MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: July 5, 2016

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB0416-0022 **2372 Alton Road**

The applicant, 2372 Miami Beach LLC, is requesting Design Review Approval for façade modifications and new two-story additions to an existing pre-1942 architecturally significant two-story home.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval with conditions

BACKGROUND:

A building permit for the proposed alterations was applied for on March 28, 2016. The Planning Department reviewed the permit on April 5, 2016 and comments issued identifying that the proposed modifications to the existing pre-1942 architecturally significant home would require review and approval from the Design Review Board.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Lot 8 of Block 13 of the Amended Plat of Sunset Lakes Subdivision, according to Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 8, Page 52 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

SITE DATA:

Zoning: Future Land Use: RS-4

l of Sizo:

RS

Lot Size:

7,305 SF

Lot Coverage:

Existing:

2651 SF/ 36.3%*

Proposed:

2,420 SF/ 33.1%

Maximum:

2,191.5 SF / 30%

*Existing non-conforming lot coverage

Unit size:

Existing:

1,598 SF / 19.3%

Proposed:

3,635.75 SF /49.8% 3,652.5 SF / **50%**

Maximum: 3
2nd Floor Volume to 1st:

95%*

*DRB WAIVER

Height:

Proposed:

24'-0" flat roof

Maximum:

24'-0" flat roof

Grade: +3.72' NGVD

Flood: +8.00' NGVD Difference: 2.14' NGVD

Adjusted Grade: +5.86' NGVD

Finish Floor Elevation: +5.60' NGVD

EXISTING STRUCTURE:

Year Constructed:

1923

Architect:

OJ Williams

Vacant:

INO

Demolition Proposed: Partial

Surrounding Properties:

East: Golf Course

North: Two-story 1925 residence South: Two-story 1940 residence West: Two-story 1929 residence

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Addition and Remodel for: 2372 Miami Beach LLC", as prepared by **Modis Architects** dated, signed and sealed May 13, 2016.

The applicant is proposing to modify the exterior façade of a pre-1942 architecturally significant home.

The applicant is requesting the following design waiver(s):

1. The second floor's physical volume exceeds 70% of the first floor in accordance with Section 142-105(b)(4)(c).

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the City Code:

 For two story homes with an overall lot coverage of 25% or greater, the physical volume of the second floor shall not exceed 70% of the first floor of the main home, exclusive of any enclosed required parking area and exception from this provision may be granted <u>through DRB approval</u> in accordance with the applicable design review criteria.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
 Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
- The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Not Satisfied; the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is permissible and would require the Design Review Board to waive the size.

- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

 Not Satisfied; the material palette chosen has not been properly identified and will need to be provided for review.
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

 Not Satisfied; the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is permissible and would require the Design Review Board to waive the size.
- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

 Not Satisfied; the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is permissible and would require the Design Review Board to waive the size.
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

 Satisfied
- 8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Not Satisfied; a segregated pedestrian entrance has not been provided.

- Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.
 Satisfied
- 10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

 Satisfied

- 11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

 Satisfied
- 12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

 Not Satisfied: the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is
 - Not Satisfied; the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is permissible and would require the Design Review Board to waive the size.
- 13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

 Satisfied
- 14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.
 Satisfied
- 15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

 Not Satisfied; the physical volume of the second floor exceeds what is permissible and would require the Design Review Board to waive the size.
- 16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

 Satisfied
- 17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

 Not Applicable

STAFF ANALYSIS:

DESIGN REVIEW

The applicant is requesting Design Review Approval for façade modifications to an existing pre-1942 architecturally significant two-story home. The subject property contains a Mediterranean Revival structure built in 1923 and as indicated on the building card built with the 580th issued building permit on record.

Substantial renovations and investments have been made both to the interior and exterior of the building and the owner of the property no longer wishes to maintain the exterior Mediterranean-Revival style of the architecture. With the removal of the original 1923 features of the structure, the architecture of the home will be dramatically altered, thus requiring the review and approval of the Design Review Board. This is similar in scope to the neighboring property to the north, which in February of 2015 obtained DRB approval for substantial alterations to the exterior of a Pueblo-Revival style architecture in order to transform the 1923 structure into a contemporaery style of residential architecture, pursuant to DRB File No. 23110 for 2382 Alton Road.

The existing footprint of the residence contains a non-conforming lot coverage of 36.3% for two-story structures. The remodeling and additions will lessen the lot coverage down to 33.1%. The total unit size will be under the maximum zoning allowance. The one-story structure in the rear of the property is also being retained. This structure contains approximately 850 SF of interior space. If that amount is subtracted from the total coverage number, the balance would be 1,574SF. This demonstrates an alternative lot coverage of 21% for the principal structure and reveals that the proposed additions to the home result in a relatively small footprint. The Code permits two-story homes with an overall lot coverage of less than 25% to have the physical volume of the second floor that exceed 70% of the first floor of the main home. Based on the analysis above, staff is supportive of the requested 70% waiver.

Staff's primary design concerns pertain to both north and south side elevations of the principal structure. As proposed both elevations feature a stark windowless façade. Staff would recommend that the applicant further refine both elevations and incorporate additional fenestration, changes in plane and or additinoal architectural projections. Staff recommends that the design of the replacement home be approved with the modifications suggested herein.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** and the design be approved subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/JGM/LC

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB16\07-05-2016\JUL16 Staff Reports\DRB0416-0022 2372 Alton Rd.JUL16.doc

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

July 5, 2016

FILE NO:

DRB0416-0022

PROPERTY:

2372 Alton Road

APPLICANT:

2372 Miami Beach LLC

LEGAL:

Lot 8 of Block 13 of the Amended Plat of Sunset Lakes Subdivision, according to Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 8, Page 52 of the Public Bookers of Minmi Dada County Florida

Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The Application for Design Review Approval for façade modifications and new two-story additions to an existing pre-1942 architecturally significant

two-story home.

<u>ORDER</u>

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 3-6, 8, 12 and 15 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 if the following conditions are met:
 - Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings for the proposed new home at 2372 Alton Road shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The 70% limitation for the second floor volume <u>shall</u> be waived as proposed.
 - b. The principal structure's height shall be measured from flood elevation. The accessory structure (gazebo) shall be measured from adjusted grade.

- b. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site
- c. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained, and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff. A tree care and watering plan also prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be submitted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit or Tree Removal/Relocation Permit. Subsequent to any approved relocation, a monthly report prepared by a Certified Arborist shall be provided to staff describing the overall tree performance and adjustments to the maintenance plan in order to ensure survivability, such report shall continue for a period of 18 months unless determined otherwise by staff.
- d. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- e. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in conflict with existing utilities, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works **Department**.
- f. Any existing plant material within the public right-of-way may be required to be removed, as the discretion of the Public Works Department.
- g. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- h. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- i. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow preventors and all other related devices and fixtures. The location of backflow preventors, Siamese pipes or other related devices and fixtures, if any, and how they are screened with landscape material from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- j. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms. The location of any exterior transformers and how they are screened with landscape material from the right of wall shall be clearly indicated on the

site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.

k. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the City Administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the City Commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the Commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and II. Variances' noted above.

- A. During Construction of the new home, the Applicant will maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles existing and entering the site and with an eight foot (8'-0") high fence with a wind resistant green mesh material along the front of the property line. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way. All construction vehicles shall either park on the private property or at alternate overflow parking sites with a shuttle service to and from the property. The Applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
- B. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- C. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- D. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- E. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy, a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.

- F. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- G. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- H. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Addition and Remodel for: 2372 Miami Beach LLC", as prepared by **Modis Architects** dated, signed and sealed May 13, 2016, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20		
DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEAG			-	
	E	BY: DEBORAH J. TACKETT DESIGN AND PRESERV FOR THE CHAIR		
STATE OF FLORIDA)			
Planning Department,	ent was acknow 20 by City of Miami Bo	ledged before me this y Deborah J. Tackett, Design each, Florida, a Florida Muni	n and Preservation Ma	anager, behalf
of the Corporation. He	is personally kr	own to me.		
		NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Flori My commission expires:_		-
Approved As To Form City Attorney's Office:		()	
Filed with the Clerk of	the Design Revi	ew Board on	()

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB16\07-05-2016\JUL16 Final Orders\DRFT DRB0416-0022 2372 Alton Rd.JUL16.fo.docx