MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO:

Chairperson and Members Historic Preservation Board

DATE: July 14, 2020

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP for TM Planning Director

SUBJECT:

HPB19-0349, 910 Marseille Drive and 7116 Bay Drive.

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a new multifamily residential building on the vacant portion of the

site.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions

EXISTING STRUCTURE

Local Historic District:

Classification:

Construction Date:

Architect:

Normandy Isles

Contributing

1940

Pfeiffer & Pitt

ZONING / SITE DATA

Legal Description:

Parcel 1, 7116 Bay Drive:

The south 50 feet of Lot 5 & the south 50 feet of the east 62.18 feet of Lot 4-A, Block 3, of the Ocean Side Section of Isle of Normandy subdivision, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 25. Page 60 of the public

records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

Parcel 2, 910 Marseille Drive:

The north 75 feet of the east 62.18 feet of Lot 4-A, Block 3, of the Ocean Side Section of Isle of Normandy subdivision, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 25, Page 60 of the public records of Miami Dade County,

Florida.

RM-1 Western Portion of the Site

Zoning:

Future Land Use Designation:

RM-1 (Residential multifamily, low intensity)

RM-1 (Residential multifamily, low intensity)

Lot Size:

7,771.5 S.F. / 1.25 Max FAR

Proposed FAR:

9,711 S.F. / 1.249 FAR, as represented by the applicant

Proposed Height:

4-stories / 50'-0"

Existing Use/Condition:

Vacant Lot

Proposed Use:

Residential multifamily

RO Eastern Portion of the Site

Zoning:

RO, Residential/Office

Future Land Use Designation:

RO, Residential/Office

Lot Size:

5.367 S.F. / 0.75 Max FAR

Existing FAR:

5,642 S.F. / 1.05 FAR

Proposed FAR: Existing Height: No change 2-stories / 23'-0"

Proposed Height:

No change

Existing Use/Condition:

Mixed use

Proposed Use:

No change

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "914 Marseille Drive - Residential Building", prepared by idea International Design Engineering Architecture, dated March 5, 2020.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the City Code.

- 1. Sec. 142-1132. FPL transformer and pads and backflow preventers are not allowable yard encroachments.
- 2. Sec. 142-156. The at grade parking lot does not comply with the minimum side interior setback.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed multifamily use is consistent with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1)A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
 - Not Applicable

Partial or total demolition has not been requested as part of this application.

- (2)Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. Not Applicable
 - There are no windows proposed to be replaced. However, the windows at 7116 Bay Drive were recently replaced with impact windows.

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Satisfied

Operable windows have been provided.

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

 Satisifed
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

Satisfied

The first finished floor of the new structure is proposed to be located at base flood elevation + 1'-0".

(6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

Not Applicable

(7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

Satisfied

Elevating the existing finish floor level to base flood elevation plus freeboard may not be reasonably feasible given that no work is proposed for the existing building.

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Not Applicable

Habitable space is not proposed below base flood elevation plus freeboard for the new construction.

(10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Satisfied

(12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
 Satisfied
 - Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
 Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.

Not Satisfied

The projecting architectural feature at the northwest corner of the building adds unnecessary bulk and perceived height to the proposed building.

- General design, scale, massing and arrangement.
 Satisfied
- c. Texture and material and color.

Satisfied

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district.

 Satisfied
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.

 Satisfied
- f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

 Satisfied
- g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
 Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

Not Applicable

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
 Satisfied
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Not Satisfied

See Compliance with Zoning Code.

c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

Not Satisfied

The projecting architectural feature at the northwest corner of the building adds unnecessary bulk and perceived height to the proposed building.

d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Satisfied

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on

pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Not Satisfied

The at grade parking spaces are not adequately buffered.

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
 Satisfied

n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Satisfied

o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied

ANALYSIS

The subject site is comprised of two parcels, 910 Marseille Drive and 7116 Bay Drive. The applicant is proposing to unify the properties and is requesting approval for the construction of a detached 5-story multifamily building on the vacant portion of the site along Marseille Drive.

7116 Bay Drive

The existing 2-story building located at 7116 Bay Drive was constructed in 1940 and designed by architects Pfeiffer & Pitt in the Mediterranean Revival/Art Deco Transitional style of architecture. This structure was recently renovated including the replacement of all exterior windows and doors with new impact resistant windows and doors consistent with the original design.

New 4-story multi-family residential structure

The applicant is proposing to construct a 5-story residential building on the vacant portion of the site. The building consists of 6 units, all of which have access to private outdoor terraces. Additionally, a new 8-space surface parking lot is proposed to be introduced at the rear of the site and will be accessed via the alley. Staff is supportive of the application and would commend the applicant for proposing to construct a high quality building on the existing vacant lot. Over time, this undeveloped lot has had an increasing adverse impact on the urban context of Marseille Drive as well as the surrounding neighborhood.

Additionally, staff is supportive of the overall contemporary design language of the proposed structure which incorporates variations in surface finishes and changes in plane that serves to breakdown the scale of the building. Further, the distribution of architectural form has resulted in a new multifamily residential building that is generally compatible with the neighboring historic structures and the surrounding historic district. Staff's only concern is the design of the northwest corner of the addition. Staff believes that the projecting fin element in this location overwhelms the 1920s Contributing building adjacent to the west. Further, staff finds that this architectural feature adds unnecessary bulk and perceived height to the building. As such, staff recommends that this element be eliminated from the proposed project. Staff is confident that this minor modification can be addressed administratively and recommends approval as noted below.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

July 14, 2020

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 910 Marseille Drive / 02-3210-013-0311

7116 Bay Drive / 02-3210-013-0330

FILE NO:

HPB19-0349

IN RE:

The application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a new multifamily residential building on the vacant portion of the site.

LEGAL:

Parcel 1, 910 Marseille Drive:

The north 75 feet of the east 62.18 feet of Lot 4-A, Block 3, of the Ocean Side Section of Isle of Normandy subdivision, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 25, Page 60 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 & N 1/2 Lot 5, Block 22, of Ocean Beach Addition No. 3, according to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2,

Page 81, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Parcel 2, 7116 Bay Drive:

The south 50 feet of Lot 5 & the south 50 feet of the east 62.18 feet of Lot 4-A. Block 3. of the Ocean Side Section of Isle of Normandy subdivision. according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 25, Page 60 of the public

records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Normandy Isles Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'a' in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.

Page 2 of 5 HPB19-0349

Meeting Date: July 14, 2020

- 4. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b', 'c' & 'l' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 and 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The surface parking lot shall comply with the minimum side interior setbacks.
 - b. The architectural projection located at the northwest corner of the building shall be eliminated, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. A minimum 4'-0" tall site wall shall be provided along the east and west sides of the surface parking lot area, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall not be located within the front yard with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
 - e. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

Page 3 of 5 HPB19-0349

Meeting Date: July 14, 2020

II. Variance(s)

A. No variances have been applied for as part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.
 - A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.
 - B. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
 - C. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
 - D. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
 - E. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - F. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
 - G. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
 - H. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
 - I. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
 - J. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans

Page 4 of 5 HPB19-0349

Meeting Date: July 14, 2020

approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "914 Marseille Drive – Residential Building", prepared by idea International Design Engineering Architecture, dated March 5, 2020, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	20

HPB19-0349 Meeting Date: July 14, 2020 BY: **DEBORAH TACKETT** CHIEF OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR THE CHAIR STATE OF FLORIDA)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE) The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 20 by Deborah Tackett, Chief of Historic Preservation, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me. **NOTARY PUBLIC** Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires: Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on

Page 5 of 5