



ARCHITECTURE INTERIOR DESIGN PLANNING

Comments:

1. sheet A2.05 - are the floor slabs really existing to remain. It was discussed that the floors slabs were at different heights.
 - The plans have been modified to show the entire floor slab on the ground floor to be removed because of the differing floor heights
2. sheet A2.15 - the 955 building does not look correct in the rendering
 - The 955 building has been corrected in the rendering on sheet A2.15
3. provide details of the pool deck bar structure and all elevations. provide a line of sight diagram as this is a partial rooftop addition.
 - Elevations and a section have been provided, See sheet
4. provide a rendering of the pool deck area.
 - See vignettes of the pool deck area on sheet 2.19
5. confirm with FPL that the location of the vault room is acceptable
 - The size of the FPL room is the acceptable size. The location may be moved during the construction documents to the north side but we have not received final confirmation.
6. show location of BFP on site plan.
 - See Sheet A2.09 for back flow location
7. the mechanical equipment area on the roof seems undersized.
 - See roof plan for VRF units at roof.
8. the level 2 landscaping plan and arch plan are not consistent at pool deck
 - Architectural sheets were updated
9. confirm the mech green screen will survive.
 - Mechanical screen will consist of fluted terracotta panels as shown on A4.00, A4.01, A4.02, A4.03

Recommendation(s)

1. staff recommends elimination of the metal eyebrow
 - We would like to proceed with the metal eyebrow and have the board decide. It is very important to the owner for the presence of the hotel on Washington.
2. staff recommends that the column at the main entrance be engaged into the wall and not floating.
 - Rockwell to provide comments
3. staff recommends the storefront configurations be further studied to be more consistent with the historical configuration.
 - We added a wall behind the column so it is engaged in the wall.