# MIAMIBEACH

### PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

**DRB** Chairperson and Members

DATE: October 03, 2017

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AIC

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB17-0169

1420 Alton Road

The applicant, Vicky Bakery, is requesting Design Review Approval for the partial demolition, renovation and exterior façade modifications to a portion of an existing one-story commercial building as part of a new restaurant/bakery interior and exterior build-out.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval with conditions

#### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

Lot 13 of Block 75-A of the "Commercial Subdivision", according to the Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 5, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

#### SITE DATA:

Zoning:

CD-2 Commercial, Medium Intensity District

Future Land Use:

Lot Size:

15,000 SF

CD

Building Size:

12,780 SF

Interior Tenant Space:

2,770 SF

Exterior Tenant Space:

488 SF

#### **SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:**

East: One story commercial and surface parking lot

North: One-story commercial,

**EXISTING STRUCTURE:** Architect: Carl Blohm

Year built: 1938 (one-story)

South: One-story commercial, Bank of America West: Two story commercial "Barton G"

Demolition: Partial

#### THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Proposed Tenant Improvement for Vicky Bakery", as prepared by DTI Design Tech International signed, sealed and dated 07/12/2017.

The applicant is proposing exterior design modifications to a single retail bay of an existing one-story commercial strip mall.

#### CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **commercial use** appears to be consistent with the Future Land Use Map of the 2025 Comprehensive Plan.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be

consistent with the City Code. The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:**

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- 1. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.

  Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

  Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

  Satisfied
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

  Satisfied
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

  Satisfied
- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

  Satisfied
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

  Satisfied

8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

**Satisfied** 

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Satisfied; lighting plans not included

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

Not Satisfied

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

**Not Applicable** 

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

Satisfied

17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied

18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the City Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.

**Not Applicable** 

19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.

Not Satisfied; see below

#### COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

(1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

#### **Not Satisfied**

A recycling plan will be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition permit to the building department.

(2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Satisfied** 

All windows will be replaced with hurricane proof impact windows.

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

#### Satisfied

All windows will be operable.

(4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.

#### **Not Satisfied**

(5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.

#### **Not Satisfied**

(6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.

#### **Not Satisfied**

(7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.

#### Satisfied

(8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.

**Not Satisfied** 

(9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

**Not Satisfied** 

(10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided.

Not Satisfied

#### **STAFF ANALYSIS:**

#### **DESIGN REVIEW**

The applicant is proposing design modifications to the exterior and interior of a single bay of a one-story corner commercial building that contains four retail bays. The applicant is proposing a new bakery in the southernmost retail bay of an existing one-story commercial strip mall. As part of the commercial build-out, exterior design modifications are being proposed that will alter the appearance of the southern retail bay, including the demolition of an existing low wall and storefront system in order to provide a covered and enclosed exterior seating area.

The following summarizes the proposed exterior modifications:

- Removal of a decorative stone wall along the portion of the tenant space at the front of the building;
- removal of a portion of the existing storefront along the portion of the tenant space at the front of the building;
- installation of new planters;
- installation and improvement for exterior 488 SF covered seating area; and
- installation of a new recessed fixed and nana-wall operable storefront system for walk-up counter service.

Staff has no outstanding design concerns and recommends approval of the application as proposed.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/JGM

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\10-03-2017\OCT17 Staff Reports\DRB17-0169 1420 Alton Road.OCT17.doc

# DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: October 03, 2017

FILE NO: DRB17-0169

PROPERTY: 1420 Alton Road—Vicky Bakery

APPLICANT: Vicky Bakery

LEGAL: Lot 13 of Block 75-A of the "Commercial Subdivision", according to the

Plat Thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 6, Page 5, of the Public Records

of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

IN RE: The application for Design Review Approval for the partial demolition,

renovation and exterior façade modifications to a portion of an existing one-story commercial building as part of a new restaurant/bakery interior

and exterior build-out.

#### ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

#### I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 9 and 19 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10 in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code
- D. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings for the proposed modification to 1420 Alton Road shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:

- a. A recycling plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit to the building department, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
- b. The proposed solid aluminum roll down folding door security grille shall not be permitted as proposed. Any roll down security grille shall be substantially transparent through the aluminum mesh or latticework, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. The final color selection of the exterior of the building shall be subject to the review and approval of staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. The color of the entire building must be uniform in color and hue, individual retail bays cannot have varying colors.
- d. The final design and details of all exterior and interior lighting shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Interior lighting shall be designed in a manner to not have an adverse overwhelming impact upon the surrounding area. No florescent or intensive 'white' lighting (or similar intensive lighting) visible from the adjacent public rights or way or adjacent properties shall be permitted.
- e. Final details of all proposed storefront systems and associated details shall be provided for all of the structures on the project sites, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- f. All kitchen ventilation shall be chased through the interior of the building to the roof or to the rear (west) of the building. No exhaust ducts or vents shall be permitted on any building elevations with the exception of the rear (west) elevation.
- g. A fully enclosed, air-conditioned trash room(s) shall be provided, which is sufficient to handle the maximum uses intended for the proposed structure. External dumpsters shall not be permitted.
- h. Any future signage proposed above or onto the existing canopy shall be composed of individual reverse channel aluminum lettering and all electrical conduits and exterior lighting elements shall be hidden from view. No exterior raceways shall be permitted. The proposed logo as part of the signage on the building shall consist of routed out graphics or individual reverse channel components.
- i. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and shall be configured to be as close to the center of the roof above the tenant space as possible and screened from view on all sides, in a manner to be approved by staff
- j. All exterior handrails and support posts shall incorporate a flat profile. The final design details, dimensions material and color of all exterior handrails

- shall be made part of the building permit plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- k. The final design and details, including dimensions, materials, location and planting species, of all exterior movable planters shall be provided, and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff.
- I. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- m. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

#### II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

## III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- B. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- C. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- D. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- E. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- F. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- G. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Proposed Tenant Improvement for Vicky Bakery", as prepared by **DTI Design Tech International** signed, sealed and dated 07/12/2017, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this	day of	20
Dated ints	day of	2(1

	JAMES G. MURPHY CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN FOR THE CHAIR	
	FOR THE CHAIR	
STATE OF FLORIDA )		
)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )		
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day o 20 by James G. Murphy, Chief of Urban Design, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.		
i	NOTARY PUBLIC	
	Miami-Dade County, Florida	
	My commission expires:	
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:	(	
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review	Board on ( )	
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\10-03-2017\OCT17 Final Orders\	DRFT DRB17-01691420 Alton Road.OCT17.FO.docx	