

From: [HPB](#)
To: [Bueno, Lizbeth](#)
Cc: [Fons, Monique](#)
Subject: Fwd: MDPL Position on Deauville Overlay proposal 6/21/22
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 8:11:47 AM

Hi Lizbeth,
Can you please upload to Novus?

From: Daniel Ciraldo <daniel@mdpl.org>
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2022 2:00:31 PM
To: tkbcmbplanning@gmail.com <tkbcmbplanning@gmail.com>; brantley.planning@gmail.com <brantley.planning@gmail.com>; ycimentmb@gmail.com <ycimentmb@gmail.com>; Gayle <gayle@cfsystems.com>; belias@fowler-white.com <belias@fowler-white.com>; jonathan.planningboard@gmail.com <jonathan.planningboard@gmail.com>; gelpimiamibeach@gmail.com <gelpimiamibeach@gmail.com>; PB <PB@miamibeachfl.gov>; Tackett, Deborah <DeborahTackett@miamibeachfl.gov>
Subject: FWD: MDPL Position on Deauville Overlay proposal 6/21/22

[THIS MESSAGE COMES FROM AN EXTERNAL EMAIL - USE CAUTION WHEN REPLYING AND OPENING LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS]

Planning Board Members:

Please see below re items 16 and 17 on the 6/21/22 agenda.

North Beach Oceanside FAR Overlay (aka Deauville site proposed zoning changes)

The proposed overlay is bounded by Collins Avenue on the west, the Atlantic Ocean on the east, 6605 Collins Ave on the south and 6757 Collins on the north. **The proposed overlay includes a height increase from 200' to 375' maximum and a floor area ratio (FAR) increase from 3.0 to 4.5.**

The proposed change in height would apply to lots of at least 150,000 square feet and the proposed change in FAR would apply to lots of at least 150,000 square feet that contain a new hotel with at least 150 rooms, and that limit the number of dwelling units to no more than 75 per acre (from the allowed 150/acre).

In a practical sense, the zoning changes would only apply to the Deauville site even though there are some other unrelated parcels in the overlay which could be combined into the Deauville site. It's not clear what the impact of the overall proposal is, but what is clear is that there is **no** mention of **historic preservation**... other than the fact that the Historic Preservation Board would need to review and approve any proposal for the site since it lies fully within the North Beach Resort local historic district.

Our Concerns:

1. MDPL remains opposed to providing development incentives that discourage preservation. In the case of the Deauville, the incentives that should be considered are those that will further the preservation of the landmark property. Such preservation + new development projects have successfully occurred all over our City, including Melvin Grossman properties like the Seville (now the Edition) and the Roney (now 1 Hotel) - both of which have been adapted to meet the needs of today's most discerning traveler.

2. As currently drafted, the overlay proposes a significant increase in the total square footage and height without a clear public benefit for providing these valuable new development incentives to the property, after years of neglect by its owner. In fact, such a massive windfall may encourage "demolition by neglect" throughout our city

Miami Beach's world-class preservation laws limit what can be built when a property is demolished by neglect. This proposal increases what can be built, flying in the face of decades of strong preservation / accountability in our city

3. The proposal is not part of an adopted master plan, it excludes renderings and community input, and it makes no attempt to encourage historic preservation at the Deauville - which is substantially still intact and could be beautifully restored along with

new construction by a leading architect such as Frank Gehry

4. MDPL has reached out multiple times to the proposed new owner but has not yet been able to meet formally to review our concerns

For the reasons listed above, MDPL is opposed to the current proposal as presented at Planning Board.

Rather than rush an upzoning to the ballot with little community consensus, the city and proposed new owner should focus on bringing the existing building into compliance, while concurrently building consensus on what incentives may be needed to ensure the future resiliency of this site.

We do believe this could be an exceptional win-win for all parties, but this proposal is a long way from that ultimate goal.