# MIAMIBEACH

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

DATE: July 12, 2016

TO:

Chairperson and Members

Historic Preservation Board

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

**Planning Director** 

SUBJECT:

HPB0516-0025, 1011 Michigan Avenue.

The applicant, Javier Perrigo, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the After-the-Fact enlargement of an existing window opening in order to install a

new door and stair.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the After-the-Fact Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions.

**EXISTING STRUCTURE** 

Local Historic District:

Flamingo Park

Contributing

David T. Ellis

Status:

Original Architect:

Construction Date:

1938

**ZONING / SITE DATA** 

Legal Description:

Lots 9 & 10, Block 89, Ocean Beach Addition No 3, According to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 81, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County,

Florida.

Zoning:

RM-1 Residential Multi-Family, Low Intensity RM-1 Residential Multi-Family, Low Intensity

Future Land Use Designation:

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Replace existing window by door" as prepared by Avanti Consultant Group, Corp., dated February 2, 2016.

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the After-the-Fact enlargement of an existing window opening in order to install a new door and stair.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the existing **residential use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

**COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE** 

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, is consistent with the City Code, with the exception of the variances requested herein.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

## COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied. Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time. Satisfied
  - b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission. Satisfied
- 11. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - Exterior architectural features. a. Satisfied
  - b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement. Satisfied
  - Texture and material and color. C.
  - Satisfied
  - d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. Satisfied
  - The purpose for which the district was created. е. Satisfied
  - f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district. **Not Applicable**
  - An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic g. documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

#### Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

#### Satisfied

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
  - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

    Satisfied
  - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project. **Satisfied**
  - c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

    Satisfied
  - d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

#### Satisfied

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

#### Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on

pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

## Not Applicable

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

## Not Applicable

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

  Satisfied
- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

### Not Applicable

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

#### Not Applicable

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

#### Satisfied

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

# Not Applicable

m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

### Not Applicable

n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Satisfied

 The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
 Not Applicable

## CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

#### Satisfied

The existing structure is located within the Flamingo Park Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

# <u>Satisfied</u>

The structure is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

#### Satisfied

The structure is one of the last remaining examples of its kind and contributes to the character of the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

#### **Partially Satisfied**

The structure is classified as 'Contributing' in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture, and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

## Satisfied

The retention of structure is critical to developing an understanding of an important early Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Applicable

No 'Contributing' structures are proposed to be demolished.

h. The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

#### **ANALYSIS**

The subject structure originally known as the 'Corose Apartments' was constructed in 1938 and designed by David T. Ellis in the Mediterranean Revival/Art Deco Transitional style of architecture.

The applicant is requesting the after-the-fact approval for the installation of a French style double door within the previously existing window opening and the construction of stairs along the west façade (Michigan Avenue) of the building. The introduction of the door required the demolition of the wall beneath the previously existing window opening. Staff would note that although this façade faces the street, the primary façade of the structure containing the majority of significant architectural features is on the south interior side. Staff has no objection to the after-the-fact approval of the modification, as no significant architectural features were demolished during the installation of the double doors and the modification could be easily reversed in the future.

#### -RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order.

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: July 12, 2016

FILE NO:

HPB0616-0025

PROPERTY:

1011 Michigan Avenue

APPLICANT:

Javier Perrigo

LEGAL:

Lots 9 & 10, Block 89, Ocean Beach Addition No 3, According to the Plat

Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 81, of the Public Records of

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the After-the-Fact

enlargement of an existing window opening in order to install a new door

and stair.

## ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

# I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Flamingo Park Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
  - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 3. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
  - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria for Demolition in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevations, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

Page 2 of 4 HPB0516-0025

Meeting Date: July 12, 2016

a. The final design and details of the proposed door and stair shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

## II. Variance(s)

A. No variances were requested as a part of this application.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.
  - A. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record an unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
  - B. Applicant agrees that in the event Code Compliance receives complaints of unreasonably loud noise from mechanical and/or electrical equipment, and determines the complaints to be valid, even if the equipment is operating pursuant to manufacturer specifications, the applicant shall take such steps to mitigate the noise with noise attenuating materials as reviewed and verified by an acoustic engineer, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
  - C. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
  - D. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
  - E. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
  - F. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be

Page 3 of 4 HPB0516-0025

Meeting Date: July 12, 2016

returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- G. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- H. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed and the 1-story rear structure originally constructed as a garage, shall be classified as 'Non-Contributing' in the City's Historic Properties Database.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Venezia Hotel" as prepared by Novus Archetype, dated May 16, 2016, and as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal. County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of

Page 4 of 4 HPB0516-0025

Meeting Date: July 12, 2016

the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20	
	•	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOAR THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLOR	
		BY:	3.77
		DEBORAH TACKETT PRESERVATION AND DESIGN MA FOR THE CHAIR	NAGER
STATE OF FLOR	RIDA ) )SS		
COUNTY OF MIA			
The foregoing	instrument wa	s acknowledged before me this _ by Deborah Tackett, Preservation	day of and Design Manager.
Planning Departn of the corporation	nent, City of Miar	ni Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal	Corporation, on behalf
		NOTARY PUBLIC	
		Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:	
Approved As To I City Attorney's Of		(	)
Filed with the Cle	rk of the Historic	Preservation Board on	( )
F:\PLAN\\$HPB\16HPB\	07-12-2016\Draft Order	s\HPB0 <u>516</u> -0025_1011 Michigan <u>Av.Jul16</u> .FO.D <u>RA</u> F	Г.do <u>c</u> x