PROPORTIONATE SHARE MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 

THIS PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY PROPORTIONATE SHARE MITIGATION DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ("Agreement"), is made and entered this $21 \frac{55}{}$ day of APRL , 2016, by and between THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a body corporate and political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as "School Board" or "School District," whose address is 1450 NE $2^{\text {ND }}$ Avenue, Miami, Florida 33132; CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as "City", whose address is 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139; and 3425 COLLINS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, hereinafter referred to as "Applicant" or "Property Owner", whose address is 3201 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida 33140. The School Board, City and Applicant are sometimes referred to in this Agreement individually as "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

## RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the Applicant is the fee simple owner of that certain tract of land (consisting of Folio \# 0232260011440) located in the City, more particularly described
on Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Property"). The location of the Property described in Exhibit "A" is further illustrated within a Sketch To Accompany A Legal Description, certified to the School Board, appearing in Exhibit "B"; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has submitted an application seeking approval to develop no more than 67 multifamily residential dwelling units on the Property (the "Development Proposal"); and

WHEREAS, the School Board and the City entered into that certain Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning in Miami-Dade County, dated December 12, 2007 (adopted and executed by the City on February 13, 2008), to implement public school concurrency and to coordinate the approval of residential development with the provision of adequate public school facilities ("ILA"), incorporated herein by reference; and
whereas, the Historic Preservation Board of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, granted a Certificate of Appropriateness (HPB File No. 7490) on November 14, 2014 (incorporated herein by reference), approving Applicant's Development Proposal, subject to conditions, one of which is Applicant's compliance with school concurrency requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that: (1) adequate School Facility Capacity is not available for two (2) of the senior high school students generated by the proposed residential dwelling units, at the Level of Service Standard within the Concurrency Service Area in which the Development Proposal is located, to accommodate the
anticipated number of public school students that the Development Proposal will generate; (2) the needed School Facility Capacity for the applicable Concurrency Service Area is not available in any contiguous Concurrency Service Areas within the same Geographic Area; and (3) available School Facility Capacity will not be in place or under actual construction within three (3) years after the approval of the Development Proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that authorizing these new residential dwelling units will result in a failure of the Level of Service Standard for School Facility Capacity in the applicable Concurrency Service Area, or will exacerbate existing deficiencies in Level of Service Standards; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Public School Concurrency shall be satisfied by the Applicant's execution of this legally binding Agreement and full compliance therewith, to provide mitigation proportionate to the demand for Public School Facilities to be created by these new residential dwelling units ("Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation"); and

WHEREAS, the School Board, at its meeting of December 2, 2015 (Agenda Item F-2), authorized entering into a Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement between the School Board, the City of Miami Beach and 500 ALTON ROAD VENTURES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; SOUTH BEACH HEIGHTS I, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, 1220 SIXTH, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, and KGM EQUITIES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company (collectively, " 600 Alton"), which agreement is
effective March $\qquad$ 2016, and is incorporated herein by reference (and hereinafter defined as the " 600 Alton Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, as a part of the 600 Alton Agreement, the School Board authorized the creation of a Mitigation Bank containing sixteen (16) high school seats, available for purchase by other developers once 600 Alton fully funds the bank, and all other provisions under the 600 Alton Agreement have been satisfied, but in no event later than June 2, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as "Mitigation Bank" or "Mitigation Bank \#2015003"); and

WHEREAS, to satisfy its Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation requirement, the Applicant has expressed a preference to purchase two (2) high school seats from the proposed Mitigation Bank \#2015-003. Since Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has not yet been fully established, and in the event it is not in place by June 2, 2016, the Parties have agreed that the Applicant will provide the full capital cost of a twenty-five (25) seat high school classroom ("School Project") which will be added to the first three (3) years of the School District's Facilities Work Program, as further described below; and

WHEREAS, the Parties further agree that the Applicant shall pay Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation funding as further stipulated herein; and

WHEREAS, The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, has authorized the execution of this Agreement in accordance with Board Item F-1, Board Action No.
$\qquad$ , at its meeting of March 9, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the City of Miami Beach, at its meeting of March 9, 2016, duly passed and adopted on that date, Resolution No.
 officials to enter into this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has duly approved this Agreement, and represented to the School Board and to the City, and hereby confirms, that Sergio Jalife, has been and is hereby fully authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of 3425 COLLINS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, pursuant to that certain Written Consent of the Managers of 3425 Collins LLC adopted on January 20, 2016, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

NOW, THEREFORE, in Consideration of the Sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00), the mutual covenants contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, hereby agree as follows:

1. INCORPORATION OF RECITALS. The foregoing recitals are true and correct and are hereby incorporated into this Agreement by this reference as if fully set forth herein.
2. DEFINITION OF MATERIAL TERMS. Any terms that are not defined herein are defined as set forth in the ILA or in the 600 Alton Agreement. In the event of a conflict between the ILA, the 600 Alton Agreement and this Agreement, the ILA shall control.
3. LEGALLY BINDING COMMITMENT. The Parties agree that this Agreement constitutes a legally binding commitment by the Applicant to provide Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation for the Development Proposal for the Property sought to be approved by the City.
4. MONETARY PROPORTIONATE SHARE MITIGATION

## ALTERNATIVES AND ESCROW AGREEMENT.

A. Mitigation Alternatives: The Parties agree that the Applicant shall satisfy its Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation requirement under this Agreement through one of the following two mitigation alternatives. As a condition of this Agreement, the Developer will deposit the sum of Six Hundred Ninety-Eight Thousand, Four Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars ( $\$ 698,475.00$ ) ("Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment") into an Escrow Account, to be held by the School Board Attorney's Office, as Escrow Agent, in compliance with the provisions set forth in that certain escrow agreement, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "C" ("Escrow Agreement"), in order to ensure funds are available to cover the creation of either the School Project or the cost of banked seats, as further described below:

## Alternative \#1: Purchase of available Capacity Credits from Mitigation Bank \#2015-

003: The School District shall be the sole authority to determine that Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has been fully funded by 600 Alton and that Banked Seats are available for purchase by other developers. If Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 is established by June 2, 2016, the Applicant shall purchase student stations ("Capacity Credits" or "Banked Seats"), to satisfy the Applicants Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation requirement
under this Agreement. The purchase price of the Banked Seat(s) has been established at \$ 31,546 (Thirty One Thousand Five Hundred Forty Six Dollars) per seat. As such, the amount of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation cost under this option shall be Sixty Three Thousand Ninety Two Dollars $(\$ 63,092)$.


#### Abstract

Alternative \#2: Provide full capital cost of a public school project: The School District shall be the sole authority to determine that Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has been fully funded by 600 Alton and that Banked Seats are available for purchase by other developers. If Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 is not established by June 2, 2016, then the Parties agree that the Applicant shall provide the full capital cost of one (1) senior high school classroom of twenty-five (25) student stations, which will be added to the first three (3) years of the School District's Facilities Work Program. The Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation cost under this Option is Six Hundred Ninety Eight Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars $(\$ 698,475)$, as set forth below. These Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation funds shall be used by the School District to provide for the creation of the School Project.


B. Issuance of Finding: Upon the full execution of this Agreement by all appropriate Parties and receipt of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment, the School District shall issue a Finding of Available School Facility Capacity ("Finding") pursuant to the ILA. The duration and effect of this Finding shall be in accordance with the ILA. However, in no event shall this Finding, or any allocation of student seats based on this Finding ("School Concurrency Allocation"), continue to be effective if the Applicant fails to perform his/her/its obligations under this Agreement. Conversely, once Applicant has completely performed his/her/its obligations under this

Agreement, Applicant shall be entitled to rely on the Finding and School Concurrency Allocation to the extent of the School Capacity provided by the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation. Delivery of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment shall be made by the Applicant within thirty (30) calendar days following the full and proper execution of this Agreement, unless otherwise extended at the sole and absolute discretion of the School Board or designee (defined hereinafter as Effective Date).
C. Escrow Agreement: The Applicant will fund the Escrow Account in accordance with this Agreement. Delivery of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment in the amount of Six Hundred Ninety-Eight Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars $(\$ 698,475)$ shall be made by wire transfer or any other method of payment acceptable to the School Board's Office of Treasury Management, and Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse escrowed funds in accordance with terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit "C" hereof.

If the Applicant purchases two (2) Banked Seats from Mitigation Bank 2015-003 under Alternative \#1, at the established price of $\$ 31,546$ per seat (total $\$ 63,092$ ), all subject to Board and City approval, the Applicant will be eligible to receive Educational Facilities Impact Fee Credits up to the amount of the total purchase price of the Banked Seats. As further detailed in Section 5, below, the Applicant has already paid Educational Facilities Impact Fees in the amount of $\$ 90,175$, which exceeds the purchase price of the Banked Seats. In this event, the Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse the full amount held in Escrow to the Applicant, less any fees and costs.

Under Alternative \#2, the Applicant has agreed to provide the full monetary contribution
equal to the cost of one senior high school classroom containing twenty-five (25) student stations, less any Educational Facilities Impact Fee Credits assessed or paid to MiamiDade County ("County"). The cost of the School Project has been established at $\$ 788,650$, which was derived based on the cost per student station, as published by the State of Florida DOE, for October of 2017 (the anticipated commencement date of construction). If the School Project is to be constructed, then a line item in the amount of $\$ 788,650$ will be added to the District's Facilities Work Program as part of the next update, for the creation of twenty-five (25) student stations at Miami Beach Senior High School, as contained in the Board approved Work Program.

As stated above, the Developer has already paid Educational Facilities Impact Fees in the amount of $\$ 90,175$. As such, the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment of $\$ 698,475$ (capital construction cost of $\$ 788,650$ less impact fee credits of $\$ 90,175$ ) will be retained by the District for construction of the School Project. In this event, the Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse the full amount held in Escrow to The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, to be designated for the School Project.

In the event Applicant fails to pay the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment as provided for herein, the School District, at its sole option, may cancel this Agreement and may credit the reserved seats to the Concurrency Service Area from which they were reserved. Issuance of a Finding by the School District shall be a pre-condition to issuance of building permits by the City for the subject Development Proposal.
5. EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES IMPACT FEE CREDIT. As
consideration for the Applicant's Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation specified
herein, and as further elaborated in Section 6(c) of this Agreement, the Parties agree that the School District shall provide a credit of Ninety Thousand One Hundred Seventy Five Dollars $(\$ 90,175)$, which is the Educational Facilities Impact Fees imposed by County and paid by the Applicant for construction of the Development Proposal ("Impact Fee Credit"). The Impact Fee Credit amount was determined by the County, pursuant to the then current Miami-Dade County Educational Facilities Impact Fee Ordinance (Chapter 33K, of Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances), the Interlocal Agreement Between Dade County and The School Board of Dade County, Florida, relating to Educational Facilities Impact Fee Monies, and the Metropolitan Dade County Educational Facilities Impact Fee Administrative Procedures Manual, as each may have been amended or may be amended from time to time. The amount of the Impact Fee Credit does not include any administrative or other fees which the County may impose as part of its administrative process, and has been rounded-off to the nearest dollar amount.
6. MITIGATION BANKING. In the event that Alternative \#2 is triggered, the Applicant will provide for the cost of construction by the School District of twentyfive (25) high school seats, resulting in twenty three (23) seats in excess of the two (2) seats needed to be mitigated by the Applicant. As such, the Applicant has the right to transfer the excess twenty three (23) seats ("New Capacity Credits") to future residential developments, as set forth in this Agreement. In order for the School District to manage and transfer New Capacity Credits for the Applicant, a mitigation bank shall be established in connection with this Development Proposal ("New Mitigation Bank") for the School Project. The School District shall create and administer the New Mitigation Bank as follows:
a. Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Cost. The Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation amount of Seven Hundred Eighty Eight Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars $(\$ 788,650)$ is the cost of the senior high school classroom, and is derived by multiplying the total number of student stations to be constructed ( 25 seats), by the student station cost of $\$ 31,546$, which is the construction cost projected by the Florida Department of Education to be in place at the time of construction of the School Project (October 2017) (i.e. 25 student stations $\mathrm{x} \$ 31,546$ cost per station $=$ $\$ 788,650$ ). In this Agreement, "student station" and "seat" shall be used interchangeably unless otherwise specified.
b. Number of New Banked Seats. The number of New Banked Seats shall be established by determining the excess number of school seats, if any, resulting from construction of the School Project ("New Banked Seats"), to wit: the number of seats to be constructed (25), less the number of seats needed to be mitigated (2), resulting in twenty three (23) New Banked Seats for the subject Development Proposal (i.e. 25 seats constructed - 2 mitigated seats $=23$ New Banked Seats). In this Agreement, "New Banked Seats" and "New Capacity Credits" shall be used interchangeably unless otherwise specified.
c. Estimated Educational Facilities Impact Fee Credits. Pursuant to the Miami-Dade County Educational Facilities Impact Fee Ordinance, the Applicant has paid Educational Facilities Impact Fee(s) ("Impact Fee") for the subject Development Proposal. The Impact Fee in the amount of $\$ 90,175$
(rounded-off to the nearest dollar) has been paid by Applicant to Miami-Dade County for the subject Development Proposal. The Impact Fee payment does not include any administrative or other fees, which the County may have imposed as part of its administrative process.
d. Mitigation Banking Cost. The Mitigation Banking Cost of $\$ 698,475$ is the total combined value of the twenty three Banked Seats, which will be eligible and available for transferring New Capacity Credits to future residential development applicants ("Mitigation Banking Cost"). The Mitigation Banking Cost is derived by subtracting the Impact Fee paid $(\$ 90,175)$ from the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation amount $(\$ 788,650)$, resulting in $\$ 698,475$ (i.e. $\$ 788,650.00-\$ 90,175=\$ 698,475$ ).
e. Reimbursable Value of new Banked Seats. At the time that the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment is made by the Applicant, and after clearance of all funds, the School District shall issue written confirmation to the Applicant validating the number of New Banked Seats available for transfer. New Capacity Credits may only be transferred to future residential development proposals within the same Concurrency Service Area or adjacent Concurrency Service Areas, and within the same Geographic Area. For purposes of crediting the Applicant for each New Banked Seat, the reimbursable value of each New Banked Seat has been established at $\$ 25,415$ ("Reimbursable Value"). This Reimbursable Value is obtained by subtracting the Mitigation Banking Cost $(\$ 698,475)$, less the value of the two mitigated seats ( $\$ 63,092$ ), and dividing the result by the twenty-five (25) seats
to be created as a result of School Project, resulting in an individual Reimbursable Value of $\$ 25,415$ per Banked Seat (i.e. $\$ 698,475$ less $\$ 63,092=$ $\$ 635,383$ divided by 25 seats $=\$ 25,415$ ). Applicant hereby authorizes the School District to enter into any required agreement with future developers who may desire to purchase New Capacity Credits from the New Mitigation Bank, under the terms and conditions set forth herein, and to effectuate the transfer of New Capacity Credits accordingly. Payment by the School District to the Applicant for the Final Reimbursable Value of the new Banked Seats purchased shall be made within thirty (30) days after the final reconciliation of funds is completed by the School District.
f. Expiration of New Capacity Credits. New Capacity Credits may be purchased by future applicant(s) within six (6) years from the date the School Board authorized the execution of this Agreement, which in this instance, is hereby established as March 9, 2016, and subject to expiration of timeframe set forth under Section 17 hereof. After 5:00 PM (Miami Time), March 8, 2022, any remaining New Capacity Credits created by the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation option shall be deemed expired, and any New Banked Seat(s) not yet transferred will be returned to the Concurrency Service Area where the School Project was constructed.
g. Purchasing of New Capacity Credits by Future Applicants. The School District agrees to make known to all future residential development applicants within the Concurrency Service Area or Adjacent Concurrency Service Areas within the same Geographic Area, the option to purchase New

Capacity Credits from this New Mitigation Bank. Future applicants may purchase New Capacity Credit(s) only if the Mitigation Bank(s) has sufficient number of available seats to provide for the entire school capacity deficiency.
h. Priority of Capacity Credit Transfers. In the event multiple mitigation banks are created by other applicants, for the same Concurrency Service Area or Adjacent Concurrency Service Areas within the same Geographic Area, the Capacity Credits shall be made available for transfer to future applicants in the order in which the Mitigation Bank has been established and the Banked Seats are readily available for transfer to another residential developer applicant, as set forth in Section 17 of this Agreement.
i. Annual Reports. The School District will provide annual reports to the Applicant ("Annual Reports"), containing the balance of New Banked Seats remaining, if any, and New Capacity Credit transfers, if any, prior to July 1 of each year. The School District shall charge an annual administrative fee as may be established in the Procedures Manual for Implementing the Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning in Miami-Dade County. The annual administrative fee shall be paid by the Applicant to the School District prior to issuance of the Annual Report. Upon expiration or transfer of all New Capacity Credits, the School District shall issue a final report to Applicant ("Final Report").
7. SCHOOL CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT. The School District agrees to apply the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment made by the Applicant
toward the School Projects described under Section 4 of this Agreement. The School Project will include the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation, which will be reflected in the District's Facilities Work Program at the time of its next annual update following the execution of this Agreement and receipt of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment as set forth herein.
8. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Agreement shall take effect upon the last of the Parties signing this Agreement, but in no event later than April 8, 2016. Failure to deliver this Agreement to the School Board executed by the Applicant by March 8, 2016 and by the City by March 18, 2016 may, in the sole discretion of the School District, result in the revocation of the Concurrency Determination issued by the School District on August 25, 2015, incorporated herein by reference.
9. TERM. This Agreement shall expire upon the Parties' completion of their performance of all obligations herein or within six (6) years from Effective Date, whichever comes first.
10. STATUTORY COMPLIANCE. The Parties agree that this Agreement satisfies the requirements for a binding Proportionate Share Mitigation agreement in Section $163.3180(6)(\mathrm{h}) 2$, Florida Statutes and as provided for in the ILA.

## 11. NOTICES AND DELIVERABLES.

A. All notices or communications and deliverables under this Agreement by any Party to the others shall be sufficiently given or delivered if dispatched by (a) certified U.S. mail, postage pre-paid, return receipt requested, (b) hand
delivery, (c) Federal Express or other comparable overnight mail service, (d) telephone facsimile transmission with transmission receipt, or (e) electronic mail to the following addresses, or as the same may be changed in writing from time to time. Whenever any of the Parties desires to give notice to the others, such notice must be in writing, addressed to the Party for whom it is intended at the place last specified. The place for giving of notice shall remain such until it is changed by written notice in compliance with the provisions of this paragraph. Until otherwise designated by amendment to this Agreement, the Parties designate the following as the respective places for giving notice ("Notice"):

## In the case of Notice or communication to the School Board:

The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida
c/o Superintendent of Schools
1450 N.E. Second Avenue, Room 912
Miami, Florida 33132

With copies to:
Miami-Dade County Public Schools
Facilities Planning
Attn: Deputy Chief Facilities \& Eco-Sustainability Officer
1450 N.E. Second Avenue, Room 525
Miami, Florida 33132
Arijo@dadeschools.net; and concurrency@dadeschools.net

The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida c/o School Board Attorney<br>1450 NE 2 Avenue, Suite 400<br>Miami, Florida 33132<br>Walter.Harvey@dadeschools.net<br>Acraft@dadeschools.net

## In the case of Notice or communication to the Applicant:

Mr. Sergio Jalife, Manager<br>3425 Collins, LLC<br>3201 Collins Avenue<br>Miami Beach, FL 33140

With copy to:
Neisen Kasdin, Esquire
Akerman LLP
Brickell City Centre
98 SE $7^{\text {th }}$ Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Fax: (305) 374-5095
Email: neisen.kasdin@akerman.com

## In the case of Notice or communication to the City:

Michael Belush, AICP, Principal Planner
Planning Department, City of Miami Beach
1700 Convention Center Dr., Miami Beach, FL 33139
Fax: 305-673-7559
michaelbelush@miamibeachfl.gov

With a copy to:
Raul Aguila, City Attorney
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
1700 Convention Center Dr., Miami Beach, FL 33139
RaulAguila@miamibeachfl.gov
B. For purposes of this Agreement, the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall be the Party designated by the School Board to grant or deny any and all approvals required under this Agreement, including, without limitation, issuance of reports, as provided herein.
C. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, any Notice or deliverable shall be deemed received only upon actual delivery at the address set forth above. Notices or deliverables delivered after 5:00 PM (at the place of delivery) or on a non-business day, shall be deemed received on the next business day. If any time for giving Notice contained in this Agreement would otherwise expire on a non-business day, the Notice period shall be extended to the next succeeding business day. "Day" as used in this Agreement shall be defined as calendar day, unless otherwise provided. Counsel for the School Board, counsel for the City and counsel for the Applicant may deliver Notice on behalf of the School Board, the City and the Applicant, respectively. Any Party or other person to whom Notices are to be sent or copied may notify the other Parties of any change in name or address to which Notices shall be sent by providing the same pursuant to this provision.
12. RELEASE. When all of the Parties' obligations set forth herein are fully paid and performed, each Party shall release all other Parties from this Agreement, and all Parties shall release all other Parties from any and all future claims, costs or liabilities arising out of the provision of Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation in accordance with this Agreement. These releases shall be simultaneously exchanged and shall be recorded in the Official Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, evidencing such performance.

## 13. VENUE; CHOICE OF LAW; ATTORNEY'S FEES. This

Agreement shall be interpreted and construed in accordance with and governed by the
laws of the State of Florida without regard to its conflicts of laws provisions. Any controversies or legal issues arising out of this Agreement, and any action involving the enforcement or interpretation of any rights hereunder, shall be submitted to the jurisdiction of the State Court of the $11^{\text {th }}$ Judicial Circuit, in and for, Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Parties agree that in the event of any dispute of whatever nature relating to this Agreement, venue shall be in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Parties further agree that, in the event of a dispute among the Parties, each Party shall be responsible for its own attorney's fees and costs through all appeals.
14. CAPTIONS AND PARAGRAPH HEADINGS. Captions and paragraph headings contained in this Agreement are for convenience and reference only. They in no way define, describe, extend or limit the scope or intent of this Agreement.
15. NO WAIVER. No waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall be effective unless it is in writing, and signed by the Party against whom it is asserted. Any such written waiver shall only be applicable to the specific instance to which it relates, and shall not be deemed to be a continuing or future waiver. The failure of any Party to insist upon strict performance of any of the covenants, provisions or conditions of this Agreement shall not be construed as waiving or relinquishing any such covenants, provisions or conditions, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.
16. EXHIBITS. All Exhibits attached hereto contain additional terms of this Agreement, and are incorporated herein by reference.

## 17. AMENDMENTS AND ENCUMBRANCE OF PROPORTIONATE

SHARE MITIGATION PAYMENT. No modification, amendment, or alteration
in the terms or conditions contained herein shall be effective, unless contained in a written document prepared, in recordable form, with the same formality as this Agreement and duly executed by all the Parties to this Agreement. Additionally, this Agreement may be modified only until the earliest of the following times: (a) issuance of the first principal building permit for the Development Project; or (b) the School District Encumbers ("Encumbers" shall mean monies committed by contract or purchase order in a manner that obligates the School Board to expend the funded amount upon delivery of goods or the rendering of services provided by a vendor, supplier or contractor for the School Project) any portion of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment; or (c) six (6) months after the date that this Agreement is authorized by the School Board; or (d) the Applicant provides written notice to the Parties advising that the New Mitigation Bank is to be established and the School District may immediately transfer New Banked Seats to other residential development applicants, as set forth in Section 6 of this Agreement. No refunds shall be made thereafter.
18. COVENANT RUNNING WITH THE LAND. This Agreement shall constitute a covenant running with the land and shall be recorded by the School Board, at the Applicant's expense, in the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and shall remain in full force and effect and be binding upon the undersigned Applicant, and its heirs, successors and assigns, until such time as the same expires in accordance with the provisions hereof, or is otherwise modified or released pursuant to an instrument executed on behalf of the Parties.
19. ASSIGNMENT. The Applicant may assign its rights, obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement to a third party purchaser of all or any part of fee
simple title to the Property. Any such assignment shall be in writing and shall require the prior written consent of all of the Parties, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. At the election of the School District, such consent may be conditioned upon the written agreement of the assignee to assume all of Applicant/Assignor's duties and obligations under this Agreement and to comply with conditions and procedures to aid in the monitoring and enforcement of the assignee's performance of the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation under this Agreement. The Assignor under such assignment shall furnish the Parties with a copy of the duly executed assignment, in recordable form, within ten (10) days of the date of execution of same. The Parties further agree that an assignment of this Agreement shall only be permitted where (a) the Applicant/Assignor has mitigated for the public school impacts of the subject Property with Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation payment having been made, (b) this Agreement is being assigned to the purchaser of the subject Property, and (c) the assigned Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation continues to be used for the subject Property.
20. DEFAULT. If any Party fails to perform or observe any of the material terms and conditions of this Agreement for a period of thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of written notice of such default from another Party, the Party giving notice of default may terminate this Agreement by providing the parties with ten (10) days additional written notice. Failure of any Party to exercise its rights in the event of any breach by one or more other Parties shall not constitute a waiver of such rights. No Party shall be deemed to have waived any failure to perform by another Party unless such waiver is in writing and signed by the other Parties. Such waiver shall be limited to the terms specifically contained therein.
21. COUNTERPARTS. This Agreement may be executed in three (3) counterparts, each of which when executed and delivered shall be deemed to be an original; however, all such counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument. Signature and acknowledgment pages, if any, may be detached from the counterparts and attached to a single copy of this document to physically form one document. The School Board shall be the last party to execute this Agreement.
22. RECORDING OF DOCUMENTS. The School District shall record this Agreement and any related documentation, including without limitation, Assignments, if any, and Releases, within thirty (30) days after proper execution thereof and receipt of the document and recordation costs, in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Applicant shall pay all recordation costs to the School District.
23. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this Agreement is declared invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the invalid or unenforceable provision will be stricken from the Agreement, and the balance of the Agreement will remain in full force and effect as long as doing so would not affect the overall purpose or intent of the Agreement.
24. WAIVER OF TRIAL BY JURY. THE PARTIES WAIVE TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY ACTION, PROCEEDING OR COUNTERCLAIM BROUGHT BY ANY PARTY AGAINST ANY OTHER PARTY OR PARTIES WITH RESPECT TO ANY MATTER ARISING UNDER THIS AGREEMENT.
25. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement.
26. MERGER CLAUSE. This Agreement and all Exhibits thereto set forth the entire agreement among the Parties, and it supersedes all prior and contemporaneous negotiations, understandings and agreements, written or oral, among the Parties.

## [SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have made and executed this Agreement on the respective dates under each signature:

## APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER

WITNESSES:


3425 COLLINS, LLC, A Delaware limited liability company

By:


Sergio Jalife, Manager, as Authorized Signatory pursuant to that certain Written Consent of the Managers of 3425 Collins, LLC, dated January 20, 2016, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "D"
$1 s^{t}$ day of March
$\qquad$ , $201 \underline{6}$.

## STATE OF FLORIDA )

COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 1 day of March, 201 $\underline{6}$, by Sergio Jalife, Manager, as Authorized Signatory, on behalf of 3425 Collins, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company He is [X] personally known to me or [ ] has produced $\qquad$ as identification and who further acknowledged that he signed the above instrument with full authority, as set forth therein, on behalf of said limited liability company.

Moro
Notary Public, State of Florida
Print Name: Mayelin Moreno My commission expires: $\qquad$
[THIS SPACE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## SCHOOL BOARD

## THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMIbADE COUNTY, FLORIDA



Alberto Marvalho,
Superintendent of Schools
21 day of April_, 2016

## RECOMMENDED:



TO THE SCHOOL BOARD:
Approved as to Form and legal sufficiency:


## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

## STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE <br> )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 21 day of , $201 \varrho$ by ALBERTO M. CARVALHO, as Superintendent of Schoops, acting on behalf of THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a public body corporate and politic existing under the laws of the State of Florida, who personally appeared before me, and is [x] personally known to me or [ ] produced $\qquad$ as identification, and who further acknowledged that he signed the above instrument with full authority, as set forth therein, on behalf of The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## [NOTARY SEAL]

SANDRA JOHNAKN
Motary Pudle, stasio of forich
Ny Comm. Expres duty 5,2017 Mo. FF6142


My Commission expifes: $\qquad$

## CITY OF MIAMI BEACH:



## APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LANGUAGE AND FOR EXECUTION:



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { STATE OF FLORIDA } & \text { ) } \\ \text { COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE } & \text { ) }\end{array}$

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this Th day of afori , 201 Myyor, acting on behalf of City of Miami Beach:, a Municipal Corporation, existing under the laws of the State of Florida. He/she personally appeared before me, and is [ $\mathbf{x}$ ] personally known to me or [ 1 produced $\qquad$ as identification, , and who acknowledged that he/she signed the above instrument with full authority, as set forth therein, on behalf of City of Miami Beach, Florida.

Notary:
Print Name: Erethet Asuiar My Commission expires: $\qquad$

## Exhibit "A"

This is to certify that the following legal description describes a parcel of land located at 3425 Collins Avenue, City of Miami Beach, Florida 33140. Miami-Dade County property folio No. 02-3226-001-1440.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

FORMER VERSAILLES HOTEL CONDOMINIUM

PARCEL 1

LOTS 1 THROUGH 8, INCLUSIVE, AND THE 16.00 FOOT ALLEY, ALL IN BLOCK 21, OF AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, AT PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

TOGETHER WITH:

PARCEL 2

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ E, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 8 AND 1 OF SAID BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 344.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $06^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EXISTING EAST LINE OF LOTS 1, 2, 3 AND 4 OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALSO ALONG THE BULKHEAD LINE, AS SHOWN IN THE PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE", AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, A DISTANCE OF 200.72 FEET TO THE EXISTING NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4;

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4, BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 25.57 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, AS SHOWN IN SAID PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE";
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THENCE SOUTH 06 $59^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 25.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

PARCELS 1 AND 2 COLLECTIVELY ALSO DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE NORTH $07^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOTS 8, 7, 6 AND 5 OF SAID BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 200.19 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 5, BLOCK 21;

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOTS 5 AND 4, OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 367.39 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, AS SHOWN IN PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE", AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA;

THENCE SOUTH $06^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 369.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

AREA PARCEL 1 = 1.5780 ACRES ( 68,736 SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS.
AREA PARCEL $2=0.1165$ ACRES ( 5,076 SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS
TOTAL AREA (PARCELS 1 AND 2) $=1.6945$ ACRES $(73,812$ SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS.

NOTE: THE ABOVE LEGAL DESCRIPTION WAS PREPARED BY STONER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. AND IS NOT BASED ON A TITLE SEARCH.
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OWNERSHIP NOTE:

## PARCEL $1:$

PARCEL 1, SHOWN HEREON IS COMPRISED OF LOTS 1 THRU 8, INCLUSIVE AND THE 16.00 FOOT ALLEY, ALL IN BLOCK 21, OF AMENDED MAP OF OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF , RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, AT PAGES 7 AND 8 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE OWNERSHIP OF PARCEL 1 IS VESTED IN 3425 COLLINS, LLC, AS SHOWN IN THE "GENERAL WARRANTY DEED", RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 28768, PAGE 1588, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

## PARCEL 2:

PARCEL 2, SHOWN HEREON IS COMPRISED OF THE AREA OF LAND LYING BETWEEN THE BULKHEAD LINE AND THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, BOTH SHOWN ON THE PLAT ENTITLED "EROSION CONTROL LINE", RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BOTH LINES EXTENDED EAST TO THE EROSION CONTROL LINE. PARCEL 2 IS ADDED TO THE UPLAND PROPERTY PURSUANT FLORIDA STATUTES, TITLE XI, CHAPTER 161 BEACH AND SHORE PRESERVATION, s.s. 161-141-161.211.
ss. 161.141 PROPERTY RIGHTS OF STATE AND PRIVATE UPLAND OWNERS IN BEACH RESTORATION PROJECT AREAS. ...ANY ADDITIONS TO THE UPLAND PROPERTY LANDWARD OF THE ESTABLISHED LINE OF MEAN HIGH WATER WHICH RESULT FROM THE RESTORATION PROJECT REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE UPLAND OWNER SUBJECT TO ALL GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS AND ARE NOT TO BE USED TO JUSTIFY INCREASED DENSITY OR THE RELOCATION OF THE COASTAL CONSTRUCTION CONTROL LINE AS MAY BE IN EFFECT FOR SUCH UPLAND PROPERTY.
s.s. 161.151 DEFINITIONS. (3) "EROSION CONTROL LINE" MEANS THE LINE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ss. 161.141-161.211 WHICH REPRESENTS THE LANDWARD EXTENT OF THE CLAIMS OF THE STATE IN ITS CAPACITY AS SOVEREIGN TITLEHOLDER OF THE SUBMERGED BOTTOMS AND SHORES OF THE ATLANTIC OCEAN, THE GULF OF MEXICO, AND THE BAYS, LAGOONS AND OTHER TIDAL REACHES THEREOF ON THE DATE OF THE RECORDING OF THE SURVEY AS AUTHORIZED IN s. 161.181.

## Water deca Racua-

## WALTER DE LA ROCHA, P.S.M.

PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER NO. 6081
STATE OF FLORIDA
STONER \& ASSOCIATES, INC., L.B. 6633

## SEAL

NOT VALID UNLESS SEALED HERE WITH AN EMBOSSED SURVEYOR'S SEAL
EXHIBIT "B"



## Exhibit "C"

## Escrow Agreement

Pursuant to Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement by and between

The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida and 3425 Collins, LLC

# ESCROW AGREEMENT <br> Relating to Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement by and between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida and 

3425 Collins, LLC
THIS ESCROW AGREEMENT dated this $21^{\text {sr }}$ day of APRLC, 2016 (the "Escrow Agreement"), is entered into by and among THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political subdivision of the State of Florida ("Board" or "School Board" or "School District"), whose business address is 1450 NE 2 Avenue, Room 923, Miami, Florida 33132, and 3425 COLLINS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Applicant" or "Developer"), authorized to do business in the State of Florida, whose business address is 3201 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida 33140, collectively known as the "Parties," and individually, a "Party", and the SCHOOL BOARD ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, as escrow agent ("Escrow Agent").

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Applicant is the fee simple owner of that certain tract of land (consisting of Folio \# 0232260011440 ) located in the City of Miami Beach, Florida, (the "City") more particularly described in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Property"). The legal description and location of the Property are both described in Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has submitted an application seeking approval to develop no more than 67 multifamily residential dwelling units on the Property (the "Development Proposal"); and

WHEREAS, the School Board and the City entered into that certain Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning in Miami-Dade County, dated December 12, 2007 (adopted and executed by the City on February 13, 2008), to implement public school concurrency and to coordinate the approval of residential development with the provision of adequate public school facilities ("ILA"), incorporated herein by reference; and

Whereas, the Historic Preservation Board of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, granted a Certificate of Appropriateness (HPB File No. 7490) on November 18, 2014 (incorporated herein by reference), approving Applicant's Development Proposal, subject to conditions, one of which is Applicant's compliance with school concurrency requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that since adequate School Facility Capacity is not available for two (2) of the senior high school students generated under the

Development Proposal, a Proportionate Share Mitigation Option must be selected to mitigate the lack of available capacity at the senior high school level; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have executed a Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement ("Mitigation Agreement"), incorporated herein by reference, and executed concurrently with this Escrow Agreement; and

WHEREAS, as a part of the 600 Alton Agreement, as defined in the Mitigation Agreement, the School Board authorized the creation of a Mitigation Bank containing sixteen (16) high school seats, available for purchase by other developers once 600 Alton fully funds the bank, and all other provisions under the 600 Alton Agreement have been satisfied, but in no event earlier than June 2, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as "Mitigation Bank \#2015-003"); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has expressed a preference to purchase two (2) high school seats from Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 to satisfy its Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation requirement ("Banked Seats"). However, since Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has not yet been fully established, and in the event it is not in place by June 2, 2016, the Parties have agreed that the Applicant will provide the full capital cost of a twenty-five (25) seat high school classroom ("School Project"). The foregoing alternatives are defined as "Alternative \#1" and "Alternative \#2", respectively; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant agrees to fund its obligation under either scenario by depositing the amount of $\$ 698,475$ with The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, in order to ensure funds are available to cover the creation of either the School Project, or the cost of the Banked Seats ("Escrow Account"); and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the School Board Attorney's Office shall serve as Escrow Agent and, as such, shall manage the Escrow Account in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Escrow Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in Consideration of the Sum of Ten and No/100 (\$10.00) Dollars and of the promises and agreements of the Parties contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties and the Escrow Agent agree as follows:

## ARTICLE 1 <br> RECITALS

## Section $1.1 \quad$ Incorporation of recitals.

The above recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.

## ARTICLE 2 ESCROW DEPOSIT

Section 2.1. Purpose. The purpose of the Escrow Agreement is to ensure that sufficient funding, in the amount of $\$ 698,475.00$, is available to cover the creation of either the School Project or the cost of Banked Seats, in accordance with the Mitigation Agreement, and allowing the Escrow Agent to disburse said funds as directed herein.

## Section 2.2. Responsibility of Applicant/ Receipt of Escrow Property.

Within thirty (30) calendar after execution hereof, Applicant shall deliver to the The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, via wire transfer or any other method set forth in the Mitigation Agreement, the Sum of Six Hundred Ninety-Eight Thousand, Four Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars $(\$ 698,475.00)$ (the "Escrow Property"), in immediately available funds to be held in escrow by the School Board Attorney's Office in compliance herewith. Time is of the essence.

The Parties agree that if Applicant fails to pay the Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation Payment, as provided for in the Mitigation Agreement and herein, the School District, at its sole discretion, may cancel the Mitigation Agreement. In that event, this Escrow Agreement shall be deemed automatically terminated and of no further force and effect.

## Section 2.3. Disbursements of Escrow Property.

Section 2.3.1. The Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse the Escrow Property in accordance with a payment authorization form depicted in Exhibit B-1 executed by the Superintendent or his designee as Authorized Signatories, as defined below, and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this Escrow Agreement ("Payment Authorization Form"). The District shall deliver to Escrow Agent the Payment Authorization Form by June 3, 2016, instructing Escrow Agent to disburse in accordance with Alternative \#1 or Alternative \#2, both defined below.

Section 2.3.2. The Mitigation Agreement provides that the School District shall be the sole authority to determine that Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has been fully funded by 600 Alton and that Banked Seats, as defined therein, are available for purchase by other developers. The Parties hereby agree that Escrow Agent shall have two alternatives to disburse the Escrow Property, as follows: Alternative \#1: If the School District determines that Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has been established, by June 2, 2016, then the Parties agree that the Applicant will purchase Banked Seats to satisfy the Applicant's Monetary Proportionate Share Mitigation requirement under the Mitigation Agreement; or Alternative \#2: If the

School District determines that the Mitigation Bank \#2015-003 has not been established by June 2, 2016, then the Parties agree that the Escrow Property shall be used to provide for the creation of the School Project.

Section 2.3.3. Alternative \#1 - Disburse to Applicant. Under Alternative \#1, the Applicant would be able to Purchase available Capacity Credits from Mitigation Bank\#2015-003. In this event, the Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse, within thirty (30) calendar days following June 3, 2016, the full amount of the Escrow Property to the Applicant, less any fees and costs.

Section 2.3.4. Alternative \#2 - Disburse to the School Board. Under Alternative \#2, the Applicant has agreed to provide the full monetary contribution for the creation of the School Project. In this event, the Escrow Agent is hereby authorized to disburse, within thirty (30) calendar days following June 3, 2016, the full amount of the Escrow Property to The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, to be designated for the School Project.

## Section 2.4. Tax Reporting.

Along with the delivery of the sums to be placed in escrow, Applicant shall provide the Escrow Agent with its certified tax identification number and any other reasonably appropriate forms and documents that the Escrow Agent may request. The Parties understand that if such tax reporting documentation is not provided to the Escrow Agent, the Escrow Agent may be required by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, to withhold a portion of any interest or other income earned on the Escrow Property, if applicable.

## Section 2.5. Termination.

This Escrow Agreement shall automatically terminate on the date upon which the Escrow Property is fully disbursed by the Escrow Agent in accordance with the terms of this Escrow Agreement, whereupon this Escrow Agreement shall be of no further force and effect except that the provisions of Sections 4.1, 4.4, 5.3 and 5.4 hereof shall survive such termination. In addition, failure by Applicant to deposit funds in accordance with Section 2.2 of this Agreement shall automatically terminate this Escrow Agreement, and the Escrow Agent shall be relieved from all responsibility hereunder.

## ARTICLE 3 DUTIES OF THE ESCROW AGENT

## Section 3.1. Scope of Responsibility.

Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, the Escrow Agent is obligated only to perform the duties specifically set forth in this Escrow Agreement, which shall be
deemed purely ministerial in nature. The Escrow Agent will not be responsible or liable for the failure of any Party to perform in accordance with this Escrow Agreement. The Escrow Agent shall neither be responsible for, nor chargeable with, knowledge of the terms and conditions of any other agreement, instrument, or document other than this Escrow Agreement, whether or not an original or a copy of such agreement has been provided to the Escrow Agent; and the Escrow Agent shall have no duty to know or inquire as to the performance or nonperformance of any provision of any such other agreement, instrument, or document. References in this Escrow Agreement to any other agreement, instrument, or document are for the convenience of the Parties, and the Escrow Agent has no duties or obligations with respect thereto. This Escrow Agreement sets forth all matters pertinent to the escrow contemplated hereunder, and no additional obligations of the Escrow Agent shall be inferred or implied from the terms of this Escrow Agreement or any other agreement.

## Section 3.2. Attornevs and Agents.

The Escrow Agent shall be entitled to rely on and shall not be liable for any action reasonably taken in accordance with the advice of competent counsel or other professionals retained or consulted by the Escrow Agent. The Escrow Agent may perform any and all of its duties through its agents, representatives, attorneys, custodians, and/or nominees.

## Section 3.3. Reliance.

The Escrow Agent shall not be liable for any action taken or not taken by it in accordance with the direction or consent of the Parties or their respective agents, representatives, successors, or assigns. The Escrow Agent shall not be liable for acting or refraining from acting upon any notice, request, consent, direction, requisition, certificate, order, affidavit, letter, or other paper or document believed by it, in good faith, to be genuine and correct and to have been signed or sent by the proper person or persons, without further inquiry into the person's or persons' authority. Concurrent with the execution of this Escrow Agreement, the Parties shall deliver to the Escrow Agent an authorized signatories' form, as depicted in Exhibit B-2 attached hereto and made a part hereof ("Authorized Signatories"). Consequently, the Parties agree that the Escrow Agent may rely on Payment Authorization Form, depicted in Exhibit B-2, duly executed by Authorized Signatories in accordance with Exhibit B-1 in disbursement of Escrow Property.

## Section 3.4. Right Not Duty Undertaken.

The permissive rights of the Escrow Agent to do things enumerated in this Escrow Agreement shall not be construed as duties.

## Section 3.5. No Financial Obligation.

No provision of this Escrow Agreement shall require the Escrow Agent to risk or advance its own funds or otherwise incur any financial liability or potential financial liability in the performance of its duties or the exercise of its rights under this Escrow Agreement.

## Section 3.6. Attorney-Client Relationship with the School Board.

The Parties hereto acknowledge that the Escrow Agent has an attorney-client relationship with the School Board. Applicant hereby waives any claim or defense that Escrow Agent is engaged in a conflict of interest by virtue of its service as Escrow Agent under this Agreement and Applicant further agrees not to assert in any future litigation that Escrow Agent should be prohibited, by reason of acting as Escrow Agent, from providing representation and legal services to or for the benefit of the School Board, including but not limited to representation of the School Board in litigation adverse to Applicant.

## ARTICLE 4 <br> PROVISIONS CONCERNING THE ESCROW AGENT

## Section 4.1. Limitation of Liability.

The Escrow Agent shall not be liable, directly or indirectly, for any (i) damages, losses or expenses arising out of the services provided hereunder, other than damages, losses or expenses, subject to and within the limitations of section 768.28, F.S., which have been finally adjudicated to have directly resulted from the Escrow Agent's gross negligence or willful misconduct, or (ii) special, indirect or consequential damages or losses of any kind whatsoever (including without limitation lost profits), even if the Escrow Agent has been advised of the possibility of such losses or damages and regardless of the form of action.

## Section 4.2. Resignation or Removal.

The Escrow Agent may resign by furnishing written notice of its resignation to the Parties, and the Parties may remove the Escrow Agent by furnishing to the Escrow Agent a joint written notice of its removal along with payment of all expenses to which it is entitled under this Agreement through the date of termination. Such resignation or removal, as the case may be, shall be effective thirty (30) days after the delivery of such notice or upon the earlier appointment of a successor, and the Escrow Agent's sole responsibility thereafter shall be to safely keep the Escrow Property and to deliver the same to a successor escrow agent as shall be appointed by the Parties, as evidenced by a joint written notice filed with the Escrow Agent or in accordance with a court order. If the Parties have failed to appoint a successor escrow agent prior to the expiration of thitty (30) days following the delivery of
such notice of resignation or removal, the Escrow Agent may petition any court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a successor escrow agent or for other appropriate relief, and any such resulting appointment shall be binding upon the Parties.

## Section 4.3. Compensation.

Unless otherwise provided for herein, the Escrow Agent shall not receive any compensation for its services as Escrow Agent.

## Section 4.4. Disagreements.

If any conflict, disagreement or dispute arises between, among, or involving any of the Parties hereto concerning the meaning or validity of any provision hereunder or concerning any other matter relating to this Escrow Agreement, or the Escrow Agent is in doubt as to the action to be taken hereunder, the Escrow Agent may, at its option, retain the Escrow Property until the Escrow Agent (i) receives a final non-appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction or a final non-appealable arbitration decision directing delivery of the Escrow Property, (ii) receives a written agreement executed by each of the Parties involved in such disagreement or dispute directing delivery of the Escrow Property, in which event the Escrow Agent shall be authorized to disburse the Escrow Property in accordance with such final court order, arbitration decision, or agreement, or (iii) files an interpleader action in any court of competent jurisdiction, and upon the filing thereof, the Escrow Agent shall be relieved of all liability as to the Escrow Property and shall be entitled to recover reasonable, actual out of pocket attorneys' fees, expenses and other costs incurred by it in commencing and maintaining any such interpleader action. The Escrow Agent shall be entitled to act on any such agreement, court order, or arbitration decision without further question, inquiry, or consent.

## Section 4.5. Attachment of Escrow Property: Compliance with Legal Orders.

In the event that any Escrow Property shall be attached, garnished or levied upon by any court order, or the delivery thereof shall be stayed or enjoined by an order of a court, or any order, judgment or decree shall be issued by any court order affecting the Escrow Property, the Escrow Agent is hereby expressly authorized, in its reasonable discretion, to respond as it deems appropriate or to comply with all writs, orders or decrees so issued. In the event that the Escrow Agent obeys or complies with any such writ, order or decree it shall not be liable to any of the Parties or to any other person, firm or corporation, should, by reason of such compliance notwithstanding, such writ, order or decree be subsequently reversed, modified, annulled, set aside or vacated.

## Section 4.6 Force Maieure.

The Escrow Agent shall not be responsible or liable for any failure or delay in the performance of its obligation under this Escrow Agreement arising out of or caused,
directly or indirectly, by circumstances beyond its reasonable control, including, without limitation, acts of God; earthquakes; fire; flood; wars; acts of terrorism; civil or military disturbances; sabotage; epidemic; riots; interruptions, loss or malfunctions of utilities, computer (hardware or software) or communications service interruptions; accidents; labor disputes; acts of civil or military authority; governmental action; or School District recess, it being understood that the Escrow Agent shall use commercially reasonable efforts which are consistent with accepted practices in the banking industry to resume performance as soon as reasonably practicable under the circumstances.

## ARTICLE 5 MISCELLANEOUS

## Section 5.1. Successors and Assigns.

This Escrow Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the Parties and the Escrow Agent and their respective successors and permitted assigns. No other persons shall have any rights under this Escrow Agreement. No assignment of the interest of any of the Parties hereunder shall be binding unless and until (i) written notice of such assignment shall be delivered to the other Party and the Escrow Agent and (ii) the Party requesting such assignment shall have received the prior written consent of the other Party and the Escrow Agent (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld).

## Section 5.2. Escheat.

The Parties are aware that under applicable state law, property which is presumed abandoned may under certain circumstances escheat to the applicable state. The Escrow Agent shall have no liability to the Parties, their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns, or any other party, should any or all of the Escrow Property escheat by operation of law.

## Section 5.3. Notices.

All notices, requests, demands, and other communications required under this Escrow Agreement shall be in writing, in English, and shall be deemed to have been duly given if delivered (i) personally, (ii) by overnight delivery with a reputable national overnight delivery service (iii) by mail or by certified mail, return receipt requested, and postage prepaid or (iv) by electronic mail. A notice shall be deemed given on the date it is received by the other Party. If notice is given to a Party, it shall be given at the address for such Party set forth below. It shall be the responsibility of the Parties, or their respective counsels, to notify the Escrow Agent and the other Party in writing of any name or address changes. In the case of communications delivered to the Escrow Agent, such communications shall be deemed to have been given on the date received by the Escrow Agent.

## If to the School Board:

```
    The School Board of Miami-Dade County, FL
    1450 NE 2 nd Avenue, Room }91
    Miami, FL }3313
    Attention: Superintendent of Schools
Copy to: The School Board of Miami-Dade County, FL
        1450 NE 2 nd Avenue, Room }92
        Miami, FL }3313
        Attention: Chief Facilities Officer
        JTorrens@dadeschools.net
Copy to: The School Board of Miami-Dade County, FL
    1450 NE 2 nd Avenue, Room 400
    Miami, FL }3313
    Attention: School Board Attorney's Office
    Walter.Harvey@dadeschools.net
```


## If to $\mathbf{3 4 2 5}$ Collins, LLC:

```
Mr. Sergio Jalife, Manager
3425 Collins, LLC
3201 Collins Avenue
Miami Beach, FL 33140
```


## Copy to:

```
Neisen Kasdin, Esquire
Akerman LLP
Brickell City Centre
98 SE 7th Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Fax: (305) 374-5095
Email: neisen.kasdin@akerman.com
```


## If to the Escrow Agent:

```
The School Board of Miami-Dade County, FL 1450 NE \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Avenue, Room 400 Miami, FL 33132
Attention: School Board Attorney's Office Walter.Harvey@dadeschools.net and Acraft@dadeschools.net
```


## Section 5.4. Governing Law, Attorney's Fees and Venue.

This Escrow Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida. In the event of litigation, each Party shall be responsible for its own attorney's fees and costs through all appeals. Irrespective of conflict of laws, venue shall be in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## Section 5.5. Entire Agreement.

This Escrow Agreement and the Mitigation Agreement by and between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, FL and 3425 Collins, LLC, set forth the entire agreement and understanding of the Parties related to the Escrow Property.

## Section 5.6. Effective Date/ Amendment.

This Escrow Agreement shall be effective concurrently with Effective Date of the Mitigation Agreement, and it shall remain in effect until the Escrow Property has been duly disbursed in accordance herewith or earlier, as provided for under Section 2.5 hereof. Unless otherwise provided for herein under Article 2, this Escrow Agreement may be amended, modified, superseded, rescinded, or canceled only by a written instrument executed by the Parties and the Escrow Agent.

## Section 5.7. Waivers.

The failure of any Party to this Escrow Agreement at any time or times to require performance of any provision under this Escrow Agreement shall in no manner affect the right at a later time to enforce the same performance. A waiver by any Party to this Escrow Agreement of any such condition or breach of any term, covenant, representation, or warranty contained in this Escrow Agreement, in any one or more instances, shall neither be construed as a further or continuing waiver of any such condition or breach nor a waiver of any other condition or breach of any other term, covenant, representation, or warranty contained in this Escrow Agreement.

## Section 5.8. Headings.

Section headings of this Escrow Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and shall in no way restrict or otherwise modify any of the terms or provisions of this Escrow Agreement.

## Section 5.9. Joint Participation.

All of the Parties to this Agreement have participated fully in the negotiation and preparation hereof and accordingly this Escrow Agreement shall not be more strictly construed against any one of the Parties hereto.

## Section 5.10. Sovereign Immunity.

None of the provisions contained in this Escrow Agreement shall be deemed as waiver of Sovereign Immunity by the School Board.

## Section 5.11. Counterparts.

This Escrow Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which when executed shall be deemed to be an original, and such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.

## [The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Escrow Agreement has been duly executed as of the date first written above.


THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA


Title: Superintendent of Schools


TO THE SCHOOL BOARD:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY \& ACCEPTED BY AS ESCROW AGENT:

By:


Name: Walter J. Harvey
Title: School Board Attorney

## RECOMMENDED:

By:
Name:


Title: Chief Facilities Officer

## APPROVED AS TO FINANCIAL

 SUFFICIENCYBy:


Title: Treasurer

## [3425 COLLINS, LLC]

## 3425 COLLINS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability Company ("Applicant")

By:


Title: Manager
Authorized Signatory pursuant to that certain
Written Consent of the Managers of 3425 Collins, LLC,
dated January 20, 2016

Witness:


Witness:


Print Name:

TO 3425 COLLINS, LDC:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

BY:


# EXHIBIT "A" TO ESCROW AGREEMENT 

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION \& LOCATION SKETCH [Consisting of 5 pages]

This is to certify that the following legal description describes a parcel of land located at 3425 Collins Avenue, City of Miami Beach, Florida 33140. Miami-Dade County property folio No. 02-3226-001-1440.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FORMER VERSAILLES HOTEL CONDOMINIUM

PARCEL 1
LOTS 1 THROUGH 8, INCLUSIVE, AND THE 16.00 FOOT ALLEY, ALL IN BLOCK 21, OF AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, AT PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

TOGETHER WITH:

## PARCEL 2

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 8 AND 1 OF SAID BLOCK 21 , A DISTANCE OF 344.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $06^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EXISTING EAST LINE OF LOTS 1, 2, 3 AND 4 OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALSO ALONG THE BULKHEAD LINE, AS SHOWN IN THE PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE", AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, A DISTANCE OF 200.72 FEET TO THE EXISTING NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4;

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4, BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 25.57 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, AS SHOWN IN SAID PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE";
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THENCE SOUTH $06^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 , BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 25.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

PARCELS 1 AND 2 COLLECTIVELY ALSO DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 53 SOUTH, RANGE 42 EAST, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. SAID PARCEL OF LAND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, BLOCK 21 OF THE AMENDED MAP OF THE OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF THE MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGES 7 AND 8, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

THENCE NORTH $07^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48{ }^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOTS 8, 7, 6 AND 5 OF SAID BLOCK 21, A DISTANCE OF 200.19 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 5, BLOCK 21;

THENCE SOUTH $82^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOTS 5 AND 4, OF SAID BLOCK 21 AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 367.39 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, AS SHOWN IN PLAT MAP ENTITLED "ESTABLISHMENT OF EROSION CONTROL LINE", AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA;

THENCE SOUTH $06^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EROSION CONTROL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 200.76 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, BLOCK 21;

THENCE NORTH $82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, BLOCK 21 A DISTANCE OF 369.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID LANDS SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

AREA PARCEL $1=1.5780$ ACRES ( 68,736 SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS.
AREA PARCEL $2=0.1165$ ACRES ( 5,076 SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS TOTAL AREA (PARCELS 1 AND 2) $=1.6945$ ACRES $(73,812$ SQUARE FEET) MORE OR LESS.

NOTE: THE ABOVE LEGAL DESCRIPTION WAS PREPARED BY STONER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. AND IS NOT BASED ON A TITLE SEARCH.
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## OWNERSHIP NOTE:

## PARCEL 1:

PARCEL 1, SHOWN HEREON IS COMPRISED OF LOTS 1 THRU 8, INCLUSIVE AND THE 16.00 FOOT ALLEY, ALL IN BLOCK 21, OF AMENDED MAP OF OCEAN FRONT PROPERTY OF MIAMI BEACH IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF , RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, AT PAGES 7 AND 8 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE OWNERSHIP OF PARCEL 1 IS VESTED IN 3425 COLLINS, LLC, AS SHOWN IN THE "GENERAL WARRANTY DEED", RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 28768, PAGE 1588, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

PARCEL 2:
PARCEL 2, SHOWN HEREON IS COMPRISED OF THE AREA OF LAND LYING BETWEEN THE BULKHEAD LINE AND THE EROSION CONTROL LINE, BOTH SHOWN ON THE PLAT ENTITLED "EROSION CONTROL LINE", RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 105, PAGE 62, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1, BOTH LINES EXTENDED EAST TO THE EROSION CONTROL LINE. PARCEL 2 IS ADDED TO THE UPLAND PROPERTY PURSUANT FLORIDA STATUTES, TITLE XI, CHAPTER 161 BEACH AND SHORE PRESERVATION, s.s. 161-141-161.211.
ss. 161.141 PROPERTY RIGHTS OF STATE AND PRIVATE UPLAND OWNERS IN BEACH RESTORATION PROJECT AREAS. ...ANY ADDITIONS TO THE UPLAND PROPERTY LANDWARD OF THE ESTABLISHED LINE OF MEAN HIGH WATER WHICH RESULT FROM THE RESTORATION PROJECT REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE UPLAND OWNER SUBJECT TO ALL GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS AND ARE NOT TO BE USED TO JUSTIFY INCREASED DENSITY OR THE RELOCATION OF THE COASTAL CONSTRUCTION CONTROL LINE AS MAY BE IN EFFECT FOR SUCH UPLAND PROPERTY.
s.s. 161.151 DEFINITIONS. (3) "EROSION CONTROL LINE" MEANS THE LINE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ss. 161.141-161.211 WHICH REPRESENTS THE LANDWARD EXTENT OF THE CLAIMS OF THE STATE IN ITS CAPACITY AS SOVEREIGN TITLEHOLDER OF THE SUBMERGED BOTTOMS AND SHORES OF THE ATLANTIC OCEAN, THE GULF OF MEXICO, AND THE BAYS, LAGOONS AND OTHER TIDAL REACHES THEREOF ON THE DATE OF THE RECORDING OF THE SURVEY AS AUTHORIZED IN s. 161.181.

## Wacten decarsant-

## WALTER DE LA ROCHA, P.S.M.

PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER NO. 6081
STATE OF FLORIDA
STONER \& ASSOCIATES, INC., L.B. 6633

## SEAL

NOT VALID UNLESS
SEALED HERE WITH
AN EMBOSSED
SURVEYOR'S SEAL



## EXHIBIT "B-1" <br> TO <br> ESCROW AGREEMENT

## PAYMENT AUTHORIZATION FORM

| TO: | Walter J. Harvey, Esquire School Board Attorney's Office 1450 NE $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, \#430 Miami, FL 33132 |
| :---: | :---: |
| FROM: | Mr. Jaime G. Torrens Chief Facilities Officer Miami Dade County Public Schools 1450 NE $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, \#912 Miami, FL 33132 |
| SUBJECT: | REQUEST FOR DISBURSEMENT FROM ESCROW ACCOUNT RELATING TO 3425 COLLINS, LLC |
| DATE: | June 3, 2016 |

We hereby instruct you, Escrow Agent in the referenced matter pursuant to that certain Escrow Agreement dated $\qquad$ by and between The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida and 3425 Collins, LLC, to disburse the full amount of the Escrow Property, as defined therein, as follows: [only box marked " $X$ " applies]
[ ] Alternative \#1 - \$ $\qquad$ to the Applicant; or
[ ] Alternative \#2-\$ $\qquad$ to the School Board

## Approved by:

Name:
Title: Chief Facilities Officer
Date: $\qquad$

We hereby approve disbursement of Escrow Property in accordance with Alternative
$\qquad$ above and instruct Treasurer for The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida to disburse the Escrow Property to: $\qquad$

Approved by:
School Board Attorney's Office, as Escrow Agent:
Name:
Title:
Date:

## EXHIBIT "B-2" TO <br> ESCROW AGREEMENT

## CERTIFICATE AS TO AUTHORIZED SIGNATURES AUTHORIZING REQUESTS FOR DISBURSEMENT PURSUANT TO ESCROW AGREEMENT

The specimen signatures shown below are the specimen signatures of the individuals who have been designated as authorized representatives of THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FL, authorized to initiate and approve disbursements pursuant to the Escrow Agreement to which this Exhibit B-2 is attached, on behalf of THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. At a minimum, the signatures of the Chief Facilities Officer or designee, and the School Board Attorney or designee, are required.

Name / Title

Name
Chief Facilities Officer

Name
Deputy Chief Facilities Officer

Title

Name
School Board Attorney

Name
Assistant School Board Attorney

Specimen Signature

Signature

Signature

Signature

Signature

Title

## Exhibit "D"

## Written Consent of the Managers of 3425 Collins, LLC dated January 20, 2016

## WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE MANAGERS OF 3425 COLLINS LLC

The undersigned, constituting one of the Class A Managers (the "Class A Manager") and the sole Class B Manager (the "Class B Manager" and, collectively with the Class A Manager, the "Managers") of 3425 COLLINS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Company"), do hereby consent in writing to the following resolutions as of January 20, 2016, and direct that this action be filed with the records of the Company.

WHEREAS, reference is hereby made to that certain Public School Concurrency Proportionate Share Mitigation Development Agreement as of the date hereof (the "School Concurrency Agreement") by and among the Company, The School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, a body corporate and political subdivision of the State of Florida (the "School Board"), and the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida (the "City");

WHEREAS, in connection with School Concurrency the Agreement, the Company may enter into, execute and deliver certain other documents, agreements, assignments, indemnities, certificates, affidavits, acknowledgements and other instruments as may be required by the School Board and/or the City (collectively, the "Other Documents");

WHEREAS, the Managers, on behalf of the Company, have determined that it is in the best interests of the Company to enter into and execute the School Concurrency Agreement and the Other Documents (collectively, the "Transaction Documents").

NOW, TAEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Managers do hereby waive all formal requirements, including the necessity of holding a formal or informal meeting, and any requirement that notice of such meeting be given; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that each of the Transaction Documents and all of the transactions contemplated thereby, be, and each of them hereby is, approved, ratified and adopted in all respects; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that Sergio Jalife, as Manager of the Company (the "Authorized Signatory"), be, and hereby is, authorized, empowered and directed to enter into and deliver, the Transaction Documents on behalf of the Company; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Authorized Signatory be, and hereby is, authorized and directed to pay such fees as the Authorized Signatory, in his sole and absolute discretion, determines to be appropriate or desirable to carry out and perfect all of the terms and provistons of the Transaction Documents, and to consummate the transactions contemplated therein and thereby; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the execution of any document authorized by the foregoing resolutions, or any document executed in the accomplishment of any action or actions so authorized, is (or shall become upon delivery) the enforceable and binding act and obligation of the Company, without the necessity of the signature or attestation of any other authorized signatory or the affixing of any company seal; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that all actions previously taken by the Authorized Signatory in furtherance of the foregoing resolutions are hereby ratified, approved and confirmed in all respects; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the omission from these resolutions of any agreement or other arrangenent contemplated by any of the agreements or instruments described in the foregoing resolutions
or any action to be taken in accordance with any requirement of any of the agreements or instruments described in the foregoing resolutions shall in no manner derogate from the authority of the Authorized Signatory to take all actions necessary, desirable, advisable or appropriate to consummate, effectuate, carry out or further the transactions contemplated by, and the intent and purposes of, the foregoing resolutions; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that this Written Consent may be executed by one or more of the signatories hereto in any number of separate counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which, taken together, shall be deemed to constitute one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this Writen Consent as of the date first above written.


Sergio Jalife

## CLASS B MANAGER:

ACCESS INDUSTRIES MANAGEMENT LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

Name: Peter L. Thorén
Title: Executive Vice President
Dooullanod by:
hatrel listryy
By:
Name: Richard B. Storey
Title: Executive Vice President
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## Section 1

Introduction

## INTRODUCTION

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Versailles Hotel, located at 3425 Collins Avenue, is an existing historic property housing 289 rooms on the east side of Collins Avenue between $34^{\text {th }}$ and $35^{\text {th }}$ Streets in Miami Beach, Florida (see Figure 1). The OKO Group seeks to renovate and convert the historic property into a 56 -room upscale hotel with a restricted-access restaurant and spa amenities. In addition to the historic building renovation, an additional new building of 23 dwelling units of upscale residential condominiums is being proposed on the property. The property site plan is shown in Appendix A. At the time of this submittal, the development program may reduce; therefore, the evaluation herein is conservative.

Access into the site is proposed via Collins Avenue, providing separate access for the condominium and the hotel uses. Two main exit points are proposed, one onto $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and one onto $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. For security purposes and to eliminate the potential of vehicles backing onto Collins Avenue, a third exit is proposed along Collins Avenue for those vehicles that are denied access onto the property. The current property has one curb cut/driveway facilitating both inbound and outbound trips. Therefore, following the approval with the City, the OKO Group and consultant team will coordinate with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and file an Access Connection Permit.

The analysis methodology was discussed with and agreed to by the City of Miami Beach Transportation Department, and is included in Appendix B. The study area intersections, associated lane configurations and intersection control types are shown in Figure 2.


Versailles Development Site Location Map
Figure (3425 Collins Avenue)
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Existing Lane Configuration and Intersection Control

## Section 2 <br> Data Collection

## DATA COLLECTION

## INTERSECTIONS

Traffic counts were collected at the study area intersections on Saturday, February 29, 2020 during the midday (11 AM to 1 PM) peak period and Tuesday, March 3, 2020 during the PM (4-6 PM) peak period. The following intersections were included in the study area:

1. Collins Avenue at Indian Creek Drive (south) (signalized)
2. Collins Avenue at 34 th Street (unsignalized)
3. Collins Avenue at 35 th Street (unsignalized)
4. Collins Avenue at Indian Creek Drive (north) (signalized)

The counts taken during the PM peak period at the Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection were corrupted, and thus were filmed again on Thursday March 5, 2020. Due to nearby construction during the recount, the northbound volumes at the intersection of Collins Avenue and 34th Street were manually adjusted to match the downstream volumes from the intersection of Collins Avenue and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street, based on the counted turning movement distribution.

The raw turning movement counts are included in Appendix C. The counts were adjusted using a seasonal factor of 0.97 and 0.96, based on the Peak Season Factor Category Report for Miami-Dade County North (included as Appendix D). Signal timing information was obtained from Miami-Dade County for the intersections of Collins Avenue at Indian Creek Drive to the north and to the south of the site, as shown in Appendix E. Pedestrian and bicycle counts were also collected as part of the turning movement count collection.

## ON-GOING ROADWAY PROJECTS

The Florida Department of Transportation has the following projects within the study area:

1. FIN: 443902-1 Resurfacing project.
2. FIN: 440170-1 Signalized Intersection Lighting project.
3. FIN: 441886-1 Pedestrian Safety Improvement project.

The developer will coordinate with FDOT regarding these projects. However, given the site development will occur outside the FDOT ROW, no conflicts are expected.

The development team is also aware of the City's emphasis and prioritization of bicycling and walking as a main form of transportation. The design team will prioritize the safety and circulation of these movements within the vicinity of the site.

## Section 3

Existing Conditions Analysis

## EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

The existing intersection turning movements were analyzed to provide a baseline operational analysis.

## INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

The existing intersection turning movement volumes were analyzed with existing roadway geometry and signal timing using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) procedures and the latest Synchro software. The HCM 6th edition Two-Way Stop Control methodology was used for unsignalized intersections, and the HCM 2000 methodology was used for reporting signalized intersections, as the HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ methodologies cannot handle pedestrian-only phases. The existing seasonally-adjusted turning movement volumes, intersection LOS, and highest v/c ratio are shown in Figure 3, with Synchro printouts included in Appendix F.

As shown in Figure 3, all study intersections and approaches currently operate acceptably at LOS D or better. Although there are some delays on the minor street stop-controlled approaches, there is sufficient capacity for the existing vehicular volumes.


## Pedestrians and Bicyclists

The following summarizes the pedestrian and bicycle movements at the two adjacent intersections to the site, $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. The data suggests that permanent pedestrian crossings across Collins Avenue should be explored by the City and State given the distance to the closest midblock crossings to the north and south of the site. Per the City's request, signal warrants were conducted at the $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and Collins Avenue intersection given it had the higher volume of the two adjacent stop-controlled intersections; however, the minor street approaches counted do not meet the minimum Eight-Hour/Four-Hour/One-Hour warrants in the existing condition for the peak hours identified, indicating that the warrants would not be met if hourly data was available. The warrants are found in Appendix F. Pedestrian crossing needs were also evaluated and are discussed further on in this report.

## Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street

Weekday PM Peak Period


Weekend Midday Peak Period


Weekday PM Peak Period


Weekend Midday Peak Period


Section 4
Trip Generation and Distribution

## TRIP GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION

## PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

The anticipated trip generation was based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. General Urban/Suburban was used for the setting/location of the hotel and condo for trip generation purposes, as limited data is available for more urban contexts. Table 1 provides the trip generation summary for the previous use as compared to the proposed site plans for the weekday and weekend peak hours. Although the City did not request a weekday AM peak period analysis, the trip generation was still calculated for information purposes only. The results of the trip generation analysis show a significant decrease in gross vehicular traffic for all time periods over the previous use.

With the City's agreement during the methodology meeting, the hotel restaurant and spa amenities were considered ancillary uses due to their exclusivity and restricted access. It is anticipated that hotel goers already captured in the trip generation will be the patrons to those supporting uses on the property.

The vehicular trip generation estimate is conservative. It is expected that employees of the hotel and condominium may use transit, walking or biking for their commute. It is also anticipated that the number of condominium suites may be reduced upon finalizing the site plan.

Table 1. Trip Generation

| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Type | ITE Code | Intensity |  | Daily | AM Peak-Hour Trip |  |  | PM Peak-Hour Trip |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Trips | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Approved Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 310 | 289 | Rooms | 2,416 | 82 | 57 | 139 | 88 | 85 | 173 |
| Proposed Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) | 222 | 23 | DU | 102 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| Hotel | 310 | 56 | Rooms | 468 | 14 | 9 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 19 | 23 | 42 | 27 | 23 | 50 |
| DELTA |  |  |  |  | -63 | -34 | -97 | -61 | -62 | -123 |
| Weekend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Type | ITE | Intensity |  | Daily | Saturday Peak-Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Code |  |  | Trips | In | Out | Total |  |  |  |
| Approved Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 310 | 289 | Rooms | 2,367 | 114 | 90 | 204 |  |  |  |
| Proposed Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) | 222 | 23 | DU | 104 | 17 | 14 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 310 | 56 | Rooms | 459 | 24 | 19 | 43 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 41 | 33 | 74 |  |  |  |
| DELTA |  |  |  |  | -73 | -57 | -130 |  |  |  |

## PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION \& ASSIGNMENT

The project trip distribution was based on the existing distribution of traffic volumes on Collins Avenue south of Indian Creek Drive/26 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, on Collins Avenue north of Indian Creek Drive/44 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, and on $41^{\text {st }}$ Street, west of Indian Creek Drive. Thirty-seven percent was assumed to travel to/from the north; twenty-two percent was assumed to travel to/from the south; and forty-one percent was assumed to travel to/from the west. All trips enter the site via Collins Avenue between $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. Exiting vehicles from the hotel exit onto $35^{\text {th }}$ Street, while existing vehicles from the condos exit onto $34^{\text {th }}$ Street. Figure 4 displays the resulting trip distribution and trip assignment.


## Section 5 Future Conditions Analysis

## FUTURE CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

## BACKGROUND GROWTH AND BUILDOUT YEAR

Per the methodology, the background growth rate was based on the historic growth rate for Miami-Dade County, as shown in Table 2, with a $1.50 \%$ growth rate assumed. The build-out year assumed for the analysis is 2024.

Table 2. Historic Growth for Miami-Dade County


| $*$ Annual Trend Increase: | 1,415 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $86.35 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $1.49 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2019 to Design Year): | $1.22 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | $18-\mathrm{May}-20$ |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |



## INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

## No-Build

No-build volumes were prepared by growing the existing intersection turning movements counts by the above noted growth rate of $1.50 \%$ through the year 2024. The No-Build intersection turning movement volumes were analyzed with existing roadway geometry and signal timing using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) procedures and the latest Synchro software. The HCM 6th edition Two-Way Stop Control methodology was used for unsignalized intersections, and the HCM 2000 methodology was used for reporting signalized intersections, as the $\mathrm{HCM} 6^{\text {th }}$ methodologies cannot handle pedestrian-only phases.

The no-build intersection analysis results are shown in Figure 5. The No-Build Synchro printouts are included in Appendix G. While v/c ratios have increased slightly with the additional background traffic, all movements maintain the level of service of the existing year, and there is still sufficient capacity for the vehicular volumes.

## Build-Out

The Build-out volumes include the background growth and project trips. The Build-out intersection turning movement volumes were analyzed with existing roadway geometry and signal timing using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) procedures and the latest Synchro software. The HCM 6th edition TwoWay Stop Control methodology was used for unsignalized intersections, and the HCM 2000 methodology was used for reporting signalized intersections, as the HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ methodologies cannot handle pedestrianonly phases. The Build-out intersection analysis results are shown in Figure 6, with Synchro printouts included in Appendix H. While v/c ratios have increased slightly with the additional project trips, all movements maintain the level of service of the existing year, and there is still sufficient capacity for the vehicular volumes.



Weekday



Weekday


Weekend
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| Year 2024 Build-out Conditions, Weekday | Figure |
| :--- | :---: |
| PM and Weekend Midday Peak Periods | 6 |

## ACCESS MANAGEMENT AND SITE CIRCULATION

Collins Avenue is categorized as a Class 7 roadway for access management. Under this classification, with a posted speed of 45 mph or less, a minimum of 125 feet is required between driveways, 660 feet is required from a full median opening, and 1,320 feet is required between signalized intersections

Excerpt from the Florida Department of Transportation Access Management Guidebook 2019

Table 3 - Access Management Standards for Controlled Access Facilities

| Roadway <br> Access <br> Class | FDOT Context Classification | Median Type | Connection Spacing (feet) |  | Median Opening Spacing (feet) |  | Minimum <br> Signal <br> Spacing <br> (feet) ${ }^{1+*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s45mph } \\ & \text { Posted } \end{aligned}$ | $>45 \mathrm{mph}$ Posted | Directional | Full |  |
| 2 | C1 Natural, C2 Rural | Restrictive w/Service Roads | 660 | 1320 | 1,320 | 2,640 | 2,640 |
| 3 | C1 Natural, C2 Rural, C2T Rural Town, C3R Suburban Residential, C3C Suburban Commercial | Restrictive | 440 | 660 | 1,320 | 2,640 | 2,640 |
| 4 |  | Non-Restrictive** | 440 | 660 |  |  | 2,640 |
| 5 | C2T Rural Town, C4 Urban General, | Restrictive | 245 | 440 | 660 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,6401 \\ & 1,320^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,640 / \\ & 1,320^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | C6 Urban Core | Non-Restrictive** | 245 | 440 |  |  | 1,320 |
| 7 |  | Both Median Types** |  |  | 330 | 660 | 1,320 |
| *Spacing 1,320 feet when roadway speed limit is 45 mph or below |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ *t is recommended that additional safety/operational analysis is completed for non-restrictive medians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{* * * T r a f f i c ~ s i g n a l s, ~ p r o p o s e d ~ a t ~ i n t e r v a l s ~ c l o s e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ a c c e s s ~ m a n a g e m e n t ~ s t a n d a r d ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ d e s i g n a t e d ~ a c c e s s ~ c l a s s, ~ w i l l ~ o n l y ~ b e ~ a p p r o v e d ~ w h e r e ~}$ the need for such signal(s) is clearly demonstrated for the safety and operation of the roadway through the signal warrant process. (F.A.C. Rule Chapter: 14-97.003) Applicants requesting or requiring the addition, removal, or modification of a traffic signal for Category $E, F$, and $G$ connections, must submit an Intersection Control Evaluation Form, Form 750-010-30 (F.A.C. Rule Chapter: 14-96.003). This language is in the draft version of rule 14-96. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: Adapted from FDM 201 - Design Controls and FDOT Context Classification

## Driveway Spacing

There is currently $\sim 50$ feet between the southernmost driveway entrance along Collins Avenue from the Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection. Similar to the existing driveway spacing, the proposed driveway arrangement also does not meet the minimum spacing; however, the proposed driveway design and site circulation provides several benefits, all with the intent to keep the site's traffic off of Collins Avenue and to maintain the highest levels of security for the site's clientele:

- One ingress point on Collins Avenue with physically separated lanes to keep the hotel and condominium traffic separated for efficiency purposes
- One egress point on Collins Avenue that will ONLY serve trips not allowed onto the property to safely exit. This additional "horseshoe feature" is common to hotels along Collins Avenue and removes the potential for back-up movements occurring from the site onto Collins Avenue.
- One egress point on $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and one egress point on $35^{\text {th }}$ Street to direct trips to side streets rather than Collins Avenue, further reducing conflicts.

The driveway category for this site best falls within Category B. Discussions are on-going with FDOT regarding this access modification and variance. Upon the City's approval of the development, the development team will complete the FDOT access connection permit process.

Excerpt from the Florida Department of Transportation Access Management Guidebook 2019
Table 1 - Driveway Category Criteria

| Driveway Category | Vehicle Trips/Day | Vehicle Trips/Hour | Typical Land Uses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1-20 | 1-5 | 1 or 2 single family homes |
| B | 21-600 | 6-60 | 3 to 60 housing or apartment units. Small office in converted home. |
| C | 601-1,200 | 61-120 | गानaाl stip" stopping tenter ( $20-75,000$ <br> sq. ft.) <br> Gas station/ convenience market |
| D | 1,201-4,000 | 121-400 | 150,000 ft shopping center Grocery/drugstore with $10-15$ smaller stores |
| E | 4,001-10,000 | 401-1,000 | Local Mall Wholesale Club |
| F | $\begin{gathered} 10,001- \\ 30,000 \end{gathered}$ | 1,001-3,000 | Regional Mall (Outlet) |
| G | 30,001+ | 3,001 | Large Regional Mall |

[^3]
## Queueing Analysis

Weekday PM peak period queueing analysis were conducted to ensure no impact to the surrounding roadway network. The weekday PM peak period was selected given it has the highest roadway volumes when compared to the weekend peak period.

## Valet Analysis

Based on the assumptions described below and given the high-end clientele of the site, no more than 1 car at a time is anticipated in the valet queue.

## Valet Processing Time

## Hotel Tower Valet Time

Processing Time:
$51 \mathrm{sec} / 60 \mathrm{sec} / 1 \mathrm{~min}=\mathbf{0 . 8 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$

Driving time (most distant space):
600 ft * $1 \mathrm{mile} / 5280 \mathrm{ft} * 1 \mathrm{hr} / 10 \mathrm{miles} * 60 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{hr}=0.68 \mathrm{~min}$
Park Processing Time:
1.25 min

Walking Time:
$800 \mathrm{ft} / 6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} / 60 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{min}=\mathbf{2 . 2 2} \mathbf{~ m i n}$
5.00 min

## Condo Tower Valet Time

Processing Time:
$51 \mathrm{sec} / 60 \mathrm{sec} / \mathbf{~} \mathrm{min}=\mathbf{0 . 8 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$

Driving time (most distant space): $\quad 800 \mathrm{ft}$ * 1 mile $/ 5280 \mathrm{ft}$ * $1 \mathrm{hr} / 10$ miles * $60 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{hr}=0.91 \mathbf{~ m i n}$
Park Processing Time:
1.25 min

Walking Time:
$600 \mathrm{ft} / 6 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} / 60 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{min}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}$
Total
4.68 min

## Inbound/Outbound Vehicles PM Peak Hour

## Hotel Tower

$\mathrm{Q}=$ Processing Rate $=\frac{60 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{hr}}{4.68 \mathrm{~min} / \text { process }}=13$ process $/ \mathrm{hr}$
$q=$ Demand Rate $=36 \frac{\text { veh }}{\mathrm{hr}}$
$N=$ Service Positions $=6$ attendants
$\rho=$ Utilization factor $=\frac{q}{(N Q)}=\frac{36 v e h / h r}{6 \times 13 \text { process } / h r}=0.462$
$Q_{m}=$ Table Value $=0.076$
$M=$ queue length which is exceeded $5 \%$ of the time $[P(x>M)]$
$M=\frac{\ln P(x>M)-\ln \left(Q_{m}\right)}{\ln (\rho)}-1=\frac{\ln (0.05)-\ln (0.076)}{\ln (0.462)}-1=0$ vehicles in queue

## Condo Tower

$\mathrm{Q}=$ Processing Rate $=\frac{60 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{hr}}{5.00 \mathrm{~min} / \text { process }}=12$ process $/ \mathrm{hr}$
$q=$ Demand Rate $=18 \frac{\text { veh }}{h r}$
$N=$ Service Positions $=4$ attendants
$\rho=$ Utilization factor $=\frac{q}{(N Q)}=\frac{18 v e h / h r}{4 \times 12 \text { process } / h r}=0.375$
$Q_{m}=$ Table Value $=0.077$
$M=$ queue length which is exceeded $5 \%$ of the time $[P(x>M)]$
$M=\frac{\ln P(x>M)-\ln \left(Q_{m}\right)}{\ln (\rho)}-1=\frac{\ln (0.05)-\ln (0.077)}{\ln (0.375)}-1=0$ vehicles in queue

## Security Gate Analysis

It is anticipated that the security check point may process vehicles into the site under 3 minutes per vehicle. Nearly $100 \%$ of the patrons visiting either the hotel or the condominiums will be vetted prior to arrival; therefore, minimizing the time required to clear security. At a processing rate of 3 minutes per vehicle, the hotel queue will be 2 vehicles maximum and the condo queue will be 1 vehicle maximum during the weekday PM peak period.

## Pedestrian Circulation and Access

Pedestrian facilities were incorporated into the site design. Similar to the security system required for vehicles, the same may be assumed for pedestrian access. Therefore pedestrian-scale gate access will be
available for those going to and from the site by foot. Pedestrian access to the site is provided from Collins Avenue.

## Deliveries

Deliveries will access the site via $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. Trucks will be routed to the backside/east side of the hotel.

## Parking

Parking will be provided via an attached parking garage. The developer is working closely with the City regarding parking requirements related to the garage as well as the on-street parking along $35^{\text {th }}$ Street and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## PEDESTRIAN CROSSING JUSTIFICATION

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the justification of a marked pedestrian crossing across Collins Avenue within the vicinity of the development per the requirements of FDOT's Traffic Engineering Manual (TEM) Section 3.8.

## Pedestrian Demand

The study location meets the exceptions to the minimum pedestrian volume demand criteria based on TEM Section 3.8.5(3). The roadway falls within a C6 Urban Core Context Classification, therefore minimum pedestrian volumes are not needed to justify the crossing. Although minimum pedestrian volumes are not needed, the existing volumes meet the demand requirements. A total of 51 pedestrians were counted crossing Collins Avenue at $35^{\text {th }}$ Street and 20 pedestrians were counted at $34^{\text {th }}$ Street during a typical weekend midday peak hour, which meets the minimum threshold of 20 or more pedestrians during a single hour. The counted pedestrian volumes are shown in Figure 7.

## Proximity to Pedestrian Generators and Attractors

The land use surrounding the study area is predominantly commercial and residential with a focus on tourism. The beach is located approximately 0.1 miles to the east with beach access on both $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. The Miami Beach Boardwalk is also located approximately 0.1 miles to the east, adjacent to the beach. Miami Beach reported approximately 8.6 million visitors in 2018.

Figure 7: Pedestrian Crossing Volumes and Crossing Location Distances


## Distance Between Crossing Locations

The Florida Design Manual (FDM), Chapter 222 Pedestrian Facilities, specifies that the minimum distance to the nearest alternative crossing location should be 300 feet. The nearest crossing locations to the study area are signalized midblock pedestrian crossings located approximately 0.17 miles ( 900 ft ) north and 0.10 miles ( 500 ft ) south along Collins Avenue, shown in Figure 7, which meets the 300 -foot minimum requirement.

## Signal Spacing

The FDM, Chapter 201 Design Controls, specifies that signals should be spaced at 1,320 feet on a facility with Access Classification 7. The spacing between adjacent signalized intersections is approximately 0.51 miles ( $2,700 \mathrm{ft}$ ), which meets the FDM requirements. The proposed crossing locations are outside of the influence area of the adjacent signalized intersections.

## Pedestrian-Vehicular Crash History

Crash data was reviewed in the vicinity of the site. Crash data was pulled from Signal Four Analytics from 2015 to 2019. There were 10 pedestrian crashes within a quarter mile of the study intersection over the crash study period. Five of the 10 pedestrian crashes resulted in at least one injury, three resulted in possible injuries, and one resulted in a fatality. One pedestrian crash occurred at the intersection of $35^{\text {th }}$ Street in 2017 and one occurred at the intersection of $34^{\text {th }}$ Street in 2018, both with reported possible injuries.

## Minimum Vehicular Volume

A minimum of 2,000 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) is needed on the uncontrolled roadway segment per TEM Section 3.8.5(4). The 2019 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume was pulled from Florida Traffic Online. The existing AADT on Collins Avenue is approximately 13,000 , which meets the 2,000 ADT requirement.

## Summary

A summary of the marked crosswalk considerations across Collins Avenue within the development vicinity is provided in Table 3. Installation of a new marked pedestrian crosswalk is recommended at this location due to meeting the TEM Section 3.8 criteria.

Table 3: Summary of Crosswalk Criteria at Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street $/ 35^{\text {th }}$ Street

| Midblock Crosswalk Considerations |  | Met |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pedestrian <br> Demand | Generators, Attractors, Flow across roadway | $\nabla$ |
|  | Minimum Count Thresholds | $\nabla$ |
|  | Multi-use Trail | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Location <br> Characteristics | $>2,000$ ADT | $\nabla$ |
|  | $>300$ ft. to adjacent crosswalk | $\nabla$ |
|  | $>1,320$ ft. spacing between signals | $\nabla$ |
|  | Outside influence area of adjacent signalized intersections | $\nabla$ |
| Recommended for Marked Crosswalk: |  | Yes |

Additional consideration should be given as to the selection of pedestrian treatments that are used in conjunction with a marked pedestrian crossing at the study location.

## Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon Warrant

The TEM Section 3.8 and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) Chapter 4F provides guidance on the criteria to determine which pedestrian crossing treatments are appropriate based on speeds, traffic volumes, and pedestrian volumes - including Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) and Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons (PHB). The posted speed limit on Collins Avenue is 35 MPH . Figure 3.8-3 from the TEM and $4 \mathrm{~F}-1$ from the MUTCD is applicable. The approximate length of a crossing at $35^{\text {th }}$ Street is $40^{\prime}$, with a counted approach volume of 857 vehicles on the major street, and 51 pedestrian crossings in the peak hour. The approximate length of a proposed crossing at $34^{\text {th }}$ Street is $40^{\prime}$, with a counted approach volume of 854 vehicles, and 20 pedestrian crossings in the peak hour. Depending where the City would like to install a crossing, an RRFB or PHB criteria is met.

Figure 3.8-3. Guidelines for the Installation of Pedestrian Treatments on Low-Speed Roadways


Figure 4F-1. Guidelines for the Installation of Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons on Low-Speed Roadways


* Note: 20 pph applies as the lower threshold volume

The TEM Section 3.8.6(3) provides that a mid-block crossing within a coordinated signal system that does not meet MUTCD Signal Warrant 4, but does meet the PHB criteria could be upgraded to a pedestrian traffic signal. The City has multiple options to consider to provide safe crossings in the vicinity of the development.

## TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

The proposed site is located in an urban environment where multiple modes of travel are easily accessible and may be used to manage demand on the adjacent roadways. The American Community Survey (ACS) Census Bureau, based on 2018 5-year estimates, reports that approximately $55 \%$ of work trips within the vicinity of the proposed development are made by car, while $23 \%$ use public transportation, $16 \%$ walk, and $2 \%$ bike. The proposed development consists of upscale hotel rooms and condominiums. It is expected that some employees, patrons, residents, and visitors may choose to not drive for all of their trips to and from the site. Additionally, some hotel shifts may occur outside the typical commute hours to further reduce trips during peak periods. Miami-Dade Transit provides service on Collins Avenue. The following routes service nearby stops:

- Route 112 operates with 10-15 minute frequency during the peak periods
- Route 119 operates with 10-15 minute frequency during the peak periods
- Miami Beach Trolley operates with 10-15 minute frequency during the peak periods


## Section 6 Summary

## SUMMARY

The proposed project redevelops the historic Versailles Hotel site at 3425 Collins Avenue in Miami Beach, FL. As part of the project, the internal site circulation will be altered to accommodate security and safety needs of the hotel and condominium clientele while also providing efficient ingress and egress access points to and from the surrounding roadway network.

## Operations

The addition of project traffic does not have a significant impact on the operations of the roadways and intersections. There is sufficient capacity at the four study intersections with the additional development traffic.

## Access Management

Collins Avenue is categorized as a Class 7 roadway for access management. Under this classification, with a posted speed of 45 mph or less, a minimum of 125 feet is required between driveways, 660 feet is required from a full median opening, and 1,320 feet is required between signalized intersections.

There is currently $\sim 50$ feet between the southernmost driveway entrance along Collins Avenue from the Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection. Similar to the existing driveway spacing, the proposed driveway arrangement also does not meet the minimum spacing; however, the proposed driveway design and site circulation provides several benefits, all with the intent to keep the site's traffic off of Collins Avenue and to maintain the highest levels of security for the site's clientele:

- One ingress point on Collins Avenue with physically separated lanes to keep the hotel and condominium traffic separated for efficiency purposes
- One egress point on Collins Avenue that will ONLY serve trips not allowed onto the property to safely exit. This additional "horseshoe feature" is common to hotels along Collins Avenue and removes the potential for back-up movements occurring from the site onto Collins Avenue.
- One egress point on $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and one egress point on $35^{\text {th }}$ Street to direct trips to side streets rather than Collins Avenue, further reducing conflicts.

The driveway category for this site best falls within Category B. Discussions are on-going with FDOT regarding this access modification and variance. Upon the City's approval of the development, the development team will complete the FDOT Access Connection Permit process.

## Pedestrian Crossing Justification

A midblock pedestrian crossing is proposed across Collins Avenue within the vicinity of the development between $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street. The proposed locations meet the pedestrian crossing criteria as provided in the TEM Section 3.8 and outlined in Table 4.

Table 4: Summary of Crosswalk Criteria at Collins Avenue and $34^{\text {th }}$ Street $/ 35^{\text {th }}$ Street

| Midblock Crosswalk Considerations |  | Met |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pedestrian <br> Demand | Generators, Attractors, Flow across roadway | $\nabla$ |
|  | Minimum Count Thresholds | $\nabla$ |
|  | Multi-use Trail | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Location <br> Characteristics | $>2,000$ ADT | $\square$ |
|  | $>300$ ft. to adjacent crosswalk | $\nabla$ |
|  | $>1,320$ ft. spacing between signals | $\nabla$ |
|  | Outside influence area of adjacent signalized intersections | $\nabla$ |
| Recommended for Marked Crosswalk: | Yes |  |

Dependent upon where the City would like to locate a pedestrian crossing within the site's immediate study area, various treatments meet the criteria in the TEM and MUTCD - RRFB, PHB, and a pedestrian traffic signal.

Appendix A:
Proposed Site Plan



Appendix B:
Methodology

## METHODOLOGY

Based on the meeting with the City of Miami Beach Transportation and Mobility Department, the following methodology will be followed for this traffic impact study:

1. The study area was determined to include the following intersections:
a. Collins Avenue at Indian Creek Drive (south) (signalized)
b. Collins Avenue at 34th Street (unsignalized)
c. Collins Avenue at 35th Street (unsignalized)
d. Collins Avenue at Indian Creek Drive (north) (signalized)
2. Data will be collected within two weeks of the methodology meeting due to upcoming scheduled nearby construction.
3. The study time periods will be:
a. Weekday PM Peak Period (4 PM to 6 PM)
b. Weekend Peak Period (11 AM to 1 PM)
4. Jaywalking data will be collected in the immediate vicinity of the $34^{\text {th }}$ Street and $35^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections with Collins Avenue.
5. Traffic signal warrants will be conducted for the unsignalized intersections.
6. Pedestrian crossing needs will be assessed in the immediate vicinity of the development.
7. Site circulation and access will need to be reviewed. Coordination with FDOT will be necessary if requesting a second access point on Collins Avenue. Safe conditions for all movements are critical.

## Appendix C: Turning Movement Counts


Peak-Hour: 4:15 PM -- 5:15 PM
Peak 15-Min: 5:00 PM -- 5:15 PM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | Collins Ave (Northbound) |  |  |  | Collins Ave (Southbound) |  |  |  | Indian Creek Dr (South) (Eastbound) |  |  |  | Indian Creek Dr (South) (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 205 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 377 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 383 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 236 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 420 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 208 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 389 | 1569 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 240 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 422 | 1614 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 202 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 | 1599 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 347 | 1526 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 222 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 | 1513 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 0 | 960 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 88 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 48 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 28 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  | 92 |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |  | 56 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 8 | 8 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 4 |

Comments:

Peak-Hour: 12:00 PM -- 1:00 PM
Peak 15-Min: 12:00 PM -- 12:15 PM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | Collins Ave (Northbound) |  |  |  | Collins Ave (Southbound) |  |  |  | Indian Creek Dr (South) (Eastbound) |  |  |  | Indian Creek Dr (South) (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 161 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 355 |  |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 147 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 336 |  |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 154 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 359 |  |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 170 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 1403 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 198 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 418 | 1466 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 190 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 395 | 1525 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 180 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 1530 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 192 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 393 | 1570 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 0 | 792 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 72 |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 48 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 52 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  | 144 |  |  |  | 16 |
| Bicycles Scooters | 8 | 4 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |

Comments:






Peak-Hour: 11:45 AM -- 12:45 PM
Peak 15-Min: 12:30 PM -- 12:45 PM


| 15-Min Count Period Beginning At | Collins Ave/Indian Creek Dr (North) (Northbound) |  |  |  | Collins Ave/Indian Creek Dr (North) (Southbound) |  |  |  | W 44th St (Eastbound) |  |  |  | W 44th St (Westbound) |  |  |  | Total | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 659 |  |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 725 |  |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 729 |  |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 167 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 751 | 2864 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 695 | 2900 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 181 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 735 | 2910 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 754 | 2935 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 684 | 2868 |
| Peak 15-Min Flowrates | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U | Left | Thru | Right | U |  |  |  |
| All Vehicles | 0 | 732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 572 | 0 |  |  |
| Heavy Trucks Buses | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 | 36 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 12 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycles Scooters | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 16 |  |  |  |

Comments:
Report generated on 3/20/2020 12:58 PM
SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net) 1-877-580-2212

## Appendix D: Peak Season Factor Category Report

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 8700 MIAMI-DADE NORTH

| WEEK | DATES | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOCF: } 0.97 \\ & \text { PSCF } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2019-01/05/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 2 | 01/06/2019-01/12/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 3 | 01/13/2019-01/19/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 4 | 01/20/2019-01/26/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| * 5 | 01/27/2019-02/02/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| * 6 | 02/03/2019-02/09/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 7 | 02/10/2019-02/16/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 8 | 02/17/2019 - 02/23/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 9 | (02/24/2019-03/02/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 10 | (03/03/2019-03/09/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *11 | 03/10/2019-03/16/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *12 | 03/17/2019-03/23/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *13 | 03/24/2019-03/30/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *14 | 03/31/2019-04/06/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *15 | 04/07/2019-04/13/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| *16 | 04/14/2019-04/20/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| *17 | 04/21/2019-04/27/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| 18 | 04/28/2019-05/04/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 19 | 05/05/2019-05/11/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 20 | 05/12/2019-05/18/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 21 | 05/19/2019-05/25/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 22 | 05/26/2019-06/01/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 23 | 06/02/2019-06/08/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 24 | 06/09/2019-06/15/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 25 | 06/16/2019-06/22/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 26 | 06/23/2019-06/29/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 27 | 06/30/2019-07/06/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 28 | 07/07/2019-07/13/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 29 | 07/14/2019-07/20/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 30 | 07/21/2019-07/27/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 31 | 07/28/2019-08/03/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 32 | 08/04/2019-08/10/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 33 | 08/11/2019-08/17/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 34 | 08/18/2019-08/24/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 35 | 08/25/2019-08/31/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 36 | 09/01/2019-09/07/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 37 | 09/08/2019-09/14/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 38 | 09/15/2019-09/21/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 39 | 09/22/2019-09/28/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 40 | 09/29/2019-10/05/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 41 | 10/06/2019-10/12/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 42 | 10/13/2019-10/19/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 43 | 10/20/2019-10/26/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 44 | 10/27/2019-11/02/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 45 | 11/03/2019-11/09/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 46 | 11/10/2019-11/16/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 47 | 11/17/2019-11/23/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 48 | 11/24/2019-11/30/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 49 | 12/01/2019-12/07/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 50 | 12/08/2019-12/14/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 51 | 12/15/2019-12/21/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 52 | 12/22/2019-12/28/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 53 | 12/29/2019-12/31/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |

* PEAK SEASON

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 8701 MIAMI-DADE SOUTH

| WEEK | DATES | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MOCF}: 0.97 \\ & \mathrm{PSCF} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2019-01/05/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 2 | 01/06/2019-01/12/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 3 | 01/13/2019-01/19/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 4 | 01/20/2019-01/26/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 5 | 01/27/2019-02/02/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| - 6 | 02/03/2019-02/09/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 7 | 02/10/2019-02/16/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 8 | 02/17/2019-02/23/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| * 9 | 02/24/2019-03/02/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 10 | (03/03/2019-03/09/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *11 | 03/10/2019 - 03/16/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *12 | 03/17/2019-03/23/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *13 | 03/24/2019-03/30/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *14 | 03/31/2019-04/06/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *15 | 04/07/2019-04/13/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *16 | 04/14/2019-04/20/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *17 | 04/21/2019-04/27/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *18 | 04/28/2019-05/04/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| 19 | 05/05/2019-05/11/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| 20 | 05/12/2019-05/18/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 21 | 05/19/2019-05/25/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 22 | 05/26/2019-06/01/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 23 | 06/02/2019-06/08/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 24 | 06/09/2019-06/15/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 25 | 06/16/2019-06/22/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 26 | 06/23/2019-06/29/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 27 | 06/30/2019-07/06/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 28 | 07/07/2019-07/13/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 29 | 07/14/2019-07/20/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 30 | 07/21/2019-07/27/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 31 | 07/28/2019-08/03/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 32 | 08/04/2019-08/10/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 33 | 08/11/2019-08/17/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 34 | 08/18/2019-08/24/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 35 | 08/25/2019-08/31/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 36 | 09/01/2019-09/07/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 37 | 09/08/2019-09/14/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 38 | 09/15/2019-09/21/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 39 | 09/22/2019-09/28/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 40 | 09/29/2019-10/05/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 41 | 10/06/2019-10/12/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 42 | 10/13/2019-10/19/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 43 | 10/20/2019-10/26/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 44 | 10/27/2019-11/02/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 45 | 11/03/2019-11/09/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 46 | 11/10/2019-11/16/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 47 | 11/17/2019-11/23/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 48 | 11/24/2019-11/30/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 49 | 12/01/2019-12/07/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 50 | 12/08/2019-12/14/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 51 | 12/15/2019-12/21/2019 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| 52 | 12/22/2019-12/28/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 53 | 12/29/2019-12/31/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |

* PEAK SEASON

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 8702 MIAMI DADE NORTH EXP

MOCF: 0.97

| WEEK | DATES | SF | PSCF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2019-01/05/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 2 | 01/06/2019-01/12/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 3 | 01/13/2019-01/19/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 4 | 01/20/2019-01/26/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 5 | 01/27/2019-02/02/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| * 6 | 02/03/2019-02/09/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| * 7 | 02/10/2019-02/16/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 8 | 02/17/2019-02/23/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 9 | 02/24/2019-03/02/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| * 10 | (03/03/2019-03/09/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *11 | 03/10/2019 - 03/16/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *12 | 03/17/2019-03/23/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *13 | 03/24/2019-03/30/2019 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| *14 | 03/31/2019-04/06/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *15 | 04/07/2019-04/13/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *16 | 04/14/2019-04/20/2019 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| *17 | 04/21/2019-04/27/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| *18 | 04/28/2019-05/04/2019 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| 19 | 05/05/2019-05/11/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 20 | 05/12/2019-05/18/2019 | 0.99 | 1.02 |
| 21 | 05/19/2019-05/25/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 22 | 05/26/2019-06/01/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 23 | 06/02/2019-06/08/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 24 | 06/09/2019-06/15/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 25 | 06/16/2019-06/22/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 26 | 06/23/2019-06/29/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 27 | 06/30/2019-07/06/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 28 | 07/07/2019-07/13/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 29 | 07/14/2019-07/20/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 30 | 07/21/2019-07/27/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 31 | 07/28/2019-08/03/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 32 | 08/04/2019-08/10/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 33 | 08/11/2019-08/17/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 34 | 08/18/2019-08/24/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 35 | 08/25/2019-08/31/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 36 | 09/01/2019-09/07/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 37 | 09/08/2019-09/14/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 38 | 09/15/2019-09/21/2019 | 1.03 | 1.06 |
| 39 | 09/22/2019-09/28/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 40 | 09/29/2019-10/05/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 41 | 10/06/2019-10/12/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 42 | 10/13/2019-10/19/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 43 | 10/20/2019-10/26/2019 | 1.00 | 1.03 |
| 44 | 10/27/2019-11/02/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 45 | 11/03/2019-11/09/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 46 | 11/10/2019-11/16/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 47 | 11/17/2019-11/23/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 48 | 11/24/2019-11/30/2019 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 49 | 12/01/2019-12/07/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 50 | 12/08/2019-12/14/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 51 | 12/15/2019-12/21/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 52 | 12/22/2019-12/28/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 53 | 12/29/2019-12/31/2019 | 1.02 | 1.05 |

* PEAK SEASON

2019 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 8703 MIAMI DADE SOUTH EXP


* PEAK SEASON

Appendix E:
Signal Timing Sheets

## TOD Schedule Report

| Asset | Intersection | $\xrightarrow[\text { Schedule }]{\text { TOD }}$ | Op Mode | Plan \# | Cycle | Offset | $\underline{\underline{\text { TODD }}}$ | Active PhaseBank | Active Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2679 | Collins Av\&Indian Creek Dr\&44 St | DOW-3 |  | [19] WKND-MORN | 140 | 87 | N/A | 1 | Max 2 |

## Splits

| PH 1 | PH 2 | PH 3 | PH 4 | PH 5 | PH 6 | PH 7 | PH 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | SBT | - | - | WBR | NBT | WBL | PED |
| 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 68 | 20 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | N/R |



Last In Service Date: unknown
Permitted Phases
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Default } & -2--5678 \\ \text { External Permit 0 } & -2--5678 \\ \text { External Permit 1 } & -2--5678\end{array}$
External Permit $2 \quad-2--5678$

## TOD Schedule Report

| Current <br> TOD Schedule | Cycle | Green Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ring Offset | Offset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2} \\ \text { SBT } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5} \\ W B R \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { NBT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { WBL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8} \\ \text { PED } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 90 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 66 |
| 2 | 100 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 15 |
| 3 | 100 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 53 |
| 4 | 140 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 70 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 108 |
| 5 | 100 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 53 |
| 6 | 100 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 53 |
| 8 | 105 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 39 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 75 |
| 9 | 105 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 75 |
| 10 | 120 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 48 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 22 |
| 11 | 140 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 65 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 45 |
| 12 | 120 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 35 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 70 |
| 13 | 100 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 53 |
| 14 | 105 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 29 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 75 |
| 15 | 120 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 44 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 70 |
| 16 | 100 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 30 |
| 17 | 100 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 61 |
| 18 | 140 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 68 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 131 |
| 19 | 140 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 68 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 87 |
| 20 | 120 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 22 |
| 21 | 120 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 22 |
| 22 | 90 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 66 |
| 23 | 90 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 66 |


| Local TOD Schedule |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Plan | DOW |  |
| 0000 | 1 | Su | S |
| 0000 | 2 | M T W Th F |  |
| 0700 | 18 | M T W Th F |  |
| 0930 | 2 | M T W Th F |  |
| 1000 | 2 | Su | S |
| 1400 | 19 | M T W Th F |  |
| 2000 | 1 | Su | S |
| 2200 | 2 | M T W Th F |  |


| Current Time of Day Function |  |  |  | Local Time of Day Function |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Function | Settings * | Day of Week | Time | Function | Settings * | Day of Week |
| 0000 | TOD OUTPUTS | ----- | SuM T W ThF S | 0000 | TOD OUTPUTS | -- | SuM T W ThF S |
| 0000 | TOD LOCAL MULTIFU | ----4--- | SuM T W ThF S | 0000 | TOD LOCAL MU | T---4--- | SuM T W ThF S |
| 0500 | TOD LOCAL MULTIFU | -------- | SuM T W ThF S | 0500 | TOD LOCAL MU | T-------- | SuM T W ThF S |


| * Settings |
| :--- |
| Blank - FREE - Phase Bank 1, Max 1 |
| Blank - Plan - Phase Bank 1, Max 2 |
| 1 - Phase Bank 2, Max 1 |
| 2 - Phase Bank 2, Max 2 |
| 3 - Phase Bank 3, Max 1 |
| 4 - Phase Bank 3, Max 2 |
| 5 - EXTERNAL PERMIT 1 |
| 6 - EXTERNAL PERMIT 2 |
| 7 - X-PED OMIT |
| 8 - TBA |

No Calendar Defined/Enabled

| （ $\times$（ $)(\pi)$ | ［2］［6］ | Head |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ［6］6］［5］ |  |
|  |  | － | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-SECT,., } 1-\mathrm{VAAY} \\ & \text { Z AS } \end{aligned}$ |  | （（1）N＝ |  |
| 650－1－311］ |  |  | 15 |
| ［ㄱ］ | ［4］${ }^{3}$ |  | － |
|  | ［吕］${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | し－s， |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { EXIST．TO } \\ \text { BE REMOVED }}}{ }$ |  |  |

$[48$
趿正西
BE EXIST．TO TOD
$\frac{650-4}{2 \mathrm{AS}}$

S．O．P．

（2）+ （6）+ （26） （4R）+ （7）（4R + （78）（2）+ （4R
SIGNAL OPERATION
1．MAJOR STREET：SR－AIA／COLLINS AVE．／ IMDIAR CREEK DR
MINOR STREET： 44 TH ST．
2．S．O．P．AS SHOWN
3．Phase 1 IS RECALL AND PHASE 2

NOTES
1．SIGNAL IMIMG TO BE PROUIDEE BY $\&$ WASTE MANAGEMENT，TRAFFIC
SIGNALS \＆SIGNS DIVISION．
2．DIMENSIONS FOR PROPOSED TRAFFIC


|  |  | REVISIONS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OATE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OESCRIPTION |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



| STATE OF FLORID．ADEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION |  |  | $S I I G N A L I Z A T I O N ~ P L A N ~$ | SHEET NO． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROAD NO． | Countr | FINANCIAL PROIECT IO |  |  |
| AIA | MIAMI－DADE | 250629－3－32－01 |  | 6 |

SIGNAL OPERATING PLAN


TOD Schedule Report


| Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phase Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | $1 \quad 23$ | 123 | 123 | 2 | 12 |  |  |
| 1 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 NBT | $5-5-5$ | 13-13-13 | 7-7-7 | 1-1-1 | 35-35-35 | 0-35-35 | 4 | 2.3 |
| 3 PED | $5-5-5$ | 14-14-14 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 EW | $5-5-5$ | 15-15-15 | 7-7-7 | $2.5-2.5-2.5$ | 10-10-10 | 16-16-16 | 4 | 2.3 |
| 5 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | $0-0-0$ | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 SBT | $5-5-5$ | 13-13-13 | 7-7-7 | 1-1-1 | 35-35-35 | 0-35-35 | 4 | 2.3 |
| 7 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0-0-0 | 0 | 0 |

Last In Service Date: unknown
Permitted Phases

Default
External Permit 0
External Permit 1
External Permit 2
12345678
-234-6--
-234-6--
-234-6--
-234-6--


## Local TOD Schedule

| Time | Plan | DOW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 1 | SuM T W Th |  |
| 0000 | 7 | F | S |
| 0300 | 1 | F | S |
| 0300 | 22 | M T W Th |  |
| 0300 | 4 | Su |  |
| 0700 | 5 | Su |  |
| 0700 | 1 | M T W Th F | S |
| 0930 | 2 | M T W Th |  |
| 1000 | 8 | Su F | S |
| 1400 | 14 | Su F | S |
| 1500 | 3 | M T W Th |  |
| 1800 | 6 | Su F | S |
| 1900 | 18 | M T W Th |  |
| 2200 | 1 | M T W Th |  |
| 2200 | 6 | F |  |


| Current Time of Day Function |  |  |  | Local Time of Day Function |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Function | Settings * | Day of Week | Time | Function | Settings * | Day of Week |
| 0000 | TOD OUTPUTS | -------- | SuM T W ThF S | 0000 | TOD OUTPUTS | -------- | SuM T W ThF S |
| 1800 | PED RECALL | 8---4--- | M T W ThF | 1000 | PED RECALL | 8---4--- | Su S |
| 2200 | PED RECALL | -------- | M T W ThF | 1800 | PED RECALL | -------- | Su S |
| 1800 PED RECALL $8--4---$ M T W ThF <br> 2200 PED RECALL ------- M TW ThF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## * Settings

Blank - FREE - Phase Bank 1, Max 1 Blank - Plan - Phase Bank 1, Max 2
1 - Phase Bank 2, Max 1
2 - Phase Bank 2, Max 2
3 - Phase Bank 3, Max 1
4 - Phase Bank 3, Max 2
5 - EXTERNAL PERMIT 1
6 - EXTERNAL PERMIT 2
7 - X-PED OMIT
8 - TBA


NOTES:

1. SERVICE PLANNER "KAREN ELLZEY" (FPQL) (305) 442-5290
2. SERVICE PLANNER "RICHARD RENFROW" (AT\&T)(305) 260-2240
3. PAY ITEM NO 700-48-60 is for removal of existing street name

SIGNS ON EXISTING SIGNAL MAST ARMS.

| REMOVAL PAT ITEMS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | QUANTITY |
| $690-20$ | 6 |
| $60-3!$ | 2 |
| $650-70$ | 4 |
| $700-48-60$ | 4 |

4. PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL HEADS TO BE ALIGNED WITH THE CENTER
OF THE FAR SIDE END OF CROSSWALK THEY SERVE.

INTERSECTION IDENTIFICATION NO. 2672
SR A1A (COLLINS AVENUE) / 26th STREET
$\square$

##  Burt L. Boldio, P.E.

| STATE OF FLORIDA department of transportatton |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROAD NO. | counry | FIWANCIAL PROUECT ID |
| A/A | MIAMI-DADE | 418089-1-52-01 |



Appendix F: Existing Conditions Synchro Reports and Signal Warrant Analysis






HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4：Collins Ave \＆Indian Creek Dr．

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ | ， | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  | 「 |  | 个性 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 9 | 6 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 820 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 9 | 6 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 820 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 6.3 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1844 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1844 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 10 | 7 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 911 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 1 | 162 |  | 85 | 85 |  | 162 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 50\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 8\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free |  |  | Perm |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 2.5 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 51 | 1488 |  |  | 30 |  | 4153 |  |  |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 | c0．45 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.01 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 47.3 | 0.0 |  |  | 47.2 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 0.1 | 1.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay（s） |  | 47.3 | 1.0 |  |  | 47.3 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | D | A |  |  | D |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 2.1 |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 1.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.54 |  | 16.6 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 100.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |

Analysis Period（min）
15
c Critical Lane Group






HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Collins Ave \& Indian Creek Dr.


## SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

Introduction

- The Signal Warrant Analysis Spreadsheets are a tool for assisting traffic engineers when evaluating the need for a traffic signal installation
- The filled spreadsheets can be used as part of the supporting documents for the signal warrant evaluation
Note: This templates are a useful resource, but it remains necessary to apply engineering judgment and to consider specific environmental, traffic, geometric, and operational conditions


## Instructions

Fill in "Orange" areas only
Automated cells based on in Input Data in "orange" cells
General Information
Fill in below the general information including:
District, County (drop-down menu)
City, Engineer, Date
Major and Minor Street with corresponding number of lanes and speed limits
Enter Eight Hour Volumes
Any 8 hours of an average day. Major-street and minor-street volumes shall be for the same 8 hours; however, the 8 hours satisfied in Condition A shall not be required to be the same 8 hours satisfied in Condition B for $80 \%$ columns only. On the minor street, the higher volume shall not be required to be on the same approach during each of the 8 hours.
Enter Four Hour Volumes
Any 4 hours of an average day. Vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding vehicles per hour on the higher-volume minor-street approach (one direction only, not required to be on the same approach during each of the 4 hours)
Enter Pedestrian Volumes (4-hr)
Enter Peak Hour Volumes
Pedestrians per hour crossing the major street (total of all crossings)
Vehicular: Any four consecutive 15-minute periods of an average day
Pedestrian: Any four consecutive 15-minute periods of an average day representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding pedestrians per hour crossing the major street (total of all crossings)



## WARRANT 1 - EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

Warrant 1 is satisfied if Condition A or Condition B is "100\%" satisfied for eight hours.
Warrant 1 is also satisfied if both Condition A and Condition B are " $80 \%$ " satisfied

| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | (should only be applied after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems).

## Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

Condition A is intended for application at locations where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.

| 100\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 80\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| $70 \%$ Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| Number of traffic on | for moving approach | Vehicles per hour on majorstreet (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minorstreet (one direction only) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 150 | 120 | 105 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 150 | 120 | 105 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 200 | 160 | 140 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 200 | 160 | 140 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic Minimum hourly volume
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures
${ }^{\text {c }}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000
Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding major-street and minor-street volumes in the Instructions Sheet.

|  | Eight Highest Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E} 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \dot{H} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 611 | 641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor | 20 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Volumes

## Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

Condition B is intended for application where Condition A is not satisfied and the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on the minor intersecting street suffers excessive delay or conflict in entering or crossing the major street.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 100\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| 80\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| $70 \%$ Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| Number of traffic on | for moving approach | Vehicles per hour on majorstreet (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minorstreet (one direction only) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 100 | 80 | 70 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 100 | 80 | 70 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic Minimum hourly volume
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions $A$ and $B$ after adequate trial of other remedial measures
${ }^{c}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000

Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding major-street and minor-street volumes in the Instructions Sheet.


## Existing Volumes





## WARRANT 4 - PEDESTRIAN VOLUME

For 1 hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) of an average day, the plotted point falls above the appropriate line, then the warrant is satisfied.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

Plot one volume combination on the applicable figure below.

| $100 \%$ Volume Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volumes |  |
|  | Major <br> Street | Pedestrian <br> Total |
| $5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ | 641 | 267 |

Figure 4C-7. Criteria for "100\%" Volume Level - Peak Hour


* Note: 133 pph applies as the lower threshold volume

Figure 4C-8 Criteria for "70\%" Volume Level - Peak Hour


* Note: 93 pph applies as the lower threshold volume

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | Miami Beach |
| :---: | :---: |
| County: | 87 - Miami Dade |
| District: | Six |
| Major Street: | Collins Avenue |
| Minor Street: | 34th Street |


| Engineer: | Stephanie Shealey |
| ---: | :---: |
| Date: | May 18, 2020 |

MUTCD Electronic Reference to Chapter 4: http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part4.pdf

## WARRANT 5 -SCHOOL CROSSING

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled and the corresponding volume or gap frequency in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if all three of the criteria are fulfilled.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| Criteria |  |  |  |  | Fulfilled? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Yes | No |
| 1. <br> There are a minimum of 20 students crossing the major street during the highest crossing hour. |  | Students: | Ho |  |  |  |
| There are fewer adequate gaps in the major street traffic stream during the period <br> 2. when the children are using the established school crossing than the number of minutes in the same period. |  |  | Minutes: | Gaps: |  |  |
| The nearest traffic signal along the major street is located more than 300 ft . ( 90 m ) away, or the nearest 3. signal is within 300 ft . 90 m ) but the proposed traffic signal will not restrict the progressive movement of traffic. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | Miami Beach |
| :---: | :---: |
| County: | 87 - Miami Dade |
| District: | Six |
| Major Street: | Collins Avenue |
| Minor Street: | 34th Street |


| Engineer: | Stephanie Shealey |
| ---: | :--- |
| Date: | May 18, 2020 |
|  |  |
| Lanes: | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Lanes: | $\mathbf{1} \quad$Major Approach Speed: |

MUTCD Electronic Reference to Chapter 4: http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part4.pdf

## WARRANT 6-COORDINATED SIGNAL SYSTEM

Indicate if the criteria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if either criterion is fulfilled. This warrant should not be applied when the Satisfied: $\quad$ Yes No resulting signal spacing would be less than 300 m (1,000 ft.).

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes $\quad$ No |
| ---: | ---: |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No |


|  | Criteria | Fulfilled? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |
| 1. On a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominately in one direction, the adjacent signals are so far <br> apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicle platooning. | Yes |  |
| On a two-way street, adjacent signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning, and the proposed <br> and adjacent signals will collectively provide a progressive operation. |  |  |



MUTCD Electronic Reference to Chapter 4: http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part4.pdf

## WARRANT 7 -CRASH EXPERIENCE

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled, the corresponding volume, and other information in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if all three of the criteria are fulfilled.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |




| Engineer: | Stephanie Shealey |
| ---: | :---: |
| Date: | May 18, 2020 |


| Lanes: | $\mathbf{3}$ | Major Approach Speed: $\quad \mathbf{3 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lanes: | $\mathbf{1} \quad$ Minor Approach Speed: |  |

MUTCD Electronic Reference to Chapter 4: http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part4.pdf

## WARRANT 8 - ROADWAY NETWORK

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled, and the corresponding volume or other information in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if at least one of the criteria is fulfilled and if all intersecting routes have one or more of the Major Route characteristics listed.


| Characteristics of Major Routes |  | Met? |  | Fulfilled? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Part of the street or highway system that serves as the principal roadway <br> 1. network for through traffic flow. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rural or suburban highway outside of, entering, or traversing a city. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Appears as a major route on an official plan. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |



## WARRANT 9 - INTERSECTION NEAR A GRADE CROSSING

This signal warrant should be applied only after adequate consideration has been given to other alternatives or after a trial of an alternative has failed to alleviate the safety concerns associated with the grade crossing.

| Indicate if both criteria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. The warrant is | Applicable: | $\square$ Yes |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| satisfied if both criteria are met. | Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes |
| $\square$ No |  |  |


| Criteria | Fulfilled? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |
| 1. A grade crossing exists on an approach controlled by a STOP or YIELD sign and the center of the track nearest to the intersection is within 140 feet of the stop line or yield line on the approach; and | $\square$ | ᄃ |
| 2. During the nignest traitic volume nour auring which the rall uses the crossing, the plotied polnt talls above the applicable curve for the existing combination of approach lanes over the track and the distance D (clear storage distance) | $\square$ | ᄃ |

Use the following tables (4C-2, 4C-3, and 4C-4 to appropriately adjust the minor-street approach volume).

## Inputs

Occurrences of Rail traffic per day
\% of High Occupancy Buses on Minor-Street Approach Enter D (feet)
\% of Tractor-Trailer Trucks on Minor-Street Approach


Adjustment Factors from Tables


Table 4C-2. Adjustment Factor for Daily Frequency of
Table 4C-3. Adjustment Factor for Percentage of High-

| Rail Traffic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rail Traffic per Day | Adjustment Factor |
| 1 | 0.67 |
| 2 | 0.91 |
| 3 to 5 | 1.00 |
| 6 to 8 | 1.18 |
| 9 to 11 | 1.25 |
| 12 or more | 1.33 |


| Occupancy Buses |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \% of High-Occupancy Buses* on <br> Minor Street Approach Adjustment Factor <br> $0 \%$ 1.00 <br> $2 \%$ 1.09 <br> $4 \%$ 1.19 <br> $6 \%$ or more $\quad 1.32$ |  |

${ }^{\text {* }}$ A high-occupancy bus is defined as a bus occupied by at least 20 people

Table 4C-4. Adjustment Factor for Percentage of Tractor-Trailer Trucks

| \% of Tractor-Trailer Trucks on Minor- <br> Street Approach | Adjustment Factor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D less than 70 feet | D of 70 feet or more |
| $0 \%$ to $2.5 \%$ | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| $2.6 \%$ to $7.5 \%$ | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| $7.6 \%$ to $12.5 \%$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $12.6 \%$ to $17.5 \%$ | 2.30 | 1.15 |
| $17.6 \%$ to $22.5 \%$ | 2.70 | 1.35 |
| $22.6 \%$ to $27.5 \%$ | 3.28 | 1.64 |
| More than $27.5 \%$ | 4.18 | 2.09 |




## Appendix G: No-Build Synchro Reports







HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4：Collins Ave \＆Indian Creek Dr．

|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ | ， | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | T |  |  | 「 |  | 个性 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 10 | 6 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 883 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 10 | 6 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 883 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 6.3 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1842 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1842 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 11 | 7 | 724 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 981 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 1 | 724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 1 | 162 |  | 85 | 85 |  | 162 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 50\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ | 8\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free |  |  | Perm |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 2.5 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 51 | 1488 |  |  | 30 |  | 4153 |  |  |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 | c0．49 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.01 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 47.3 | 0.0 |  |  | 47.2 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 0.1 | 1.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay（s） |  | 47.3 | 1.1 |  |  | 47.3 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | D | A |  |  | D |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 2.3 |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 1.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.58 |  | 16.6 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 100.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | A |

Analysis Period（min）
15
c Critical Lane Group






HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Collins Ave \& Indian Creek Dr.


## Appendix H: Build-Out Synchro Reports

|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $1$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「゙「 | 44 |  |  | 个44 |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 212 | 710 | 1006 | 0 | 0 | 1109 |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 212 | 710 | 1006 | 0 | 0 | 1109 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.91 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3242 | 2733 | 3574 |  |  | 5036 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3242 | 2733 | 3574 |  |  | 5036 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 228 | 763 | 1082 | 0 | 0 | 1192 |  |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 228 | 639 | 1082 | 0 | 0 | 1192 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  | 28 |  | 37 | 37 |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 4\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 35.7 | 35.7 | 92.3 |  |  | 92.3 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 35.7 | 35.7 | 92.3 |  |  | 92.3 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.66 |  |  | 0.66 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 826 | 696 | 2356 |  |  | 3320 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.07 | c0．23 | c0．30 |  |  | 0.24 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.92 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 41.8 | 50.7 | 11.7 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.1 | 16.9 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Delay（s） | 41.9 | 67.6 | 11.7 |  |  | 10.9 |  |
| Level of Service | D | E | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 61.7 |  | 11.7 |  |  | 10.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control DelayHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 26.6 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | C |
|  |  |  | 0.62 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 140.0 |  | Sum of los | me（s） | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 65．2\％ |  | CU Level | Service | C |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Collins Ave \& Indian Creek Dr.
09/03/2020

|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 |  | 4 | $p$ |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  | 「 |  | 性 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 6 | 657 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 889 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 6 | 657 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 889 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 6.3 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1842 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1842 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4910 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 7 | 730 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 988 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1 | 730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 1 | 162 |  | 85 | 85 |  | 162 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 5\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free |  |  | Perm |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 2.8 | 100.0 |  |  | 2.8 |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 51 | 1488 |  |  | 30 |  | 4153 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 | c0.49 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.01 | 0.49 |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 47.3 | 0.0 |  |  | 47.2 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.1 | 1.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 47.3 | 1.2 |  |  | 47.3 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | D | A |  |  | D |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 2.3 |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 2.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | 16.6 |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.59 |  | A |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 100.0 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $51.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | $\bigcirc$ | 4 |  |  | $1$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「゙「 | 中4 |  |  | 个44 |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 254 | 575 | 744 | 0 | 0 | 1485 |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 254 | 575 | 744 | 0 | 0 | 1485 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.91 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3242 | 2733 | 3574 |  |  | 5036 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3242 | 2733 | 3574 |  |  | 5036 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 273 | 618 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 1597 |  |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 273 | 454 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 1597 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  | 28 |  | 37 | 37 |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 4\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 3\％ |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 21.3 | 21.3 | 66.7 |  |  | 66.7 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 21.3 | 21.3 | 66.7 |  |  | 66.7 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.67 |  |  | 0.67 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 690 | 582 | 2383 |  |  | 3359 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.08 | c0．17 | 0.22 |  |  | c0．32 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.78 | 0.34 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 33.8 | 37.1 | 7.1 |  |  | 8.1 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| Delay（s） | 34.1 | 43.6 | 7.2 |  |  | 8.6 |  |
| Level of Service | C | D | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 40.7 |  | 7.2 |  |  | 8.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control DelayHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 17.0 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 100.0 |  | Sum of los | me（s） | 18.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 55．2\％ |  | CU Level | Service | B |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\hat{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 18 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 13 | 866 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 18 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 13 | 866 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 13 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 90 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 0 | 90 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 16965 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 20 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 973 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  | 「 |  | 性 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 26 | 11 | 770 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 772 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 26 | 11 | 770 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 772 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 6.3 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1833 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4904 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1833 | 1488 |  |  | 1081 |  | 4904 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 29 | 12 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 858 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 2 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 872 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 26 | 26 |  | 1 | 162 |  | 85 | 85 |  | 162 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 7 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 5\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free |  |  | Perm |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 4.2 | 110.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 93.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 4.2 | 110.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  | 93.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.04 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 6.3 |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.5 |  |  |  | 2.5 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 69 | 1488 |  |  | 63 |  | 4155 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 | c0.58 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 | 0.58 |  |  | 0.01 |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 50.9 | 0.0 |  |  | 48.7 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.1 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 51.0 | 1.6 |  |  | 48.8 |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | D | A |  |  | D |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 3.9 |  |  | 48.8 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 3.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.69 |  | 18.9 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 110.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $47.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |




[^0]:    **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AS MAY BE REQUIRED AT THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ HPB File No. 7490 (design) and 7603 (variances), approved on March 8, 2016, as modified by HPB200376 and HPB20-0389.

[^2]:    

[^3]:    Source: FDOT

