

Staff Report & Recommendation

Design Review Board

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members DATE: December 11th, 2023

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

for TRM

SUBJECT: **DRB23-0967** 

1759 Purdy Ave

An application has been filed requesting Design Review Approval for modifications to the ground level of a previously approved 5-story building, associated with a new restaurant.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval with conditions.

## **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

The West ½ of Lot 3, Lot 4, Lot 5, Lot 6, and Lot 7, Block 16, ISLAND VIEW SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 6 at Page 115 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida

## **HISTORY:**

On December 4, 2018, the Design Review Board reviewed and approved the design for a new five-story mixed use building including a waiver (DRB17-0198).

On November 27, 2018, the Planning Board approved a conditional use permit for a mixed-use development over 50,000 square feet and conditional use approval for two restaurants, each exceeding 100 seats. On December 14, 2020, the Planning Board approved modifications to the previously issued conditional use permit (PB20-0344).

On July 7, 2022, the Design Review Board approved an application for exterior modifications to a previously approved Design Review Approval for the construction of a new five-story mixed use building. Specifically, the applicant requested modifications to the previously approved plans and elevations.

On December 19, 2023, an application is scheduled to be reviewed by the Planning Board, for a modification to the Conditional Use Permit dated April 5, 2021, under File Nos. PB20-0344 / PB18-0168 / PB17-0168 (the "CUP") for increased occupancy and restaurant seats.

#### SITE DATA:

Zoning: CD-2

Future Land Use: CD-2, Medium Intensity Commercial

Lot Size: 33,750 SF

## **SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:**

North: Proposed residential building South: "Lofts" residential mixed use West: City Park | Government use

East: Industrial uses

#### THE PROJECT:

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Uchiko Miami", as prepared by **Form Group Architecture Miami**, dated October 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

The applicant is proposing modifications to the existing commercial storefront to create a signature entrance to Uchiko consistent with the established brand. An outdoor seating area at the west end of the property is also proposed, surrounded by landscaping contained in custom banquettes and decorative planters.

## **CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed **commercial use** appears to be **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the 2025 Comprehensive Plan.

## **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code.

 All proposed elements located in the City's Right-of-Way including but not limited to, planters, awning, and furniture will require a separate right-of-way permit with the Public Works Department.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

## **COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA, SECTION 2.5.3.1:**

Design review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearance, safety, and function of any new or existing structure and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. The design review board and the planning department shall review plans based upon the below stated criteria, criteria listed in neighborhood plans, if applicable, and applicable design guidelines. Recommendations of the planning department may include, but not be limited to, comments from the building department and the public works department.

- a. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
   Satisfied
- b. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.

Satisfied

c. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

**Satisfied** 

d. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of exterior building surfaces and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 2.5.3.2.

## Satisfied

e. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing buildings and structures are in conformity with the standards of this article and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the design review board and historic preservation board and all pertinent master plans.

## Satisfied

f. The proposed structure, or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

#### Satisfied

g. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

#### Satisfied

h. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safety and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the site.

## Satisfied

i. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

## Satisfied

j. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

#### Satisfied

k. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

## **Not Applicable**

I. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

# **Not Applicable**

m. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

n. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

## **Not Applicable**

o. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Satisfied

p. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

Satisfied

q. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

## **Not Applicable**

r. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection 118-104-6(t) of the General Ordinances shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights- of-way.

Not Applicable

s. The structure and site comply with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

Not Applicable

# **COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA**

Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

1. A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

## **Not Applicable**

Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.
 Not Applicable

3. Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

## **Not Applicable**

4. Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations..

## **Not Satisfied**

5. The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

## Not Applicable

6. The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

## **Not Applicable**

7. In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.

## **Not Applicable**

8. Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

## **Not Applicable**

9. When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.

# Not Applicable

10. In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

## **Not Applicable**

11. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

## **Not Applicable**

12. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

#### Satisfied

## STAFF ANALYSIS: DESIGN REVIEW

The subject property located within the Sunset Harbor neighborhood and east of Maurice Gibbs Park. The subject site, known as 18 Sunset, received DRB approval in 2018 for a new five (5) story mixed-use project containing ground floor retail. The project was later modified in 2021 and 2022 to accommodate changes to the maximum building height as well as changes to the building finishes. The current application focuses on the first-floor exterior improvements to the proposed restaurant along Purdy Avenue, as well as the location of an interior bar, which will affect the exterior appearance. As the proposed modifications exceed what may be approved administratively, review by the DRB is required.

As indicated in the history section of this report, the Board reviewed and approved the design for a new five-story mixed use building including a design waiver in 2018 (DRB17-0198). The Planning Board approved a conditional use permit for a mixed-use development over 50,000 square feet and conditional use approval for two restaurants, each exceeding 100 seats in 2018 and 2020. The Planning Board is schedule to review an application for further modifications for increased occupancy and restaurant seats on December 19, 2023.

The applicant is proposing to modify the recently completed commercial space on the ground level by installing additional landscaping, decorative breeze blocks that will serve as privacy between the adjacent properties, new outdoor lighting, and custom bench seating for patrons of the restaurant. New custom handles will be installed along the existing storefront doors and the proposed exterior banquettes will consist of a concrete skim coat that will be semi attached to the cushion seat and provide landscaping behind the fabric barrier. In addition to the exterior improvements, the applicants are proposing a bar along the interior of the storefront which will feature a custom glass countertop and designed in a continuous steel plate. An arrangement of rich landscaping will be installed between the outdoor banquette and the proposed interior bar to create a buffer and ensure that the exterior of the bar is not visible from the public right-of-way. The landscaping includes Philodendron bushes, hanging vines, and Monstera plants.

In summary, staff recommends approval of the design requested, subject to the conditions in the attached final order.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria and Sea Level Rise criteria.

# **DESIGN REVIEW BOARD**City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: December 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023

FILE NO: DRB23-0967

PROPERTY: 1759 Purdy Avenue

APPLICANT: 18 Sunset Trustee, LLC C/O Deco Capital Group

LEGAL: The West ½ of Lot 3, Lot 4, Lot 5, Lot 6, and Lot 7, Block 16, ISLAND

VIEW SUBDIVISION, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 6 at Page 115 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting Design Review Approval for

modifications to the ground level of a previously approved 5-story

building, associated with a new restaurant.

## <u>ORDER</u>

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

# I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2.1.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with the Design Review in Section 2.5.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is not consistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria 4 in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations.
- D. The project will remain consistent with the criteria and requirements 2.5.3.1 and/ or Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) with the following conditions:
  - a. All proposed improvements and elements that are located in the right-of-way, including, but not limited to, planters and furniture shall require the review and approval of the Public Works Department.

- b. The proposed plant material shall include a majority of native species, subject to the review and approval of staff.
- c. The final design and details of any proposed planter system on the building shall be provided, including details for drainage and irrigation, subject to the review and approval of staff.
- d. Any exterior bar counter shall be prohibited.
- e. The final design details of the custom exterior banquettes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- f. The final design details of the decorative breeze block shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- g. The final design details of the exterior lighting shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- h. The final design details of the exterior materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- i. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with section 2.2.4.8 of the Land Development Regulations, the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal a decision of the design review board for design review approval only to the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

## I. Variance(s)

A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

# II. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. *Design Review Approval* and 'II. *Variances*' noted above.

- A. Prior to the issuance of any permit for the installation of the mural, review and approval of the City Commission, in accordance with the applicable requirements of Chapter 6 of the Land Development Regulations, shall be required.
- B. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the

issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

- C. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- D. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- E. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- F. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- G. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "Existing Restaurant Remodel", as prepared by **Form Group Architecture Miami**, dated October 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Section 2.2.4.6 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land

development regulations of the City Coapplication to Chapter 2 of the Land De the application.		
Dated		
	DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLC	PRIDA
	BY: Michael Belush, AICP Planning & Design Officer For Chairman	
STATE OF FLORIDA )		
)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )		
The foregoing instrument was accomposed by long to Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Murpersonally known to me.	Michael Belush, Chief of Planning and 2	Zoning of the City
{NOTARIAL SEAL]	Notary: Print Name Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission Expires: Commission Number:	
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:	(	)
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review Board on	(	)