

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: December 04, 2018

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB18-0328

2001 North Bay Road

<u>DRB18-0328, 2001 North Bay Road—New City Playground and Park</u>. The applicant, the City of Miami Beach, is requesting Design Review Approval for the installation of a new playground including shade structures and landscaping for a new pocket park, including variances to exceed the maximum height of a wall fence, to exceed the maximum allowable encroachment into required yards, and to reduce the interior side setback for a deck. The variance portions of this application may be withdrawn if the City Commission acts to waive the Land Development Regulations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval with conditions

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot 1, Block 16B, of "Sunset Lake Resubdivision", According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 9, Page 145, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

HISTORY:

This item was continued at the November 06, 2018 DRB meeting at the request of the applicant.

BACKGROUND:

The City is proposing to modify an existing vacant parcel of land into a neighborhood pocket park, providing greenspace and a playground area. The subject property is located at 2001 North Bay Road, at the intersection of 20th Street and Alton Road. This proposed pocket park parcel was previously used as a staging area for construction activity in the neighborhood, and for coordination of post hurricane debris removal. The City will provide playground equipment for the 2-5 and 5-12 year old age range of children in the neighborhood. This new pocket park area is intended to be utilized as a replacement for the playground at Maurice Gibb Memorial Park, which is being renovated. The new pocket park will continue in existence, even after the renovation of Maurice Gibb. One of the recommendations from the Parks and Recreation Facilities Advisory Board was that the pocket park should include a "unique art Installation that serves as an interactive playground for children". At this time, only a general location has been contemplated for that component. Construction of the project is scheduled to be completed June 2019.

SITE DATA:

Zoning:

GU (default to RS zoning regulations)

Future Land Use:

ROS, Recreation Open Space

Lot Size:

±9,430 (±.21 acres)

Flood:

+8.0' NGVD +3.4' NGVD

Difference:
Adjusted Grade:

+6.3' NGVD

LAND USES:

East:

Single-family residential

North:

Single-family residential/Sunset Island # 4

South:

Alton Road/commercial

West:

"Palau" 5-story multifamily residential

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans and renderings entitled "Pocket Park Improvements – Final Submittal" by **Miller & LEGG** dated November 05, 2018.

The applicant is proposing to develop the 0.2 acre vacant site at the junction of North Bay Road and Alton Road with a public children's playground and landscaped greenspace.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code. The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria is found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
 Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Satisfied
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

 Satisfied

- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

 Satisfied
- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

 Not Applicable
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

 Satisfied
- 8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Not Applicable

- Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.
 Satisfied
- Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.
 Not Satisfied
- 11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Not Applicable

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise,

the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Not Applicable

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Not satisfied

- 15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

 Not Applicable
- 16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

 Not Applicable
- 17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

 Not Applicable
- 18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the City Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.

 Not Applicable
- 19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.

 Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
 Not Applicable
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Not Applicable**
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Not Applicable

(4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.

Satisfied

(5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.

Satisfied

(6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.

Satisfied

(7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.

Not Applicable

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.

Not Applicable

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Not Applicable

(10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided. **Satisfied**

STAFF ANALYSIS:

The subject property is approximately a 9,429 SF corner site, located to the east of Sunset Harbor and to the south of Sunset Island No. 4. The new pocket park playground will include playground equipment, shade canopies, seating areas, play mounds, new landscaping, irrigation and a perimeter fence. The greenspace areas are conceived and programmed as a playground park, designed with two converging oval-shaped play areas, distinguished by the innovative ground safety ground covering that is complete with mounds and gopher holes. Each oval contains a shade structure designed with standard posts and tensile UV protectant marine-grade shade sails. A masonry fence is proposed to buffer the proposed use from the adjacent single family residence to the north. Since the original submittal, all of the originally noticed Land Development Regulation (LDR) waivers have been redesigned to comply with the underlying RS zoning requirements: (1) the location and height of the climbing wall and (2) the associated rubber mat fall zone area setback distance, (3) the reduced front yard open space; and (4) to allow the rubberized oval play surface to extend 10'-0" into the required 20' front yard where a 5'-0" projection (25%) is allowed. Staff is supportive of the proposal for the pocket playground and recommends approval of the design.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria and Sea Level Rise criteria.

TRM/JGM



Integrity Trade Services, Inc. d.b.a.

Academy Design & Technical Services Phone: 954-973-7600 / Fax: 954-973-4890

WEEKLY TIME CAP	nd paramen mandrada ana kasaka kanana man nd []	ad tax nontrelice designed designed and analytic and state is new locations of the leading of th	TI vilka zamennek promi kraji kr	an extract Hersile as American	en er i Makris er overenne skriverteller en letter kriver och er och		
EMPLOYEE NAME:				FROM:		TO:	
POSITION:			Annual Section .	DEPT		**************************************	, d
Award				reference and	and the same of th		
, and	PERFECTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	AM		PM	Total	Total	Total
DAY	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	Reg.	Over	Time
MON	والمراوات والمراوات والمساورة والمراوات والموارات والمراوات والمراوات والمراوات والمراوات والمراوات		Andrew Perilipolitic Alexander	AND AND A CONTRACT OF SECULOR AND	arraminante en care an estado en constituido en constituido en constituido en constituido en constituido en co		
TUES	روموا (وموارات المعالمة		The second state of the se		Per Name de Augusta com la Propositio de Augusta (Per Name Augusta (Per Name Augusta (Per Name Augusta (Per Na		
WED	the state of the s				and a name of the transfer and an analysis of the transfer and the transfer of the filter of the filter of the		
THUR	only program of the state of th	The state of the s	And the second of the second o	W			ne- a en-angel i han seur- y an Condystyn a anticolódic invados an aproximas ny cristica
FRI	- Proposition in the second second	The state of the California and the California for the state of the california and the ca	a) fre (bean), here and readed subtained they can building	COM ELP CONTENTION (I MANDO SEV) SANS por communicación de contracto de la co	the side of Accounty of the side of the si		0. 1940-1-0. 1979-1980-1980-1980-1980-1980-1980-1980-198
SAT		The street part of the street pa	e i n fint, i ne nadimentra anticoppy ina	POST CONTROL OF A CONTROL OF THE POST OF T	ngiya digirdi di Fi. (di Perit I Incomercia Sud Maddi Nadilatela Safi International		Proceedings of the Contract of
SUN	ما الما الما الما الما الما الما الما ا			And the state of t	es et er de de e statum neces a den eur t en en et e r de en e n et en et e		trondram temperatura (il propinsi propinsi del di deny etem di periode de grango etempe
		НО	URS WO	RKED TOTAL	erassonatamitationines in physiophysiological in consistent of consistent and consistent against	- The state of the	
					onceanes annes e se es dennes reguladores de la lacilità de la fetta de la fetta de la fetta de la fetta de la	e de la composiçõe de l	t eritabasa bagi akki qarakisi sarini sara ummani engelik perg kipus di kapada asapit asag aba
and the second s	etickend fil er fil kan etilgevind in die koud 13 von zeep 17 e voorlijke vorze.	er) der Ald Grand Berkern halte, ikkern er end eg Lignad benedi kande kandel. Ei im Aldiena	U promitani e tanik tulik esari kelek Julyuna genigana	Minki seui halakka ediletelektiri (ili le ji), ehrina, avanganga-ar-manapara permaan	nerodicijajajajan opidaja nerodenaja vietnoje dete ne te nerodicija	erusteurte übergi ülüşlerile gestetek ün anazaran	en e
The undersigned certi-	fies that thi	s is a true and		The undersig	ned approves	the above hou	ırs as having
accurate record of their time worker!			actually been worked and ready for invoicing				
EMPLOYEE			SUPERVISOR				
SIGNATURE				SIGNATURE			
				Topic	нестичения сонятили и саному «I» модел (Вен Может (III) в несо	and the second security of the second	HE SAN EMPLOYED THE THE SECOND SECTION OF THE SECTI

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: December 04, 2018

FILE NO: DRB18-0328

PROPERTY: Pocket Playground and Park

APPLICANT: The City of Miami Beach

LEGAL: Lot 1, Block 16B, of "Sunset Lake Resubdivision", According to the Plat

Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 9, Page 145, of the Public Records of

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The Application for Design Review Approval for the installation of a new

playground including shade structures and landscaping park, including variances to exceed the maximum height of a wall fence, to exceed the maximum allowable encroachment into required yards, and to reduce the interior side setback for a deck. The variance portions of this application may be withdrawn if the City Commission acts to waive the Land

Development Regulations.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Design Review Criteria in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

- a. The final design of the playground and park shall comply with the underlying RS zoning requirements.
- b. If applicable, the final design and details of associated exterior lighting shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. The final equipment style and location for the childrens' playground area of the Park shall be approved as proposed. The final location and type of equipment design requested herein shall be submitted in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- d. The final design and placement and model/type of all park furniture and fixtures, shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department and the Police Department
- e. The design, location and type of fencing proposed along the perimeter of the street facing edge of the Park, including any gateway entrance designs, shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- f. The final exterior surface color scheme, including color samples, shall be subject to the review and approval of staff and shall require a separate permit.
- g. The final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- h. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- i. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
- 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding plans shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plans shall comply with Chapter 26-Landscape Requirements of the Miami Beach Code and shall incorporate the following:

- Native ground cover and shrub plant species shall be included in landscape design along pedestrian ramps and landings, to be review and approved by staff.
- b. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
- c. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site.
- d. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff. A tree care and watering plan also prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permit. Subsequent to any approved relocation, a monthly report prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be provided to staff describing the overall tree performance and adjustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure survivability, such report shall continue for a period of 18 months unless determined otherwise by staff.
- e. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- f. The architect shall substantially increase the amount of native canopy shade trees within the site, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- g. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- h. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- i. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventers and all other related devices and fixtures. The location of backflow preventers, Siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the

site and landscape plans, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.

- j. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms. The location of any exterior transformers and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the City Administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and II. Variances' noted above.

- A. The project shall comply with any landscaping or other site improvement and environmental standards as may be prescribed by a relevant analysis by the Environment and Sustainability Department prior to the completion of the project.
- B. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- C. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- D. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- E. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff

recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I,II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Pocket Park Improvements – Final Submittal" by **Miller & LEGG** dated November 05, 2018, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	*	day of	, 20	

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY	: <u> </u>	
	JAMES G. MURPHY	
	CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN	

	FOR THE	CHAIR		
STATE OF FLORIDA)			
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE	SS)			
The foregoing instrument	was acknowledge 20 by James G.	d before me th	iis Urhan Design F	day of
Department, City of Miami Be Corporation. He is personally k	ach, Florida, a Flor	ida Municipal Corpo	oration, on behal	f of the
		PUBLIC le County, Florida ssion expires:		•
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:		()	
Filed with the Clerk of the Desig	gn Review Board on		()
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB18\12-04-2018\DFC 18	3 FINAL ORDERSIDRET DE	2818-0328 2001 NBB DEC	18 FO docy	