## Attachment

## Mobility Fee MIAMIBEACH

## General Mobility Fee and Plan Elements

- Authorized Florida House Bill 319 - "Community Planning Act"
- Replace Transportation Concurrency and Concurrency Fees.
- Review Existing Multi-Modal Criteria.
- Review Adopted 2016 Transportation Master Plan
- Evaluate future traffic demands based on land uses or travel demand model.
- Identify planned Multi-Modal Projects with cost.
- Calculate land use impact.
- Calculate Mobility Fee Rate.
- Create Mobility Fee as a one-time "pay \& go" mitigation strategy.


## Municipalities with Mobility Fees

CITIES
1 Altamonte Springs Mobility Fee2 Boca Raton Planned MobilityDevelopments
3 Destin Multimodal Transportation District (MMTD)
4 Gainesville Transportation Mobility Program*
5 Jacksonville Mobility Fee
6 Jocksonville Beoch Mobility Fee*
7 Kissimmee Mobility Fee*
8 Maitland Mobility Fee*
9 Miami Lakes Mobility Fee
10 Orlando Multimodal Transportation Impact Fee
11 Ormond Beach Mobility Fee
12 Panama City Mobility Fee
13 Plant Cify Transportation Mobility Fee*
14 Sarasota Multimodal Fee
15 Tampa Multimodal Impact Fee
16 Tarpon Springs MMTD

## General Mobility Fee Benefits

- Promotes local trips over regional trips by charging more for longer trips
- Eliminate Concurrency Fees \& Uncertainty in Calculation
- Proposal relates fee to BTR Categories for Transparency
- One-Time Transparent Mitigation Strategy
- Proposed Unified Fee District for Increased Flexibility
- Allows for Funds to be spent on:
- Sidewalks \& Trails
- Bike Lanes
- Transit Capital
- Roadway Improvements
- Anticipated to cover 13.5\% of Priority I, II, and III Projects in Transportation Master Plan Projects $(\$ 121,795,400)$ - majority of balance is anticipated to be covered by County, federal and state funding
- Goes hand-in-hand with providing mobility in-light of reduced parking requirements and single-occupancy vehicle use.


## Current Concurrency Fee

- Concurrency Fee is based on Trips generated by new development or change of use.
- Credit is provided for Trips generated by previous use
- Fee is based on the City of Miami Beach 1999 Municipal Mobility Plan
- If Mobility Fee is not updated, the Concurrency Fee must be updated since the 1999 Plan has been replaced by the 2016 Transportation Master Plan.


## Current Concurrency Fee

- Set by the City Commission on April 12, 2000 (Resolution No. 2000-23874)
- North Beach - \$1,841.54 / Trip
- Middle Beach - \$2,783.30 / Trip
- South Beach - \$2,015.16 / Trip
- Contains NO Consumer Price Index (CPI) Adjustment
- Trips are calculated pursuant to the Institute of Traffic Engineers - Trip Generation Handbook.


## 2016 Transportation Master Plan

- Based on 2015 adopted mode share goals:
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- Adopted in April 2016.
- Identifies multi-modal improvements citywide.
- Prioritizes throughput of people rather than cars.
- Miami Beach was the second community in the nation to utilize this approach.
- See the next slide for how this concept applies to Washington Avenue.


Approximately Z, 이 people walk on this roadway at a typical location. Sidewalks widths in both directions range from

Bus routes utilizing this roadway carry as many as $7,5 \mathrm{CD}$ peaple daily. Buses currently share roadway with personal vehicles.

As much as $31, \angle ० \mathrm{Cl}$ people drive their personal vehicles on this roadway daily. Two general use lanes in each direction of the roadway.

Approximately 2 O people bike on this roadway at a typical location. There are no dedicated bicycle lanes on this roadway, bicyclist share roadway with personal vehicles.

| Existing Person Throughput per Lane |  |  |  | Potential Persan Throughput per Lane |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AADT | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Persons/Da } \\ y \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | \# of GULanes | Persons/Lane/Da $y$ | \# of Dedicated Lanes | Vehicle Capacity | Vehicles/Hour | Persons/Lane/Day |
| 25,500 | 30,600 | 4 | 7,650 | 1 | 75 | 20 | 15,000 |

## Proposed Mobility Fee



## Concurrency Fee vs. Mobility Fee Comparison of Like Uses

|  | Current Transportation Concurrency Fees (Set in 2001) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | Units | South Beach | Mid Beach | North Beach |  | Average |  | age IF CPI <br> ease had <br> n applied <br> ce 2001 | Proposed Mobility Fee |
| Single Family Homes <3,500 SF | Unit | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 1,771.00 |
| Single Family Homes >3,000 SF<7,000 SF | Unit | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 2,358.00 |
| Single Family Homes >7,000 SF | Unit | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 2,949.00 |
| Multifamily Residential | Unit | \$ 864.86 | \$ 1,193.91 | \$ 789.79 | \$ | 949.52 | \$ | 1,314.10 | \$ 1,452.00 |
| Hotel | Room | \$ 820.51 | \$ 1,132.68 | \$ 749.29 | \$ | 900.83 | \$ | 1,246.71 | \$ 1,649.00 |
| Restaurant | Seats | \$ 454.61 | \$ 627.57 | \$ 415.15 | \$ | 499.11 | \$ | 690.75 | \$ 841.00 |
| Retail | SF | \$ 5.57 | \$ 7.68 | \$ 5.08 | \$ | 6.11 | \$ | 8.46 | \$ 9.69 |
| General Office | SF | \$ 1.65 | \$ 2.28 | \$ 1.51 | \$ | 1.81 | \$ | 2.51 | \$ 3.20 |
| Medical Office | SF | \$ 4.73 | \$ 6.54 | \$ 4.32 | \$ | 5.20 | \$ | 7.19 | \$ 7.29 |
| Storage Warehouse | SF | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.29 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.48 | \$ 0.45 |
| Supermarket | SF | \$ 9.28 | \$ 12.81 | \$ 8.48 | \$ | 10.19 | \$ | 14.10 | \$ 15.69 |
| Bar | SF | \$ 17.18 | \$ 23.71 | \$ 15.68 | \$ | 18.86 | \$ | 26.10 | \$ 25.04 |
| College | SF | \$ 2.93 | \$ 4.04 | \$ 2.67 | \$ | 3.21 | \$ | 4.45 | 3.20 |
| Health Club | SF | \$ 4.50 | \$ 6.21 | \$ 4.11 | \$ | 4.94 | \$ | 6.84 | \$ 4.35 |
| Day Care | SF | \$ 15.25 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 13.92 | \$ | 16.74 | \$ | 23.17 | \$ 3.70 |
| ALF | Bed | \$ 410.26 | \$ 566.34 | \$ 374.64 | \$ | 450.41 | \$ | 623.36 | \$ 709.00 |
| Gas Station | Pump | \$ 16,099.78 | \$ 22,225.04 | \$ 14,702.23 | \$ | 17,675.68 | \$ | 24,462.51 | \$ 6,147.00 |
| Synagogue | SF | \$ 1.87 | \$ 2.59 | \$ 1.71 | \$ | 2.06 | \$ | 2.85 | \$ 1.70 |
| Museum | SF | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.18 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ 2.00 |
| Auto Care | SF | \$ 3.89 | \$ 5.37 | \$ 3.55 | \$ | 4.27 | \$ | 5.91 | \$ 6.00 |

## Sample Calculation

- 5,383 SF of Retail to 218 Seat Restaurant on 41 Street
- Current Concurrency Fee:
- New Use: 218 Seat Restaurant @ 0.41 PH Trips/Seat = 89.38 PH Trips
- Current Use: 5,383 SF Retail @ 5.02 PH Trips/1,000 SF = 27.02 PH Trips
- Additional Trips: 89.38 PH Trips - 27.02 PH Trips = 62.36 PH Trips
- Reduction for Proximity to Transit = 15\%
- Reduction for Pass-By Trips = 30\%
- Total Reduction = 45\%
- Net New PH Trips Generated $=34.30$ PH Trips
- Total Fee: 34.30 PH Trips x \$2,783/Trip = \$95,447.26
- Total Fee IF there were CPI Adjustments: $\$ 95,447.26+38.40 \%$ (CPI since 2001) $=\$ 132,095.56$
- Proposed Mobility Fee:
- New Use: 218 Seat Restaurant @ \$841/Seat = \$183,338.00
- Existing Use: 5,383 SF Retail @ \$9.69/SF = \$52,161.27
- Total Fee: $\$ 183,338.00-\$ 52,161.27=\$ 131,176.73$

