

MIAMI BEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: November 06, 2018

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director

SUBJECT: DRB18-0324
10 West San Marino Drive

DRB18-0324, 10 West San Marino Drive. The applicant, Ramin Aleyasin, is requesting Design Review Approval for the construction of a new two-story single-family residence to replace an existing two-story pre-1942 architecturally significant residence including one or more waivers.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval with conditions

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot 1 of Block 1 of "San Marino Island", according to the plat thereof filed for record and recorded in Plat Book 9 at Page 22 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

SITE DATA:

Zoning: RS-3
Future Land Use: RS
Lot Size: 12,791 SF
Lot Coverage:
Existing: 2,014 SF / 16%
Proposed: 3,727 SF / **29%**
Maximum: 3,837 SF / 30%
Unit size:
Existing: 3,100 SF / 24%
Proposed: 6,384 SF / **49.9%**
Maximum: 6,396 SF / **50%**
2nd Floor to 1st: 3727 / 2657 71%*

***DRB WAIVER**

Height:

Proposed: **28'-0" flat roof**

Maximum: 24'-0" flat roof

***DRB WAIVER**

Grade: +6.2' NGVD

Base Flood Elevation: +9.00' NGVD

Difference: 2.8'

Adjusted Grade: +67.6' NGVD

30" (+2.5') Above Grade: +8.7' NGVD

First Floor Elevation: +10.00' NGVD
(BFE+1fb)

EXISTING STRUCTURE:

Year: 1929
Architect: A. Frazer Rose
Vacant: No
Demolition: Total

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:

East: 30'-0" unimproved City easement
Two-story 1929 residence
North: Vacant (DRB0716-0041)
South: Biscayne Bay
West: Two-story 1986 residence

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "New Single Family Residence", as prepared by **Calil Architects** signed, sealed and dated 09/07/18.

The applicant is proposing to construct a new two-story residence on a waterfront lot on the southern tip of San Marino Island to replace an existing two-story pre-1942 architecturally significant residence.

The applicant is requesting the following waiver(s):

1. The height of the proposed structure is **28'-0"** in accordance with Section 142-105(b).
2. The second floor's physical volume exceeds 70% of the first floor in accordance with Section 142-105(b)(4)(c).

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the City Code:

1. The maximum building height is 24'-0" for flat roofed structures and 27'-0" for sloped roofs. In the RS-3 zoning district, the DRB may approve a building height of up to 28'-0" for flat roofs **through DRB approval** in accordance with the applicable design review criteria. **The applicant is requesting a height of 28'-0" from BFE+1 for the second floor roofline, which will require a waiver from the DRB.**
2. For two story homes with an overall lot coverage of 25% or greater, the physical volume of the second floor shall not exceed 70% of the first floor of the main home, exclusive of any enclosed required parking area and exception from this provision may be granted **through DRB approval** in accordance with the applicable design review criteria. **The applicant is requesting a 2nd Floor Volume to 1st of 71% with a 29% lot coverage which will require a waiver from the DRB.**
3. Covered cabana in rear yard has not been dimensioned to assure compliance with or without lot coverage and unit size requirements (2% of lot area).
4. Side yard (west) walkway exceeds allowable height 8.7' NGVD.
5. Cabana in rear yard must comply with required side setback at arc of minused out property.
6. Unit size sheet 2.2 shall be revised (cabana 2%, covered balconies on second floor (area exceeding 6' in width must count towards unit size).

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

1. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.
Not Satisfied; the applicant is requesting two (2) design waivers from the Board.
7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.
Satisfied
8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as

possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Satisfied

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Satisfied

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

Satisfied

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Not Applicable

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

Satisfied

17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Not Applicable

18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the city Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.
Not Applicable
19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.
Not Satisfied; see below

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Satisfied
A recycling plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit to the building department.
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Satisfied
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Not Satisfied
- (4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.
Satisfied
- (5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.
Not Satisfied
Sea Level Rise projections were not taken into account.
- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.
Satisfied
- (7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.
Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.
Not Applicable

- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Satisfied

- (10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided.

Not Satisfied; as part of the civil engineering design to be provided at time of permit.

STAFF ANALYSIS:
DESIGN REVIEW

The applicant is proposing to construct a new two-story residence on a waterfront parcel on the southern tip of San Marino Island that will replace an existing pre-1942 architecturally significant two-story home. The proposal includes a request for two design waivers.

The proposed design consists of an elongated two-story volume feature with an attached one-story garage. The exterior features highlight a series of warm accents such as natural stone walls and dark-bronze aluminum vertical elements, and some wood detailing. An open floor plan has been conceived that benefits from the pie-shaped parcel as well as the abutting easement which allows for spacious outdoor areas to alleviate the massing of the new residence. Staff's primary design concern pertains to the one-story garage. Currently, the stark façade is clad in "stone" along the street face and smooth stucco along the eastern side. Although a wire trellis is proposed at the front and is intended to encourage vine growth in order to soften the appearance, staff would recommend introducing a few of the vertical slats/openings identified as "MT, bronze metal" on the plans as detailed elsewhere on the interior side façade of the garage.

The first design waiver pertains to the height of the residence. The maximum building height in the RS-3 zoning district is 24'-0" for flat roofed structures. However, in the RS-3 zoning district the DRB may approve a building height of up to 28'-0" for flat roofs. The architect is seeking a height of 28'-0" for the new two-story residence, as measured from BFE +1'-0". The subject site contains a lot area of 12,791 SF, which is 25% above the minimum lot area required for RS-3 lots (10,000 SF). The waiver is intended for larger lots in the RS-3 districts that closely resemble lot sizes in the RS-1 (30,000 SF) and RS-2 (18,000 SF) zoning districts. Although the subject property does not meet these larger lot area thresholds, staff is nonetheless supportive of the full 4'-0" in height.

The design along the western portion of the residence features a zagged, serrated floor plan that will not create a sheer vertical wall abutting the neighboring two-story residence built in 1986. Additionally, the abutting property to the east of the subject site is a two-story residence built at grade in 1929, but is separated by a 30'-0" wide City easement. Also, along this portion of San Marino Island, there is a 30'-0" City swale abutting the front of the property along the roadway for the radius of the island. Staff notes that the applicant is raising the height of the finished first floor to the minimum amount of freeboard, 1'-0"; or 10'-0" NGVD. Finally, the architect has respected the street scale through an increased setback of approximately 90'-0" (plus the abovementioned swale) to the exterior wall of the second floor, which greatly lessens its impact on the street. For all of these reasons, staff is supportive of the full 4'-0" height waiver; however, should the residence be redesigned at a higher height of freeboard elevation, staff recommends a return to the Board to reassess the design waiver.

Upon review, a waiver may be required pertaining to the second floor to first floor ratio. Since the home has a lot coverage of over 25%, any second floor massing is restricted to 70% of the first floor unless a waiver is sought by the DRB. The applicant maintains that the home's second floor ratio is below 70%, but further analysis by staff reveals that the actual second floor volume may be at approximately 71%, which would require a waiver from the DRB. Given that the overage is only 1%, staff is supportive of the requested waiver, if needed.

Staff recommends that the design of the replacement home be approved as proposed, including the requested open space waiver, but without approving the increased height waiver request for the RS-3 site.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review and Sea Level Rise criteria.

TRM/JGM

F:\PLAN\DRB\DRB18\11-06-2018\NOV 18 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS\DRB18-0324 10 W San Marino Drive.NOV18.doc

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: November 06, 2018

FILE NO: DRB18-0324

PROPERTY: **10 West San Marino Drive**

APPLICANT: Ramin Aleyasin

LEGAL: Lot 1 of Block 1 of "San Marino Island", according to the plat thereof filed for record and recorded in Plat Book 9 at Page 22 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The Application for Design Review Approval for the construction of a new two-story single-family residence to replace an existing two-story pre-1942 architecturally significant residence including one or more waivers.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 and 19 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 1 and 10 in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/ or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings for the proposed new home at 10 West San Marino Drive shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The architect shall further articulate the street (north) façade by further refinement of the one-story garage portion of the residence primarily along the façade facing San Marino Drive Street and the interior side elevation, in

a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- b. The proposed increase in height **shall** be permitted as proposed; the maximum height of the two story structure shall be 28'-0" when measured from BFE + 1'-0" freeboard. Should the architect increase the amount of freeboard utilized for the finished first floor elevation of the residence, the height allowance identified herein shall be subject to the review of the Board.
- c. The 70% limitation for the second floor volume **shall** be waived as proposed.
- d. The stone cladding proposed along the façades of the residence shall consist of a natural keystone or other natural stone, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- e. The proposed walkway within the side yard (west) walkway exceeds the maximum height allowance of 8.7' NGVD.
- f. The unit size of the residence shall be revised and include those areas (cabana 2%, covered balconies on second floor exceeding 6' in width) that count towards the overall unit size which were previously excluded on sheet 2.2.
- g. The proposed accessory cabana located in the rear yard must comply with required side setback requirement at the arc of minused out property, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Additionally, the proposed accessory cabana located in the rear yard must comply with lot coverage and unit size requirements (2% of lot area), if applicable.
- h. The final design details and color selection of the vertical 'ornamental' cladding proposed finish shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- i. The final design details of the exterior materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- j. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in

accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding plans shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plans shall comply with Chapter 26-Landscape Requirements of the Miami Beach Code and shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
 - b. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site.
 - c. Prior to any site demolition work, a tree protection fence following the City standard shall be installed for trees scheduled to remain subject to the review and approval of the City Urban Forester.
 - d. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained, and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff. A tree care and watering plan also prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permit. Subsequent to any approved relocation, a monthly report prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be provided to staff describing the overall tree performance and adjustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure survivability, such report shall continue for a period of 18 months unless determined otherwise by staff.
 - e. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
 - f. The proposed and existing trees located within the swale shall be subject to the review and approval of Green Space and CIP.
 - g. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in conflict with existing utilities, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department.

- h. Any existing plant material within the public right-of-way may be required to be removed, as the discretion of the Public Works Department.
- i. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- j. The utilization of root barriers and Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- k. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventors and all other related devices and fixtures. The location of backflow preventors, Siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- l. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms. The location of any exterior transformers and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- m. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the City Administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

II. Variance(s)

No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the

- plans approved by the board, and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.
- B. During construction work, the applicant will maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles exiting and entering the site, and with an 8'-0" high fence with a wind resistant green mesh material along the front property line. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way. All construction vehicles shall either park on the private property or at alternate overflow parking sites with a shuttle service to and from the property. The applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
 - C. If applicable, a Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - D. A recycling/salvage plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
 - E. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
 - F. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
 - G. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - H. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
 - I. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
 - J. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.

K. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "New Single Family Residence", as prepared by **Calil Architects** signed, sealed and dated 09/07/18 and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

F:\PLAN\DRB\DRB18\11-06-2018\NOV 18 FINAL ORDERS\DRFT DRB18-0324 10 W San Marino Drive.NOV18.FO.docx