MIAMIBEACH PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO:

DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: September 05, 2017

FROM:

Thomas R. Mooney, AIC

Planning Director

SUBJECT:

DRB17-0164

300 South Pointe Drive - Portofino Tower Amenities Deck

The applicant, Portofino/ South Pointe Master Association, Inc., is requesting Design Review Approval for exterior design modifications to an existing outdoor pool deck level. including new shade structures, landscaping and installation of additional outdoor features.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval with conditions

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A portion of blocks 3, 4, 6 and 7, South Beach Park according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat book 6 at page 77of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County.

SITE DATA:

Zoning:

RPS-4

Future Land Use:

RPS-4

Architect: Sieger Suarez Arch. Partnership

Landscape Architect: EGS2 Landscape

Architecture

Year of Construction: 1997

Existing Green Space: 39,855 SF

Proposed Green Space: 50,000 SF

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:

East: 2-Story Commercial I

North: 2-Story Commercial South: South Pointe Park

West: 22-Story Multi-Family Residential

THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Portofino / South Pointe Master Association", as prepared by **LAND** dated, signed, and sealed June 20, 2017.

The applicant is proposing to renovate the existing amenity level with waterproofing and new landsacping over existing garage.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code. The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed residential use appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the 2025 Comprehensive Plan.

ACCESSIBILITY COMPLIANCE

Additional information will be required for a complete review for compliance with the Florida Building Code 2001 Edition, Section 11 (Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction). These and all accessibility matters shall require final review and verification by the Building Department prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION:

In accordance with Chapter 122 of the Code of the City of Miami Beach, the Transportation and Concurrency Management Division has conducted a preliminary concurrency evaluation and determined that the project does not meet the City's concurrency requirements and level-of-service standards. However, the City's concurrency requirements can be achieved and satisfied through payment of mitigation fees or by entering into an enforceable development agreement with the City. The Transportation and Concurrency Management Division will make the determination of the project's fair-share mitigation cost.

A final concurrency determination shall be conducted prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. Mitigation fees and concurrency administrative costs shall be paid prior to the project receiving any Building Permit. Without exception, all concurrency fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Occupancy.

COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
 Satisfied
- 2. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

 Satisfied
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

 Satisfied
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.

 Satisfied
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as

adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans. Satisfied

- The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, 6. indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties. Satisfied
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors. Satisfied
- 8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged, pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Not Applicable

- Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and 9. reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night. Satisfied
- Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate 10. relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design. Satisfied
- 11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas. Not Applicable
- The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and 12. compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s). Satisfied
- The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a 13. street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment

which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Not Applicable

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Not Applicable

- 15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

 Satisfied
- 16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

 Not Applicable
- 17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

 Not Applicable
- In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the City Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.

 Not Applicable
- The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.Not Satisfied; see below

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided. **Not Satisfied**
 - A recycling plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit to the building department.
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Not Applicable**
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

 Not Applicable

(4) Whether resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) will be provided.

Not Satisfied

All landscaping consists of Florida friendly plants.

(5) Whether adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact, including a study of land elevation and elevation of surrounding properties were considered.

Not Satisfied

Sea Level Rise projections were not taken into account.

- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land.

 Not Applicable
- (7) Where feasible and appropriate, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation.

 Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and appropriate, elevated to the base flood elevation.

 Not Applicable
- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

 Not Applicable
- (10) Where feasible and appropriate, water retention systems shall be provided. **Not Satisfied**

While the site is currently built-out, the applicant is proposing to remodel the existing pool deck which is located above an existing parking structure.

STAFF ANALYSIS:

DESIGN REVIEW

The subject site is the amenity deck for the Portofino Tower and South Pointe Tower. Built in 1997 alongside the Portofino Tower, the amenity deck sits atop a two-story parking podium that flanks South Pointe Drive to the north, Ocean Drive to the east, Washington Avenue, Inlet Boulevard and South Pointe Park to the South and Washington Avenue to the east. A ground floor retail component with office space above line the parking podium along South Pointe Drive.

The proposal consists of considerable demolition to most of the outdoor area to allow for rewaterproofing and a contemporary renovation overhaul of the amenity deck. The design proposes more lush landscape that incorporates salvaged existing vegetation, new irrigation, new open air pergola structures and furnishings, reconfigured pools, renovated hardscape and lighting, new gardens that include a hammock garden and a hanging garden with swings, as well as updates to the existing porte-cochère. The proposed renovations will elegantly enhance the exterior grounds of the Portofino Tower and South Pointe Tower

with modern updates. Given that the renovations are proximate to the edge of the deck and project above the parapet wall, there are visible structures from the adjacent right-of-ways. Thus, the project requires approval from the Design Review Board.

Staff has worked with the applicant on the proposed modifications and is supportive of the design. The overall amount of green areas and lawn will be increased from 39,855 SF to nearly 50,000 SF. Staff recommends that the design be approved as proposed.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria.

TRM/FSC

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\09-05-2017\SEP17 Staff Reports\DRB17-0164 300 South Pointe Drive.Sep17.doc

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

September 05, 2017

FILE NO:

DRB17-0164

PROPERTY:

300 South Pointe Drive - Portofino Tower Amenities Deck

APPLICANT:

Portofino/ South Pointe Master Association, Inc.

LEGAL:

A portion of blocks 3, 4, 6 and 7, South Beach Park according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat book 6 at page 77of the Public Records of

Miami-Dade County.

IN RE:

The Application for Design Review Approval for exterior design modifications to an existing outdoor pool deck level, including new shade structures, landscaping and installation of additional outdoor features.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review Approval

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 1, 4, 5, and 10 in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted to and approved by staff; at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. A recycling plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit to the building department, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.

- b. The final design and details of the proposed pergola/shade structures shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- c. The final design and details of the proposed new planters, pavers, and materials and finishes shall be provided, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- d. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- e. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
- 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The final landscape selection, which shall include increasing the overall installed size for portions of the landscaping, location, quantity, and specifications of all existing and proposed new landscaping shall be required, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
 - c. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

II. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- B. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
- C. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- D. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- E. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- F. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- G. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Portofino / South Pointe Master Association", as prepared by **LAND** dated, signed, and sealed June 20, 2017, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Datad this

Dated this	day (от	, 20	
			DESI GN REVIEW BOARD THE C ITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA	
		E	BY:	
STATE OF FLO	RIDA)		
COUNTY OF M	IIAMI-DADE)SS)		
The foregoing	instrument	was a	acknowledged before me this	day of
Department, Ci Corporation. He	ty of Miami E is personally	seach, Fi	by James G. Murphy, Chief of Urban Designorida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on better to me.	gn, Planning pehalf of the

	NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:		_
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:	()	
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Revi	ew Board on	()
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB17\09-05-2017\SEP17 Final Ord	ers\DRFT DRB17-0164 300 S Poi nte Dr .SEP1	7.fo.docx	