MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: October 02, 2018

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB18-0313

1607 Lincoln Court - Lincoln Court Pedestrian Bridge

The applicant, the City of Miami Beach, is requesting Design Review Approval for the installation of a new pedestrian bridge over Collins Canal and ramps in the rights-of-way.

Recommendation:

Approval with conditions

BACKGROUND:

As part of the West Avenue Bridge project, the City Commission directed the Administration to construct a pedestrian bridge that will provide connectivity between the West Avenue and the Sunset Harbor neighborhoods across the Collins Canal, as well as improve the general safety of pedestrians. On April 27, 2016, the City Commission awarded a contract for design build services for the West Avenue Bridge improvements and the Pedestrian Bridge over Collins Canal. The West Avenue Neighborhood Association (WAvNA) requested that the overall aesthetics of the pedestrian bridge be enhanced and on January 17, 2018, a capital budget amendment was approved to the project to allow for additional enhancements that include metal paneling and LED lighting.

On May 03, 2018, City staff conducted a Public Meeting where 50 participants were in attendance, including Public Works Department, West Avenue residents, WAvNA members, Sunset Harbor residents, Sunset Harbor Neighborhood Association (SHNA) members and Commissioner Mark Samuelian. Residents were presented with five pedestrian bridge design options along with optional decorative panels. Attendees voted on the preferred bridge design and associated metal panel.

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted supplemental plans and renderings entitled "Lincoln Court Pedestrian Bridge" by Keith and Schnars, P.A., dated August 24, 2018.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code. The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding

community. Staff recommends that the following criteria is found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
 Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Satisfied
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

 Satisfied
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

Satisfied

- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

 Satisfied
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

 Satisfied
- 8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Not Applicable

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Satisfied; a lighting plan has not been provided

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

Satisfied

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Not Applicable

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Not Applicable

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Not Applicable

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

Not Applicable

17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Not Applicable

18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the city Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or

maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.

Not Applicable

19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.

Not Applicable

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

(1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

Not Applicable

(2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.

Not Applicable

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Not Applicable

(4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.

Not Applicable

(5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.

Not Applicable

(6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.

Not Applicable

(7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.

Not Applicable

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.

Not Applicable

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

Not Applicable

(10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided.

Not Applicable

STAFF ANALYSIS:

DESIGN REVIEW

The City is requesting approval from the Design Review Board for the proposed Lincoln Court Pedestrian Bridge. The pedestrian bridge is part of the West Avenue Bridge Project. Under direction of the City Commission, and to improve the general safety of pedestrians, the City proposes to construct a pedestrian bridge that will provide connectivity between the West Avenue and Sunset Harbor neighborhoods across the Collins Canal.

The proposed pedestrian bridge is 65 feet long and spans across Collins Canal from the end of Lincoln Court to Dade Boulevard, across from the Purdy Avenue intersection. It is a basic, single span aluminum bridge with wood decking and approach ramps that are compliant with the American Disabilities Act (ADA). The eight-foot wide bridge is edged by aluminum railings that are enhanced with white, powder coat aluminum panels perforated with a "Venetian Bubble" pattern. The metal panel design was chosen at a community public meeting on May 03, 2018, where 50 participants of the community where in attendance. With community representation that included West Avenue residents, West Avenue Neighborhood Association (WAvNA) members, Sunset Harbor residents, Sunset Harbor Neighborhood Association (SHNA) members and Commissioner Mark Samuelian, attendees reviewed five panel design options for the enhancement of the bridge rails and chose the proposed bubble, metal panel design to enhance the pedestrian bridge.

The proposed pedestrian bridge crosses over the Collins Canal and with ramps and landings, grounds and connects to the sidewalks at Dade Boulevard and Lincoln Court. As a means of mitigating the higher elevation of the bridge with the existing sidewalk elevations, ramps are introduced into the design. Whereas the ramp connecting the pedestrian bridge to Dade Boulevard is short and inconspicuous, the Lincoln Court ramp is long and circuitous. As a result, the ramps become strong visual elements of the pedestrian bridge design. Staff recommends the rails to each ramp be designed as one long, continuous rail with the accessibility rail returning to the ground. Furthermore, staff recommends that landscaping, in the form of ground cover and shrubs, be incorporated along the ramps to soften the visual impact, as well as to enhance the adorned pedestrian bridge.

The proposed Lincoln Court Pedestrian Bridge will provide improved pedestrian facilities between the Sunset Harbor neighborhood and the West Avenue neighborhood. Additionally, the ease of access between the two neighborhoods will enhance the City's residents experience by linking local businesses, parks and establishments. Staff recommends that the design of the pedestrian bridge be approved.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/JGM/FSC

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB18\10-02-2018\OCT 18 Staff Recommendations\DRB18-0313 1670 Lincoln Ct ROW.OCT18.docx

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

October 02, 2018

FILE NO:

DRB18-0313

PROPERTY:

Pedestrian Bridge - 1607 Lincoln Court

APPLICANT:

The City of Miami Beach

IN RE:

The Application for Design Review Approval for the installation of a new pedestrian bridge over Collins Canal and ramps in the rights-of-way.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Design Review Criteria in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The final design details of the proposed bridge and walkways, including materials, finishes, dimensions, and type, shall be submitted in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. If applicable, the final design and details of associated exterior lighting shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- c. All exterior handrails along the walkways and ramps outside of the bridge shall be a continuous railing design. All exterior handrails and support posts shall incorporate a flat profile. The final design details, dimensions material and color of all exterior handrails shall be made part of the building permit plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- d. The final exterior surface color scheme, including color samples, shall be subject to the review and approval of staff and shall require a separate permit.
- e. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- f. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
- 1. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding plans shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plans shall comply with Chapter 26-Landscape Requirements of the Miami Beach Code and shall incorporate the following:
 - Native ground cover and shrub plant species shall be included in landscape design along pedestrian ramps and landings, to be review and approved by staff.
 - b. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
 - c. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site.
 - d. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff. A tree care and watering plan also prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permit. Subsequent to any approved relocation, a monthly report prepared by a Certified Arborist shall

be provided to staff describing the overall tree performance and adjustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure survivability, such report shall continue for a period of 18 months unless determined otherwise by staff.

- e. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- f. The architect shall substantially increase the amount of native canopy shade trees within the site, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- g. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- h. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- i. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventers and all other related devices and fixtures. The location of backflow preventers, Siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- j. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms. The location of any exterior transformers and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the City Administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and II. Variances' noted above.

- A. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- B. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- C. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- D. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I,II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Lincoln Court Pedestrian Bridge" by Keith and Schnars, P.A., dated August 24, 2018.and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting

of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.
Dated this day of , 20
DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
BY: JAMES G. MURPHY CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of 20 by James G. Murphy, Chief of Urban Design, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.
NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office: ()
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review Board on (

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB18\10-02-2018\OCT 18 Final Orders\DRFT DRB18-0313 1670 Lincoln Ct.OCT18.FO.docx