CFN: 20160591750 BOOK 30265 PAGE 292

DATE:10/13/2016 12:53:59 PM

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK OF COURT, MIA-DADE CTY

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

October 10, 2016

FILE NO:

DRB16-0052

PROPERTY:

8 Farrey Lane

APPLICANT:

Steve Rhodes

LEGAL:

Lot 8 of "2nd Section of Belle Isle Villas", according to the plat thereof filed for record and recorded in Plat Book 42 at Page 100 of the Public

Records of Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The Application for Design Review Approval for the construction of a new three-story single-family residence to replace an existing one-story pre-1942 architecturally significant home, including variances from the minimum required lot size, from the front setback for parking, from the maximum elevation allowed for projections and structures in required yards, from the required rear setback for a pool and from the maximum

area for decks within the required rear yard.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 2, 3, 5 and 9 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- B. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-251 if the following conditions are met:
 - Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted to and reviewed by staff, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The final design details of all exterior surface materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.



Page 2 of 7 DRB16-0052—8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

- b. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and shall be screened from view on all sides, in a manner to be approved by staff.
- c. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit, and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- d. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit
- 2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
 - b. The driveway shall be constructed of an erosion resistant material and the paved area shall be kept to the minimum required for a drive aisle width, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board
 - c. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor will be required in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
 - d. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
 - e. The applicants shall install street trees on all sides of the project consistent with the City's Street Tree Master Plan, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board, and root barriers shall be installed along the sidewalk in conjunction with structural soils.
 - f. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all backflow prevention devices. Backflow prevention devices shall not be permitted within any required yard or any area fronting a street or sidewalk, unless otherwise permitted by the Land Development Regulations. The location of all backflow prevention



Page 3 of 7 DRB16-0052—8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

devices, and how they are screened from the right-of-way, shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans and shall be subject to the review and approval of staff. The applicant shall verify, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the exact location of all post-indicator valves (PIV), fire department connections (FDC) and all other related devices and fixtures, which shall be clearly indicated on the site and landscape plans.

g. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect for the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s), which were either approved by the Board with modifications, or denied (<u>Underlying</u> denotes new language and strikethrough denotes stricken language:
 - A variance to reduce 1,010 SF from the minimum required lot area of 5,600 SF within the RM-1 district in order to construct a new three-story single family residence on a multifamily property with a lot area of 4,590 SF.
 - A variance to eliminate all of the required front setback of 20'-0" in order to construct parking spaces up to the front property line, facing Farrey Lane.
 - A variance to exceed by 1.15' the maximum elevation of 8.85' NGVD for allowable structures within required yards in order to construct stairs at 10.0' NGVD and 60% (6'-6") encroachment into both side yards.
 - 4. A variance to exceed by 1.15' the maximum elevation of 8.85' NGVD for allowable structures within required yards in order to construct a pool and deck at 10.0' NGVD within the rear yard.
 - 5. A variance to reduce by 3'-3" the minimum required setback of 7'-6" from a pool water's edge to a rear property line in order to construct the pool water's edge at a minimum of 4'-3" from the rear property line.
 - 6. A variance to exceed by 53.9% (241.6 SF) the maximum of 30% (134.4 SF) deck and pool area allowed within the rear yard in order to construct a pool and deck with 83.9% (376 SF) of area at the required rear yard.



Page 4 of 7 DRB16-0052—8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, only as it relates to Variance(s) II.A.1, II.A.2, II.A.3, II.A.4, II.A.5 and II.A.6, as noted above, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code, only as it relates to Variance(s) II.A.1, II.A.2, II.A.3, II.A.4 II.A.5 and II.A.6 as noted above:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

- C. The Board hereby <u>Approves</u> the Variance requests and imposes the following conditions based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
 - Revised site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted to and reviewed by staff, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:



Page 5 of 7 DRB16-0052—8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

a. The pool deck wood planking shall be constructed with a post and pier method and not a concrete slab to allow rain penetration to the ground.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.
 - A. During Construction work, the Applicant will maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles existing and entering the site and with an 8'-0" high fence with a wind resistant green mesh material along the front of the property line. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way. All construction vehicles shall either park on the private property or at alternate overflow parking sites with a shuttle service to and from the property. The Applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
 - B. A Construction Parking and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) shall be approved by the Parking Director pursuant to Chapter 106, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - C. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
 - D. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - E. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
 - F. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
 - G. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
 - H. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.



Page 6 of 7 DRB16-0052—8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application for Design Review approval and Variances II.A.1, II.A.2, II.A.3, II.A.4, II.A.5, and II.A.6 as noted above, is GRANTED and the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans, entitled "8 Farrey Lane Residence", as prepared by **Rene Gonzalez architect** dated, signed and sealed August 12, 2016, and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting Building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code, the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

> DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

OFRORAH I TACKETT

CFN: 20160591750 BOOK 30265 PAGE 298

Page 7 of 7 DRB16-0052---8 Farrey Lane October 10, 2016

DESIGN AND PRESERVATION MANAGER FOR THE CHAIR

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of
<u></u>
of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.
MONQUE FONS NOTARY PUBLIC
EXPIRES: SEP 19, 2020 Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires: 9/9/2000
Approved As To Forms City Attorney's Office: 14 Saul 12 (10/14/16)
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review Board on(

F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB16\10-10-2016\OCT 16 Final Order\DRB16-0052 8 Farrey Ln.OCT16.fo.docx



CFN: 20180092484 BOOK 30864 PAGE 63 DATE:02/14/2018 03:29:24 PM

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK OF COURT, MIA-DADE CTY

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

February 06, 2018

FILE NO:

DRB17-0210 (a.k.a. DRB16-0052)

PROPERTY:

8 Farrey Lane

APPLICANTS:

Steve and Jessica Rhodes

LEGAL:

Lot 8 of "2nd Section of Belle Isle Villas", according to the plat thereof filed for record and recorded in Plat Book 42 at Page 100 of the Public

Records of Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The Application for modifications to a previously issued Design Review Approval for the construction of a new three-story single-family residence including new variances to reduce the required side and sum of the side setbacks in order to construct a new elevator within the required east side

yard.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 2, 3, and 5 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/ or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met
 - 1. All of the original conditions of approval by this Board shall remain in full force and effect under the prior Final Order dated October 06, 2016 for DRB16-0052 except as modified herein.



- 2. The proposed design changes shall be exception of the proposed elevator.
- 3. The final design details of all exterior surface materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

I. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s):
 - A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required interior side pedestal setback
 of 7'-6" in order to build an elevator addition to the existing structure at 2'-6" from
 the side (east) property line.
 - 2. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum sum of the side pedestal setback of 15'-0" in order to build an elevator addition to the existing structure and provide a sum of the side setbacks of 10'-0".

The variances requested herein shall be continued to the March 06, 2018 Design Review Board meeting.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- II. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'l. *Design Review Approval* and 'll. *Variances'* noted above.
 - A. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
 - B. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - C. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
 - D. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be



returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- E. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- F. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations which were adopted by the Board, that the Application for Design Review approval is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff, entitled "8 Farrey Lane", as prepared by **Rene Gonzalez architect** dated, signed and sealed 12/08/17, and as approved by the Design Review Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order and staff review and approval.

No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance as set forth in this Order have been met. The issuance of Design Review Approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original Design Review Approval was granted, the Design Review Approval will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. At the hearing on any such application, the Board may deny or approve the request and modify the above conditions or impose additional conditions. If the Full Building Permit should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the Design Review Approval will expire and become null and void.

Dated this day of Form, 20 18.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY:
JAMES G. MURPHY // //
CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN
FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLORIDA))SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this
EXPIRES: AUG 03, 2021 NOTARY PUBLIC Bonded through 1st State Insurance Miseri-Dade County Florida
Milarhi-Dade County, Florida My commission expires: Some of through 1st State Insurance Milarhi-Dade County, Florida 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1
ity Attorney's Office: //w//////////////////////////////////
iled with the Clerk of the Design Review Board on Julius (2/8/18)
1PI ANISDREIDRESINO AS 2018ISER 18 Einst Antorolder Deet 7 A110 8 Entroy In SER18 Ed door



CFN: 20180156555 BOOK 30898 PAGE 4094 DATE:03/16/2018 09:17:37 AM

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK OF COURT, MIA-DADE CTY

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE:

March 06, 2018

FILE NO:

DRB17-0210 (a.k.a. DRB16-0052)

PROPERTY:

8 Farrey Lane

APPLICANTS:

Steve and Jessica Rhodes

LEGAL:

Lot 8 of "2nd Section of Belle Isle Villas", according to the plat thereof filed for record and recorded in Plat Book 42 at Page 100 of the Public

Records of Dade County, Florida.

IN RE:

The Application for modifications to a previously issued Design Review Approval for the construction of a new three-story single-family residence including new variances to reduce the required side and sum of the side setbacks in order to construct a new elevator within the required east side

yard.

MODIFIED ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Design Review

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 118-252(a) of the Miami Beach Code. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Design Review Criteria 2, 3, and 5 in Section 118-251 of the Miami Beach Code.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is inconsistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
- D. The project would remain consistent with the criteria and requirements of Section 118-251 and/ or Section 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met
 - 1. All of the original conditions of approval by this Board shall remain in full force and effect under the prior Final Order dated October 06, 2016 for DRB16-0052 except as modified herein.

- 2. This approval shall supersede all of the original conditions of approval by this Board under the prior Final Order dated February 05, 2018 for DRB17-0210.
- 3. All of the proposed design changes to the elevations shall be approved including the location and design of the proposed elevator proposed at 3'-6" to the property line.
- 4. The final design details of all exterior surface materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with Section 118-262, the applicant, or the city manager on behalf of the city administration, or an affected person, Miami Design Preservation League or Dade Heritage Trust may seek review of any order of the Design Review Board by the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.

I. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s):
 - 1. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" 4'-0" the minimum required interior side pedestal setback of 7'-6" in order to build an elevator addition to the existing structure at 2'-6" 3'-6" from the side (east) property line.
 - 2. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" 4'-0" the minimum sum of the side pedestal setback of 15'-0" in order to build an elevator addition to the existing structure and provide a sum of the side setbacks of 10'-0" 11'-0".
- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of the variances if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code, as it relates to the variances as noted above:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

- C. The Board hereby **Approves** the Variance request(s), and imposes the following conditions based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.
 - 2. The proposed elevator shall be setback a minimum distance of 3'-6" from the side (east) property line.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- II. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. *Design Review Approval* and 'II. *Variances'* noted above.
 - A. The final building plans shall meet all other requirements of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code.
 - B. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, <u>prior</u> to the issuance of a Building Permit.
 - C. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
 - D. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be

Page 4 of 5 DRB17-0210 (aka DRB17-0052)—8 Farrey Lane March 06, 2018

returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.

- E. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- F. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations which were adopted by the Board, that the Application for Design Review approval is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff, entitled "8 Farrey Lane", as prepared by **Rene Gonzalez architect** dated, signed and sealed 12/08/17, and Supplemental sheets labeled A-1.0b, A-2.0a, A-2.1a and A-2.2a dated 03/06/18 and distributed at the 03/06/18 Design Review Board meeting, and as approved by the Design Review Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order and staff review and approval.

No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance as set forth in this Order have been met. The issuance of Design Review Approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original Design Review Approval was granted, the Design Review Approval will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. At the hearing on any such application, the Board may deny or approve the request and modify the above conditions or impose additional conditions. If the Full Building Permit should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the Design Review Approval will expire and become null and void.

	ah		
Dated this _		_day of <u>{ Much</u>	, 20 <u>/&</u>

Page 5 of 5 DRB17-0210 (aka DRB17-0052)—8 Farrey Lane March 06, 2018

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
BY: JAMES G. MURPHY CHIEF OF URBAN DESIGN FOR THE CHAIR
STATE OF FLORIDA))SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of 20_18 by James G. Мысрhy, Chief of Urban Design, Planning
Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the Corporation. He is personally known to me.
GABRIELA C. FREITAS MY COMMISSION #GG131281 EXPIRES: AUG 03, 2021 Bonded through 1st State Insurance Miarmi-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:
Approved As To Form: Dictalle (38 2018)
Filed with the Clerk of the Design Review Board on Assim Www. (3/9/18)
F:\PLAN\\$DRB\DRB18\03-06-2018\MAR 18 Final Order\DRB17-0210 8 Farrey Ln.MAR18.doc

A.