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July 1, 2021

Mr. Firat Akcay, M.S.C.E, MBA
City of Miami Beach, Transportation and Mobility Department
1688 Meridian Avenue, Suite 801
Miami Beach, FL 33139

Re: 5333 Collins Avenue
Traffic, Valet, and Maneuverability Assessment
Dear Mr. Akcay:
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has prepared a traffic assessment for the proposed redevelopment of the property located at 5333 Collins Avenue in Miami Beach, Florida. A project location map and conceptual site plan are included in Attachment A-1. Currently, the existing site is occupied by 121 multifamily residential units. The proposed redevelopment includes 100 multifamily residential units and 220 square feet of retail. Note that the 220 square feet of retail are not expected to be open to the public. However, to provide for a conservative analysis it was included in the trip generation calculations. Trip generation calculations, valet operations analysis, maneuverability analysis, and transportation demand management strategies were included as part of the traffic assessment, consistent with the approved methodology. Location map, site plan, and methodology correspondence are included in Attachment A-1. The following sections summarize the traffic assessment.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation calculations for the existing development and the proposed redevelopment were performed using Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The trip generation for the existing development was determined using ITE Land Use Code (LUC) 222 (Multifamily Housing [High- Rise]). The trip generation for the proposed redevelopment was determined using ITE LUC 222 (Multifamily Housing [High-Rise]) and LUC 820 (Shopping Center). Project trips were estimated for the weekday A.M. and P.M. peak hours.

A multimodal (public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian) factor based on US Census Means of Transportation to Work data was reviewed for the census tracts in the vicinity of the site. The US Census data indicated that there is a 2.9 percent ( $2.9 \%$ ) multimodal factor within the vicinity of the site. It is expected that a portion of residents, employees, guests, and patrons will choose to walk, bike, or use public transit to and from the site.

Internal capture is expected between complementary land uses within the project. Internal capture trips for the project were determined based upon methodology contained in the ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition. An internal capture rate of 4.2 percent (4.2\%) is expected for the proposed redevelopment during the P.M. peak hour.

The project is expected to result in a reduction of six (6) net new vehicle trips during the weekday A.M. peak hour and a reduction of five (5) net new vehicle trips during the weekday P.M. peak hour. Detailed trip generation calculations are included as Attachment B-1.
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## VALET SERVICE AND OPERATIONS

The redevelopment will be served by one (1) valet drop-off/pick-up area located on-site just east of Collins Avenue. Access to the valet drop-off/pick-up area will be provided via one (1) right-in only driveway located along Collins Avenue just south of 5330 Block and one (1) right-out only driveway located along Collins Avenue just north of 5330 Block. The valet drop-off/pick-up area consists of one (1) valet drop-off/pick-up lane with storage for approximately four (4) vehicles and one (1) by-pass lane. It is assumed that three (3) spaces will be used for valet operations and one (1) space will be used for taxi/rideshare vehicles.

Note that the valet drop-off and pick-up routes will be contained on-site and are not expected to impact the external roadway network. The valet drop-off route consists of vehicles exiting the valet drop-off/pick-up area and entering the proposed on-site parking garage. The valet pick-up route consists of vehicles exiting the proposed on-site parking garage and entering the valet drop-off/pick-up area. Refer to the valet routing and queuing plan in Attachment C-1.

## VALET OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

The valet queuing operations analysis was performed based on the methodology outlined in ITE's Transportation and Land Development, 1988. The analysis was performed to determine if valet operations could accommodate vehicular queues without blocking travel lanes on Collins Avenue. Valet operations were analyzed for the number of valet attendants and required vehicle stacking for the project's anticipated valet trip generation.

Note that the proposed on-site parking garage includes a total of 183 parking spaces consisting of 52 mechanical-lift tandem parking spaces, 16 mechanical-lift parking spaces, 32 tandem parking spaces, 73 conventional parking spaces, six (6) ADA parking spaces, and four (4) electrical charging spaces. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that all vehicles will self-park with the exception of vehicles utilizing the mechanical-lift or tandem parking spaces which are assumed to be valeted. Therefore, it was assumed that 55 percent ( $55 \%$ ) of the total external vehicle trips will be valeted.

The valet analysis was prepared for the highest demand scenario (P.M. peak hour). The proposed redevelopment is expected to generate 25 valet trips during the P.M. peak hour, of which 15 enter the site and 10 exit the site. Detailed trip generation calculations are included in Attachment B-1.

## Valet Assumptions

The queuing analysis used the multiple-channel waiting line model with Poisson arrivals and exponential service times. The queuing analysis is based on the coefficient of utilization, $\rho$, which is the ratio of the average vehicle arrival rate over the average service rate multiplied by the number of channels.

Valet attendants will be stationed at the valet drop-off/pick-up area. Valet drop-off trip service time was calculated based on the time it would take a valet parking attendant to obtain and park a drop-off vehicle within the on-site parking garage and return to the on-site valet drop-off/pick-up area. Valet pick-up trip service time was calculated based on the time it would take a valet parking attendant to bring a parked vehicle back to a patron at the valet pick-up area. Note that the average mechanical-lift processing time was based on the Klaus Model G61 vehicle lift. The average mechanical-lift and tandem processing time was based on the average processing times of parking and retrieving vehicles from all the various positions within the mechanical-lift and tandem system. The detailed mechanical-lift and tandem
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processing time analysis is contained in Attachment C-1. The following summarizes the total valet dropoff and pick-up service times.

The service time for valet drop-off operation corresponds to the following:

- Exchange between valet attendant and driver ( 0.5 minutes)
- Valet attendant drives vehicle from valet drop-off area to on-site parking garage ( 0.7 minutes)
- Valet attendant parks vehicle using mechanical-lift or tandem system (1.5 minutes)
- Valet attendant returns to valet station ( 1.3 minute)
- Total service rate: 4.0 minutes

The service time for valet pick-off operation corresponds to the following:

- Valet attendant proceeds to the garage to retrieve the vehicle (1.3 minutes)
- Valet attendant retrieves and moves vehicle from mechanical-lift or tandem system (1.4 minutes)
- Valet attendant drives vehicle from on-site parking garage to the valet pick-up area ( 0.7 minutes)
- Exchange between valet attendant and driver ( 0.5 minutes)
- Total service rate: 3.9 minutes

The calculated average service time for vehicles valeted from the valet drop-off/pick-up area is 4.0 minutes for valet drop-off and 3.9 minutes for valet pick-up. Detailed travel time calculations are included in Attachment D-1.

If the coefficient of utilization (average service rate/valet attendant service capacity) is greater than one (>1), the calculation methodology does not yield a finite queue length. This result indicates overcapacity conditions for the valet area. The valet attendant service capacity is the number of total trips a valet attendant can make in a one-hour period multiplied by the number of valet attendants.

The analysis determined the required queue storage, $M$, which is exceeded $P$ percent of the time. This analysis seeks to ensure that the queue length does not exceed the storage provided at a level of confidence of 95 percent ( $95 \%$ ). Three (3) vehicle drop-off/pick-up spaces are provided for valet operations.

## Valet Analysis

An iterative approach was used to determine the number of valet attendants required to accommodate the proposed development demand during the analysis hour and ensure that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile valet queue does not extend beyond the designated valet service area. Detailed valet analysis worksheets are provided in Attachment D-1.

Results valet operations analysis demonstrate that three (3) valet attendants would be required at the valet drop-off/pick-up area to not exceed the vehicle drop-off/pick-up storage during the P.M. peak hour.

## MANEUVERABILITY ANALYSIS

The maneuverability analysis was prepared for the access to the parking garage, valet porte-cochere drop-off area, parking garage, loading, and refuse areas. The analysis was performed using Transoft's AutoTurn 10 software design vehicle turning templates and vehicle turning templates consistent with American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO), A Policy on Geometric

## Kimley»"Horn

Design of Highways and Streets, 2004/2011/2018. The analysis was prepared using passenger car (P) design vehicles for the parking garage access, parking garage, and valet porte-cochere area. Delivery vans comparable to P design vehicles and front-loaded refuse vehicles were used for deliveries and loading activities and refuse collection in the loading area. The following summarizes the results of this analysis.

## PARKING GARAGE AND VALET PORTE-COCHERE ACCESS

Access to the on-site valet porte-cochere and parking garage is provided via one (1) right-in only driveway located along Collins Avenue just south of 5330 Block and one (1) right-out only driveway located along Collins Avenue just north of 5330 Block. A P design vehicle will be able to maneuver into and through the valet porte-cochere area and into, around, and out of the parking garage without conflicting with oncoming traffic, refer to Attachment E-1.

## LOADING AREA AND ON-SITE REFUSE ACCESS

Access to the loading, delivery, and refuse collection area is provided by the one (1) right-in only driveway located along Collins Avenue just south of 5330 Block and the one (1) right-out only driveway located along Collins Avenue just north of 5330 Block. Delivery vans comparable to P vehicles and front-loaded refuse vehicles will to able to maneuver into the loading area and out of the on-site loading area, refer to Attachment F-1.

## TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies are proposed to reduce the impacts of the project traffic on the surrounding roadway network. Typical measures promote bicycling and walking, encourage car/vanpooling and offer alternatives to the typical workday hours. Additionally, the applicant will commit to providing the following incentives including:

- Provide 160 long-term bicycle parking spaces (secured)
- Provide wide hallways that can accommodate bikes
- Provide elevators that can accommodate bikes
- Provide bike workroom/shop
- Provide bike washing stations
- Provide shower facility bicyclists can use on-site
- Designated scooter/motorcycle parking spaces

Additionally, please note that a Citi Bike station with nine (9) bicycle docks is located along the west side of Collins Avenue just north of 5300 Block.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the valet operations analysis performed, it was determined that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues will not extend beyond the valet service areas and onto Collins Avenue. Based upon the conservative assumptions applied, it was estimated that three (3) valet attendants would be required at the valet drop-off area/pick-up area during the P.M. peak period. It should be noted that projected vehicular volumes and estimated valet processing times were conservatively assumed in the analysis. If it is determined that valet processing times can be performed more efficiently and/or actual traffic volumes are lower than projected, a reduced number of valet attendants may be adequate to serve the site.

## Kimley»Horn

Additionally, passenger vehicles, loading vehicles, and refuse vehicles will be able to ingress, egress, and travel through the site and loading areas without major conflicts with oncoming traffic.

Furthermore, the applicant will commit to providing the following TDM incentives including:

- Provide 160 long-term bicycle parking spaces (secured)
- Provide wide hallways that can accommodate bikes
- Provide elevators that can accommodate bikes
- Provide bike workroom/shop
- Provide bike washing stations
- Provide shower facility bicyclists can use on-site
- Designated scooter/motorcycle parking spaces

Sincerely,
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.


Adrian K. Dabkowski, P.E., PTOE Vice President

Attachments
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## Attachment A-1 <br> Project Location Map, Site Plan, and Methodology Correspondence

## Project Location Map and Site Plan




## Methodology Correspondence
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## Memorandum

To: Firat Akcay<br>City of Miami Beach<br>Cc: Josiel Ferrer, P.E., City of Miami Beach<br>From: Adrian K. Dabkowski, P.E., PTOE<br>Raquel Selanikio, E.I. RS

Date: May 11, 2021

## Subject: 5333 Collins Avenue Redevelopment Traffic Assessment Methodology

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the traffic assessment methodology for the proposed redevelopment of the property located at 5333 Collins Avenue in Miami Beach, Florida. Currently, the existing site is currently occupied by 121 multifamily residential units. The proposed redevelopment includes 100 multifamily residential units and 200 square feet of retail. Note that the 200 square feet of retail are not expected to be open to the public. However, to provide for a conservative analysis it was included in the trip generation calculations. A project location map and conceptual site plan are included in Attachment A. The following sections summarize our proposed methodology.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation calculations for the proposed redevelopment were performed using Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The trip generation for the existing development was determined using ITE Land Use Code (LUC) 222 (Multifamily Housing [HighRise]). The trip generation for the proposed redevelopment was determined using ITE LUC 222 (Multifamily Housing [High-Rise]) and LUC 820 (Shopping Center). Project trips were estimated for the weekday A.M. and P.M. peak hours.

A multimodal (public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian) factor based on US Census Means of Transportation to Work data was reviewed for the census tracts in the vicinity of the site. The US Census data indicated that there is a 2.9 percent ( $2.9 \%$ ) multimodal factor within the vicinity of the site. It is expected that a portion of residents, employees, guests, and patrons will choose to walk, bike, or use public transit to and from the site.

Internal capture is expected between complementary land uses within the project. Internal capture trips for the project were determined based upon methodology contained in the ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition. An internal capture rate of 4.3 percent ( $4.3 \%$ ) is expected for the proposed development during the P.M. peak hour.

The project is expected to generate a reduction of six (6) net new vehicle trips during the weekday A.M. peak hour and a reduction of five (5) net new vehicle trips during the weekday P.M. peak hour. Detailed trip generation calculations are included as Attachment B.
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## INTERNAL CIRCULATION

A figure depicting internal circulation and valet routes will be provided.

## VALET ANALYSIS

A valet operations queuing analysis will be prepared for the vehicle drop-off/pick-up area to ensure that queues do not spill back into public right-of-way.

Trip generation estimates will be utilized to provide for the highest demand scenario. The valet operations queuing analysis will be conducted consistent with procedures described in ITE's Transportation and Land Development, 1988. A traffic circulation figure will be prepared to illustrate the valet routes to and from the vehicle drop-off/pick-up area.

## MANEUVERABILITY ANALYSIS

A maneuverabilty analysis for the parking garage and site loading areas will be performed utilizing Transoft Solutions' AutoTURN software.

## TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies will be developed to reduce the impact of project traffic on the surrounding roadway network and promote trip reduction. Typical measures promote bicycling and walking, encourage car/vanpooling and offer alternatives to the typical workday hours.

## DOCUMENTATION

The results of the traffic assessment will be summarized in a technical letter. The technical letter will include supporting documents including trip generation calculations, the required number of valet attendants under both typical and highest demand, deficiencies related to maneuverability, traffic flow, and vehicular conflicts, and text and graphics necessary to summarize the assumptions and analysis. An electronic copy of the technical letter will be provided as part of the submittal package.
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## Attachment A

## Project Location Map and Site Plan
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## Attachment B Trip Generation Calculations

## AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON

EXISTING WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


PROPOSED WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON

EXISTING WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


PROPOSED WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


# Internal Capture Reduction Calculations 

Methodology for A.M. Peak Hour and P.M. Peak Hour based on the Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers

Methodology for Daily
based on the average of the Unconstrained Rates for the A.M. Peak Hour and P.M. Peak Hour

## SUMMARY (PROPOSED)



## MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

Note: This is a modified view of the original table produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. This download or printed version may have missing information from the original table.

| $(11+19) / 1038=2.9 \%$ | Census Tract 39.22, Miami-Dade County, Florida |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Label | Estimate | Margin of Error |
| $\checkmark$ Total: | 1,038 | $\pm 290$ |
| $\checkmark$ Car, truck, or van: | 777 | $\pm 204$ |
| Drove alone | 594 | $\pm 173$ |
| $\checkmark$ Carpooled: | 183 | $\pm 135$ |
| In 2-person carpool | 143 | $\pm 126$ |
| In 3-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| In 4-person carpool | 40 | $\pm 34$ |
| In 5- or 6-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| In 7-or-more-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| $\checkmark$ Public transportation (excluding taxicab): | 11 | $\pm 19$ |
| Bus | 11 | $\pm 19$ |
| Subway or elevated rail | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Long-distance train or commuter rail | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Light rail, streetcar or trolley (carro público in Puerto Rico) | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Ferryboat | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Taxicab | 89 | $\pm 109$ |
| Motorcycle | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Bicycle | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Walked | 19 | $\pm 31$ |
| Other means | 18 | $\pm 22$ |
| Worked from home | 124 | $\pm 89$ |

# MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK 

## Survey/Program:

American Community Survey
Universe:
Workers 16 years and over
Year:
2019
Estimates:
5-Year
Table ID:
B08301
Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
2019 ACS data products include updates to several categories of the existing means of transportation question. For more information, see: Change to Means of Transportation.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.
The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Explanation of Symbols:
An "**" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.
An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution. An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
An " N " entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
An " $(X)$ " means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

## Attachment B-1 Trip Generation

## AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON

EXISTING WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION

|  |  | ITE TRIP GENERATION CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  | DIRECTIONALDISTRIBUTION |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BASELINE } \\ \text { TRIPS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | MULTIMODAL REDUCTION |  | GROSS TRIPS |  |  | INTERNAL CAPTURE |  | EXTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS |  |  | PASS-BY CAPTURE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET NEW } \\ \text { EXTERNAL TRIPS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Land Use | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { Edition } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { TiE } \\ \text { code } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Scale | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units } \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | In | Out | Total | Percent | $\underset{\text { Trips }}{\substack{\text { Trips }}}$ | In | Out | Total | Percent | $\underset{\text { Trips }}{\text { Tripe }}$ | In | Out | Total | Percent | $\underset{\substack{\text { PB } \\ \text { Trips }}}{ }$ | In | ut | Total |
|  | 1 | Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) | 10 | 222 | 121 | du | 24\% | 76\% | 11 | 36 | 47 | 2.9\% | 1 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 0.0\% | 0 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 0.0\% | 0 | 11 | 35 | 46 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ITE Land Use Code |  |  | r Equ |  |  | Total: | 11 | 36 | 47 | 2.9\% | 1 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 0.0\% | 0 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 0.0\% | 0 | 11 | 35 | 46 |

## PROPOSED WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION



EXISTING WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


## PROPOSED WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION



# Internal Capture Reduction Calculations 

Methodology for A.M. Peak Hour and P.M. Peak Hour
based on the Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers
Methodology for Daily
based on the average of the Unconstrained Rates for the A.M. Peak Hour and P.M. Peak Hour

## SUMMARY (PROPOSED)



## MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

Note: This is a modified view of the original table produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. This download or printed version may have missing information from the original table.

| $(11+19) / 1038=2.9 \%$ | Census Tract 39.22, Miami-Dade County, Florida |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Label | Estimate | Margin of Error |
| $\checkmark$ Total: | 1,038 | $\pm 290$ |
| $\checkmark$ Car, truck, or van: | 777 | $\pm 204$ |
| Drove alone | 594 | $\pm 173$ |
| $\checkmark$ Carpooled: | 183 | $\pm 135$ |
| In 2-person carpool | 143 | $\pm 126$ |
| In 3-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| In 4-person carpool | 40 | $\pm 34$ |
| In 5- or 6-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| In 7-or-more-person carpool | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| $\checkmark$ Public transportation (excluding taxicab): | 11 | $\pm 19$ |
| Bus | 11 | $\pm 19$ |
| Subway or elevated rail | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Long-distance train or commuter rail | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Light rail, streetcar or trolley (carro público in Puerto Rico) | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Ferryboat | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Taxicab | 89 | $\pm 109$ |
| Motorcycle | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Bicycle | 0 | $\pm 14$ |
| Walked | 19 | $\pm 31$ |
| Other means | 18 | $\pm 22$ |
| Worked from home | 124 | $\pm 89$ |

# MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK 

## Survey/Program:

American Community Survey
Universe:
Workers 16 years and over
Year:
2019
Estimates:
5-Year
Table ID:
B08301
Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
2019 ACS data products include updates to several categories of the existing means of transportation question. For more information, see: Change to Means of Transportation.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.
The 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the September 2018 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Explanation of Symbols:
An "**" entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
An "-" entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution, or the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.
An "-" following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution. An "+" following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An "***" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.
An "*****" entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
An " N " entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
An " $(X)$ " means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Attachment C-1 Valet Routing and Queueing Plan


## Attachment D-1 Valet Analysis

## Valet Processing Time

| 5333 Collins On-Site Parking Calculated Average Travel Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| VALET DROP-OFF |  |
| VEHICLE TRAVEL TIME <br> Travel Times (Assume) <br> 15 mph speed) <br> To Valet Garage (In vehicle) <br> Distance <br> Travel Time <br> 0.16 miles <br> 0.7 minutes <br> Controlled Delay 0.5 Minutes <br> Average Mechanical-Lift/Tandem Processing Time 1.5 Minutes <br> Total Time 4.0 Minutes | VALET ATTENDANT TRAVEL TIME <br> Travel Times (Assume) $5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ speed) <br> Return from Valet Garage (Walk/Run) to Valet Area <br> Distance <br> Travel Time <br> 0.08 miles <br> 1.3 minutes |


| 5333 Collins On-Site Parking Calculated Average Travel Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| VALET PICK-UP |  |
|  | VEHICLE TRAVEL TIME Travel Times (Assume) 15 mph speed) Return from Valet Garage (In Vehicle) to Valet Area Distance 0.18 miles Travel Time 0.7 minutes |

## Vehicle Processing Scenarios

| Mechanical Lift Layout | Lift | Tandem | Non-Tandem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $B B$ |
|  | Ground Level |  | A |

Vehicle A (non-tandem) - Drop-Off

1. Attendant drives onto lift ..... 10 ..... 10 sec
Vehicle A (non-tandem) - Pick-Up
2. Attendant drives off of lift
3. Attendant drives off of lift ..... 10 ..... 10
Vehicle B (non-tandem): No Vehicle A - Drop-Off
4. Attendant maneuvers in front of lift ..... 10
5. Attendant exits vehicle to lower lift ..... 5
6. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
7. Attendant re-enters vehicle and drives onto lift ..... 15
8. Attendant exits vehicle ..... 5
9. Attendant raises lift ..... 3085 sec
Vehicle B (non-tandem): No Vehicle A - Pick-Up
10. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
11. Attendant enters vehicle and drives off of lift ..... 15
12. Attendant exits vehicle to raise lift ..... 5
13. Attendant raises lift ..... 30
14. Attendant re-enters vehicle ..... 5
Vehicle B (non-tandem): Vehicle A Parked - Drop-Off
15. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
16. Attendant enters Vehicle A ..... 5
17. Attendant moves Vehicle A to drive aisle ..... 10
18. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
19. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
20. Attendant re-enters Vehicle B and drives onto lift ..... 15
21. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
22. Attendant raises lift ..... 30
23. Attendant re-enters Vehicle A and drives into parking space ..... 15
24. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
115 sec
Vehicle B (non-tandem): Vehicle A Parked - Pick-Up
25. Attendant moves Vehicle A underneath lift to drive aisle ..... 10
26. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
27. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
28. Attendant enters Vehicle B and drives off of lift ..... 15
29. Attendant exits Vehicle B to raise lift ..... 5
30. Attendant raises lift ..... 30
31. Attendant re-enters Vehicle A and drives into parking space ..... 15
32. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
33. Attendant re-enters Vehicle B ..... 5

## Vehicle Processing Scenarios

Vehicle B/C (Tandem): Vehicle A and B Parked - Drop-Off

1. Attendant exits Vehicle $C$ ..... 5
2. Attendant enters Vehicle A ..... 5
3. Attendant moves Vehicle A to drive aisle ..... 10
4. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
5. Attendant enters Vehicle B and moves to drive aisle ..... 15
6. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
7. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
8. Attendant re-enters Vehicle C and drives into lift ..... 15
9. Attendant exits Vehicle C ..... 5
10. Attendant raises lift ..... 30
11. Attendant re-enters Vehicle B and drives into parking space ..... 15
12. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
13. Attendant re-enters Vehicle A and drives into parking space ..... 15
14. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
155 sec
Vehicle B/C (Tandem): Vehicle A and B Parked - Pick-Up
15. Attendant moves Vehicle A underneath lift to drive aisle ..... 10
16. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
17. Attendant moves Vehicle B underneath lift to drive aisle ..... 10
18. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
19. Attendant lowers lift ..... 20
20. Attendant enters Vehicle C and drives of off lift to drive aisle ..... 15
21. Attendant exits Vehicle C to raise lift ..... 5
22. Attendant raises lift ..... 30
23. Attendant re-enters Vehicle B and drives into parking space ..... 15
24. Attendant exits Vehicle B ..... 5
25. Attendant re-enters Vehicle A and drives into parking space ..... 15
26. Attendant exits Vehicle A ..... 5
27. Attendant re-enters Vehicle C ..... 5

| Average Drop-off Processing Time | 91 sec |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average Pick-up Processing Time | 85 sec |

Valet Analysis

## P.M. Valet Drop-Off Analysis



Attachment E-1 Passenger Vehicle Maneuverability Plots


PLANTED BUFFER AREA


PLANTED BUFFER AREA

## Attachment F-1 Loading and Collection Maneuverability Plots
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