

MIAMIBEACH

City of Miami Beach, 1700 Convention Center Drive, Miami Beach, Florida 33139, www.miamibeachfl.gov

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Alina T. Hudak, City Manager

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP
Planning Director

DATE: June 15, 2022

SUBJECT: **Planning Analysis of Proposed Lease of City-Owned Parking Lot 25 (1680 Lenox Avenue) and Lot 26 (1080 Lincoln Lane North).**

BACKGROUND

Section 82-38 of the Code of the City of Miami Beach requires that any proposed sale or lease of City-owned land be analyzed from a planning perspective so that the City Commission and the public are fully apprised of all conditions relating to the proposed sale or lease.

The proposed lease applies to two City-owned parking lots. These are Lot 25, located at 1680 Lenox Avenue and Lot 26 located at 1080 Lincoln Lane North. The proposal is for a 99-year maximum lease term, with a 51-year initial term and two (2) 24-year renewals that includes both lots. Lot 25 consists of an 86-space public parking lot and Lot 26 consists of a 106-space public parking lot. Both parcels are zoned GU Government Use District. Lot 25 is located on the north portion of the block bounded by Lenox Avenue on the east, Lincoln Lane North on the south, Lenox Court on the west, and 17th Street on the north. Lot 26 is located on the southern half of the block bounded by Michigan Avenue on the east, Lincoln Lane North on the South, Lenox Avenue on the west and 17th Street on the north.

The proposed lease is for the development of two office buildings with a total of approximately 188,299 SF of floor area, which includes approximately 188,299 SF of Class A office and 24,884 SF of retail. The building on Lot P25 would be 6 stories and the building on Lot P26 would be 8 stories. The proposal requires voter approval.

The following is an analysis based on the criteria delineated in the Code:

ANALYSIS

1. Whether or not the proposed use is in keeping with city goals and objectives and conforms to the city comprehensive plan.

Consistent – The sites are designated *Public Facility: Governmental Use (PF)*. The proposed uses are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The proposed use is in keeping with the city goals and objectives to develop class A office space in an effort to transform the economy of the City from one that is overly dependent on tourism.

- 2. The impact on adjacent property, including the potential positive or negative impacts such as diminution of open space, increased traffic, noise level or enhanced property values, improved development patterns and provision of necessary services. Based on the proposed use of the property, the city shall determine the potential impact of the project on city utilities and other infrastructure needs and the magnitude of costs associated with needed infrastructure improvements. Should it become apparent that further evaluation of traffic impact is needed, the proponent shall be responsible for obtaining a traffic impact analysis from a reputable traffic engineer.**

Consistent – The developer has provided an analysis that indicates that the proposed developments will have a traffic impact. However, the developer will be responsible for the payment of Mobility Fees that can be used to improve the transportation network in order to mitigate impacts. If the projects were developed today, they would be subject to approximately \$765,180.88 in Mobility Fees pursuant to the expected program. Additional study regarding the transportation impact will take place as part of the design review process.

Significant noise impacts are not expected from the proposed uses.

The sites currently consist of fully paved public parking lot and not used as green or open space. As such there will be no diminution of open space. Additionally, the projects would have to undergo a recreation and open space review and mitigate impacts pursuant to the level of service adopted in the City's Comprehensive Plan.

The current use of the sites has an exceedingly negative impact on the aesthetics of the community. With the development of the site, the design review process would ensure that the new development would improve the development patterns of the community and enhance property values.

- 3. A determination as to whether or not the proposed use is in keeping with a public purpose and community needs, such as expanding the city's revenue base, creating jobs, creating a significant revenue stream, and improving the community's overall quality of life.**

Consistent - This proposal is in keeping with a public purpose by creating Class A office space which will bring workers and clients to area businesses. It will also help to transform the City's economy. Additionally, the proposed lease agreements will provide revenue to the City in the form of rent payments and property taxes. Additionally, the project will continue to provide for the public parking that exists on the site today.

- 4. A determination as to whether or not the development is in keeping with the surrounding neighborhood, will block views or create environmental intrusions, and evaluation of the design and aesthetic considerations of the project.**

Consistent - The surrounding neighborhood will not be negatively affected, and the

development will not block views or create environmental intrusions. There are no residential buildings in the vicinity that provide for views of significant features. The properties to the south consist primarily of low and medium scale commercial buildings. However, design and aesthetic considerations will be considered as part of the design review process for any new development.

5. The impact on adjacent properties, whether or not there is adequate parking, street and infrastructure needs.

Consistent – Any new development on the sites will have to comply with all requirements of the Land Development Regulations, including parking requirements and concurrency requirements which that ensures that levels of service for infrastructure are met. A recent code amendment will allow for the existing public parking spaces to be provided within the development.

6. Such other issues as the city manager or his authorized designee, who shall be the city's planning director, may deem appropriate in analysis of the proposed disposition.

Not applicable - The Planning Department has no other issues it deems appropriate to analyze for this proposal.

CONCLUSION

The proposed lease agreement is consistent with the Goals, Objectives, and Policies based on the proposals for the property, subject to the approval of the amendment authorizing market rate residential. The lease amendment will generate no negative impacts for the surrounding area.