

MIAMI BEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members
Historic Preservation Board

DATE: January 9, 2018

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director



SUBJECT: HPB17-0161, **1409-1413 Washington Avenue.**

The applicant, Acastar Miami, LLC, is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the substantial demolition of two structures on the site and the construction of a 5-story ground level addition and a detached mechanical parking garage.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions.

EXISTING STRUCTURES

Local Historic District: Flamingo Park

1409 Washington Avenue

Status: Contributing
Original Construction Date: 1935
Original Architect: V. H. Nellenbogen

1413 Washington Avenue

Status: Contributing
Original Construction Date: 1936
Original Architect: E. L. Robertson

ZONING / SITE DATA

Legal Description: Lots 12 & 13, Block 26 of Ocean Beach Addition No. 2, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 56 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

Zoning:	CD-2, Commercial medium intensity
Future Land Use Designation:	CD-2, Commercial medium intensity
Lot Size:	13,000 S.F. / 2.0 Max FAR
Existing FAR:	10,698 S.F. / 1.64 FAR
Proposed FAR:	12,928 S.F. / 1.98 FAR
Existing Height:	~37'-0" / 3 stories
Proposed Height:	~48'-1" / 4 stories
Existing Use/Condition:	Residential with ground floor retail & Commercial
Proposed Use:	Hotel and restaurant

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled “1409-1413 Washington Avenue Addition and Renovation” as prepared by DN'A Design and Architecture, dated October 27, 2017.

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the substantial demolition, renovation and restoration of the existing structure and the construction of a new 4-story ground level addition including variances to reduce the required interior side and sum of the side yard setbacks, as part of a new hotel development.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

The application, as submitted, appears to be consistent with the applicable requirements of the City Code, with the exception of the variance(s) requests herein. This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **hotel use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
Satisfied
 - b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.
Satisfied
- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.
Satisfied
 - b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.
Satisfied
 - c. Texture and material and color.
Satisfied

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district.
Satisfied
 - e. The purpose for which the district was created.
Satisfied
 - f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.
Satisfied
 - g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.
Satisfied
 - h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.
Satisfied
- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
- a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
Satisfied
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
Satisfied
 - c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.
Not Satisfied
The bougainvillea landscape material proposed to be planted immediately adjacent to the highly ornamented keystone parapet is likely to grow in a manner which will substantially obscure this highly significant feature of the building and may result in the damage or deterioration of the original material.
 - d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the

appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Satisfied

- e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district, contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

- f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

- g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

- h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Not Satisfied

The bougainvillea landscape material proposed to be planted immediately adjacent to the highly ornamented keystone parapet is likely to grow in a manner which will substantially obscure this highly significant feature of the building and may result in the damage or deterioration of the original material.

- i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

- j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

- k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for

residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

- l. All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Satisfied

- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

Satisfied

- o. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing structures are designated as part of the Flamingo Park Local Historic District; both buildings are classified as 'Contributing' structures in the historic district.

- b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The existing structures would be difficult and inordinately expensive to reproduce.

- c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The subject structures are some of the last remaining examples of their kind and are distinctive examples of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

- d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

Satisfied

The subject structures are classified as 'Contributing' buildings in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

- e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture, and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of these structures is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

- f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

- g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Not Applicable

The applicant is not proposing total demolition of the existing either 'Contributing' building.

- h. The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami-Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of any part of the subject buildings.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
Not Satisfied
A recycling or salvage plan has not been provided.
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
Satisfied
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
Satisfied
- (4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.
Satisfied
- (5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.
Satisfied
- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.
Satisfied
- (7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.
Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.
Not Satisfied
The ground level commercial space is not proposed to be raised above required Base Flood Elevation.
- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.
Satisfied
- (10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided.
Satisfied

STAFF ANALYSIS

The subject property contains two Contributing buildings which are excellent examples of early commercial development in Miami Beach during the 1930s. The building located at 1409 Washington Avenue was constructed in 1935 and designed by Victor H. Nellenbogen in the Art Deco style of architecture. The 3-story building, originally known as the "Reef Apartment Hotel", contains a commercial space on the ground level and apartment units on the upper two floors. The majority of the original architecturally significant features remain intact including the stainless steel marquee and storefront window system with rounded corners at the ground level and the decorative bas relief panels, corner windows, horizontal scoring and decorative cornice of the upper façade. Additionally, the building retains the original ground level terrazzo floor which features a five color medallion of a rooster denoting the original occupant of the space, "Chicken Jack's" restaurant.

The building located at 1413 Washington Avenue was constructed in 1936 and designed by E.L. Robertson in the Art Deco style of architecture. Nearly all of the significant architectural features of this 1-story structure are located on the front façade, including the original natural keystone cladding with delicately carved details and rectangular clerestory windows. The lower half of the front façade consists of three storefronts of equal size separated by concave fluted pilasters cladded with green marble. Unlike 1409 Washington Avenue, the interior of this building has been substantially altered over the years and retains no significant original architectural features.

The proposed redevelopment project consists of 50 hotel units, a 129 seat restaurant, a mechanical parking garage and active roof decks. In order to construct the new 5-story ground level addition, the applicant is proposing to demolish approximately 60% of the rear portion of the building located at 1409 Washington Avenue, including the entire 1-story rear addition which was constructed in 1978. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to demolish approximately 70% of the rear of the building located at 1413 Washington Avenue. Staff would note that while the amount of demolition is extensive, all significant architectural features located on the primary façades of both buildings are proposed to be retained and restored. Further, the majority of the original public interior space located within 1409 Washington is proposed to be restored and converted into the hotel lobby. Further, the portions of the buildings proposed to be demolished contain little to no significant architectural details.

The amount of demolition proposed exceeds the thresholds for the repair and/or rehabilitation of non-conforming buildings and the structure would not be permitted to retain the existing non-conformances. However, if the Board finds that the project satisfies the criteria for the retention and restoration of the two 'Contributing' buildings, as outlined in Section 118-395 of the City Code below, a waiver can be granted without the need for variances.

Sec. 118-395. - Repair and/or rehabilitation of nonconforming buildings and uses.

- * * *
- (b) *Nonconforming buildings.*
- * * *
- (2) Nonconforming buildings which are repaired or rehabilitated by more than 50 percent of the value of the building as determined by the building official shall be subject to the following conditions:
- * * *
- d. Development regulations for buildings located within a designated historic district or for an historic site:

1. The existing structure's floor area, height, setbacks and any existing parking credits may remain, if the following portions of the building remain substantially intact, and are retained, preserved and restored:
 - i. At least 75 percent of the front and street side facades;
 - ii. At least 75 percent of the original first floor slab;
 - iii. For structures that are set back two or more feet from interior side property lines, at least 66 percent of the remaining interior side walls; and
 - iv. All architecturally significant public interiors.
2. For the replication or restoration of contributing buildings, but not for noncontributing buildings, the historic preservation board may, at their discretion, waive the requirements of subsection(b)(2)d.1. above, and allow for the retention of the existing structure's floor area, height, setbacks or parking credits, if at least one of the following criteria is satisfied, as determined by the historic preservation board:
 - i. The structure is architecturally significant in terms of design, scale, or massing;
 - ii. The structure embodies a distinctive style that is unique to Miami Beach or the historic district in which it is located;
 - iii. The structure is associated with the life or events of significant persons in the City;
 - iv. The structure represents the outstanding work of a master designer, architect or builder who contributed to our historical, aesthetic or architectural heritage;
 - v. The structure has yielded or is likely to yield information important in prehistory or history; or
 - vi. The structure is listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Staff has found that Criteria i., ii., iv., v. & vi, above are satisfied.

Staff commends the applicant on the quality of the restoration work proposed for both buildings, which is consistent with available historical documentation and is highly supportive of the proposed new addition which has been developed in a manner that is compatible with the existing architecture. Staff has only one concern relative to the proposed second level roof terrace above 1413 Washington Avenue. Specifically, staff believes that the bougainvillea landscape material proposed to be planted immediately adjacent to the highly ornamented keystone parapet, is likely to grow in a manner that will substantially obscure this highly significant feature of the building and may result in the damage or deterioration of the original material. As such, staff would recommend the bougainvillea not be permitted in this location, and that any landscape material proposed to be introduced adjacent to the parapet be of a type that does not naturally grow more than 36" at maturity. Staff is confident that the above noted concern can be addressed administratively, as indicated in the recommendation for approval below.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved** subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: January 9, 2018

FILE NO: HPB17-0161

PROPERTY: 1409-1413 Washington Avenue

APPLICANT: Acastar Miami, LLC

LEGAL: Lots 12 & 13, Block 26 of Ocean Beach Addition No. 2, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 56 of the public records of Miami Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of two buildings on the site and the construction of a 2-story ground level addition and a variance to reduce the minimum required unit size.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Flamingo Park Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'c' & 'h' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) & (8) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 if the following conditions are met:

1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
2. In accordance with Section 118-395(b)(2) of the City Code, the requirement pertaining to an existing structure's setbacks and parking credits, is hereby waived.
3. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The bougainvillea proposed to be planted at the second level terrace adjacent to the eastern parapet wall of 1413 Washington Avenue shall be removed and replaced with a landscape material that does not naturally grow more than 36" at maturity, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. The A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant has not request any variances as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. All new construction over 7,000 square feet or ground floor additions (whether attached or detached) to existing structures that encompass over 10,000 square feet of additional floor area shall be required to be, at a minimum, certified as **LEED Gold by USGBC**. In lieu of achieving LEED Gold certification, properties can elect to pay a sustainability fee,

pursuant to Chapter 133 of the City Code. This fee is set as a percentage of the cost of construction.

- B. A recycling/salvage plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
- C. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- D. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- E. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- F. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- G. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- H. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- I. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- J. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "1409-1413 Washington Avenue Addition and Renovation" as prepared by DN'A Design and Architecture, dated October 27, 2017, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
DEBORAH TACKETT
CHIEF OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, 20____ by Deborah Tackett, Chief of Historic Preservation, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf

Page 5 of 5
HPB17-0161
Meeting Date: January 9, 2018

of the corporation. She is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: _____

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: _____ ()

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on _____ ()

F:\PLAN\HPB\18HPB\01-09-2018\Draft Orders\HPB17-0161_1409-1413 Washington Av.Jan18.FO.DRAFT.docx