BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

MEETING DATE: June 4, 2021

- PROPERTY/FOLIO:
 6948 Abbott Avenue
 02-3211-002-1010

 6956 Abbott Avenue
 02-3211-002-1020
 02-3211-002-1020

 6957 Byron Avenue
 02-3211-002-0990
 02-3211-002-0990

 6964 Abbott Avenue
 02-3211-002-1030
 02-3211-002-1030

 6965 Byron Avenue
 02-3211-002-0970
 02-3211-002-1040

 6972 Abbott Avenue
 02-3211-002-1040
 02-3211-002-1040

 6988 Abbott Avenue
 02-3211-002-1050
 02-3211-002-1050
- **FILE NO.** ZBA21-0127
- **IN RE:** An application has been filed requesting variances for locating a pharmacy within a separate establishment, maximum size limitation, and minimum separation from another pharmacy, for the operation of a pharmacy located within a proposed mixed-use development.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lots 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 of Block 13, and lots 11 and 12 as less the west 50 feet thereof, of Normandy Beach South, according to the plat thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 21, Page 54, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

FINAL ORDER

The applicant, Target Corporation, filled an application with the Planning Department for the following variances:

- 1. A variance to reduce by 407 feet, the minimum distance separation of 1,200 feet between pharmacy stores, to allow a pharmacy to be located within 793 feet of another pharmacy store.
- 2. A variance to waive the requirement for a medical cannabis dispensary or pharmacy store to be divided by a one-hour fire separation from an adjacent business.
- 3. A variance to exceed the maximum allowed square footage for a pharmacy store of 7,500 square feet by 22,500 square feet, to permit the operation of a pharmacy store located within a 30,000 square foot retail establishment.

The City of Miami Beach Board of Adjustment makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

A. Based on the documents submitted with the application, testimony, and information provided by the applicant, and for the reasons stated in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted does NOT satisfy the practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship standard in Article I, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, and does NOT satisfy the hardship criteria in City Code Section 118-353(d), as more specifically noted herein.

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including staff recommendations, as modified by the Board of Adjustment, that the application for Variance Approval is **DENIED** for the above-referenced project.

Dated this day of , 2021.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY:____ Steven Williams Chief of Planning Services For the Chair

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, ____, by Steven Williams, Chief of Planning Services of the City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me.

[NOTARIAL SEAL]

Notary: Print Name: Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission Expires: Commission Number:

Approved As To Form: City Attorney's Office (

)

Filed with the Clerk of the Board of Adjustment on _____ ()