

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT Staff Report & Recommendation

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members DATE: October 20, 2021

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AIC

**Planning Director** 

SUBJECT: DRB21-0713

7921 Abbott Avenue

An application has been filed requesting a side setback variance for the installation of air conditioning equipment for an existing single story multifamily building.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval with conditions.

#### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

Lot 4, Block 8, of ALTOS DEL MAR NO. 3, according to Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 8, Page 41 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

At the October 5, 2021 meeting this application was continued to a date certain of October 20, 2021 due to the excess number of applications on the agenda.

SITE DATA: SURROUNDING PROPERTIES:

Zoning: RM-1 East: 2-Story multifamily building
Future Land Use: RM North: 2-story 1939 multifamily building
Existing Unit Count: 6 | No increase
Lot Size: 5,625 SF West: 2-story 1937 and 1952 multifamily

buildings

**Design Review Board** 

## **EXISTING STRUCTURE:**

Architect: Gilbert M. Fein Year of Construction: 1949

## **THE PROJECT:**

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Proposed Mimo on the Beach IX Condo", as prepared by **Krel Design LLC** dated June 1, 2021.

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

1. A variance to reduce by a range of 2 feet to 3.5 feet the minimum required interior side setback of 5'-0" in order to install a series of condensing units with interior setbacks ranging from 1.5 feet to 3 feet along the north interior side yard.

# **COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be consistent with the City Code, with the exception of the requested variance.

Any noted <u>comments shall not be considered final zoning review</u> or approval. All zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

# PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY AND HARDSHIP CRITERIA

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that staff has concluded satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

Additionally, staff has concluded that the plans and documents with the application comply with the following, as they relate to the requirements of Section 118-353(d), Miami Beach City Code with the exception of variance #5, as noted above:

- That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;
- That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;
- That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;
- That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;
- That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;
- That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose
  of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or
  otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and
- That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.
- The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 133, article II, as applicable.

#### **COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA:**

Design Review encompasses the examination of architectural drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below with regard to the aesthetics, appearances, safety, and function of the structure or proposed structures in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. Staff recommends that the following criteria are found to be satisfied, not satisfied or not applicable, as hereto indicated:

- The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.
   Satisfied; However, the applicant is requesting a variance for the installation of condensing units within the north side yard.
- The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.
   Satisfied; However, the applicant is requesting a variance for the installation of condensing units within the north side yard.
- 3. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.
  Satisfied; However, the applicant is requesting a variance for the installation of condensing units within the north side yard.
- 4. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of Exterior Building surfaces and primary public interior areas for Developments requiring a Building Permit in areas of the City identified in section 118-252.
  Not Satisfied; See Staff Analysis. As the site is extensively paved, reduction in hardscape and replacement with landscaping, to the greatest extent possible is recommended in order to mitigate the variance request and enhance the appearance of the property and surrounding neighborhood.
- 5. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing Buildings and Structures are in conformity with the standards of this Ordinance and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the Design Review Board and Historic Preservation Boards, and all pertinent master plans.

  Not Satisfied; See No. 4 above.
- 6. The proposed Structure, and/or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent Structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.

  Not Satisfied; See No. 4 above.
- 7. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent Buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.

  Not Satisfied; See No. 4 above.

8. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safely and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the Site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the Site.

Not Satisfied; See No. 4 above.

9. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.

Not Applicable

10. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall Site Plan design.

Not Satisfied; See No. 4 above.

11. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.

**Not Applicable** 

12. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).

Not Applicable

13. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.

**Not Applicable** 

14. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.

**Not Applicable** 

15. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).

Not Applicable

16. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.

Not Applicable

17. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.

Satisfied; provided the trash area is screened from view from the right-of-way.

18. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection [118-]104(6)(t) of the city Code shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights-of-way.

**Not Applicable** 

19. The structure and site complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in Chapter 133, Article II, as applicable.

**Not Applicable** 

## COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA

Section 133-50(a) of the Land Development establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

(1) A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.

## **Not Applicable**

(2) Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows. **Satisfied** 

(3) Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.

## Satisfied

- (4) Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 126 of the City Code.

  Not Satisfied; however the applicant has agreed to submit a landscape plan which will minimize the extent of paving on the site to the extent possible.
- (5) The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.

# **Not Applicable**

(6) The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide

sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.

## **Not Applicable**

- (7) In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.
  - Satisfied
- (8) Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.

## **Not Applicable**

- (9) When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.
  - **Not Applicable**
- (10) In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.

#### **Not Satisfied**

(11) Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.

#### **Not Applicable**

(12) The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.

<u>Not Satisfied:</u> As the exterior of the property is nearly completely paved, removal of hardscape areas to the extent possible and replacement with landscaping will help reduce the heat island effect on the site.

## **STAFF ANALYSIS:**

## **VARIANCE REVIEW**

The existing single story 6-unit apartment building was constructed in 1949 and designed by architect Gilbert Fein. Typical of low-scale buildings constructed during this period, the building has minimal setbacks of 5 feet on the north side, 5 feet on the rear, 7.8 feet on the south side, and approximately 10 feet in the front. With the exception of the unit facing the street, all units are thru units, with the main entrances located along the south side (with the greater setback), and a service entrance at the rear of the units (located along the north side of the building). The building also contains a painted raised brick (slump brick) planter that wraps the southwest corner of the building.

The applicant is requesting the following variance:

- 1. A variance to reduce by a range of 2 feet to 3.5 feet the minimum required interior side setback of 5'-0" in order to install a series of condensing units with interior setbacks ranging from 1.5 feet to 3 feet along the north interior side yard.
  - Variance requested from:

# <u>Sec. 142-1132. Allowable encroachments within required yards for districts other than single-family districts.</u>

- (f) Central air conditioners, emergency generators, swimming pool equipment, and other mechanical equipment. Accessory central air conditioners, generators, swimming pool equipment, and any other mechanical equipment, including attached screening elements, may occupy a required side or rear yard, in townhome or in the RM-1 residential multifamily low intensity districts only, provided that:
- (1) <u>They are not closer than five feet to a rear or interior side lot line</u> or ten feet to a side lot line facing a street.
- (2) The maximum height of the equipment including attached screening elements, shall not exceed five feet above current flood elevation, with a maximum height not to exceed ten feet above grade, as defined in subsection 114-1, of the lot at which they are located.
- (3) If visible from the right-of-way, physical and/or landscape screening shall be required.
- (4) Any required sound buffering equipment shall comply with the setback requirements specified in subsection (f)(1) of this section.
- (5) If the central air conditioning and other mechanical equipment do not conform to subsections (1), (2), (3), and (4) above, then such equipment shall follow the setbacks of the main structure.

According to the building card, the structure was originally constructed without air conditioning, and wall units were added to the building starting in 1962. As part of the renovation of the building, the applicant is requesting approval to install condensing units within the north (service) side yard of the building. The abutting property to the north is comprised of a single-story building and the property to the south is comprised of a 2-story building.

Staff is supportive of the applicant's request due to the original conditions of the property, with minimal setbacks that present practical difficulties in providing central air-conditioning while also complying with the required five (5') foot side setback for mechanical equipment. The location on the north side is the most appropriate location for such equipment, as it is screened from view from the abutting single-story structure with a solid fence. Locating the equipment on the south side would result in the units being visible from the second story of the abutting building to the south. Locating such equipment on the roof would also result in greater visibility from the street and surrounding properties.

While supportive of the variance request, staff would further recommend that the extent of paving on the south side yard be reduced to the greatest extent possible. Staff recommends that the lighter color concrete (as seen in the provided photos) be removed and replaced with in ground landscaping, subject to the review and approval of staff. Staff would also recommend that the existing minimal landscaping with the existing planter be enhanced or replaced with new landscaping

In summary, staff is supportive of the application due to the practical difficulties associated in adding necessary central air conditioning equipment to a building and site that was not originally designed for such equipment. With the additional landscaping, as recommended by

staff and agreed to by the applicant, the resulting renovation will enhance the appearance of the property and the surrounding neighborhood.

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends <u>approval</u> of the noted variances, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review criteria, Sea Level Rise criteria and Practical Difficulty and Hardship criteria.