MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

DATE: July 10, 2018

TO:

Chairperson and Members

Historic Preservation Board

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AICI

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

HPB18-0207, 1500 Collins Avenue and 1455 Washington Avenue - Axel

Hotel.

The applicant, Inverama USA Corp., is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for modifications to the front yard along Washington Avenue and modifications to the lobby bar of 1500 Collins Avenue including a variance to exceed the maximum number of building identification signs allowed facing

Collins Avenue.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness and variance with conditions

EXISTING STRUCTURES

Local Historic District: Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue

1500 Collins Avenue - Haddon Hotel

Status: Contributing

Original Construction Date: 1941

Original Architect: L. Murray Dixon

1500 Collins Avenue – accessory pool structure

Status: Non-Contributing

Original Construction Date: 1975
Original Architect: Unknown
Renovation Construction Date: 2015
Renovation Architect: Stantec

Local Historic District: Flamingo Park

1455 Washington Avenue - Campton Apartments

Status: Contributing

Original Construction Date: 1940

Original Architect: Henry Hohauser

SITE DATA

Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2 and st lying north and adjacent & Lots 3, 4,

and 5 of Block 76 and south $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 19 & all of Lot 20 less the west 100 feet & st lying and adjacent, Block 57, of Fisher's First Subdivision of Alton Beach, according to the plat thereof as recorded in plat book 2, at page 77 of the

public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

Zoning: MXE, Mixed Use Entertainment & CD-2, Commercial,

Medium Intensity

Future Land Use Designation: MXE, Mixed Use Entertainment & CD-2, Commercial,

Medium Intensity

Lot Size: 60,445 S.F. / 2.0 Max FAR Existing FAR: 63,992 S.F. / 1.05 FAR

Proposed FAR: no change

Existing Use/Condition: Hotel and restaurant Proposed Use: Hotel and restaurant

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "AxelBeach – Miami" as prepared by TSAO DesignGroup, dated May 4, 2018.

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

- 1. A variance to exceed the maximum one building identification sign per street frontage in order to install two (2) building identification signs facing Collins Avenue.
 - Variance requested from:

Sec. 138-16. Wall sign.

Wall signs are signs attached to, and erected parallel to, the face of, or erected or painted on the outside wall of a building and supported throughout its length by such wall or building and not extending more than 12 inches from the building wall. Such signs shall be governed by the following chart:

Supplemental standard, Wall Sign Design Standards per District, MXE: Hotels, apartments-hotels, and commercial buildings two stories or higher may be permitted one building identification sign above the roofline, with an area not to exceed one percent of the wall area on which it is placed The placement and design of the sign shall be subject to approval through the design review process

Two building identification signs are proposed at the top of the building facing Collins Avenue. Each sign complies with the maximum 1% area of the wall on which it is located. However, only one of these types of sign is allowed per street frontage. The proposed signs accentuate the symmetry of the building, as they are located on the two facades closer to the street. The proposed location is compatible with the building architecture and mass and specifically the ushape configuration of the building. Additional signs located at the ground level could also be allowed on the property; however, they would have a more negative impact on the building's architecture in addition to limited visibility due to the existing landscape. Staff would note that signs located at the highest architectural element of buildings are common in the historic district.

Staff finds that the existing contributing building, its shape and the existing site conditions create practical difficulties that result in the need for the sign variance. Based on this analysis, staff has no objections to the approval of the variance requested.

PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY AND HARDSHIP CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that staff has concluded satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts.

Additionally, staff has concluded that the plans and documents with the application partially satisfy the following hardship criteria, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

- That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;
- That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant
 of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the
 terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the
 applicant;
- That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose
 of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or
 otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and
- That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

The application as submitted appears to be consistent with the requirements of the City Code. This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **hotel use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
 Satisfied
 - Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
 Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.

Satisfied

b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

Satisfied

c. Texture and material and color.

Satisfied

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. **Satisfied**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.

Satisfied

f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

Satisfied

g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

Satisfied

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project. **Satisfied**
 - c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

Satisfied

d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Satisfied

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Not Satisfied

A lighting plan has not been submitted.

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Satisfied

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

I. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Satisfied

n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Satisfied

 The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided. **Not Applicable**
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.

Not Applicable

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

Not Applicable

- (4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.

 Satisfied
- (5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.

 Not Applicable
- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.

 Not Applicable
- (7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.

 Not Applicable
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.

 Not Applicable
- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code. Not Applicable
- (10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided.

 Not Applicable

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of

Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing Haddon Hall Hotel is designated as a Contributing structure within the Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue Local Historic District; the Campton Apartments is designated as a Contributing structure within the Flamingo Park Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The existing structures would be difficult and inordinately expensive to reproduce.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The subject structures are distinctive examples of the Streamline Modern and Art Deco design styles which contributes to the character of the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

Satisfied

The subject structures are designated as Contributing buildings in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of the subject structures is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating

Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Applicable

The applicant is not proposing to totally demolish the building.

h. The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff would preface this analysis by noting that in 2014 the Historic Preservation Board reviewed and approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (HPB 7390) for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing 3-story Haddon Hall hotel, the partial demolition and renovation of an existing 2-story non-contributing structure, including a 1-story rooftop addition and the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing 2-story Campton Apartments building. The three structures have operated as a single hotel development since late 2015. As part of a hotel rebranding, the applicant is requesting approval for limited modifications throughout the hotel complex.

Washington Avenue front yard

The applicant is requesting approval of a new design for the front yard of the Campton Apartments building. Constructed in 1940 and designed by Henry Hohauser in the Art Deco style of architecture, the building is set back approximately 50'-0" from the Washington Avenue property line. As a part of the 2014 application, the Board approved the modifications within this area including the introduction of a new outdoor bar counter, feature lighting and new paving including areas for seating and passive recreation games. The currently proposed design includes the removal of the existing bar counter and paving and the introduction of a new bar counter located beneath the existing large Strangler Fig tree and new hardscape. The new deck will be raised slightly off the ground to protect the tree roots. Additionally, a portion of the deck is proposed to be illuminated. Staff is supportive of the recommendations outlined in the arborist's report provided by the applicant which will insure the protection of this specimen tree. Additionally, the landscape plan has been developed in a manner which maintains an appropriate amount of transparency into the site. Staff has no objection to the currently proposed design for this area which will have no adverse impact of the existing Contributing building or the surrounding historic districts and should enhance the existing pedestrian experience along this portion of Washington Avenue.

Haddon Hall Hotel lobby

The Haddon Hall Hotel building was constructed in 1941 and designed by L. Murray Dixon in the Streamline Moderne style of architecture. The hotel lobby was restored as part of the previously approved project, including the terrazzo flooring, ceiling moldings with cove lighting and the reception desk. The applicant is proposing to introduce a new opening with sliding glass door along the north wall of the lobby which leads into the original lounge room. Additionally, the existing swing doors in the existing opening on the adjacent wall are also proposed to be replaced with a sliding glass door. Staff has no objection to the removal of a portion of the wall in order to introduce an additional opening and the new doors as these modifications could be easily reversed in the future.

VARIANCE ANALYSIS

New signs fronting Collins Avenue are proposed as part of the new improvements to the site. The existing historic "Haddon Hall Hotel" sign at the ground level will remain. However, this sign is considerably setback from the street due to the buildings configuration that restricts its visibility for customers traveling on Collins Avenue. The proposed signs at the top of the building are compatible with the building's architecture and mass and would not require a variance if located at the ground level. However, as building identification sign, only one sign can be placed at the top of the building. A variance is required to install more than one sign at the proposed location. The configuration and architecture of the existing contributing building creates practical difficulties that justify the need for this variance. As the size, location and number of signs proposed comply with the intent of the sign ordinance and are not detrimental to the Historic District, staff recommends approval of the variance.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the following conditions, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria and Practical Difficulty and Hardship criteria, as applicable.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: July 10, 2018

FILE NO:

HPB18-0207

PROPERTY:

1500 Collins Avenue and 1455 Washington Avenue

APPLICANT:

Inverama USA Corp.

LEGAL:

Lots 1 and 2 and st lying north and adjacent & Lots 3, 4, and 5 of Block 76 and south ½ of Lot 19 & all of Lot 20 less the west 100 feet & st lying and adjacent, Block 57, of Fisher's First Subdivision of Alton Beach, according to the plat thereof as recorded in plat book 2, at page 77 of the public

records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for modifications to the front yard along Washington Avenue and modifications to the lobby bar of 1500 Collins Avenue including a variance to exceed the maximum number of building identification signs allowed facing Collins Avenue.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue and Flamingo Park Local Historic Districts.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'g' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) & (8) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.

Meeting Date: July 10, 2018

- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s):
 - 1. A variance to exceed the maximum one building identification sign per street frontage in order to install two (2) building identification signs facing Collins Avenue.
- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

Page 3 of 6 HPB18-0207

Meeting Date: July 10, 2018

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

- C. The Board hereby **Approves** the requested variance(s) and imposes the following condition based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
 - 2. The existing 'The Hall' sign facing Collins Avenue shall be removed.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.
 - A. A recycling/salvage plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
 - B. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.

Page 4 of 6 HPB18-0207

Meeting Date: July 10, 2018

- C. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- D. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- E. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- F. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- G. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- H. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- I. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- J. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board, and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "AxelBeach – Miami" as prepared by TSAO DesignGroup, dated May 4, 2018, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all

Page 5 of 6 HPB18-0207

Meeting Date: July 10, 2018

conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	, 20
		HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
		BY: DEBORAH TACKETT CHIEF OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLOR	,	
COUNTY OF MIA)SS .MI-DADE)	
	20_	as acknowledged before me this day of by Deborah Tackett, Chief of Historic Preservation, mi Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf
of the corporation		
		NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:

Meeting Date: July 10, 2018

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: ______()

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on ______()

F:\PLAN\\$HPB\18HPB\07-10-2018\Draft Orders\HPB18-0207_1500 Collins Av.Jul18.FO.DRAFT.docx

Page 6 of 6 HPB18-0207