

## **Brooks Acoustics Corporation**

49 N. Federal Highway Pompano Beach, Florida 33062 754-229-1450

Ms. Cecilia Torres-Toledo, Esq. Associate Akerman LLP 98 Southeast Seventh Street, Suite 1100 Miami, FL 33131 27 July 2022 PJ2022-1388-02

Subject: Acoustical engineering study – Sound analysis for Conditional Use Permit Oro & Elixir – 818 Lincoln Road, Miami Beach Response to Peer Review – PB 22-0518 – Prepared by Arpeggio, July 21, 2022

Dear Ms. Torres-Toledo:

As requested, Brooks Acoustics Corporation (BAC) is responding to the Peer Review, which was prepared for by Arpeggio for the Miami Beach Planning Board concerning CUP application PB 22-0518.

A copy of the Peer Review report is attached.

The original sound analysis conducted by BAC was for a proposed venue to be located at 818 Lincoln Road. The proposed venue is to be operated as a restaurant and nightclub known as "Oro & Elixir".

The Peer Reviewer suggested that BAC revise its sound analysis to include:

- 1. Window sound attenuation as the Operations Plan indicates that the outdoor terrace on the third floor would be closed when there are live musical performances within that exceed ambient levels.
- 2. Calculation of C-weighted sound levels (decibels) at the receivers.

The original sound study was revised to address the Peer Reviewer's comments.

#### Findings Summary

The sound study confirmed that the music played over the indoor loudspeakers in the proposed restaurant and nightclub will be reduced due to the presence of the closed windows on the third floor. The reduced A-weighted sound level (dBA) is expected to be *below normal conversation sound levels*, and so will not interfere with normal conversation at the sidewalk location adjacent to the venue. The A-weighted sound level (dBA) is also expected to be lower at the apartments to the south of the venue.

The C-weighted (dBC) sound levels will also be reduced due to the presence of the closed windows. The reduced C-weighted sound level (dBC) is expected to be *below typical ambient sound levels*, and so will not intrude upon the sidewalk location adjacent to the venue. The C-weighted sound level (dBC) is also expected to be lower at the apartments to the south of the venue.

These sound study results for the audio system equipment and loudspeakers on site indicate that the proposed venue at 818 Lincoln Road would be *in compliance* with typical Conditional Use Permit requirements.

### **Conditional Use Permit**

The Applicant intends to file an application with the Planning Board of the City of Miami Beach for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) File Number PB-22-0518 for the Property located at 818 Lincoln Road, for entertainment at a proposed restaurant and nightclub venue, pursuant to Chapter 188, Article IV, and Chapter 142, Article V, Division 6 of the City code.

A sound study (also known as a "noise attenuation plan") was submitted to satisfy the CUP application requirements.

In response to the Peer Reviewer's comments, the sound analysis was revised to incorporate the Reviewer's recommendations, as noted above.

#### Venue sound study

The sound study for the proposed venue, which was submitted on July 5, 2022, was revised to incorporate the Peer Reviewer's recommendations:

- 1. Include window sound attenuation
- 2. Calculate C-weighted sound levels (decibels) at the receivers.

All other conditions addressed in the study remained the same.

Copies of the calculation sheets for the revised sound analysis are attached.

### **Calculation Results**

The sound level calculated at Position 1, the Mall sidewalk to the north, with the venue third floor windows closed is about **42 dBA** (42 A-weighted decibels), the level of a *whisper*. The C-weighted level is **68 dBC**. This is expected to be consistent with or below the ambient sound level in the area.

The sound level calculated at Position 2, outside the apartments to the south is about **37 dBA**. This is the level of a *quiet whisper*. The C-weighted level is **63 dBC**. This is expected to be consistent with or below the ambient sound level in the area.

Further, exterior walls and windows typically provide at least 25 dBA sound reduction from the outside to the inside. This would reduce the dance music sound inside the apartments to 12 dBA or lower, a very low level. There will also be a significant reduction in C-weighted sound level inside the apartments. So, it is *unlikely* that any sound from the venue will be audible indoors at the apartments. It is expected that the operation of the venue with dance music will not disturb the comfort and repose of any person in the vicinity.

**Calculation results** which include the *sound attenuation of the venue third floor windows* at positions outside the receiver locations are given in the Table below:

Operating Condition	Receiver Distance	Sound level Outside (A-weighted)	Sound level Outside (C-weighted)
Dance music in proposed venue	Pos 1 – Sidewalk to N – 5 feet	42 dBA (whisper)	68 dBC
Dance music in proposed venue	Pos 2- Apartments to S - 76 feet	37 dBA (quiet whisper)	63 dBC

#### Sound level criteria

For the purposes of this CUP study, the criteria for maximum A-weighted sound level limits were set at the level of a normal conversation (70 dBA) at an outdoors receiver position, such as the sidewalk and apartment locations. This recognizes the typical CUP requirement that the music at the venue not exceed the sound level of a normal conversation, and not be disturbing to persons in the vicinity. Further, in response to the Peer Reviewer's comments, the maximum C-weighted sound level limits were set at 80 dBC.

#### **Discussion**

Based on the revised sound study results for the proposed venue at 818 Lincoln Road, the designed audio system in the dance music mode is expected to operate such that it produces a sound level that is at or below the ambient sound levels for both the A-weighted and C-weighted decibel scales. The venue music system is *not expected* to produce a sound level that would interfere with normal conversation, nor will it intrude on the ambient conditions.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning these findings.

Very truly yours, BROOKS ACOUSTICS CORPORATION

Bennett M. Brooks, PE, FASA, INCE President



# **APPENDIX**

# 818 Lincoln Road **CUP Revised Sound Study**

- Sound propagation calculation sheets 1.
- Peer Reviewer's report Arpeggio, July 21, 2022 2.

Source Group: Source Name: Source Data: Source Level: record distance: Source Type:	BAC 77 dB(A) 5 point East	eeakers Unweighted	Fley	120 100 80 40 40 31:5 0 31:5 33:5 120 100 31:5 120 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Night Club	Main Lou	dspeakers	4000
Coordinates:	0	0	<u>36</u>				ei Fieq. (Hz)	
		Window		A-weighted			A-weightin	g
Frequency	Data	Window Atten	Signature	A-weighted Signature			A-weightin Curve	g freq.
<b>Frequency</b> 31.5 Hz 63 Hz 125 Hz	<b>Data</b> 115 122 102	Window Atten 19 21 23	Signature <u>96</u> <u>101</u> 79	A-weighted Signature 57 75 63			A-weightin Curve -39.4 -26.2 -16.1	<b>g</b> freq. 31.5 63 125
Frequency 31.5 Hz 63 Hz 125 Hz 250 Hz 500 Hz	Data 115 122 102 101 99	Window Atten 19 21 23 23 23 29	Signature <u>96</u> <u>101</u> <u>79</u> <u>78</u> 70	A-weighted Signature 57 75 63 69 67			A-weightin Curve -39.4 -26.2 -16.1 -8.6 -3.2	<b>g</b> <b>freq.</b> 31.5 63 125 250 500
Frequency 31.5 Hz 63 Hz 125 Hz 250 Hz 500 Hz 1000 Hz 2000 Hz	Data 115 122 102 101 99 98 87	Window Atten 19 21 23 23 23 29 38 46	Signature <u>96</u> <u>101</u> <u>79</u> <u>78</u> <u>70</u> <u>60</u> <u>41</u>	A-weighted Signature 57 75 63 69 67 60 42			A-weightin Curve -39.4 -26.2 -16.1 -8.6 -3.2 0.0 1.2	<b>g</b> <b>freq.</b> 31.5 63 125 250 500 1000 2000

Theater test EDM show LA01 107 dBA @ FOH

Adjusted to 102 dBA on dance floor

> Transmission Loss Impact Windows 1/8 - 1/8 inch Laminated 1/2 inch air space

### Akerman

Oro & Elixir CUP Sound Study

### Sound Projection: Miami Beach, FL

### Design Calculation 1W.

Based on BAC sound data and proposed site plan

Sidewalk to North (baseline elev. 5) - Impact windows

### Dance Floor on venue 3rd floor

					Coordinates:	
PROJE	CTED FROM:	Dance Floor		<u>East</u>	<u>North</u>	Elevation
PRO	JECTED TO:	<u>Pos 1 - Sidewa</u>	<u>lk to North</u>	0.0	87.0	5.0
		50%		Critoria Loval		
		30%		Chiena Lever		O a mar li a mar a d
IEIV	IPERATURE:	72 deg. F			80 GBC	Compliance?
ATM	IOS. PRESS:	760 mm Hg		Total Sound Level	42 dBA	YES
					68 dBA	
						CONTRIBUTIONS
FREQ.	AWT SPL	<u>CWT SPL</u>		SC	DURCE	AWT SPL
31.5 Hz	24.4	60.8	#			
63 Hz	41.2	66.6	1	Night Club	Main Loudspeakers	42.0 dBA
125 Hz	27.4	43.3	2	reserved		-51.0 dBA
250 Hz	31.4	40.0	3	reserved		-32.4 dBA
500 Hz	26.2	29.4	4	reserved		-32.4 dBA
1000 Hz	16.5	16.5	5	reserved		-32.4 dBA
2000 Hz	-3.4	-4.8	6	reserved		-32.4 dBA
4000 Hz	-11.0	-12.8	7	reserved		-32.4 dBA
8000 Hz	-20.8	-22.7	8	reserved		-32.4 dBA
RMS:	42.0 dBA	67.6 dBC				

Atmospheric attenuation:						
Excess gound attenuation:						
Source region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Receiver region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Middle region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Barrier shadowing:						
Vegetation	no					

### PATH SHEET

			<u>CO</u>	<u>ORDINATES</u>					
SOURCE 1: N	light Club		East	0.0			Record Distance		
Ν	lain Loudspe	eakers	North	0.0			5.0		
TYPE: p	oint		Elevation	36.0			Projection Dist.		
							92.4		
					Net				
Freq.	Source	Vegetation	Shadowing	Ground Atten	Barrier Atten	Atmospheric	Distance Atten	Contribution	Awt Contrib.
31.5 Hz	96.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	6.9	0.0	25.3	63.8	24.4
63 Hz	101.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	25.3	67.4	41.2
125 Hz	79.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	25.3	43.5	27.4
250 Hz	78.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	25.3	40.0	31.4
500 Hz	70.0	0.0	15.2	0.0	15.2	0.1	25.3	29.4	26.2
1000 Hz	60.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	18.0	0.1	25.3	16.5	16.5
2000 Hz	41.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.3	25.3	-4.6	-3.4
4000 Hz	34.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.8	25.3	-12.1	-11.1
8000 Hz	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	2.7	25.3	-20.0	-21.1
								69.0	42.0

#### PATH SHEET

			CO	<u>ORDINATES</u>					
SOURCE 2: re	eserved		East	0.0			Record Distance		
-	-		North	0.0					
TYPE: p	oint		Elevation	1.0			Projection Dist.		
							87.1		
					Net				
Freq.	Source	Vegetation	Shadowing	Ground Atten	Barrier Atten	Atmospheric	Distance Atten	Contribution	Awt Contrib.
31.5 Hz	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	38.8	-46.1	-85.5
63 Hz	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.8	0.0	38.8	-47.6	-73.8
125 Hz	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	10.9	0.0	38.8	-49.7	-65.8
250 Hz	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	38.8	-52.1	-60.7
500 Hz	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	16.0	0.1	38.8	-54.9	-58.1
1000 Hz	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	18.9	0.1	38.8	-57.8	-57.8
2000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.3	38.8	-59.1	-57.9
4000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.7	38.8	-59.5	-58.5
8000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	2.6	38.8	-61.4	-62.5
								-41.8	-51.0



BAC Sound Projection Design Calculation 1W.

### Akerman

Oro & Elixir CUP Sound Study

### Sound Projection: Miami Beach, FL

### Design Calculation 2W.

Based on BAC sound data and proposed site plan

Apts to South (baseline elev. 5) - Impact windows

### Dance Floor on venue 3rd floor

					Coordinates:	
PROJE	CTED FROM:	Dance Floor		East	<u>North</u>	Elevation
PRC	JECTED TO:	Pos 2 - Apartment	<u>is to S</u>	-5.0	-153.0	5.0
RELATIV	E HUMIDITY:	50%		Criteria Level	70 dBA	
TEM	IPERATURE:	72 deg. F			80 dBC	Compliance?
ATM	IOS. PRESS:	760 mm Hg		Total Sound Level	37 dBA	YES
					63 dBA	
						CONTRIBUTIONS
FREQ.	<u>AWT SPL</u>	<u>CWT SPL</u>		SC	DURCE	AWT SPL
31.5 Hz	19.8	56.2	#			
63 Hz	36.6	62.0	1	Night Club	Main Loudspeakers	37.4 dBA
125 Hz	22.8	38.7	2	reserved		-56.2 dBA
250 Hz	26.8	35.4	3	reserved		-37.7 dBA
500 Hz	21.6	24.8	4	reserved		-37.7 dBA
1000 Hz	11.9	11.9	5	reserved		-37.7 dBA
2000 Hz	-8.1	-9.5	6	reserved		-37.7 dBA
4000 Hz	-16.1	-17.9	7	reserved		-37.7 dBA
8000 Hz	-27.3	-29.2	8	reserved		-37.7 dBA
RMS:	37.4 dBA	63.0 dBC				

Atmospheric attenuation:						
Excess gound attenuation:						
Source region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Receiver region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Middle region hard, soft, mixed (h,s,m%):	h					
Barrier shadowing:						
Vegetation	no					

### PATH SHEET

			<u>CO</u>	<u>ORDINATES</u>					
SOURCE 1: N	light Club		East	0.0			Record Distance		
Ν	lain Loudspe	eakers	North	0.0			5.0		
TYPE: p	oint		Elevation	36.0			Projection Dist.		
							156.2		
					Net				
Freq.	Source	Vegetation	Shadowing	Ground Atten	Barrier Atten	Atmospheric	Distance Atten	Contribution	Awt Contrib.
31.5 Hz	96.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	6.9	0.0	29.9	59.2	19.8
63 Hz	101.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	29.9	62.8	36.6
125 Hz	79.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	29.9	38.9	22.8
250 Hz	78.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.1	29.9	35.4	26.8
500 Hz	70.0	0.0	15.2	0.0	15.2	0.1	29.9	24.8	21.6
1000 Hz	60.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	18.0	0.2	29.9	11.9	11.9
2000 Hz	41.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.5	29.9	-9.4	-8.2
4000 Hz	34.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	1.3	29.9	-17.2	-16.2
8000 Hz	28.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	4.6	29.9	-26.5	-27.6
								64.4	37.4

#### PATH SHEET

			<u>CO</u>	<u>ORDINATES</u>						
SOURCE 2: re	East	0.0			Record Distance					
	-		North	0.0			1.0			
TYPE: po	oint		Elevation	1.0			Projection Dist.			
							153.1			
					Net					
Freq.	Source	Vegetation	Shadowing	Ground Atten	Barrier Atten	Atmospheric	Distance Atten	Contribution	Awt Contrib.	
31.5 Hz	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	43.7	-51.0	-90.4	
63 Hz	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.8	0.0	43.7	-52.5	-78.7	
125 Hz	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	10.9	0.0	43.7	-54.6	-70.7	
250 Hz	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.1	43.7	-57.1	-65.7	
500 Hz	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	16.0	0.1	43.7	-59.8	-63.0	
1000 Hz	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	18.9	0.2	43.7	-62.8	-62.8	
2000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.5	43.7	-64.2	-63.0	
4000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	1.3	43.7	-65.0	-64.0	
8000 Hz	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	4.5	43.7	-68.2	-69.3	
								-46.7	-56.2	

