MIAMIBEACH

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Staff Report & Recommendation

Historic Preservation Board

TO: Chairperson and Members DATE: May 10, 2022

Historic Preservation Board

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP

Planning Director

SUBJECT: HPB21-0498, **7418 Harding Avenue**.

An application has been filed requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of two buildings, the total demolition of one building and the construction of a new detached addition as part of a new hotel development on the site including variances from the minimum hotel unit size

and required rear setback and one or more waivers.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness with conditions. Approval of the variances with conditions.

EXISTING STRUCTURES

Local Historic District: North Shore Classification: Contributing

Construction Date: 1946

Architect: Frank Wyatt Woods

ZONING / SITE DATA

Legal Description: Lots 4 & 5, Block 3 of the Harding Townsite Subdivision,

according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County,

Florida.

Zoning: RM-1, Residential multi-family, low intensity

Future Land Use Designation: RM-1, Residential multi-family, low intensity

Lot Size: 15,000 sq. ft.

Existing FAR: 13,396 sq. ft. / 0.89 FAR Proposed FAR: 18,705 sq. ft. / 1.24 FAR

Existing Height: ~25'-0" / 2-stories
Proposed Height (addition): 55'-0" * / 5-stories
Existing Use/Condition: Residential multi-family

Proposed Use: Hotel

^{*}The Historic Preservation Board may permit a maximum height of 55'-0" per section 142-870.15(c)(1) of the City Code.

THE PROJECT

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "7418 Harding Ave", as prepared by MTTR MGMT, dated March 7, 2022.

COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE

The application for Certificate of Appropriateness, as submitted, appears to be consistent with the requirements of the City Code, with the exception of the variances requested herein.

This shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

CONSISTENCY WITH 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the proposed hotel use is **consistent** with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan.

PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY AND HARDSHIP CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that also indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

- That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;
- That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that
 is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
- That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this
 Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise
 detrimental to the public welfare; and
- That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.
- The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

- (1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.
 - **Not Satisfied**
 - A recycling or salvage plan for total demolition has not been provided.
- (2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Satisfied**
- (3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.
 - Satisfied
- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

 Satisfied
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.
 - Satisfied
- (6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

 Satisfied
- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.
 Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

 Satisfied
 - Elevating the existing finish floor level to base flood elevation plus freeboard may not be reasonably feasible given the scope of work proposed.
- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.
 - **Satisfied**
 - Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

(12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

Satisfied

Additional information shall be provided at the time of building permit review.

COMPLIANCE WITH CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS CRITERIA

A decision on an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be based upon the following:

- I. Evaluation of the compatibility of the physical alteration or improvement with surrounding properties and where applicable, compliance with the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings as revised from time to time.
 Satisfied
 - b. Other guidelines/policies/plans adopted or approved by Resolution or Ordinance by the City Commission.

Satisfied

- II. In determining whether a particular application is compatible with surrounding properties, the Board shall consider the following criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. Exterior architectural features.

Satisfied

b. General design, scale, massing and arrangement.

Not Satisfied

The proposed large pool and deck within the existing courtyard does not allow for the introduction of appropriate landscaping within this historic courtyard area.

c. Texture and material and color.

Satisfied

- d. The relationship of a, b, c, above, to other structures and features of the district. **Satisfied**
- e. The purpose for which the district was created.

Satisfied

f. The relationship of the size, design and siting of any new or reconstructed structure to the landscape of the district.

Satisfied

g. An historic resources report, containing all available data and historic documentation regarding the building, site or feature.

Satisfied

h. The original architectural design or any subsequent modifications that have acquired significance.

Satisfied

- III. The examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria pursuant to Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code and stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of any new or existing structure, public interior space and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and properties, and surrounding community. The criteria referenced above are as follows (it is recommended that the listed criteria be found Satisfied, Not Satisfied or Not Applicable, as so noted):
 - a. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
 Satisfied
 - b. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.

Not Satisfied

Variances have been requested.

c. The color, design, surface finishes and selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of the exterior of all buildings and structures and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 118-503.

Satisfied

d. The proposed structure, and/or additions to an existing structure is appropriate to and compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties, or the purposes for which the district was created.

Satisfied

e. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings and public interior spaces shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on preserving historic character of the neighborhood and district,

contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

Satisfied

f. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that any driveways and parking spaces are usable, safely and conveniently arranged and have a minimal impact on pedestrian circulation throughout the site. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with vehicular traffic flow on these roads and pedestrian movement onto and within the site, as well as permit both pedestrians and vehicles a safe ingress and egress to the site.

Satisfied

g. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and consistent with a City master plan, where applicable.

Satisfied

h. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.

Not Satisfied

The proposed large pool and deck within the existing courtyard does not allow for the introduction of appropriate landscaping within this historic courtyard area.

i. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from Structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

Satisfied

j. Any proposed new structure shall have an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Satisfied

k. All buildings shall have, to the greatest extent possible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a sidewalk, street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a sidewalk street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, or shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of a parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

Satisfied

 All buildings shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

Satisfied

- m. Any addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).
 Satisfied
- n. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an amount of transparency at the first level necessary to achieve pedestrian compatibility.

 Satisfied
- The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.
 Not Applicable

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR DEMOLITION EVALUATION CRITERIA

Section 118-564 (f)(4) of the Land Development Regulations of the Miami Beach Code provides criteria by which the Historic Preservation Board evaluates requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

a. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is designated on either a national or state level as a part of an Historic Preservation District or as a Historic Architectural Landmark or Site, or is designated pursuant to Division 4, Article X, Chapter 118 of the Miami Beach Code as a Historic Building, Historic Structure or Historic Site, Historic Improvement, Historic Landscape Feature, historic interior or the Structure is of such historic/architectural interest or quality that it would reasonably meet national, state or local criteria for such designation.

Satisfied

The existing structures are designated as Contributing within the North Shore Local Historic District.

b. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is of such design, craftsmanship, or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

Satisfied

The buildings are of such design, craftsmanship, or material that they could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or expense.

c. The Building, Structure, Improvement, or Site is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the country, or the region, or is a distinctive example of an architectural or design style which contributes to the character of the district.

Satisfied

The existing buildings are distinctive examples of the Post War Modern style of architecture which contributes to the district.

d. The building, structure, improvement, or site is a contributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature rather than a noncontributing building, structure, improvement, site or landscape feature in a historic district as defined in section 114-1, or is an architecturally significant feature of a public area of the interior of a historic or contributing building.

Satisfied

The subject buildings are classified as Contributing buildings in the Miami Beach Historic Properties Database.

e. Retention of the Building, Structure, Improvement, Landscape Feature or Site promotes the general welfare of the City by providing an opportunity for study of local history, architecture and design or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage.

Satisfied

The retention of the buildings is critical to developing an understanding of an important Miami Beach architectural style.

f. If the proposed demolition is for the purpose of constructing a parking garage, the Board shall consider it if the parking garage is designed in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, U.S. Department of the Interior (1983), as amended, and/or the design review guidelines for that particular district.

Not Applicable

The demolition proposed in the subject application is not for the purpose of constructing a parking garage.

g. In the event an applicant or property owner proposes the total demolition of a contributing structure, historic structure or architecturally significant feature, there shall be definite plans presented to the board for the reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is approved and carried out.

Satisfied

The applicant has presented plans as part of this application for the replacement of the Contributing building to be demolished.

h. The Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has ordered the demolition of a Structure without option.

Not Applicable

The Miami Dade County Unsafe Structures Board has not ordered the demolition of the structure.

ANALYSIS

The subject collection of buildings, originally known as the Harding Apartments, was constructed in 1946 and designed by Frank Wyatt Woods in the Post War Modern style of architecture. The site contains three similarly designed buildings arranged around a central courtyard fronting onto Harding Avenue.

The applicant is currently proposing the construction of a detached 5-story addition at the rear of the site as part of a new hotel development. The proposed project consists of 48 hotel units and a new courtyard pool and deck. In order to construct the new addition, the applicant is proposing the total demolition of the rear building located along Harding Court (the alley). The two buildings that front Harding Avenue are proposed to be retained and substantially restored including the replacement of the through-the-wall air conditioning units with central air conditioning and the replacement of the existing non-original windows with new impact resistant windows with an historically accurate muntin configuration.

Staff is supportive of the contemporary design language of the proposed addition, which incorporates variations in surface materials and changes in plane. Additionally, the proposed

location of the addition along the rear of the site, setback approximately 110'-0" from the Harding Avenue property line minimizes its impact on the existing Contributing Buildings on the site and the surrounding historic district. Additionally, the applicant is proposing to construct a new slightly elevated pool and deck within the existing courtyard. Staff certainly understands the desire to provide amenities for hotel guests, however, staff is concerned with the limited landscaping proposed within this area. Consequently, staff recommends that the pool and deck be reduced in size in order to introduce additional landscaping including trees.

Finally, the applicant is requesting a waiver of the off-street loading space requirements outlined in Section 130-101 of the City Code. The project is required to provide one off-street loading space. As currently proposed, the applicant is providing one off-street loading space along the alley which requires a rear setback variance. The Historic Preservation Board may waive the requirements for off-street loading spaces for properties containing a Contributing structure, provided that a detailed plan delineating on-street loading is approved by the Parking Department prior to the issuance of a building permit. The applicant has preliminarily met with the Parking Department and will submit an on-street loading plan as required. Consequently, staff does not object to the granting of this waiver, however, if the Board grants the waiver, staff recommends that the loading space along the alley be replaced with additional landscaping.

VARIANCE ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting the following variances:

1. A variance to reduce by 30 to 100 sq. ft. the minimum required unit size of 300 sq. ft. for units in Contributing buildings which are substantially retained and restored in order to provide hotel units that range in size from 200 to 270 sq. ft. Variance requested from:

Sec. 142-870.15. – Development regulations and area requirements.

(b) The unit size requirements for the North Beach National Register Overlay district are as follows:

Minimum Unit Size (Square Feet): "Contributing" buildings which are substantially retained and restored—300

The applicant is proposing to retain and substantially restore two Contributing buildings including the reconfiguration of the existing large multi-family units into smaller hotel units ranging in size from 200 to 270 sq. ft. Staff would note that the RM-1 zoning district regulations allow for the retention of existing hotel units sizes of at least 200 sq. ft. Since these buildings were originally constructed as apartments, the 200 sq. ft. unit size would not apply. Additionally, hotel units within rooftop additions to Contributing buildings are also permitted with a minimum unit size of 200 sq. ft.. Further, staff would note that the hotel units located within the proposed addition comply with the minimum unit size requirements. Compliance with the minimum hotel unit size of 300 sq. ft. may conflict with existing window openings requiring modifications to the original design. As such, staff finds that the retention of two Contributing buildings on the site creates the practical difficulties that justify the variance requested.

2. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required rear setback of 5'-0" in order to provide on-site passenger drop-off and loading spaces at a zero (0'-0") setback from the west side property line. Variance requested from:

Sec. 142-870.15. – Development regulations and area requirements.

(e) The setback requirements for all buildings located in the RM-1 district within the North Beach National Register Overlay district are as follows:

(1) Setbacks for at-grade parking and subterranean levels, if permitted, shall be the same as set forth in section 142-156.

Sec. 142-156. – Setback requirements.

(a) The setback requirements for the RM-1 residential multifamily, low density district are as follows:

At-grade parking lot on the same lot, Rear: 5 feet abutting an alley

Two passenger drop-off spaces and one loading space are proposed to be introduced along the alley (Harding Court) with a zero (0'-0") setback from the rear property line. Staff believes that the proposed location of these spaces along the alley is the most appropriate option for this primarily residential neighborhood. Further, staff would note that the applicant is requesting a waiver of the on-site loading requirement and if granted by the Board, staff is recommending that the loading space be replaced with additional landscaping. Consequently, staff finds that the unique condition of a hotel in a primarily residential neighborhood satisfies the practical difficulties ciritera for approval.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the request for a Certificate of Appropriateness and variances be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Certificate of Appropriateness criteria and Hardship and Practical Difficulties criteria, as applicable.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: May 10, 2022

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 7418 Harding Avenue / 02-3202-003-0270

FILE NO: HPB21-0498

IN RE: An application has been filed by 7418 Harding Ave LLC requesting a

Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of two buildings, the total demolition of one building and the construction of a new detached addition as part of a new hotel development on the site including variances from the minimum hotel unit size and

required rear setback and one or more waivers.

LEGAL: Lots 4 & 5, Block 3 of the Harding Townsite Subdivision, according to the

plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 34, Page 4, of the Public Records of

Miami-Dade County, Florida.

ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the North Shore Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria (1) in Section 133-50(a) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 2. Is consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(a)(1) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 3. Is not consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' in Section 118-564(a)(2) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria 'b' & 'h' in Section 118-564(a)(3) of the Miami Beach Code.
 - 5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in Section 118-564(f)(4) of the Miami Beach Code.

Page 2 of 7 HPB21-0498

Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of section 118-564 and 133-50(a) if the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Revised elevation, site plan and floor plan drawings shall be submitted and, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The remaining structures on site shall be fully renovated and restored, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board; at a minimum, this shall include the following:
 - i. All through-the-wall and through-the-window air conditioning units shall be removed and replaced with a central air conditioning system, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - ii. The existing non-original windows shall be replaced with new impact resistant windows and shall incorporate a muntin configuration that is consistent with available historical documentation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. The pool and deck shall be reduced in size in order to introduce additional landscaping including trees, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - c. The proposed loading space shall be replaced with additional landscaping, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. A plaque or historic display describing the history and evolution of the buildings shall be placed on the site and shall be located in a manner visible from the right of way, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - e. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

Page 3 of 7 HPB21-0498

Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

- 2. In accordance with Section 130-101(d) of the City Code, the requirement pertaining to providing off-street loading spaces is hereby waived, provided that a detailed plan delineating on-street loading is approved by the Parking Department.
- 3. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. Prior to issuance of a Building Permit, the applicant shall provide a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Arborist for any existing canopy shade trees with a DBH of 3" or greater located in public or private property, which may be scheduled for removal or relocation for the review and approval of the City of Miami Beach Urban Forester.
 - b. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.
 - c. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
 - d. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

In accordance with Section 118-537, the applicant, the owner(s) of the subject property, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special master appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s) which were either approved by the Board with modifications, or denied:
 - 1. A variance to reduce by 30 to 100 sq. ft. the minimum required unit size of 300 sq. ft. for units in Contributing buildings which are substantially retained and restored in order to provide hotel units that range in size from 200 to 270 sq. ft.
 - 2. A variance to reduce by 5'-0" the minimum required rear setback of 5'-0" in order to provide on-site passenger drop-off and loading spaces at a zero (0'-0") setback from the west side property line.
- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that indicate the

Page 4 of 7 HPB21-0498

Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

- C. The Board hereby **Approves** the requested variances and imposes the following conditions based on its authority in Section 118-354 of the Miami Beach City Code:
 - Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

- III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.
 - A. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.:

Page 5 of 7 HPB21-0498

Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.

- B. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements, if applicable. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- C. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- D. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- E. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- F. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- G. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- H. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- I. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- J. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- K. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- L. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans

Page 6 of 7 HPB21-0498

Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II,III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "7418 Harding Ave", as prepared by MTTR MGMT, dated March 7, 2022, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Chapter 118 of the City Code; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 118 of the City Code, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 118 of the City Code, for revocation or modification of the application.

Dated this day of , 20	Dated this	day of	, 20
------------------------	------------	--------	------

Page 7 of 7 HPB21-0498 Meeting Date: May 10, 2022

	BY:	 JRE OFFICEF	₹
STATE OF FLORIDA)		
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)SS)		
Officer, Planning Departmen	was acknowledged before me this 20 by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservt, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Munice is personally known to me.	vation & Archi	
	NOTARY PUBLIC Miami-Dade County, Florida My commission expires:		-
Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office:)	
Filed with the Clerk of the His	storic Preservation Board on	(,