

1201 20th Street - Palau Condominium Penthouse 04 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS

PRESENT:

James Bodnar
Sam Sheldon
Annabe 1 Delgado
Elizabeth Camargo
Marsh Kriplen

## STAFF PRESENT:

Nicholas Kallergis, Assistant City Attorney James Murphy, Chief of Urban Design
$\square$
MR. MURPHY: The next file is DRB19-0392. 1201 20th Street, Palau Condominium Penthouse 4.

The report can be found on Page 202 of the submitted Staff Report -- I'm sorry, the staff Report can be found on Page 202 of the distributed agenda package to the Board Members.

An application has been filed requesting design approval for exterior alterations to an existing five-story building, including exterior design modifications to an existing private outdoor rooftop terrace, including new decking, new shade structures, a new stairwell bulkhead, new outdoor cooking areas, landscaping and installation of additional outdoor features, and including the addition of deletion of conditions found in the original Final Order in order to accommodate the exterior improvements mentioned above to the rooftop penthouse deck, and to permit outdoor cooking, and to allow
other penthouse owners, and the Palau Sunset Harbor Condominium Association, to do similar rooftop improvements, subject to staff review and approval, and permit outdoor cooking.

This item was originally approved in 2012 pursuant to DRB File No. 22889. BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: I have a quick disclosure. I had a telephone conversation with the applicant about a week or so ago which will, in no way, affect my vote.

BOARD MEMBER CAMARGO: $I$ have a disclosure too. I met attorney and the applicant, and $I$ visit the rooftop unit.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I have spoken in general terms with the attorney for the client.

MR. MURPHY: Okay. As you know, Palau is a contemporary waterfront residential building containing ground floor retail and 50 residential units. There are a total of eight penthouse units with private rooftop terraces; two face 20th street, two face Sunset Drive,
and four face the Sunset Islands waterway.

The subject application is the largest of the eight and is situated at the northeast corner roof terrace unit fronting both Sunset Islands waterway and 20 th street. None of the four penthouses facing Sunset Istands waterway currently have private vertical access directly from the interior of the units below. Access to this subject property rooftop, and the other three, is currently gained through common stair access and outdoor corridor that currently lines the south edge of the private terrace.

The original Palau development had a contentious path to its final approval. One of the more sensitive aspects of the discussions between the development team and the neighboring residents from the Sunset Is 1 ands was the reduction of the overall mass height and encroachment elements on the line-of-sight from Sunset Islands No. 4.

If you look at Sunset -- if you look at Palau, Palau does have, in fact, three rooftops; one is the pool deck, the common pool deck, the second is a higher roof elevation of that fifth floor along 20 th Street, and then the lower 5 th floor element of the waterfront Sunset Islands facing properties.

That's an important thing to note, because the Code allows certain rooftop encroachments to occur on top of the main roof 1 ines.

A11 of the elements contained within the improvements, as part of this application, are permitted by Code. No variances are being sought, no exceptions that are not fundamentally allowed as a height exception is being sought.

What is a primary fundamental reason why this is before the Board is because of that rather very specific condition about any vertical or rooftop improvements that expressly shown in
those original DRB plans cannot be approved by Staff level, regardless that there is a section of the code that allows for these height exceptions. Those improvements have to come back before the Board and subsequently that condition must be stricken in order for them to allow the vertical permanent rooftop access elements.

I'11 just finish with the notation that it's important to note that this is currently an active rooftop, meaning the resident -- the owners of the penthouse below, can come to the roof through that common stair and go and enjoy their private rooftop.

The proposal is not for activating that which is already activated. what they are seeking to do is improvements in the form of the elements that $I$ identified earlier.

MR. LARKIN: Thank you, James.
Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board Members, Staff. Michae1 Larkin, 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, here with the

Nahmad Family Limited, A.J. and Erica Nahmad, the owners of Penthouse No. 4; Tim Dupont, our designer; Rudy Uhlemann, our 7 landscape architect; Darian Gersky, attorney for the Palau; and my partner, Matthew Amster, who shared with you a handout containing the modified conditions and indication of neighbor support, and a couple of other documents.

The Nahmad family, which is A.J., Erica, and their two young kids, a daughter five-years-old, who is here to do, and a son who could not be here, he is away at camp, but palau is where they decided to make their home forever. In a few months that $I$ have gotten to know them, they talk about being there as grandparents and receiving their grandkids there one day. I applaud them for being so forward-thinking, and I think that's pretty amazing.

And just like most families that create a home, they want a private outdoor space where the kids can run
around and enjoy together.
Their unit has a completely barren rooftop terrace. But properly designed, their rooftop terrace can be that place, like the backyard of a single family home, that is prevalent, of course, in the Sunset Islands 3 and 4.

The Nahmad family is here before you today to discuss the requested modifications of two conditions to allow rooftop improvements to the roof and to allow outdoor cooking.

Modification of these conditions and the improvement on the private terrace will allow the Nahmad family to have a private garden area to play, relax and spend quality outdoor family time together.

The Nahmads' penthouse unit is located on the northeast corner of the building, just over 4,000 square feet in size with a barren rooftop terrace
above. The rooftop terraces are currently unused due to restrictions in place as a result of the DRB order
active, as James noted, but unused because they are really not that habitable.

In October, 2012, the DRB approved a Design Review for the demolition of an existing structure, which was Mark's Dry Cleaning, if you all remember, and the construction of a five-story mixed use building. The site plan featured commercial units facing Sunset Drive and 20th Street, with parking for the building on the first floor and part of the second floor. Residential units are located on the upper four floors with private rooftop terraces on the top residential floor.

At the time, no rooftop features were included in the plans, and the DRB Order included the restriction, no rooftop elements that are not explicitly shown on the roof plans, and elevations presented to the Board shall be approved at a later date by staff.

The Nahmads are seeking Design Review approval from you all today for
modifications to the existing rooftop terrace, including the addition of new stairs directly for the unit below, with
a corresponding stair enclosure, pergolas, a wood deck, artificial turf, a dumbwaiter, outdoor cooking area, cabinetry and planters along the perimeter, along the parapet.

Additionally, the Nahmads and the Association respectfully request approval of the following modifications:

The condition of the DRB order to allow the Nahmads, the Association, and other penthouse unit owners to make similar rooftop improvements, to be approved at staff level, as may be allowed by the code of the city, specifically deletion of Condition $B(4)(C)$ in the DRB order to allow rooftop improvements.
second, a modification of Condition $B(13)$ and $B(6)$ in the DRB order to remove the prohibition of outdoor cooking at the residential terraces and the Association's rooftop pool deck.

I'm going to invite A.J. up here. He is going to give you a few remarks. If you want to keep track of what improvements we are proposing, they are, of course, part of your handout. They are 1 isted there in a document.
without further ado, I'm going to ask A.J. to offer some remarks to you a11.

MR. NAHMAD: Thank you, and thanks for the time today. And it's hard to follow the last owners. That was pretty impressive.

This is very personal to us. This is our home. As Michael said, we plan for this to be our forever home. My wife says she's going to die in this place, so she's never moving again.

I thought it would be helpful to give a little personal context. I grew up in Miami. I grew up in Kendall, then Coral Gables, went away to college in Pennsylvania, where $I$ met my wife almost 20 years ago now, which is crazy. We lived in New York together for about
eight years, in Greenwhich village, moved back down here to persue my career, and today $I^{\prime} m$ the president of $a$ large public company based down here. We originally moved to the Icon South Beach, rented, and got to know Miami Beach a bit. My sister lives in Miami Beach. I have lots of cousins, and Maggie has lots of cousins that live in the Beach.

We found -- we were actually the first to find the Palau. We got the first marketing material in our news -in our letter box, rather, and got the first appointment with the broker, and we immediately, on the site, signed the subscription agreement, which was mutually non-binding, $I$ guess.

About a year or two later, there was, 1 ike James -- Mr. Murphy suggested, there was a contentious battle to get the Palau built. We rode that out and were patient.

But the reason that we loved the space so much, is first of all because

Sunset Harbor is Sunset Harbor, and thank you guys for helping make Sunset Harbor what it is. I know that was a big project of the City as well, and it's fabulous. It is wherever you want to be, it's where a lot of people want to be. The building itself is beautiful, and we ended up buying two units, putting them together, and we built it out as if it's our home.

It has a long hallway -- our architect did an amazing job building this thing, and it is our forever home. I originally wanted a house so that we could have a backyard, and do barbecues, and the kids could run around, and get in the pool, and so forth. My wife wanted a condo because it's safer and easier to maintain. I travel for my work. So, this was an amazing compromise because we have the space of a home, kind of home on the 5 th floor of this unit, and the great neighborhood, and while we have the home inside, we also have the roof deck which
we could use as the backyard. That's been the intent, that's still the intent, and that's really what we are here for today is just really to try to make that dream a reality and create a safe space that's nice, and friendly, and kid friendly, that Maggie and our son, Owen, can play and we can enjoy, and hang out and enjoy -- it's a little too hot today, but enjoy winters, you know. That's it for me.

MR. LARKIN: Great. Thank you very much, A.J.

I would like to have Tim Dupont come up. He is our designer. He is going to walk you all through the drawings.

MR. DUPONT: Hi. My name is Tim Dupont. I own Blue Design Company. We've been working on this for years, different iterations of it.

Basically, as Michael mentioned, there are no variance requests. we stayed within the parameters of what would be allowed.

As a reference, on Page A-0.5, it sort of gives you a feeling of the building and a feeling of the interior courtyard and the landscaping as it is right now.

And A-0.6 shows you the extent of the roof top for the Nahmads.
0.7 is what it looks like now. And you can see the other units that are finished behind it, especially in the upper left picture, and the landscaping that they have, as well.
0.8 is a sight line taken at the midpoint of the backyards across the canal that shows you that the structures that were are proposing fall under the sight-line of anyone who could see it from those backyards.

There happens to be a park across the street. Actually the back -- there is only one backyard. There is a backyard, there is a park, there is a bridge and there is a canal. So, that's what faces their terrace.

A-1.1 is what we propose; grass, a
wood deck, planter all the way around the terrace, a little water area to the upper right by those air conditioner handlers. The two triangles in the back are two hurricane nets so that we have somewhere to store whatever they have lose up there, in the event of a storm, and they don't have to take it down.

To the -- in the center with the skylights on the top, is a structure, staircase coming from downstairs. And the left of that is a pergola with cabinetry, a sink, and a barbecue.

Contextually, on Coby's original plans, A-4.01, the roof that is dropped on the right, the section of the building dropped on the right, that is their rooftop and their unit directly under it, so it sits lower than the rest of the building.

This is the full elevations of the entire --

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Excuse me, how much more time would you need for your presentation?

MR. DUPONT: Three minutes.
CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Okay.
MR. DUPONT: The elevation showing that everything meet code and stays within the limits.

These are the materials that we are using; there is an existing wood porcelain tile on the walls in many locations, including the terrace. There is existing white stucco walls. We are proposing white fiberglass planters that look like the white walls. The deck is wood. And the walls of the structures, since these are leaving them white stucco, become reclaimed teak siding, and we have synthetic grass that you can use as recycled plastic bottles and soy as its base, so everything is very green that we are putting up here.

We have samples if any of you would like to see.

Same thing but in color, just illustrate the point of where everything is located.

And then these are our renderings.

The left is the new pergola, the right is the staircase bulkhead and planters. What you can see behind, if you look to the pergola to the left, the white structure behind is the existing structure of the building for the penthouse that is behind it, that faces the entrance to sunset 3 .

And then this is just a view looking back across the terrace of what our landscape architect designed, and then the entire thing will end up being covered in Bougainvillea when it's done.

A11 the plants, except a couple of the flowers, are native throughout the project.

That's it.
MR. LARKIN: Thank you very much, Tim.

Mr. Chairman, I just have two points left before -- one is about the character of the hearing, then our neighborhood outreach.

You know, since the Supreme Court in 1992 filed this decision, something
called Snyder, it changed the character of these hearings from legislative to quasi judicial. And that elevated very much the importance of the staff recommendations.

The staff recommendations, what they do, they look at design review criteria, they apply it to all the applications you all consider today. The staff recommendation is considered substantial competent evidence. And that's something that you all can rely upon and feel comfortable in approving this application.

Our application satisfies the overal 1 majority of this Design Review criteria that are set forth in the recommendations that you all have today in your possession.

There are objectors here, and they are going to ask you to deny this application. It is their burden to show, first, that somehow James' analysis is flawed. And then second, provide substantial competent evidence,
through expert witness testimony, not lay person opinion, in order for you all to rely upon to deny the application and have something for a court to look at.

So, absent that, lay person opinion is not relevant here. It is expert witness testimony that forms substantial competent evidence that you all must rely upon.

But in our careful review of James' analysis, we find no flaw in it.

And what James and his Staff did, they actually visited the Palau, they walked the rooftop terrace, they had a very firm understanding, and as they said in the Staff recommendation, the proposed design does not adversely affect the design vision of the original architecture and will not negatively impact any of the surrounding properties.

And even in an operational basis, we are very careful, we are not asking to remove any condition regarding the elimination of outdoor speakers, that
remains in place. And there is another condition that says no live performances, of course, that remains in place. We are not a commercial rooftop. This will be a family backyard. Period. It might be construed to be something else, in several e-mails that $I$ had the benefit of seeing in the last month or so, but it is not. It simply will function as a private family backyard, not a commercial rooftop.
with regards to our outreach, of course we reached out to the Board of the Association, we met with them, they approved it. There have been mail-outs to al1 53 owners of the Palau, there was notice given to them.

We reached out to Marilyn Frohlich. She's been an active force at sunset Harbor for a number of years, she 1 ives in the townhomes directly to the west of us.

We met with Sara De Los Reys, the Sunset Harbor Neighborhood Association. They decided to remain neutral in all of
this, but we did meet with her, she had no issue with the plans.

I reached out to Jackie Lalan. She's the President of the Islands HOA 3 and 4. I've known her for a long time. Even before notice went out, it was important for me to call her and talk to her about this. I sent her a link with the plans knowing that it would be wildly distributed, and that was okay with me. It is better to be transparent and not hide anything. So, Jackie distributed the plans, and we asked to meet with the Association and the attorney.

I did talk to Tucker yesterday. He is the attorney representing the objectors, and we had a good conversation.

Peter Luria is a long-time resident of sunset Islands 3. He can't be here today. We met with him, he came to our property, he's been here often in connection with applications that you all consider. with your permission,

Mr. Chairman, $I$ would like to read his letter of support into the record if you feel that we have time. If not, I can just submit it. It's your call.

MR. MURPHY: I also did forward that letter to the Board Members, as well as forwarded the various letters of opposition to the Board Members that are also printed and part of the file.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you, Michael, for making that clear. MR. LARKIN: Would you like me to --

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: No, I think we all had the opportunity.

MR. LARKIN: okay, very good.
CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
MR. LARKIN: So, with that,
Mr. Chairman, $I$ would like to reserve time for rebuttal. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN BODNAR: I'm going to open it up now to the public. Anyone wishing to speak please come forward. MR. GIBBS: Good afternoon. My name is Tucker Gibbs with Law offices at

3835 Utopia Court in Coconut Grove. And I'm here today asking for you all to continue this item. And $I$ have some other issues that $I$ would 1 ike to talk about. If I can have five minutes -five to six minutes to make my presentation. I'11 give it a shot.

Because the applicant seeks the removal of -- I represent Sunset Islands 3 and 4 Property Owners Inc. My clients have a continuing interest in the Palau development, in particular the provision of the DRB Development Order that is at issue today.

Because the applicant seeks the removal of a condition that specifically applies to all penthouse owners at Palau, those affected unit owners must -- should join as applicants. But the only applicants here are the penthouse owners and the Association. And the record shows no resolution of the Association that authorizes it to be an appellant -- an applicant, excuse me, nor is there any document giving the
authority to the applicant to represent each of the penthouse owners in this application.

Approval of this application would allow one unit owner to seek deletion of a condition on an Order that directly applies to owners who are not applicants and who may not want the changes. And this matter should be continued until the penthouse owners are included as co-applicants.

In addition, Condition 4 ( $B$ ) (C) , which is the issue here today, is based on the Planning Board's Conditional Use Approval of the Palau project. The Planning Board's condition states that the applicant shall work with the Design Review Staff to further modify the Palau Development Proposal to address, among other things, reducing the encroachment on the 1 ine-of-sight from sunset Islands 4 , and that's Condition $5(A)$ of the Order of the Planning Board for the conditional use.

The Planning Board also retains
jurisdiction to modify the conditional use approval.

The Design Review Board Staff, in response to the Planning Board's condition, worked with the developer to revise the plans to reduce the encroachment 1 ine-of-sight from sunset Islands 4. The DRB Staff Report on October 2, 2012, specifically went through this issue and discussed it in the context of the issues relating to Sunset Islands 4 and their sight 1 ine. And the Design Review Board approved the plans and imposed the condition that is being sought to be rejected today. And it reflects this implementation of the Planning Board condition.

The applicant's request to delete the condition in its entirety, in it's entirety, renders the Planning Board's condition meaningless. The Planning Board -- quite simply, deletion of this condition by the DRB is contrary to Section $5(E)$ of the Conditional Use Approval, and the applicant has failed
to obtain Planning Board approval to remove Condition $5(E)$, which is a condition precedent to the Design Review Board removal of its condition that it protect the 7 ine-of-sight of sunset 4 homeowners, which was part of the Planning process -- part of the Planning Board's decision for the conditional use.

For this reason, also, this plan should be continued until the Planning Board addresses this matter and removes its condition.

If the Design Review Board chooses to hear this item, a look at the staff Report shows no factual basis for its opinion. And that's really important because -- because Michael discussed Snyder, and the Staff Report is not competent substantial evidence under Snyder, it is not fact-based. So, look at that report. It shows no factual basis for its opinion that the elimination of the sole condition that addresses a protection of the
line-of-sight from Sunset 4 Homeowners, will not negatively impact those homeowners line-of-sight. Everything is being deleted that protects that.

The report does not explain how the elimination of this condition's protection, quote, provides an efficient arrangement of land uses when paying particular attention to the surrounding neighborhood and sight lines, as required in criteria No. 7 of your review criteria, nor does it explain how these rooftops -- allowing these rooftop structures and other elements would, through the removal of the protection of Section $B 4(C)$ meet the criteria 6 , which requires a sensitivity to and compatibility with the environment and adjacent structures, given the Planning Board's directive.

This is the only explanation Staff gives for the complete -- complete removal of the DRB protections and the line-of-sight, that they understand and they're sensitive to it. But as
building and neighborhoods evolve, Staff is open to new proposals and revisions for the redesign of this project. This project was approved in 2012. The question is, what happened? There are no facts that supports the assertion that there has been any changes that warrant this removal of an important protection, nor is there any facts showing that the removal of the condition will not negatively impact surrounding properties. Removing this provision allows encroachments into the line-of-sight, contrary to Criteria 7, and the Planning Board order.

The bottom line here is, I'm just finishing -- the bottom line here is the applicant bought the property knowing its limitations and paid a price based on the value of the property with those limitations.

The applicant now seeks to expand its use of the property and allow unlimited encroachments into the line-of-sight by completely
eliminating -- completely eliminating that important protection granted by the DRB and the Planning Board to Sunset Islands 4 Homeowners.

We urge you to confirm the promise made to the neighborhood by the DRB in 2012 by implementing the Planning Board's request to produce encroachments in the line-of-sight of Sunset 4, and deny this application.

I would like to place into the record the basis of my presentation, which are the Planning Board's -- the recommendation of the Planning Department, the Planning Board's Order, the DRB recommendation from all these -from 2012, and the DRB order from 2012, plus a presentation that was made to this Board in 2012 regarding sight lines.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: I have a question. Concretely, sight lines, what is the issue?

MR. GIBBS: The issue is being
able -- the issue, and Mr. Bienstock is going to speak to it, is the concern of the Association that this big structure, and I know Mr. Murphy spoke about the massing, and the height, all of that was very important, and it came down to the use of the rooftops and what would be on those rooftops, how close to the waterway those activities would be. And the result, as a compromise, with the developer, and brought before this Board for approval, which this Board approved, those issues came to protect the people who live on Sunset Is lands 4.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: I understand that, and you went through that in your presentation, but specifically when you say sight line, you mean that the people in Sunset Islands 4 have to look at this roof deck, that is what you're saying concretely.

MR. GIBBS: Be impacted by it -BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Having to look at it.

MR. GIBBS: Okay, have to look at and 1 isten to it, and see it. And see it at night, the lighting and everything else at night.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Three minutes?
MR. BIENSTOCK: I'm speaking on behalf of the Association. I would appreciate five minutes.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Okay.
MR. BIENSTOCK: Terry Bienstock, 2312 Bay Avenue, Sunset Istands 3. I'm also Vice President of the Sunset Islands 3 and 4 Association.

I was President of the Association during the entire Palau project, over a decade, both from the inception through the permitting and construction of Palau, and through the transition to a condo association and through the Nahmads' transition of buying an apartment and actually reaching out to me a few years ago to make some of these changes.

So, let me back up because it's important for you all to understand who
we are and why we here. We are not meanies. We are not trying to ruin somebody's backyard. This is not their backyard. This was a roof deck that had severe restrictions placed upon it as a deal with the developer by our homeowners, 120 homeowners, to make sure that this did not become party central, that these desks would not be used in a way -- and would not be visually seen in a way that would impact.

Now, remember what Palau was. Before Palau there was Mark's Cleaners there. It was a one-story building. There was no stories. We had the sunset townhouses that were two stories, and five stories on the street side, but on the bay side it was only townhouses.

The transition between single family home neighborhood and a condominium development and mixed use neighborhood is a complex one that the City has had to deal with many times. Palau is one of the first times we had a direct relationship where you were

7iterally building a five-story
condominium building right across the street from single family homes, with views from their back -- from their balconies into the backyards of these single family homes.

So, needless to say it became very contentious in 2011/2012. The owners of the Sunset Islands were up in arms because we had fought successfully with the sunset townhouses, 20 years earlier, those were supposed to be two towers, and we negotiated a resolution with them where they built townhouses instead that were low impact on the water.

So, we put together a team, our residents included some of the leading developers and architects in the country, not just in the area, but in the country, people like Chad Oppenheim, and Scott Robins, Coby carp was the architect, he lived on our island. He was the architect for palau. This was a very cordial, productive relationship where we sat with them and said, look,
we wants you to redevelop this project, we want you to build Palau, we just want a more of a transition so people aren't looking into backyards, and there is not going to be a lot of noise, and odors, and, you know, visual pollution.

Build townhouses on the water, and then you build your five-stories on the street. And they said no, no, no.

How about if we build four-stories on the water, and instead of townhouses and five-stories on the street.

And we said, no, how about if you build three-stories on the water and then step them back -- the bottom line, we went back and forth, and back and forth over a period of years, while we were going to the Planning Boards, and the DRB, and the Commission, and back and forth on the various things, until we negotiated a resolution, supported by Staff. what's incredible to us is that after having spent $\$ 100,000$ fighting this in 2011/2012, we are here having to do this all over again, as if it
didn't happen.
We reached a settlement. The building was built according to that settlement. There was a process in the settlement. If anyone had a problem with it and they wanted to change anything, they had an obligation to come to us first, not after, first. They had an obligation to come to our Association and seek modifications, and we could say yes or no.

In fact, this owner came to us first, a few years ago, about doing -making changes to the roof deck. And we said, "Have you gotten Palau's approval?" This is still when it was owned by Palau. "Have you gotten Palau's approval, because that is required under the Agreement," and they came back and -- never came back to us. And my understanding is that Palau turned them down.

So, this is not folks who, gee, we are shocked we surprised, this has nothing to do with the Nahmads, I'm sure
they are lovely, lovely people, I know that is why there is only one resident, out of 140 homes, that you have seen the support for their application, and that's because what he told me is he apologized with me and said, "They are my friends, I have to support them," even though he was leading the opposition to Palau with me. He was on the Board and leading the opposition. And I get it.

But it's not about the Nahmads. Everything you approve today is time six. Every structure you see that they are proposing is times six, because it means every other homeowner can do the exact same thing, and all of a sudden, and you have -- so I have photos I want to hand out --

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Do you need additional time?

MR. BIENSTOCK: Yes, if I could, a couple of more minutes.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Do you want to keep it to two?

MR. BIENSTOCK: I'm going to do my best. I have a few things $I$ have to get through. We are representing -- instead of having 120 people here, $I$ have a Petition with almost 80 signatures from our homeowners opposing. Not one, 80. I have a Resolution of our Board that was unanimous opposing this application, I'm handing it up to you. And when the City said, "Your contract with Palau, that's between you and the Association, the Condo Association, the Nahmads, that has nothing to do with the city," we filed suit last week against the Condo Association, and Palau to enforce the Settlement Agreement and to ask for Injunctive Relief that they cannot do what they are asking to do today. We believe that it should be -this should be summarily denied because it changes the fundamental agreement between the parties that allowed this building to be built. Absent this Agreement, this building would not have been built.

And now they are coming in a few years later, where the Agreement worked, it got built, it got sold out one week, everyone who bought knew what they were buying, and the restrictions of what they were buying, and the same problems arise today and they arose in 2012.

For the people, and some of them are here and are going to speak, people who live across the canal do not want this. And I don't mean some of the people, $I$ mean all of the people, because they have all signed the petition and they are all opposed to it.

You have to take into account, as one of the criteria, how this will impact the neighborhood and the neighbors. And we went through this in 2012, and Staff agreed with us, that this was impactful to the neighborhood, and supported, reducing the height of the building along the canal, and along Sunset Drive, and this would literally undo everything we accomplished in 2012.

And we ask that it not be done,
that the -- what they have described to be built is nothing short of just another story, the fifth story that we got taken out is now coming back with a vengeance. And now during the day having, you know, parties there and people there, and at nighttime with lights, it is just not what we signed up for and it shouldn't be allowed.

Some of our residents want to come and speak. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
MR. LEHR: Francis Lehr. I live on Sunset Is 1 ands 4 for 15 years, 1460 west 21st Street, the sixth house in on the canal.

I was not involved in -- I have lived there for 15 years. I did not get involved in the contentious 2011/2012 designing, but $I$ knew it was going on.

I was happy with the end product. I can see it very well from my master bedroom, from the living room of my home. I can see the northwest corner, the northwest penthouse, not the

Nahmads' penthouse. And $I$ can very well
see that -- it must be the common
stairway that comes up. And the reason I'm here to object to it today is that you will have -- you do have all those different penthouses, and they are in the 1 ine-of-sight. And what's so beautiful about it right now is it's very clean and uniform, and you really do see it from the home, and $I$ do think it was well thought out by the city of Miami Beach, and the developer, in conjunction with, you know, the Board of Sunset 3 and 4. It's a transitional location between the commercial district of Sunset Harbor and Lower North Bay Road and the sunset Islands, and so really some of this taller structures on the building do face the commercial neighborhood. And on the more residential side, the Sunset Islands and the North Bay Road, they don't -- your line-of-sight is more protected. And I think about it now, especially since this has come up, $I$ can see it from my
bedroom, and $I$ do think it's a beautiful building. I think it's a great addition to the neighborhood.

My concern, and the reason for my objection today, is that in the line-of-sight, there will be -- there could potentially be everybody in the penthouse will have a new stairway coming out of their apartment somehow, and different -- everybody has different tastes, so, what's a beautiful pergola to one guy might not -- the next door neighbor might have a different notion. So, instead of being uniform, and beautiful, and modern, really what they ended up with was a big success, you know, $I$ think it's a great part of Sunset Harbor, it's going to be not uniform and potentially cluttered, and we just don't know. And, so, that's why I'm here to object today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? Please come forward. MS. TOBIN: Hi. My name is Teresa Tobin, 120 Street, Apartment 208. I do
not have a penthouse at Palau, sadly. I would like as much time as Mr. Bienstock had.
sadly, some of our residents at Palau were not aware of everything that was going on for A.J., and Erica, and their lovely children, otherwise I'm sure we would have a house full of people.

I took time out of my day, recently married, and sat here all afternoon because this is important to us. Not just because it's A.J., his wife and lovely children, but because it matters.

You know, I moved to Palau because of all of the effort that Mr. Bienstock, you know, made. The grassroots effort that sold the building out in one week. Your decisions, okay, made that amazing building and made that one week sell out possible. Why wouldn't you want to live in that building? It's small, it's boutique, it is beautiful, absolutely beautiful. It's the reason why I moved there, it's the reason why $I$ live there,
and it's the reason why people like A.J., and Erica, who have, you know, the benefit of living anywhere else, chose that as their primary residence, absolute1y.

And I can't thank Mr. Bienstock and the residents of sunset Islands 3 and 4 , and anybody who, you know, put forth that amazing effort to block the developer and make sure that, you know, whatever had to happen to make that boutique building unique, you know, did SO.

And, for the record, I'm an owner's rep and $I$ do this for a living, and $I$ never imagined I would say those words.

But, you know, I chose that building because there is a handful of units. And $I$ was one of the first people to live in that building. And I know everybody. I talk to everyone. I'm originally from the Midwest, I'11 talk to a wall.

And I know my neighbors, and I know the Board, and $I$ can tell you that we
have very strict guidelines in that building. And I think that what worked really well to protect everyone, back then, did a very effective job.

Now, you know, I heard Mr. Bienstock say that, you know, there is an obligation for the DRB and for everybody to go back to them and say, "Hey, we need to change things up." I disagree. Because you know what, what worked in 2011 and 2012 is no longer relevant today.

I believe, okay, that sight 1ines --

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Do you need more time?

MS. TOBIN: I do, please.
CHAIRMAN BODNAR: One minute.
ms. tobin: $I$ need at least seven more minutes, please.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: I'm sorry, but --
MS. TOBIN: Five more minutes, please.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: How about three? We'11 see if you can get --

MS. TOBIN: I will do my best. Thank you.

I believe that what is being proposed for the penthouses, or for the penthouse, okay, or at least what is being presented for A.J., okay, with everything being setback closer to the center, $I$ believe what is being proposed is no more of a nuisance than just the decks that are in back, the balconies that exist today.

I believe that kayakers passing by, and boats, $I$ believe that the neighbors next door to, you know, the single family homes, are more of a nuisance than any of the penthouse unit owners wil1 ever be, just acoustically, having done a milifon acoustic tests in the past for other residents, and knowing our building.

So, $I$ believe that delaying this application for another hearing is a waste of taxpayer dollars. I think it's a waste of time. I think it's -- it's a big mistake because you guys already
achieved what you were set out to do back in 2011 and 2012. That is no longer relevant.

Further, as far as sight lines are concerned, if the concern is what -- an inconsistency, okay, in what's being designed, then just stipulate that, you know. It is something that $I$ believe can easily be achieved through our Condo Association.

And if you want what A.J. is proposing to set a precedent for other penthouse unit owners, then make that as such, don't let that delay what you are doing here today.

So, Mr. Bienstock, and all of you guys, what you have done, thank you, you have created an amazing place for me to live in, for people like A.J. and the rest of us at Palau to live in.

I have a 24-year-old disabled son, and $I$ chose that building because there is only, like, 38 residents who live there. I don't want to live in a highrise, like the 1800, 1900 building.

And guess what, there is no where else on the Beach that $I$ can ive.

So, to all of you Board Members, I hope you will accept their application. I hope that you will approve it without delay. There is no reason to delay this application, and $I$ speak on behalf of, you know, the rest of our neighbors who didn't know this was happening today. So, I urge you to please approve this application.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
Anyone else wishing to speak?
MR. MORBER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman. My name is Andrew Morber, and I've been a resident of sunset Islands 3 , same home, since 1983, a resident of Miami Beach before that, former Deputy City Attorney.

So, when Mr. Larkin talks about experts and zoning, $I$ lived it quite a bit here. I have testified in court on zoning issues, et cetera, although I no longer practice.

So, first thing $I$ think you need to
look at is the application. You know, your application forms are very specific. They say you have got to list the owners. If the owners are a corporation, you have got to list the people behind the corporation. I guess even before Russians were invented in the last political cycle, we knew we wanted to know who the Russians are, if they owned things.

This application simply lists unit owners, several of which are Limited Liability Corporations, with no disclosures of the ownership behind it.

So, in that respect, the application is inefficient and defective because it does not meet the disclosure requirements of the City.

Now, second thing is, I think you need to look at the general rule of zoning. And the general rule of zoning is, you can't come back and ask for modification of something that was an order, first of all, in part, not in whole, and it's impossible to do this
one in part because the building is built already, but the change of circumstance -- there has got to be a change of circumstances.

Now, what's the change of circumstances here? Building was permitted, or approved, by this Board in 2012. I did a little research last night. I learned that man learned to cook with fire some time between 200 and 400,000 years ago. So, I don't understand what the change of circumstances is.

Sunset Harbor, the Sunset Harbor Townhouses, were built before 2012. The various things across the street, all built before. There is no change of circumstances. The change of circumstances is that this particular owner would like something. I understand he would like that. But, that, in and of itself, is not a valid change.

Now, last thing, if you look at the Zoning Analysis, when they talk about
this building, they show the allowable floor area ratio is 109-- 109,530 square feet existing as 108,994 square feet, or 536 feet under the allowed. It could be argued that's the pergolas and a11 that add 500 feet, not the 106 feet that the applicant's math shows.

Do that times seven or eight, you have now gone well beyond the permitted floor area ratio of this building. That's a variance. A variance requires a unique and peculiar hardship. As much as $I$ love grilling myself, inability to gril 1 is not a hardship.

Therefore, $I$ hope you wil1 deny this application, and respect the Agreement that the applicant's predecessor in fact made by accepting the DRB Order and building the building. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
Anyone else wish to speak?
MR. MUSKCAD: Hi. Thank you for having me. My name is Michael Muskcad, and $I$ respectfully request that you
don't approve this application.
This will negatively impact and affects me, more than anyone you have heard here today. Why? Because it is my home that is directly behind Palau. 1420 West 21st street.

So, everything you have heard from everybody that is against this approval, I'm probably the main person you should 1isten to.

I, and my family, have owned and own homes and businesses in Miami Beach for over a decade. My family's sunset Island home was built and has been around way before Palau was developed.

I respectfully request you don't approve this application, or that you continue it, of making a decision, for the following reasons that negatively impact me:

1. Line-of-sight. My home's backyard directly looks at Palau. And in 2012, there were many, many meetings with the developer, and an Agreement was made as to the line-of-sight, as to how
the rooftops will be used, and what would be allowed.

In fact, an Agreement was made with the developer and with our Association. Noise. There is a canal right behind my home between me and Palau. And as you all know, if you have been boating, sound travels quickly and easily above water. And at that height, towering down at my home, I'11 hear everything.

There is a reason the developer didn't originally put stairs in each unit. There is a reason the developer originally didn't put structures on the rooftops of these penthouses. And you know that reason. It was an Agreement made with our Association.

But today they are trying to break that deal. In fact, our Association filed a suit against Palau last week, which is another reason that the Board should consider waiting and hearing what happens with that case before making a decision.

In closing, I'm sure that the Nahmad family is nice. So is my family. I'm married, I have kids too. However, we don't know the other penthouse owners if they're married, and they have kids, or, if they are not nice, if they are single party animals, because the Nahmad family is not coming here just for their rooftop. This is for all the rooftops.

In closing, and finishing now, everyone who bought at Palau knew this when they purchased their units. They were aware of it. It's not a surprise to them. It is now that they are trying and wanting to make this change.

I respectfully request you wait to make this decision, or that you don't approve it. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you very much. Anyone else?

MR. GERSKY: Good afternoon. My name is Darren Gersky. I serve as General Counsel to the Palau Condominium Association.

I just want to clarify a couple of
comments made and give you an explanation as to the Association's position with respect to the application.

So everybody is aware here, and I think Tucker may have mentioned this earlier in the presentation, the Association had a duly noticed Board Meeting and approved, by a majority of the Board of Directors, to join in on the application to assign. So, the Association did operate with proper protocol. The signature of the Treasurer on the application, Ben London, was done in accordance with Florida Law, and it should be accepted. with respect to the signing of the application, putting aside whatever the lawsuit that Sunset Harbor 3 and 4 may have initiated, while we believe there are significant flaws with respect to that lawsuit, we don't believe that that lawsuit should be utilized to some sort of threat, or delay dilatory tactic with respect to your rule to proceed in
passing of this -- or proceeding with the approval of the application.

And just so you're further aware, we haven't even yet been served with such a lawsuit, although we are aware of it, we haven't been served with it, so we don't know if that is just more of the dilatory tactics with respect to this particular proceeding.

But the Association supports it and, obviously, there would be aspects to whatever ultimately, if the application is approved, the Association has separate obligations on their end with respect to its governing documents.

One last point. I think there is some further maybe confusion with respect to Sunset 3 and 4 's position with this particular application. The outdoor cooking component to it, the Association desires for all its unit owners, and interestingly enough, al1 the unit owners look across the water and see the Sunset Islands people cooking, so it is, say, sort of
confusing as to why there would be any sort of objection related to that component of the application.

That's it. Thank you very much for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you.
Michael, is there anyone else that wants to speak before your rebuttal?

I see no one. So, how long would you like?

MR. LARKIN: Let's start with five minutes.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Okay. Try to do five.

MR. LARKIN: So, just different points on rebuttal, Mr. Chairman, Board Members.
with regards to 1 ine-of-sight drawing, it is clearly in your architectural drawing packet. We used the same one from 2012 DRB approval. The only thing visible from the 1ine-of-sight, and just to be clear about what 1 ight-of-sight means, it emanates from the rear yard of the homes
on the north side of the canal, and then it goes angle going back towards the Palau. The only thing visible are the plantings in the planters.

Now, we have the ability to push back the planters themselves three feet from the parapet, but it is also important to note that the parapet itself is setback eight feet already from the edge of the roof. So, we could setback the planters even more. That would be over 11 feet from the edge of the roof, and we are happy to do that, if that resolves this particular issue.

Just as a starting point, the only thing visible in the line-of-sight are the plantings and the planters. Everything else, all the taller elements, are pushed back to the south side of the rooftop terrace and not visible at all in the line-of-sight.

A remark was made that we are right next door to the single family homes.

That is not correct. There is a
120-foot wide canal, along with the rear
yards between us and the single family homes on the north side of the canal. with regards to the Nahmads' particular configuration, you have one single family home, then you have a pump station, and then you have a park, and then you have a bridge. Those are our neighbors collectively.

We do have support, more than just Mr. Luria. There has been eight that signed a support petition that has been given to James. There was a comment made, there are six penthouse units facing north, there are four.

There are multiple references to an Agreement. We11, first, we don't believe this Agreement is relevant to this proceeding.

Second, we believe that the Agreement is actually no longer valid. It had a finite life in between the time that they were litigating and the time that the TCO issued. Other than that, we believe the Agreement is no longer valid.

As an alternative position, even if you assume the Agreement is valid, we do not believe we violate the Agreement.

But that's all that $I$ really want to say about it because as has been mentioned, a lawsuit has been filed, based upon our alleged violation of the Agreement, and I'm confident the trial court will sort that out. But it's not relevant today to this proceeding.

With regard to the last comment made by Mr. Bienstock where he went into a little bit of an exaggeration mode saying parties, people and lights.

You know, bear in mind that the Nahmads are not party people. And the very idea that somehow owners of multi-family units are somehow less responsible in their conduct than owners in single family homes is simply ridiculous. They are going to be in the same level of conduct. And if you look at the noise handout that Mr. Amster passed out to you all, the conditions in the DRB order remain in place. There
will never be outdoor speakers here, there are no live performances. The City has very strict regulations regarding noise. After 11:00 p.m. you cannot make any noise that is plainly audible, which means conversations more than 100 feet from your property. The Condo docs themselves prohibit any type of nuisance. So, if anything was going on in the rooftop, the Condominium Association would take action first to protect the peace and quiet of its residents before anything else.

Mr. Lehr talked about different color pergolas, but of course the pergolas will be outside the line-of-sight. You won't be able to see any of the pergolas because they are recessed for the south part of the rooftop terrace.

Again, there was -- the last
speaker made an elusion to party
animals. You know, lighting can be down 1it. They are simply the concerns that they are voicing to you all will not be
realized by these modifications.
In summary, the objectors did not meet their burden. They did not show that James' analysis was flawed. They did not introduce any expert testimony to serve as substantial competent evidence for you all to depend upon to deny this application.

We, again, respectfully request that you approve this application.

The last thing $I$ want to do is invite Tim up here to walk you all through the line-of-sight drawing just to be clear, because $I$ can tell you, but it's also easier if you see it, as well.

MR. DUPONT: Could I have this back up?

This is the line-of-sight drawing from the mid point of someone's backyard, approximately 160 feet from the edge of the building. And as you can see, the only visible thing are the plantings and the planters.

The pergola and the stair structure are pulled all the way to the right, on purpose, so that they would be out of the 1 ine-of-sight.

If we, as was noted earlier, pulled back the planters another three feet, you would not see the planter at all. However, the planter is white stucco, just 1 ike the building, so it will just look like another element of the building. And we are more than happy to pul1 that back three feet and wrap it with wood. So, it clearly shows that you can't -- you can't see anything.

As a matter of fact, we've gone over to the park, several times, across the way and looked up to see, and you can barely see the neighbor behind it, which is several feet higher.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Okay.
MR. LARKIN: Thank you, Tim.
That's all, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thank you very
much. I'm going to close the public portion of this hearing and turn it over for the Board to comment.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: $I$ have $a$
question for the POA. I just read the Settlement Agreement. Where in the Settlement Agreement is Palau bound with respect to subsequent projects? MR. LARKIN: It's not.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: That's what I thought.

MR. LARKIN: That's the way it was written, perhaps wrongfully, but that's the way it was written.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: I support this application. I don't see a hardship across the canal to having to, you know, this sort of line-of-sight is being -- that phrase is being used, but concretely we are talking about they are going to have to look at a roof deck with plants. I actually think it's an improvement over -- right now you have -- I don't know if this is for $A / C$ or elevator, you have these boxes on top of the building.

As for noise, you know, we already have ordinances. You know, I have -you know, this isn't -- this isn't a
nightclub that they are proposing to open up on, you know, they are putting a roof deck for their family, and this is residential.

You know, so there is nothing to preclude the folks from Palau to call and complain if there is noise.

So, I don't see noise as a relevant consideration. I don't see the disruption of the line-of-sight as being particularly burdensome on the sunset Islands folks.

I would agree that this settlement Agreement does not apply to this particular project.

So, I see no reason -- oh, and the fact that -- an argument was made that because this Complaint was filed 1ast week, that we should not act. I don't think that is a persuasive argument. One of the forms of relief that sunset Islands sought in this complaint is an Injunction. And, so, if the Court wants to enjoin these proceedings, they can. I would not do that voluntarily.

So, that's what $I$ have to say about this.

CITY ATTORNEY: And since they said to invoke the settlement Agreement, $I$ just want to advise the Board that I've reviewed the Agreement, I've met with both sides here, neither the Agreement nor the 1 itigation involved the City at al1, and we not parties to either.

So, we take no position on the Settlement Agreement. Nothing in the Settlement Agreement bars the application from being heard or approved by the Board, and, you know, everything that you've heard -- heard about, as to the settlement or 1 itigation, we consider a private dispute.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: I live south of 5th, and there is extremely stringent rules and regulations about sounds, lighting, activities. It's a pretty strong operation, if you are familiar with it, and it makes that character and neighborhood completely different from everything north of 5 th, of course. And

I think that we could set up or one could set up a set of guidelines that would be applied to all terraces and rooftops at this building.

I do think, also, that there is a precedent being set here in terms of the planters being pulled back, or the stucco color matching the building, and all of that which we would want to be consistent, and would also ask the Condominium Association to be a party to that decision, and understand that all the other rooftops -- and I assume these are roof rights that these people have. MR. LARKIN: Say that again, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: These are roof rights? They have the complete rights to their rooftops?

MR. LARKIN: Right. It's a completely common element that they have exclusive access to.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: And we would want to encourage that the Condominium Association be party to those
consistencies that could make this elevation improve, as you were saying, and make it more unified over time as the owners came in.

And the same requirements and setbacks and requirements and all the other things that could be seen and should not be seen by the one.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I would include a plant palette in those considerations with the Condominium Association, as well. But also, Tim, you had mentioned something about pulling the planters back an additional distance?

MR. DUPONT: If we pulled them back an additional three feet, they would be eight feet from the edge of the parapet. You would never even -- in these people's front yards, you wouldn't be able to see the planters.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: Would you consider making those planters wider, as opposed to just pulling them back, so that they stil1 nestled up against the
railing, instead of five feet wide -MR. DUPONT: They are at three feet now.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: Six feet wide.

MR. DUPONT: They could be
five feet wide, and have more planting in them.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: So that you have a little bit denser planting, in addition, and that would be on the north side only, where you would have that width, which would also keep people further from the rail and protect the privacy of those on sunset Islands from views from above, from the rooftops.

MR. DUPONT: Sure.
BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I'm just going through one technical thing in here, since $I$ 've got the mike on, that is, $I$ think you need to take another look at how you are tying down the trees. If this moves forward, you need to look again how you're tying those trees down, securing them with a dead man.

MR. DUPONT: We'11 need a structural engineer when we get to that point.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I think it's going to have to be more than what is shown in the diagramatic sections.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: I agree with creating some consistent palette for the rooftop terraces. And I'm a little hesitant about having two pergolas. I wonder if those could be combined into one element.

MR. DUPONT: There is one pergola and one bulkhead for a staircase.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: okay, but it has that pergola vocabulary, so it looks like two separate elements. I wonder if it's just cleaner to have one thing in the roof rather than two separate things.

MR. DUPONT: It is going to depend on the post tension cables situation. We know that from a north/south perspective, part of the reason why it
is placed here is not only to keep it out of the line-of-sight, but also because where the post tension cables are, that's the only place that you can put it, from a north/south perspective. From an east/west perspective, we have to x-ray the slab -- slabs before we know for sure where that could be positioned.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Are you talking about the cooking area or the bulkhead.

MR. DUPONT: The bulkhead.
bOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Yeah. Can the pergola be attached to the bulkhead? MR. DUPONT: Yes, it could be.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Because it's even further away from -- from your north view then.

MR. DUPONT: It looks like one continuous unit.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: And then the other comment I had is whether that pergola should, in character, remember the pergola that is on the other side,
the structure that exists in the building. I'm just trying to find a common vocabulary from the building that extends to your private garden.

MR. DUPONT: The other penthouses on the east and the south side all have concrete canopies over them, concrete columns that hold them up.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Is the wood vocabulary part of the building?

MR. DUPONT: Yes. The building is covered everywhere, and wood-looking porcelain tile, everywhere, inside and out. Even the edges of the interior of the terraces.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Because that would be sort of my, you know, if this had been designed from the beginning, what would these terraces be like? And that's what $I$ think should, you know, if you're building --

MR. DUPONT: They already have wood elements all the way up the elevation. BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Right. MR. DUPONT: Wood-1ooking.

BOARD MEMBER DELGADO: Okay. Those are my comments.

CHAIRMAN BODNAR: Thanks.
BOARD MEMBER CAMARGO: So, I
accepted the invitation from the
attorney and the applicant to see -- to go up on the rooftop, because $I$ heard both sides before, and $I$ was partially present when the whole dispute between Palau and the sunset Islands took place way back then.

So, I accepted the invitation because $I$ wanted to see the condition with my own eyes, and understand exactly what was the argument, or the 1ack of privacy.

And after being at the rooftop, I realized that what the applicant is proposing is not actually diminishing the privacy of the residents on the Sunset Islands because of the sight line that we just saw here. There is no way one can see the other, and vice versa. And $I$ think with your suggestion of moving the planters, and making them
wider, and creating a wider buffer wil1 minimize whatever residual 1ack of privacy their might be.

And also in terms of the sounding buffering, if there's any noise, the planting also helps that.

But I would encourage the
Homeowners Association of the Palau to create some design guidelines so future applicants at the penthouses decided to do the same improvements to their rooftops, at least from the sunset Islands' perspective, you see a uniform treatment of the building, and if they are concerned with the look and the hodge-podge potential look of the building from their side, that would be minimized, and hopefully everybody wil1 feel like the same situation.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I move to approve the application.

MR. MURPHY: Sam, in accordance
with the Staff recommendation?
BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: And with the
conditions that there be some -Condominium Association standardizing design guidelines.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: I think it is going to be an issue with the next person. I think they are assuming -- we are about to approve, $I$ think they are going to sort of set the esthetics for their neighbors, and $I$ don't have a problem with that.

MR. MURPHY: I would agree with that. And if something arises to the level that is outside of my jurisdiction to review, it comes before the Design Review Board. They are not saying that it doesn't.

Jim, if you recall, south of 5 th, One Ocean, we, very coincidentally, had one -- on one agenda two different penthouse units coming before the Board. And those elements that they were proposing improvements from did differ, not dramatically from one another, and we actually had one kind of regulate the other. But Tim has already done a good
job at introducing the materials and the colors that are already existing on Palau, which is the first thing that $I$ looked at.

And aside from the 20 th Street elevation, that has a very strong white stucco trellis, or canopy that extends over the front, and it is a very loud presence, this is -- this is nice and quiet. And all of the elements are moved away in a very modest sighting and in a modest clouding of the material. And that's why staff is supportive of it.

BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: So, there are no conditions then with our motion?

MR. MURPHY: I wouldn't --
BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: Staff conditions only?

MR. MURPHY: I would not defer to a Condominium for their design guidelines to regulate what goes above on the rooftop, and leave it to when we come to a new penthouse unit coming before the Board.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Can you motion that and I'11 just second? BOARD MEMBER SHELDON: Should I withdraw the motion? Do you want to make the motion?

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Did you take anything --

MR. MURPHY: I don't know if you want to enlarge the planters, maybe that should be spoken of.

MR. LARKIN: That's fine.
MR. DUPONT: That's totally fine. MR. MURPHY: So, the planters to remain flush with the railing, though. BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Correct. MR. LARKIN: Minimum five feet in width.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Five feet in width.

MR. MURPHY: On the north side.
BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: On the north side. On the side facing the canal.

MR. MURPHY: And then is there anything that you would 1 ike to add about combining the trellises -- the
cooking trellis with the stairwell bulkhead trellis?

MR. LARKIN: Yes, that's fine, right?

MR. DUPONT: Make it one continuous structure.

MR. MURPHY: So, explore combining the two trellis elements into one.

MR. DUPONT: Into one continuous structure.

MR. LARKIN: Correct.
MR. MURPHY: With that, we have a motion.

BOARD MEMBER KRIPLEN: Second.
MR. MURPHY: Second by Marsh.
Al1 in favor?
(A11 Board Members present responded affirmatively).

MR. MURPHY: The application is approved 5-0.

MR. LARKIN: Great. Thank you very much for your time.
(Item adjourned.)
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40/18 44/12 45/4 50/8 55/12 62/2 62/3 62/5
75/22 77/6 79/5
didn't [4] 36/1 48/9 53/13 53/15
die [1] 11/17
differ [1] 75/22
different [9] 14/21 41/6 42/10 42/10 42/13
57/15 61/14 66/24 75/19
different -- [1] 42/10
dilatory [2] 55/24 56/8
diminishing [1] 73/19
direct [1] 33/25
directive [1] 28/20
directly [7] 4/10 10/3 16/18 21/21 25/6 52/5
Directors [1] 55/10
disabled [1] 47/21
disagree [1] 45/10
disclosure [3] 3/9 3/14 49/17
disclosures [1] 49/14
discuss [1] 8/9
discussed [2] 26/10 27/18
discussions [1] 4/20
dispute [2] 66/17 73/9
disruption [1] 65/10
distance [1] 68/15
distributed [3] 2/8 22/10 22/13
district [1] 41/15
do [38] 3/3 6/19 7/14 13/15 19/7 35/25 36/25
37/16 37/20 37/24 38/1 38/13 38/17 38/18
39/10 41/5 41/10 41/10 41/19 42/1 42/25
44/15 45/15 45/17 46/1 47/1 49/25 51/8
57/13 58/13 59/9 60/2 62/11 65/25 67/5
74/11 77/4 79/4
docs [1] 61/8
document [2] 11/6 24/25
documents [2] 7/10 56/15
does [6] 5/2 20/17 28/5 28/12 49/17 65/14
doesn't [1] 75/16
doing [2] 36/13 47/15
doing -- [1] 36/13
dollars [1] 46/23
don't [21] 16/8 39/11 41/22 42/20 47/14
47/24 50/11 52/1 52/16 54/4 54/17 55/22
56/7 59/16 64/12 64/20 65/8 65/9 65/19 75/9
77/8
don't -- [1] 41/22
done [6] 18/13 39/25 46/18 47/17 55/15
75/25
door [3] 42/12 46/14 58/23
down [9] 12/2 12/4 16/8 31/6 36/22 53/10
61/23 69/22 69/25
downstairs [1] 16/11
dramatically [1] 75/23
drawing [4] 57/19 57/20 62/13 62/18
drawings [1] 14/17
DRB [22] 1/11 3/7 6/1 8/25 9/4 9/18 10/12
10/19 10/22 24/13 26/8 26/23 28/23 30/3
30/6 30/16 30/17 35/19 45/7 51/19 57/21
60/25
dream [1] 14/5
Drive [3] 3/25 9/10 39/23
DRIVE-MIAMI [1] 1/3
dropped [2] 16/15 16/17
Dry [1] 9/6
due [1] $8 / 24$
duly [1] 55/8
dumbwaiter [1] 10/6
Dupont [3] 7/3 14/14 14/19
during [2] 32/15 40/5

| E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exception [1] } 5 / 19 \\ & \text { exceptions [2] } 5 / 186 \end{aligned}$ | five feet [4] 69/1 69/7 77/16 77/18 five-stories [2] 35/8 35/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e-mails [1] 2 | exclusive [1] 67/22 | five-story [3] 2/12 9/8 34/1 |
| each [2] 25/2 53/ | excuse [2] 16/23 24/2 | five-years-old [1] 7/13 |
| earlier [4] 6/21 34/11 55/7 63/3 | exist [1] 46/11 | flaw [1] 20/11 |
| easier [2] 13/19 62/15 | existing [9] 2/12 2/14 9/6 10/1 17/7 17/10 | flawed [2] 19/24 62/4 |
| easily [2] 47/9 53/9 | 18/5 51/3 76/2 | flaws [1] 55/21 |
| east [2] 71/6 72/6 | exists [1] 72/1 | floor [9] 3/22 5/6 5/7 9/12 9/13 9/16 13/23 |
| edge [5] 4/15 58/10 58/12 62/21 68/18 | expand [1] 29/22 | 51/2 51/10 |
| edges [1] 72/14 | expert[3] 20/1 20/6 62 | floors [1] 9/14 |
| effective [1] 45/4 | experts [1] 48/21 | FLORIDA [5] 1/3 55/16 79/2 79/11 79/19 |
| efficient [1] 28/7 | Expires [1] 79/20 | flowers [1] 18/15 |
| effort [3] 43/16 43/17 44/9 | explain [2] 28/5 28/12 | flush [1] 77/14 |
| eight [7] 3/23 4/4 12/1 51/8 58/9 59/10 68/18 | explanation [2] 28/21 55/2 | folks [3] 36/23 65/6 65/12 |
| eight feet [2] 58/9 68/18 | explicitly [1] 9/20 | follow [1] 11/12 |
| either [1] 66/9 | explore [1] 78/7 | following [2] 10/11 52/19 |
| element [4] 5/7 63/8 67/21 70/13 | expressly [1] 5/25 | for the [6] 7/5 9/5 10/3 11/11 25/23 46/4 |
| elements [12] 4/24 5/14 6/9 6/20 9/20 28/14 | extends [2] 72/4 76 | force [1] 21/19 |
| 58/19 70/18 72/23 75/21 76/10 78/8 | extent [1] 15/6 | foregoing [1] 79/6 |
| elevated [1] 19/3 | exterior [3] 2/11 2/13 2/23 | forever [3] 7/16 11/16 13/1 |
| elevation [5] 5/5 17/3 68/2 72/23 76 | extremely [1] 66/19 | form [1] 6/20 |
| elevations [2] 9/21 | eyes [1] 73/14 | rmer [1] 48/19 |
| elevator [1] 64/21 | F | rms [3] 20/7 49/2 65/21 |
| eliminating -- [1] 30/1 | fabulous [1] 13/5 | 44/8 |
| elimination [3] 20/25 27/24 28/6 | face [4] 3/25 3/25 4/1 | forward [4] 23/5 23/23 42/23 69/23 |
| Elizabeth [1] 1/17 | faces [2] 15/24 18/7 | forward-thinking [1] 7/21 |
| else [11] 21/7 32/3 42/23 44/3 48/1 48/13 | facing [5] 4/8 5/8 9/10 59/14 77/22 | forwarded [1] 23/7 |
| 51/22 54/20 57/7 58/18 61/13 | fact [7] 5/2 36/12 51/18 53/3 53/20 63/1 | fought [1] 34/10 |
| elusion [1] 61/22 | 65/17 | found [4] 2/5 2/7 2/21 12/11 |
| emanates [1] 57/2 | fact-based [1] | found -- [1] 12/11 |
| employee [2] 79/8 7 | facts [2] 29/6 29/9 | four [4] 4/1 4/7 9/14 59/14 |
| enclosure [1] 10/4 | factual [2] 27/16 27/2 | four-stories [1] 35/10 |
| encourage [2] 67/2 | failed [1] 26/25 | Francis [1] 40/13 |
| encroachment [3] 4/24 25/20 26/7 | fall [1] 15/16 | friendly [2] 14/6 14/7 |
| encroachments [4] 5/12 29/13 29/2 | familiar [1] 66/22 | friends [1] 37/7 |
| end [3] 18/12 40/21 56/14 | families [1] 7/23 | Frohlich [1] 21/18 |
| ended [2] 13/8 42/16 | family [21] 7/1 7/11 8/5 8/8 8/15 8/17 21/5 | from -- [1] 71/18 |
| enforce [1] 38/15 | 21/10 33/20 34/3 34/6 46/15 52/11 54/2 54/2 | front [2] 68/20 76/8 |
| engineer [1] 70/3 | 54/8 58/23 59/1 59/5 60/20 65/3 | fronting [1] 4/6 |
| enjoin [1] 65/24 | family's [1] 52/13 | full [2] 16/21 43/8 |
| enjoy [5] 6/15 8/1 14/8 14/9 14/10 | far [1] 47/4 | function [1] 21/10 |
| enjoy -- [1] 14/9 | favor [1] 78/16 | fundamental [2] 5/21 38/21 |
| enlarge [1] 77/9 | featured [1] 9/9 | fundamentally [1] 5/18 |
| enough [1] 56/22 | features [2] 2/199/1 | further [8] 11/7 25/18 47/4 56/3 56/17 69/14 |
| entire [3] 16/22 18/120 | feel [3] 19/13 23/3 74/1 | 71/18 79/8 |
| entirety [2] 26/19 | feeling [2] 15/2 15/3 | future [1] 74/9 |
| entrance [1] 18/8 environment [1] 2 | feet [22] 8/21 51/3 51/4 51/4 51/6 51/6 58/6 | G |
| Erica [4] 7/1 7/12 | 68/17 68/18 69/1 69/2 69/4 69/7 77/16 77/18 | Gables [1] 11/22 |
| especially [2] 15/10 | few [6] 7/17 11/2 32/22 36/13 38/2 39 | gained [1] 4/13 |
| esthetics [1] 75/8 | fiberglass [1] | garden [2] 8/16 72/4 |
| et [1] 48/23 | fifth [2] 5/5 40/3 | gee [1] 36/23 |
| even [10] 20/22 22/6 37/8 49/7 56/4 58/1 | fighting [1] 35/23 | general [4] 3/17 49/20 49/21 54/23 |
| 60/1 68/19 71/18 72/14 | file [4] 2/2 3/7 23/9 | Gersky [2] 7/4 54/22 |
| even -- [1] 68/19 | filed [6] 2/10 18/25 38/14 53/21 60/6 65/18 | get [7] 12/21 13/17 37/11 38/2 40/18 45/25 |
| event [1] 16/7 | final [2] 2/21 4/18 | 70/3 |
| ever [1] 46/17 | financially [1] | get -- [1] 45/25 |
| every [2] 37/14 37/1 | find [3] 12/12 20/11 72/2 | GG [1] 79/20 |
| everybody [7] 42/7 42/10 44/21 45/8 52/8 | fine [3] 77/11 77/12 78/3 | Gibbs [1] 23/25 |
| 55/5 74/18 | finish [1] 6/10 | give [4] 11/2 11/20 24/7 55/1 |
| everyone [4] 39/4 | finished [1] 15/1 | given [3] 21/17 28/19 59/12 |
| everything [14] 17/4 17/18 17/23 28/3 32/2 | finishing [2] 29/17 54/10 | gives [2] 15/2 28/22 |
| 37/13 39/24 43/5 46/7 52/7 53/11 58/18 | finishing -- [1] 29/17 | giving [1] 24/25 |
| 66/14 66/25 | finite [1] 59/21 | go [3] 6/15 45/8 73/7 |
| everywhere [2] 72/12 72/13 | fire [1] 50/10 | goes [2] 58/2 76/22 |
| evidence [5] 19/11 19/25 20/8 27/20 62/7 | firm [1] 20/15 | going [25] 11/1 11/2 11/7 11/17 14/16 19/21 |
| evolve [1] 29/1 | first [16] 9/12 12/12 12/13 12/15 12/25 | 23/21 31/2 35/5 35/18 38/1 39/9 40/20 42/18 |
| exact [1] 37/17 | 19/23 33/24 36/8 36/8 36/13 44/19 48/25 | 43/6 58/2 60/21 61/9 63/22 64/17 69/19 70/6 |
| exactly [1] 73/14 | 49/24 59/16 61/11 76/3 | 70/22 75/5 75/8 |
| exaggeration [1] 60/13 | five [11] 24/5 24/6 32/8 33/17 45/22 57/11 | gone [2] 51/9 63/13 |
| except [1] 18/14 | 57/14 69/1 69/7 77/16 77/18 | good [7] 6/23 22/18 23/16 23/24 48/14 54/21 |


| G | here [38] 6/25 7/13 7/14 8/8 11/1 12/2 12/4 | inconsistency [1] 47/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| good... [1] 75/25 | 24/20 25/13 29/16 29/17 33/1 35/24 38/4 | indication [1] 7/8 |
| got [10] 12/6 12/12 12/14 39/3 39/3 40/4 | 39/9 41/4 42/21 43/11 47/15 48/22 50/6 52/4 | inefficient [1] 49/16 |
| 49/3 49/5 50/3 69/20 | 54/8 55/5 61/1 62/12 66/7 67/6 69/20 71/1 | initiated [1] 55/20 |
| gotten [3] 7/17 36/15 36/17 | 73/22 | Injunction [1] 65/23 |
| governing [1] 56/15 | hereby [1] 79/4 | Injunctive [1] 38/17 |
| grandkids [1] 7/20 | hesitant [1] 70/1 | inside [2] 13/25 72/13 |
| grandparents [1] 7/19 | Нey [1] 45/9 | installation [1] 2/18 |
| granted [1] 30/2 | Hi [3] 14/18 42/24 51/23 | instead [5] 34/14 35/11 38/3 42/14 69/1 |
| grass [2] 15/25 17/16 | hide [1] 22/12 | intent [2] 14/2 14/3 |
| grassroots [1] 43/17 | higher [2] 5/5 63/1 | interest [1] 24/11 |
| great [5] 13/23 14/12 42/2 42/17 78/21 | highrise [1] 47/25 | interested [1] 79/10 |
| green [1] 17/18 | him [1] 22/22 | interestingly [1] 56/22 |
| Greenwhich [1] 12/1 | his [3] 20/12 23/1 43/13 | interior [3] 4/10 15/3 72/14 |
| grew [2] 11/20 11/21 | HOA [1] 22/4 | introduce [1] 62/5 |
| grill [1] 51/14 | hodge-podge [1] 74/16 | introducing [1] 76/1 |
| grilling [1] 51/13 | hold [1] 72/8 | invented [1] 49/7 |
| ground [1] 3/21 | home [19] 7/16 7/24 8/6 11/15 11/16 13/10 | invitation [2] 73/5 73/12 |
| Grove [1] 24/1 | 13/13 13/22 13/22 13/24 33/20 40/24 41/10 | invite [2] 11/1 62/12 |
| guess [3] 12/18 48/1 49/6 | 48/17 52/5 52/14 53/6 53/10 59/5 | invoke [1] 66/4 |
| guidelines [5] 45/1 67/2 74/9 75/3 76/21 | home's [1] 52/21 | involved [3] 40/17 40/19 66/8 |
| guy [1] 42/12 | homeowner [1] 37/16 | is [214] |
| guys [3] 13/2 46/25 47/17 | homeowners [8] 27/6 28/1 28/3 30/4 33/7 | is -- [1] 76/9 |
| H | 7/8 | island [2] 34/22 5 |
| habitable [1] 9/3 | 58/23 59/2 60/20 | 4 22/21 24/9 25/21 26/8 26/12 30/4 |
| had [24] 3/9 4/17 20/14 21/7 22/1 22/18 | hope [3] 48/4 48/5 51/1 | 31/19 32/11 32/13 34/9 40/14 41/17 41/21 |
| 23/15 33/4 33/15 33/23 33/24 34/10 36/5 | hopefully [1] 74/18 | 44/7 48/17 56/24 65/12 65/22 69/15 73/10 |
| 36/7 36/8 43/3 44/11 55/8 59/21 68/13 71/23 | hot [1] 14/10 | 73/21 |
| 72/18 75/18 75/24 | house [3] 13/14 40/15 43/8 | Islands' [1] 74/13 |
| hallway [1] 13/11 | how [12] 16/23 28/5 28/12 31/8 35/10 35/13 | isn't [2] 64/25 64/25 |
| hallway -- [1] 13/11 | 39/16 45/24 52/25 57/9 69/22 69/24 | isn't -- [1] 64/25 |
| hand [1] 37/19 | However [2] 54/3 63/6 | issue [9] 22/2 24/14 25/13 26/10 30/24 30/25 |
| handful [1] 44/18 | hurricane [1] 16/5 | 31/1 58/14 75/5 |
| handing [1] 38/9 | I | issued [1] 59/23 |
| handlers [1] 16/4 | F | issues [4] 24/4 26/11 31/13 48/23 |
| handout [3] 7/7 11/5 60/23 | I'll [5] 6/10 24/7 44/22 53/10 77/2 | it [129] |
| hang [1] 14/9 | I'm [26] 2/6 11/1 11/7 12/3 23/21 24/2 29/16 | it's [38] 6/11 11/11 13/5 13/6 13/10 13/19 |
| happen [2] 36/1 44/11 | 32/6 32/11 36/25 38/1 38/9 41/4 42/21 43/7 | 14/9 18/13 23/4 26/19 32/24 37/12 41/8 |
| happened [1] $29 / 5$ | 44/14 44/22 45/21 52/9 54/1 54/3 60/8 63/22 | 41/14 42/1 42/2 42/17 42/18 43/13 43/22 |
| happening [1] 48/9 | 69/18 70/10 72/2 | 43/22 43/24 43/25 44/1 46/23 46/24 46/24 |
| happens [2] 15/19 53/24 | I've [5] 22/5 48/16 66/5 66/6 69/20 | 49/25 54/13 60/9 62/15 64/5 64/18 66/21 |
| happy [3] 40/21 58/13 63/9 | Icon [1] 12/5 | 67/20 70/5 70/19 71/17 |
| Harbor [11] 3/2 13/1 13/1 13/3 21/20 21/24 | idea [1] 60/17 | it's -- [1] 46/24 |
| 41/16 42/18 50/14 50/14 55/19 | identified [1] 6/2 | item [5] 1/10 3/6 24/3 27/15 78/23 |
| hard [1] 11/11 | illustrate [1] 17/23 | iterations [1] 14/21 |
| hardship [3] 51/12 51/14 64/13 | imagined [1] 44/16 | its [12] 4/18 17/18 26/19 27/4 27/13 27/16 |
| has [22] 2/10 8/2 12/9 13/11 26/25 29/7 | immediately [1] 12/16 | 27/23 29/19 29/23 56/15 56/21 61/12 |
| 33/23 36/24 38/13 41/25 42/10 50/3 52/14 | impact [8] 20/20 28/2 29/11 33/11 34/15 | itself [3] 13/7 50/22 58/9 |
| 56/14 59/10 59/11 60/5 60/6 61/3 70/17 75/25 76/6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 / 17 \text { 52/2 52/20 } \\ & \text { impacted [1] } 31 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | J |
| have [96] | impactful [1] 39/20 | Jackie [2] 22/3 22/13 |
| have -- [4] 37/18 41/5 64/20 | implementation [1] 26/16 | James [7] 1/16 1/22 6/22 9/1 12/20 20/12 |
| haven't [2] 56/4 56/6 | implementing [1] 30/7 | 59/12 |
| having [9] 31/23 35/23 35/24 38/4 40/6 | importance [1] 19/4 | James -- [1] 12/20 |
| 46/17 51/24 64/13 70/11 | important [10] 5/10 6/11 22/7 27/17 29/8 | James' [3] 19/23 20/10 62/4 |
| he [15] 7/15 11/2 14/15 14/15 22/16 22/21 | 30/2 31/6 32/25 43/12 58/8 | JANICE [2] 79/4 79/18 |
| 22/22 34/22 34/22 37/5 37/5 37/8 37/9 50/21 | imposed [1] 26/14 | Jim [1] 75/17 |
| 60/12 | impossible [1] 49/25 | job [3] 13/12 45/4 76/1 |
| he's [1] 22/23 | impressive [1] 11/13 | join [2] 24/19 55/10 |
| hear [2] 27/15 53/10 | improve [1] 68/2 | judicial [1] 19/3 |
| heard [7] 45/5 52/4 52/7 66/13 66/15 66/15 | improvement [2] 8/14 64/19 | July [2] 1/4 79/6 |
| 73/7 | improvements [12] 2/23 3/3 5/15 5/25 6/5 | jurisdiction [2] 26/1 75/13 |
| heard -- [1] 66/15 | 6/19 8/11 10/15 10/20 11/4 74/11 75/22 | just [37] 6/10 7/23 8/21 14/4 17/22 18/9 |
| hearing [4] 18/22 46/22 53/23 63/23 | in -- [1] 40/17 | 18/20 23/4 29/16 34/19 35/2 40/2 40/8 42/20 |
| hearings [1] 19/2 | inability [1] 51/13 | 43/13 46/9 46/17 47/7 54/8 54/25 56/3 56/7 |
| height [6] 4/23 5/19 6/4 31/5 39/21 53/9 | Inc [1] 24/10 | 57/15 57/23 58/15 59/9 62/13 63/7 63/7 64/1 |
| helpful [1] 11/19 | inception [1] 32/16 | 66/5 68/24 69/18 70/19 72/2 73/22 77/2 |
| helping [1] 13/2 | include [1] 68/10 | K |
| her [5] 22/1 22/5 22/7 22/8 22/8 | including [5] 2/13 2/15 2/20 10/2 17/9 | Kallergis [1] 1/22 |


| K | list [2] 49/3 49/5 listed [1] 11/6 | matters [1] 43/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kayakers [1] 46/12 | listen [2] 32/1 52/10 | may [4] 10/16 25/8 55/6 55/19 |
| keep [4] 11/3 37/25 69/13 71/1 | lists [1] 49/11 | maybe [2] 56/17 77/9 |
| Kendall [1] 11/21 | lit [1] 61/24 | me [16] 14/11 16/23 22/7 22/11 23/12 24/24 |
| kid [1] 14/7 | literally [2] 34/1 39/23 | 32/22 32/24 37/5 37/6 37/9 47/18 51/24 52/3 |
| kids [5] 7/12 7/25 13/16 54/3 54/5 | litigating [1] 59/22 | 52/20 53/6 |
| kind [2] 13/22 75/24 | litigation [2] 66/8 66/16 | mean [3] 31/18 39/11 39/12 |
| knew [4] 39/4 40/20 49/8 54/11 | little [7] 11/20 14/9 16/2 50/8 60/13 69/10 | meanies [1] 33/2 |
| know [51] 3/19 7/18 12/6 13/3 14/11 18/24 | 70/10 | meaning [1] 6/12 |
| 31/4 35/6 37/1 40/6 41/13 42/17 42/20 43/15 | live [15] 12/9 21/2 31/14 39/10 40/13 43/21 | meaningless [1] 26/21 |
| 43/17 44/2 44/8 44/10 44/12 44/17 44/21 | 43/25 44/20 47/19 47/20 47/23 47/24 48/2 | means [3] 37/16 57/24 61/6 |
| 44/24 44/24 45/5 45/6 45/10 46/14 47/8 48/8 | 61/2 66/18 | meet [6] 17/4 22/1 22/14 28/16 49/17 62/3 |
| 48/9 49/1 49/9 53/7 53/17 54/4 56/7 60/15 | lived [4] 11/25 34/22 40/18 48/21 | Meeting [2] 55/9 79/6 |
| 61/23 64/14 64/20 64/23 64/24 64/25 65/2 | lives [2] 12/7 21/20 | meetings [1] 52/23 |
| 65/5 66/14 70/24 71/8 72/17 72/20 77/8 | living [3] 40/23 44/3 44/15 | MEMBERS [8] 1/13 2/9 6/24 23/6 23/8 48/3 |
| knowing [3] 22/9 29/18 46/19 | located [3] 8/20 9/14 17/24 | 57/17 78/17 |
| known [1] 22/5 | location [1] 41/15 | mentioned [5] 2/23 14/22 55/6 60/5 68/13 |
| Kriplen [1] 1/18 | locations [1] 17/9 | met [6] 3/14 11/23 21/14 21/23 22/22 66/6 |
| L | London [1] 55/15 | MIAMI [8] 1/1 11/21 12/7 12/8 41/12 48/18 |
| k [2] 73/1 | 22/5 57/9 | 79/11 |
|  |  |  |
| an [1] 22/3 | longer [5] 45/11 47/3 48/24 59/20 59/24 | 51/24 57/7 |
| land [1] 28/8 | look [23] 5/1 5/2 17/12 18/4 19/7 20/4 27/15 | mid [1] 62/19 |
| landscape [2] 7/4 18/11 | 27/21 31/19 31/24 31/25 34/25 49/1 49/20 | midpoint [1] 15/14 |
| landscaping [3] 2/18 15/4 15/11 | 50/24 56/23 60/22 63/8 64/17 69/22 69/24 | Midwest [1] 44/22 |
| large [1] 12/4 | 74/15 74/16 | might [4] 21/6 42/12 42/13 74/3 |
| largest [1] 4/4 | looked [2] 63/15 | mike [1] 69/20 |
| Larkin [2] 6/24 48/20 | looking [2] 18/10 35/4 | million [1] 46/18 |
| last [12] 11/12 21/8 38/14 49/8 50/8 50/24 | looks [4] 15/8 52/22 70/17 71/20 | mind [1] 60/15 |
| 53/21 56/16 60/11 61/21 62/11 65/18 | Los [1] 21/23 | minimize [1] 74/2 |
| later [3] 9/23 12/19 39/2 | lose [1] 16/7 | minimized [1] 74/18 |
| Law [2] 23/25 55/16 | $\operatorname{lot}[2] 13 / 635 / 5$ | Minimum [1] 77/16 |
| lawsuit [5] 55/19 55/22 55/23 56/5 60/6 | lots [2] 12/8 12/9 | minute [1] $45 / 18$ |
| lay [2] 20/2 20/5 | loud [1] 76/8 | minutes [9] 17/1 24/5 24/6 32/5 32/8 37/23 |
| leading [3] 34/17 37/8 37/10 | love [1] 51/13 | 45/20 45/22 57/12 |
| learned [2] 50/9 50/9 | loved [1] 12/24 | minutes -- [1] 24/5 |
| least [3] 45/19 46/5 74/12 | lovely [4] 37/1 37/1 43/7 43/14 | mistake [1] 46/25 |
| leave [1] 76/23 | low [1] 34/15 | mixed [2] 9/8 33/21 |
| leaving [1] 17/14 | lower [3] 5/7 16/19 41/16 | mode [1] 60/13 |
| left [5] 15/11 16/12 18/1 18/4 18/21 | Luria [2] 22/20 59/10 | modern [1] 42/15 |
| legislative [1] | M | odest [2] 76/11 76/12 |
|  |  | odification [3] 8/13 10/21 49/23 |
| less [1] 60/18 | made[15] 30/6 30/18 43/17 43/19 43/20 | modifications [6] 2/14 8/10 10/1 10/11 |
| let [2] 32/24 47/14 | 51/18 52/25 53/3 53/18 55/1 58/22 59/13 | 36/10 62/1 |
| Let's [1] 57/11 | 60/12 61/22 65/17 | modified [1] 7/7 |
| letter [3] 12/14 23/2 23/6 | Maggie [2] 12/9 14/7 | modify [2] 25/18 26/1 |
| letters [1] 23/7 | mail-outs [1] 21/15 | month [1] 21/8 |
| level [4] 6/2 10/16 60/22 75/13 | main [2] 5/13 52/9 | months [1] 7/17 |
| Liability [1] 49/13 | maintain [1] 13/19 | Morber [1] 48/15 |
| life [1] 59/21 | majority [2] 19/16 55/9 | more [20] 4/19 16/24 35/3 37/23 41/20 |
| light-of-sight [1] 57/24 | make [17] 7/16 10/14 13/2 14/5 24/6 32/22 | 41/23 45/15 45/20 45/22 46/9 46/15 52/3 |
| lighting [3] 32/2 61/23 66/21 | 33/7 44/10 44/11 47/13 54/15 54/17 61/5 | 56/7 58/11 59/9 61/6 63/9 68/3 69/7 70/6 |
| lights [2] 40/8 60/14 | 68/1 68/3 77/5 78/5 | most [1] 7/23 |
| like [28] 7/23 8/5 12/20 14/14 15/8 17/12 | makes [1] 66/23 | motion [5] 76/16 77/2 77/4 77/5 78/13 |
| 17/21 23/1 23/12 23/19 24/4 30/11 34/20 | making [6] 23/11 36/14 52/18 53/24 68/23 | move [1] 74/20 |
| 43/2 44/1 47/19 47/23 47/25 50/20 50/21 | 73/25 | moved [5] 12/2 12/5 43/15 43/24 76/11 |
| 57/10 63/7 63/8 70/18 71/20 72/19 74/19 | man [2] 50/9 70/1 | moves [1] 69/23 |
| 77/24 | many [4] 17/8 33/23 52/23 52/23 | moving [2] 11/18 73/25 |
| limitations [2] 29/19 29/21 | Marilyn [1] 21/18 | Mr [3] 23/1 47/16 67/16 |
| Limited [2] 7/1 49/12 | Mark's [2] 9/6 33/13 | Mr. [18] 6/23 12/20 18/20 23/19 31/1 31/4 |
| limits [1] 17/5 | marketing [1] 12/13 | 43/3 43/16 44/6 45/6 48/15 48/20 57/16 |
| line [7] 15/13 26/12 29/16 29/17 31/18 35/15 | married [3] 43/11 54/3 54/5 | 59/10 60/12 60/23 61/14 63/20 |
| 73/21 | Marsh [2] 1/18 78/15 | Mr. Amster [1] 60/23 |
| line-of-sight [26] 4/25 25/21 26/7 27/5 28/1 | mass [1] 4/23 | Mr. Bienstock [6] 31/1 43/3 43/16 44/6 45/6 |
| 28/3 28/24 29/14 29/25 30/9 41/7 41/23 42/6 | massing [1] 31/5 | 60/12 |
| 52/21 52/25 57/18 57/23 58/16 58/21 61/17 | master [1] 40/22 | Mr. Chairman [6] 6/23 18/20 23/19 48/15 |
| 62/13 62/18 63/2 64/14 65/10 71/2 | matching [1] 67/8 | 57/16 63/20 |
| lines [7] 4/15 5/13 28/10 30/20 30/23 45/14 | material [2] 12/13 76/12 | Mr. Larkin [1] 48/20 |
| 47/4 | materials [2] 17/6 76/1 | Mr. Lehr [1] 61/14 |
| lines -- [1] 45/14 | math [1] 51/7 | Mr. Luria [1] 59/10 |
| link [1] 22/9 | matter [3] 25/9 27/12 63/13 | Mr. Murphy [2] 12/20 31/4 |

## M

much [12] 12/25 14/13 16/24 18/18 19/4
23/20 43/2 51/12 54/20 57/4 63/22 78/22
multi-family [1] 60/18
multiple [1] 59/15
Murphy [3] 1/22 12/20 31/4
Muskcad [1] 51/24
must [4] 6/7 20/8 24/19 41/2
must -- [1] 24/19
mutually [1] $12 / 18$
my [41] 3/12 7/5 11/16 11/23 12/2 12/7
13/18 13/20 14/18 23/24 24/6 24/10 30/12
36/21 37/7 38/1 40/22 40/23 41/25 42/4 42/4
42/24 43/10 44/24 46/1 48/15 51/24 52/5
52/11 52/13 52/21 53/6 53/10 54/2 54/21 72/17 73/2 73/14 75/13 79/7 79/20 myself [1] 51/13
N
Nahmad [7] 7/1 7/2 7/11 8/8 8/15 54/2 54/7
Nahmads [8] 9/24 10/9 10/13 15/7 36/25
37/12 38/12 60/16
Nahmads' [4] 8/19 32/20 41/1 59/3
name [6] 14/18 23/25 42/24 48/15 51/24

## 54/22

native [1] 18/15
need [10] 16/24 37/20 45/9 45/15 45/19
48/25 49/20 69/21 69/23 70/2
needless [1] 34/7
negatively [5] 20/19 28/2 29/11 52/2 52/19
negotiated [2] 34/13 35/21
neighbor [3] 7/8 42/13 63/16
neighborhood [12] 13/24 18/23 21/24 28/10
30/6 33/20 33/22 39/17 39/20 41/20 42/3

## 66/24

neighborhoods [1] 29/1
neighboring [1] 4/21
neighbors [6] 39/18 44/24 46/13 48/8 59/8
75/9
neither [1] 66/7
nestled [1] 68/25
nets [1] 16/5
neutral [1] 21/25
never [5] 11/18 36/20 44/16 61/1 68/19
new [10] 2/15 2/16 2/16 2/17 10/2 11/25
18/1 29/2 42/8 76/24
news [1] 12/13
news -- [1] 12/13
next [5] 2/2 42/12 46/14 58/23 75/5
nice [4] 14/6 54/2 54/6 76/9
Nicholas [1] $1 / 22$
night [3] 32/2 32/3 50/9
nightclub [1] 65/1
nighttime [1] 40/7
no [42] $1 / 103 / 7$ 3/11 4/25 5/16 5/17 7/2 9/17
9/19 14/23 20/11 21/2 22/2 23/14 24/22
27/16 27/22 28/11 29/6 33/15 35/9 35/9 35/9
35/13 36/11 45/11 46/9 47/2 48/1 48/6 48/23
49/13 50/17 57/9 59/20 59/24 61/2 65/16
66/10 73/22 76/16 79/20
noise [9] 35/5 53/5 60/23 61/4 61/5 64/23

## 65/7 65/8 74/5

non-binding [1] 12/18
None [1] 4/7
north [12] 41/16 41/22 58/1 59/2 59/14
66/25 69/11 70/24 71/5 71/19 77/20 77/21
northeast [2] 4/5 8/20
northwest [2] 40/24 40/25
not [76] 5/18 6/17 7/14 9/2 9/20 20/1 20/6
20/17 20/19 20/23 21/4 21/9 21/11 22/12
23/3 25/7 25/8 27/19 27/21 28/2 28/5 29/11

33/1 33/2 33/3 33/8 33/9 33/10 34/19 35/4 36/8 36/23 37/12 38/6 38/24 39/10 39/25
40/8 40/17 40/18 40/25 42/12 42/18 43/1
43/5 43/12 49/17 49/24 50/22 51/6 51/14
54/6 54/8 54/13 58/20 58/24 60/3 60/9 60/16
61/25 62/2 62/3 62/5 63/5 64/5 65/14 65/19
65/25 66/9 68/8 71/1 73/19 75/15 75/23
76/20 79/8
not -- [1] 42/12
Notary [1] 79/19
notation [1] 6/10
note [3] 5/10 6/11 58/8
noted [2] 9/1 63/3
notes [1] 79/7
nothing [5] 36/25 38/13 40/2 65/5 66/11
notice [2] 21/17 22/6
noticed [1] 55/8
notion [1] 42/13
now [21] 11/24 15/5 15/8 23/22 29/22 33/12
39/1 40/4 40/5 41/8 41/24 45/5 49/19 50/5
50/24 51/9 54/10 54/14 58/5 64/19 69/3 nuisance [3] 46/9 46/15 61/9
number [1] 21/20

## 0

object [2] 41/4 42/21
objection [2] 42/5 57/2
objectors [3] 19/20 22/18 62/2
obligation [3] 36/7 36/9 45/7
obligations [1] 56/14
obtain [1] 27/1
obviously [1] 56/11
occur [1] 5/12
Ocean [1] 75/18
October [2] 9/4 26/9
odors [1] 35/5
of -- [1] 24/9
offer [1] 11/8
Offices [1] 23/25
often [1] 22/23
oh [1] 65/16
okay [17] 3/19 17/2 22/11 23/16 31/25 32/4
32/9 42/22 43/19 45/13 46/5 46/6 47/6 57/13
63/18 70/16 73/1
one [40] 4/19 5/3 7/20 15/21 18/21 25/5
33/22 33/24 37/2 38/6 39/3 39/16 42/12
43/18 43/20 44/19 45/18 50/1 56/16 57/9
57/21 59/4 65/21 67/1 68/8 69/19 70/13
70/14 70/15 70/19 71/20 73/23 75/18 75/19
75/19 75/23 75/24 78/5 78/8 78/9
one -- [1] 75/19
one-story [1] 33/14
only [14] $15 / 21 \quad 24 / 20 \quad 28 / 2133 / 1837 / 2$
47/23 57/22 58/3 58/15 62/22 69/12 71/1
71/4 76/19
open [3] 23/21 29/2 65/2
operate [1] 55/12
operation [1] 66/22
operational [1] 20/22
opinion [4] 20/2 20/5 27/17 27/23
Oppenheim [1] 34/20
opportunity [1] 23/15
opposed [2] 39/14 68/24
opposing [2] 38/6 38/8
opposition [3] 23/8 37/9 37/10
order [18] 2/22 2/22 6/7 8/25 9/19 10/12
10/19 10/22 20/2 24/13 25/6 25/23 29/15
30/15 30/17 49/24 51/19 60/25
ordinances [1] 64/24
original [5] 2/21 4/17 6/1 16/14 20/18 originally [6] 3/6 12/5 13/14 44/22 53/13 53/15
other [20] 3/1 4/12 7/9 10/14 15/9 24/4 25/20 28/14 37/16 46/19 47/12 54/4 59/23 67/13 68/7 71/23 71/25 72/5 73/23 75/25 otherwise [1] 43/7
our [35] 7/3 7/4 11/15 11/16 12/13 12/14 13/10 13/11 13/13 14/7 14/15 17/25 18/11 18/22 19/15 20/10 21/12 22/22 33/6 34/16 34/22 36/9 38/6 38/7 40/10 43/4 46/20 47/9 48/8 53/4 53/18 53/20 59/7 60/6 76/16 out [23] 12/22 13/10 14/9 21/13 21/18 $22 / 3$
22/6 32/21 37/3 37/19 39/3 40/4 41/11 42/9 43/10 43/18 43/20 47/1 60/9 60/24 63/1 71/2 72/14
out -- [1] 37/19
outdoor [14] 2/15 2/17 2/19 2/25 3/5 4/14
7/25 8/12 8/17 10/6 10/23 20/25 56/20 61/1
outreach [2] 18/23 21/12
outside [2] 61/16 75/13
over [12] 8/21 32/15 35/17 35/25 52/13
58/12 63/14 63/23 64/19 68/3 72/7 76/8
over -- [1] 64/19
overall [2] 4/23 19/16
Owen [1] 14/8
own [3] 14/19 52/12 73/14
owned [3] 36/17 49/10 52/11
owner [3] 25/5 36/12 50/20
owner's [1] 44/14
owners [25] 3/1 6/13 7/2 10/14 11/12 21/16
24/10 24/17 24/18 24/21 25/2 25/7 25/10
34/8 46/16 47/13 49/4 49/4 49/12 54/4 56/22
56/23 60/17 60/19 68/4
ownership [1] 49/14

## P

p.m [1] 61/4
package [1] 2/9
packet [1] 57/20
Page [3] 2/5 2/8 15/1
pages [1] 79/7
paid [1] 29/19
Palau [46] 1/11 2/3 3/1 3/20 4/17 5/2 5/2 7/5
7/15 12/12 12/22 20/13 21/16 24/11 24/18
25/15 25/18 32/15 32/18 33/12 33/13 33/24
34/23 35/2 36/17 36/21 37/9 38/10 38/15
43/1 43/5 43/15 47/20 52/5 52/15 52/22 53/6
53/21 54/11 54/23 58/3 64/3 65/6 73/10 74/8
76/3
Palau's [2] 36/15 36/18
palette [2] 68/10 70/9
parameters [1] 14/24
parapet [4] 10/8 58/7 58/8 68/18
park [4] 15/19 15/22 59/6 63/14
parking [1] 9/11
part [12] 5/15 9/12 11/5 23/9 27/6 27/7
42/17 49/24 50/1 61/19 70/25 72/10
partially [1] 73/8
particular [8] 24/12 28/9 50/19 56/9 56/19
58/14 59/4 65/15
particularly [1] 65/11
parties [5] 38/22 40/6 60/14 66/9 79/9
parties' [1] 79/9
partner [1] 7/5
party [6] 33/8 54/7 60/16 61/22 67/11 67/25
passed [1] 60/24
passing [2] 46/12 56/1
past [1] 46/19
path [1] 4/18
patient [1] 12/23
paying [1] 28/8
peace [1] 61/12
peculiar [1] 51/12
Pennsylvania [1] 11/23

| $\mathbf{P}$ | post [2] 70/23 71/3 potential [1] 74/16 | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| penthouse [25] 1/11 2/4 2/24 3/1 3/23 6/13 | potentially [2] 42/7 42/19 | quality [1] 8/17 |
| 7/2 8/19 10/14 18/7 24/17 24/21 25/2 25/10 | practice [1] 48/24 | quasi [1] 19/3 |
| 40/25 41/1 42/8 43/1 46/5 46/16 47/13 54/4 | precedent [3] 27/3 47/12 67/6 | question [3] 29/5 30/23 64/1 |
| 59/13 75/20 76/24 | preclude [1] 65/6 | quick [1] 3/9 |
| penthouses [6] 4/8 41/6 46/4 53/16 72/5 | predecessor [1] 51/1 | quickly [1] 53/8 |
| 74/10 | presence [1] 76/9 | quiet [2] 61/12 76/10 |
| people [22] 13/6 31/13 31/18 34/20 35/3 | present [4] 1/14 1/21 73/9 78/17 | quite [2] 26/22 48/21 |
| 37/1 38/4 39/8 39/9 39/12 39/12 40/7 43/9 | presentation [6] 16/25 24/7 30/12 30/18 | quote [1] 28/7 |
| 44/1 44/20 47/19 49/6 56/24 60/14 60/16 | 31/17 55/7 | $\mathbf{R}$ |
| people's [1] 68/20 | president [4] 12/3 22/4 32/12 32/14 | rail [1] 69/14 |
| performances [2] 21/3 61/2 | pretty [3] 7/22 11/12 66/21 | railing [2] 69/1 77/14 |
| pergola [10] 16/12 18/1 18/4 42/11 62/24 | prevalent [1] 8/6 | rather [3] 5/23 12/14 70/20 |
| 70/14 70/17 71/15 71/24 71/25 | price [1] 29/19 | ratio [2] 51/2 51/10 |
| pergolas [6] 10/5 51/5 61/15 61/16 61/18 | primary [2] 5/21 44/ | reached [4] 21/13 21/18 22/3 36/2 |
| 70/11 | printed [1] 23/9 | reaching [1] 32/21 |
| perhaps [1] 64/9 | privacy [4] 69/15 73/16 73/20 74/3 | read [2] 23/1 64/1 |
| perimeter [1] 10/8 | private [12] 2/14 3/24 4/9 4/16 6/16 7/24 | reality [1] 14/5 |
| period [2] 21/5 35/17 | 8/14 8/16 9/15 21/10 66/17 72/4 | realized [2] 62/1 73/18 |
| permanent [1] 6/8 | probably [1] 52/9 | really [9] 9/2 14/3 14/4 27/17 41/9 41/18 |
| permission [1] 22/2 | problem [2] 36/5 75/ | 42/15 45/3 60/4 |
| permit [2] 2/25 3/5 | problems [1] 39/6 | rear [2] 57/25 58/ |
| permitted [3] 5/16 50/7 51/9 | proceed [1] 55/25 | reason [15] 5/22 12/24 27/10 41/3 42/4 |
| permitting [1] 32/17 | proceeding [4] 56/1 56/9 59/18 60/10 | 43/24 43/25 44/1 48/6 53/12 53/14 53/17 |
| person [4] 20/2 20/5 52 | proceedings [1] 65/24 | 53/22 65/16 70 |
| personal [2] 11/14 11/20 | process [2] 27/7 36/4 | reason -- [1] 65/16 |
| perspective [4] 70/25 71/5 71/6 74/13 | process -- [1] 27/7 | reasons [1] 52/19 |
| persuasive [1] 65/20 | produce [1] 30/8 | rebuttal [3] 23/20 57/8 57/1 |
| persue [1] 12/2 | product [1] 40/21 | recall [1] 75/17 |
| Peter [1] 22/20 | productive [1] 34/24 | receiving [1] 7/19 |
| petition [3] 38/5 3 | Professional [2] 79/4 79/19 | recently [1] 43/10 |
| photos [1] 37/18 | prohibit [1] 61/8 | recessed [1] 61/19 |
| phrase [1] 64/15 | prohibition [1] 10/23 | reclaimed [1] 17/15 |
| picture [1] 15/11 | project [8] 13/4 18/16 25/15 29/3 29/4 32/15 | recommendation [5] 19/10 20/16 30/14 |
| place [10] 8/4 8/25 11/18 21/1 21/4 30/11 | 35/1 65/15 | 30/16 74/23 |
| 47/18 60/25 71/4 73/1 | projects [1] | recommendations [3] 19/5 19/6 19/18 |
| placed [2] 33/5 71/1 | promise [1] 30/5 | record [5] 23/2 24/22 30/12 44/14 79/7 |
| plainly [1] 61/5 | proper [1] 55/12 | recycled [1] 17/17 |
| plan [3] 9/9 11/15 27/10 | properly [1] 8/3 | redesign [1] 29/3 |
| Planning [20] 25/14 25/16 25/23 25/25 26/4 | properties [3] 5/9 20/21 29/12 | redevelop [1] 35/1 |
| 26/17 26/20 26/21 27/1 27/7 27/7 27/11 | property [7] 4/12 22/23 24/10 29/18 29/20 | reduce [1] 26/6 |
| 28/19 29/15 30/3 30/7 30/13 30/14 30/15 | 29/23 61/7 | reducing [2] 25/20 39/21 |
| 35/18 | proposal [2] | reduction [1] 4/23 |
| plans [9] 6/1 9/18 9/21 16/15 22/2 22/9 | proposals [1] 29/2 | reference [1] 15/1 |
| 22/13 26/6 26/14 | propose [1] 15/25 | references [1] 59/15 |
| plant [1] 68/10 | proposed [3] 20/17 46/4 46/8 | reflects [1] 26/16 |
| planter [3] 16/1 63 | proposing [8] 11/4 15/16 17/11 37/15 47/12 | regard [1] 60/11 |
| planters [16] 10/7 17/11 18/2 58/4 58/6 | 65/1 73/19 75/22 | regarding [3] 20/24 30/19 61/4 |
| 58/11 58/17 62/23 63/4 67/77 68/14 68/21 | protect [5] 27/5 31/13 45/3 61/12 69/14 | regardless [1] 6/2 |
| 68/23 73/25 77/9 77/13 | protected [1] 41/23 | regards [3] 21/12 57/18 59/3 |
| planting [3] 69/7 69/10 7 | protection [5] 27/25 28/7 28/15 29/9 30/2 | Registered [2] 79/4 79/19 |
| plantings [3] 58/4 58/17 62/23 | protections [1] 28/23 | regulate [2] 75/24 76/22 |
| plants [2] 18/14 64/18 | protects [1] 28/4 | regulations [2] 61/3 66/20 |
| plastic [1] 17/17 | protocol [1] 55/13 | rejected [1] 26/15 |
| play [2] 8/16 14/8 | provide [1] 19/25 | related [1] 57/2 |
| please [6] 23/23 42/23 45/17 45/20 45/23 | provides [1] 28/7 | relating [1] 26/11 |
| 48/10 | provision [2] 24/12 29/13 | relationship [2] 33/25 34/24 |
| plus [1] 30/18 | public [4] 12/4 23/22 63/22 79/19 | relative [2] 79/8 79/9 |
| POA [1] 64/1 | pull [1] 63/10 | relax [1] 8/17 |
| point [5] 17/23 56/16 58/15 62/19 70/4 | pulled [4] 62/25 63/3 67/7 68/16 | relevant [6] 20/6 45/12 47/3 59/17 60/9 65/8 |
| points [2] 18/21 57/16 | pulling [2] 68/14 68/24 | relief [2] 38/17 65/21 |
| political [1] 49/8 | pump [1] 59/5 | rely [3] 19/12 20/3 20/9 |
| pollution [1] 35/6 | purchased [1] 54/ | remain [3] 21/25 60/25 77/14 |
| pool [4] 5/3 5/4 10/25 13/17 | purpose [1] 63/1 | remains [2] 21/1 21/3 |
| porcelain [2] 17/8 72/13 | pursuant [1] 3/7 | remark [1] 58/22 |
| portion [1] 63/23 | push [1] 58/5 | remarks [2] 11/2 11/8 |
| position [4] 55/3 56/18 60/1 66/10 | pushed [1] 58/19 | remember [3] 9/7 33/12 71/24 |
| positioned [1] 71/9 | put [5] 34/16 44/8 53/13 53/15 71/5 | removal [7] 24/9 24/16 27/4 28/15 28/23 |
| possession [1] 19/19 | putting [4] 13/9 17/19 55/18 65/2 | 29/8 29/10 |
| possible [1] 43/21 |  | remove [3] 10/23 20/24 27/2 |


| $\mathbf{R}$ | 54/9 67/4 67/13 67/19 69/16 74/12 <br> rooftops -- [2] 28/13 67/13 | 52/9 53/23 55/16 55/23 65/19 68/8 71/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| removes [1] 27/12 | room [1] 40/23 | shouldn't [1] 40/9 |
| Removing [1] 29/12 | Rudy [1] 7/3 | show [3] 19/23 51/1 62/3 |
| renderings [1] 17/25 | ruin [1] 33/2 | showing [2] 17/3 29/10 |
| renders [1] 26/20 | rule [3] 49/20 | shown [3] 5/25 9/21 70/ |
| rented [1] 12/6 | rules [1] 66/20 | shows [7] 15/6 15/15 24/22 27/16 27/22 51/7 |
| rep [1] 44/15 | $\text { run [2] } 7 / 25 \quad 13 / 1$ | $63 / 11$ |
| report [9] 2/5 2 | Russians [2] 49/7 49/9 | side [13] 33/17 33/18 41/21 58/1 58/20 |
| 28/5 79/5 | S | 69/12 71/25 72/6 74/17 77/20 77/22 77/22 |
| Report -- [1] 2/6 |  |  |
| REPORTER [3] 7 | sad | siding [1] 17/15 |
| [2] |  | sight [9] 15/13 26/12 28/10 30/19 30/23 |
| representing [2] | safer [1] 13/19 | 31/18 45/13 47/4 |
| representing -- [1] 38/3 | said [9] 11/15 20/16 34/25 35/9 35/13 36/15 | sight-line [1] 15/17 |
| request [7] 10/10 26/18 30/8 51/25 | 37/6 38/10 66/3 | sighting [1] 76/11 |
| 54/16 62/9 | Sam [2] 1/16 74/ | signature [1] 55/13 |
| requested [2] | same [9] 17/22 37/17 39/6 48/17 57/21 60/22 | signatures [1] 38/5 |
| requesting [1] | 6 | signed [4] 12/16 39/13 40/8 59/11 |
| quests [1] 1 | samples [1] | significant [1] 55/21 |
| required [2] 28/11 | Sara [1] 21/23 | signing [1] 55/17 |
| requirements [3] 49/18 68/5 68/6 | sat [2] | similar [2] 3/3 10/15 |
| requires [2] 28/17 51/11 | satisfie | simply [5] 21/9 26/22 49/11 60/20 61/2 |
| research [1] 50/8 | saw [1] 73/22 | since [6] 17/14 18/24 41/24 48/17 66/3 69/20 |
| reserve [1] 23/19 | say [11] 31/18 34/7 36/10 44/16 45/6 45/8 | single [10] 8/5 33/19 34/3 34/6 46/14 54/7 |
| idence [1] 44/4 | 49/3 56/25 60/4 | 8/23 59/1 59/5 60/20 |
| resident [5] 6/13 22/20 37/2 48/16 48/18 | saying [4] 31/20 60/14 68/2 75/1 | sink [1] 16/13 |
| resident -- [1] 6/13 | says [2] 11/17 21/2 | sister [1] 12/7 |
| residential [7] 3/21 3/22 9/13 9/16 10/2 | Scott [1] 34/21 | site [2] 9/9 12/1 |
| 41/21 65/4 | second [9] 5/4 9/13 10/21 19/24 49/19 59/19 | sits [1] 16/19 |
| residents [9] 4/22 34/17 40/10 43/4 | 77/2 78/14 78/15 | situated [1] 4/4 |
| 46/19 47/23 61/13 | section | situation [2] |
| residual [1] 74/2 | sections [1] 70/7 | six [5] 24/6 37/14 37/15 59/13 69/4 |
| resolution [4] 24/22 | securing [1] 69/2 | sixth [1] 40/15 |
| resolves [1] 58/14 | see [31] 15/9 15/17 | size [1] 8/22 |
| respect [10] 49/15 51/ | 37/14 40/22 40/24 41/2 41/10 41/25 45/25 | skylights [1] 16/10 |
| 55/25 56/8 56/15 56/18 | 56/24 57/9 61/17 62/15 62/22 63/5 | slab [1] 71/7 |
| respectfully [5] 10/10 51/25 | 63/15 63/16 64/12 65/8 65/9 65/16 | slab -- [1] 71/7 |
| 62/9 | 73/6 73/13 73/23 74/13 | slabs [1] 71/7 |
| responded [1] 781 | see -- [1] 73/6 | small [1] 43/22 |
| response [1] 2 | seeing [1] | Snyder [3] 19/12 |
| responsible [1] | se | so [65] 3/11 7/21 11/18 12/25 13/14 13/1 |
| rest [3] 16/19 47/20 | seeking [2] | 3/20 15/23 16/5 16/19 17/18 20 |
| restriction [1] 9/19 | seeks [3] 24/8 | 22/12 23/18 27/21 32/24 34/7 34/16 35/3 |
| restrictions [3] 8/24 3 | seen [4] 33/10 37/3 68/7 68 | 36/23 37/18 41/7 41/17 42/11 42/14 42/20 |
| result [2] 8/25 31/10 | sell [1] 43/20 | /13 46/21 47/16 48/3 48/10 48/20 48/2 |
| retail [1] 3/22 | sensitive [2] | 49/15 50/11 52/7 54/2 55/5 55/11 56/3 56/6 |
| retains [1] 25/25 | sensitivity [1] 28/17 | 56/25 57/9 57/15 58/10 61/9 63/1 63/7 63/11 |
| review [18] 1/1 1/13 3/4 9/5 9/25 19/7 19/16 | sent [1] 22/8 | 65/5 65/8 65/16 65/23 66/1 66/10 68/24 6 |
| 20/10 25/18 26/3 26/13 27/3 27/14 28/12 | separate [3] 56/14 70/18 70/20 | 70/17 73/4 73/12 74/9 76/15 77/13 78/7 |
| 75/14 75/15 79/5 79/6 | September [1] 79/11 | sold [2] 39/3 43/1 |
| reviewed [1] 66/6 | serve [2] 54/2 | sole [1] 27/24 |
| revise [1] 26/6 | served [2] 56/4 56/ | some [15] 11/8 24/3 32/22 34/17 39/8 39/11 |
| revisions [1] 29/2 | set [8] 19/17 47/1 47/12 67/1 67/2 67/2 67/6 | 40/10 41/18 43/4 50/10 55/23 56/17 70 |
| Reys [1] 21/23 |  | 4/9 75/1 |
| ridiculous [1] 60/21 | setback [3] | some -- [1] 75/1 |
| right [14] 15/5 16/3 16/16 16/17 18/1 34/2 | setbacks [1] | somebody's [1] 33/3 |
| 41/8 53/5 58/22 62/25 64/19 67/20 72/24 | settlement [11] | somehow [4] 19/23 42 |
| 78/4 | 64/3 65/13 66/4 66/11 66/12 66/1 | someone's [1] 62/19 |
| rights [3] 67/14 67/18 6 | seven [2] 45/19 | something [9] 18/25 19/12 20/4 21/6 47 |
| Road [2] 41/17 41/22 | several [4] 21/7 49/12 63/14 63/1 | 49/23 50/20 68/13 75/12 |
| Robins [1] 34/21 | severe [1] 33/5 | somewhere [1] 16/6 |
| rode [1] 12/22 | shade [1] 2/16 | $\text { son }[3] \quad 7 / 1414 / 847 / 2$ |
| roof [19] 4/5 5/5 5/13 6/14 8/11 9/21 13/2 | shall [2] 9/22 2 | sorry [2] 2/7 45/21 |
| 15/7 16/15 31/20 33/4 36/14 58/10 58/13 | shared [1] 7/6 | sort [8] 15/2 55/23 56/2 |
| 64/17 65/3 67/14 67/17 70/20 | she [2] 21/20 2 | 72/17 75/8 |
| rooftop [36] $2 / 15$ 2/24 3/3 3/15 3/24 4/12 | she's [4] 11/17 11/ | sought [4] 5/17 5/20 26/15 65/22 |
| 5/11 5/24 6/9 6/12 6/16 8/3 8/4 8/11 8/22 | Sheldon [1] 1/16 | sound [1] 53/8 |
| 8/23 9/15 9/17 9/20 10/1 10/15 10/20 10/25 | shocked [1] 36/2 | sounding [1] 74/4 |
| 16/18 20/14 21/4 21/11 28/13 | short [1] 40/2 | sounds [1] 66/20 |
| 61/10 61/20 70/10 73/7 73/17 76/23 rooftops [12] 5/3 28/13 31/7 31/8 53/1 | shot [1] 24/7 <br> should [15] 2 | south [10] 4/15 6/25 12/6 58/19 61/19 66/18 70/24 71/5 72/6 75/17 |

## S

soy [1] 17/17
space [4] 7/25 12/25 13/22 14/6
speak [8] 23/23 31/2 39/9 40/11 48/7 48/13 51/22 57/8
speaker [1] 61/22
speakers [2] 20/25 61/1
speaking [1] 32/6
specific [2] 5/23 49/3
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { specifically [4] } & 10 / 18 & 24 / 16 \\ 26 / 9 & 31 / 17\end{array}$
spend [1] 8/17
spent [1] 35/23
spoke [1] 31/4
spoken [2] 3/17 77/10
square [3] 8/21 51/3 51/3
STAFF [25] 1/21 2/6 2/7 3/4 6/2 6/24 9/23
10/16 19/4 19/6 19/10 20/12 20/16 25/18
26/3 26/8 27/15 27/19 28/21 29/1 35/22
39/19 74/23 76/13 76/18
stair [4] 4/13 6/15 10/4 62/24
staircase [3] 16/11 18/2 70/15
stairs [2] 10/3 53/13
stairway [2] 41/3 42/8
stairwell [2] 2/17 78/1
standardizing [1] 75/2
start [1] 57/11
starting [1] 58/15
STATE [2] 79/2 79/19
states [1] 25/16
station [1] 59/6
stayed [1] 14/24
stays [1] 17/4
stenographic [1] 79/7
stenographically [1] 79/5
step [1] 35/15
still [3] 14/2 36/16 68/25
stipulate [1] 47/7
store [1] 16/6
stories [3] 33/15 33/16 33/17
storm [1] 16/7
story [2] 40/3 40/3
street [16] $1 / 112 / 3$ 3/25 4/7 5/6 9/11 15/20
33/17 34/3 35/9 35/12 40/15 42/25 50/16
52/6 76/5
stricken [1] 6/7
strict [2] 45/1 61/3
stringent [1] 66/19
strong [2] 66/22 76/6
structural [1] 70/3
structure [10] 9/6 16/10 18/5 18/6 31/3
37/14 62/24 72/1 78/6 78/10
structures [7] 2/16 15/15 17/13 28/14 28/19
41/18 53/15
stucco [5] 17/10 17/15 63/6 67/8 76/7
subject [3] 3/4 4/3 4/11
submit [1] 23/4
submitted [1] 2/6
subscription [1] 12/17
subsequent [1] 64/4
subsequently [1] 6/6
substantial [5] 19/11 19/25 20/7 27/20 62/6
success [1] 42/16
successfully [1] 34/10
such [2] 47/14 56/5
sudden [1] 37/17
suggested [1] 12/20
suggestion [1] 73/24
suit [2] 38/14 53/21
summarily [1] 38/20
summary [1] $62 / 2$
Sunset [54] 3/2 3/25 4/1 4/6 4/8 4/22 4/25

5/1 5/8 8/7 9/10 13/1 13/1 13/2 18/8 21/19 21/24 22/21 24/9 25/21 26/7 26/12 27/5 28/1 30/3 30/9 31/14 31/19 32/11 32/12 33/15
34/9 34/11 39/23 40/14 41/14 41/16 41/17
41/21 42/18 44/7 48/16 50/14 50/14 52/13
55/19 56/18 56/24 65/11 65/21 69/15 73/10 73/21 74/12
Sunset -- [1] 5/1
support [7] 7/9 23/2 37/4 37/7 59/9 59/11
64/11
supported [2] 35/21 39/21
supportive [1] 76/13
supports [2] 29/6 56/10
supposed [1] 34/12
Supreme [1] 18/24
sure [7] 33/7 36/25 43/8 44/10 54/1 69/17
71/8
surprise [1] 54/13
surprised [1] 36/24
surrounding [3] 20/20 28/9 29/12
synthetic [1] 17/16
T
tactic [1] 55/24
tactics [1] 56/8
take [6] 16/8 39/15 61/11 66/10 69/21 77/6
taken [2] 15/13 40/4
talk [7] 7/18 22/8 22/16 24/4 44/21 44/23
50/25
talked [1] 61/14
talking [2] 64/16 71/11
talks [1] 48/20
taller [2] 41/18 58/18
tastes [1] 42/11
taxpayer [1] 46/23
TCO [1] 59/23
teak [1] 17/15
team [2] 4/21 34/16
technical [1] 69/19
telephone [1] 3/9
tell [2] 44/25 62/14
tension [2] 70/23 71/3
Teresa [1] 42/24
terms [3] 3/17 67/6 74/4
terrace [15] 2/15 4/5 4/16 8/3 8/4 8/15 8/22
10/2 15/24 16/2 17/9 18/10 20/14 58/20
61/20
terraces [8] 3/24 8/23 9/15 10/24 67/3 70/10
72/15 72/19
Terry [1] 32/10
testified [1] 48/22
testimony [3] 20/1 20/7 62/5
tests [1] 46/18
than [11] 16/19 46/9 46/16 52/3 59/9 59/23
60/19 61/7 63/9 70/6 70/20
thank [27] 6/22 11/10 13/2 14/12 18/18
23/10 23/17 23/20 30/21 40/11 40/12 42/21
42/22 44/6 46/2 47/17 48/12 51/20 51/21
51/23 54/18 54/19 57/4 57/6 63/19 63/21
78/21
thanks [2] 11/10 73/3
that [264]
that -- [2] 41/2 65/17
that's [30] 5/10 7/22 14/1 14/2 14/3 14/6
14/11 15/23 18/17 19/12 25/22 27/17 37/5
38/11 42/20 51/5 51/11 57/4 60/4 63/20 64/6
64/8 64/9 66/1 71/4 72/20 76/13 77/11 77/12
78/3
the -- [2] 16/9 40/1
their [31] 6/15 7/12 7/16 7/19 8/2 8/4 15/24
16/18 16/18 19/22 26/12 33/3 34/4 34/4 37/4
42/9 43/7 44/4 48/4 54/8 54/12 56/14 60/19

62/3 65/3 67/19 74/3 74/11 74/17 75/9 76/21 them [22] 6/8 7/18 7/20 13/9 17/14 21/14
21/17 34/13 34/25 35/15 36/22 37/7 39/8
45/8 54/14 68/16 68/24 69/8 69/25 72/7 72/8 73/25
themselves [2] 58/6 61/8
then [21] 5/6 11/21 17/25 18/9 18/12 18/22
19/24 35/8 35/15 45/4 47/7 47/13 58/1 59/5
59/6 59/7 71/19 71/22 73/11 76/16 77/23
there [73] 3/23 6/3 7/18 7/20 11/6 12/19
12/21 14/23 15/19 15/20 15/21 15/22 15/22
15/23 16/7 17/7 17/9 19/20 21/1 21/15 21/16
24/25 29/5 29/7 29/9 33/13 33/14 33/15 35/4
36/4 37/2 40/6 40/7 40/18 42/6 42/6 43/25
43/25 44/18 45/6 47/22 47/24 48/1 48/6 50/3
50/17 52/23 53/5 53/12 53/14 55/20 56/11 56/16 57/1 57/7 58/24 59/10 59/12 59/13 59/14 59/15 60/25 61/2 61/21 65/5 65/7 66/19 67/5 70/14 73/22 75/1 76/15 77/23 there's [1] 74/5
Therefore [1] 51/15
these [21] 6/4 8/13 17/6 17/14 17/25 19/2
28/13 28/13 30/16 32/22 33/9 34/5 53/16
62/1 64/21 65/24 67/13 67/14 67/17 68/19 72/19
these -- [1] 30/16
they [78] 6/19 7/16 7/18 7/24 9/2 11/4 11/5
15/12 16/6 16/8 19/7 19/7 19/8 19/20 20/13
20/13 20/14 20/15 21/14 21/25 28/24 34/14
35/9 36/6 36/7 36/8 36/19 37/1 37/6 37/14
38/17 38/18 39/1 39/4 39/6 39/7 39/13 39/14
40/1 41/6 41/22 42/15 49/3 49/10 50/25 51/1
53/19 54/5 54/6 54/6 54/12 54/12 54/14
59/22 60/21 61/18 61/24 61/25 62/3 62/4
63/1 64/16 65/1 65/2 65/24 66/3 67/18 67/21
68/17 68/25 69/2 69/6 72/22 74/14 75/6 75/7 75/15 75/21
they're [2] 28/25 54/5
thing [16] 5/10 13/13 17/22 18/12 37/17
48/25 49/19 50/24 57/22 58/3 58/16 62/11
62/22 69/19 70/19 76/3
things [8] 25/20 35/20 38/2 45/9 49/10 50/16

## 68/7 70/21

think [25] 7/22 23/14 41/10 41/24 42/1 42/2
42/17 45/2 46/23 46/24 48/25 49/19 55/6
56/16 64/18 65/20 67/1 67/5 69/21 70/5
72/20 73/24 75/4 75/6 75/7
this [120]
this -- [1] 56/1
those [22] 6/1 6/5 15/18 16/3 24/18 28/2
29/20 31/8 31/9 31/13 34/12 41/5 44/16 59/7
67/25 68/10 68/23 69/15 69/24 70/12 73/1 75/21
though [2] 37/8 77/14
thought [3] 11/19 41/11 64/7
threat [1] 55/24
three [10] 4/12 5/3 17/1 32/5 45/24 58/6
63/4 63/10 68/17 69/2
three-stories [1] 35/14
through [16] 4/13 6/14 14/16 20/1 26/10
28/15 31/16 32/16 32/18 32/19 38/3 39/18
47/9 62/13 69/19 79/7
throughout [1] 18/15
tile [2] 17/8 72/13
Tim [8] 7/3 14/14 14/18 18/19 62/12 63/19
68/12 75/25
time [19] 8/18 9/17 11/11 16/24 22/6 23/3
23/20 37/13 37/21 43/2 43/10 45/16 46/24
50/10 54/18 59/21 59/22 68/3 78/22
times [5] 33/23 33/24 37/15 51/8 63/14
to -- [1] 23/13
to do [10] 3/3 7/14 35/25 36/25 38/13 38/18

| T | until [3] 25/9 27/11 35/20 <br> unused [2] 8/24 9/1 | 58/22 59/12 61/9 61/21 62/4 63/3 64/8 64/10 65/17 65/18 73/8 73/15 79/5 79/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to do... [4] 47/1 57/13 58/13 74/11 | up [27] 11/1 11/21 11/21 13/8 14/15 16/7 | was -- [1] 61/21 |
| to how [1] 52/25 | 17/19 18/12 23/22 32/24 34/9 38/9 40/8 41/3 | waste [2] 46/23 46/24 |
| to the [24] 2/9 2/24 6/14 8/11 9/22 16/2 18/4 | 41/25 42/16 45/9 62/12 62/17 63/15 65/2 | water [7] 16/2 34/15 35/7 35/11 35/14 53/9 |
| 18/4 21/13 21/21 23/8 25/1 26/4 27/3 28/9 | 67/1 67/2 68/25 72/8 72/23 73/7 | 56/23 |
| 30/6 39/20 42/3 46/7 55/3 58/23 59/3 62/25 | upon [6] 19/13 20/3 20/9 33/5 60/6 62/7 | waterfront[2] 3/20 5/8 |
| 71/15 | upper [3] 9/14 15/11 16/3 | waterway [4] 4/2 4/6 4/9 31/9 |
| Tobin [1] 42/25 | Urban [1] 1/22 | way [12] 3/11 16/1 33/10 33/11 52/15 62/25 |
| today [26] 8/9 9/25 11/11 12/3 14/4 14/10 | urge [2] 30/5 48/10 | 63/15 64/8 64/10 72/23 73/11 73/22 |
| 19/9 19/18 22/22 24/2 24/14 25/13 26/15 | us [10] 11/14 21/22 35/22 36/8 36/12 36/20 | way -- [1] 33/10 |
| 37/13 38/18 39/7 41/4 42/5 42/21 45/12 | 39/19 43/12 47/20 59/1 | we [121] |
| 46/11 47/15 48/9 52/4 53/19 60/9 | use [11] 9/8 14/1 17/17 25/14 25/24 26/2 | We'll [2] 45/25 70/2 |
| together [5] 8/1 8/18 11/25 13/9 34/16 | 26/24 27/9 29/23 31/7 33/21 | we've [2] 14/20 63/13 |
| told [1] 37/5 | used [4] 33/9 53/1 57/20 64/15 | week [7] 3/11 38/14 39/3 43/18 |
| too [3] 3/14 14/10 5 | uses [1] 28/8 | 65/19 |
| took [2] 43/10 73/10 | using [1] 17/7 | well [11] 13/4 15/12 23/7 40/22 41/1 41/11 |
| top [5] 5/12 9/15 15/7 16/10 64/21 | utilized [1] 55/23 | 45/3 51/9 59/16 62/15 68/12 |
| total [1] 3/23 | Utopia [1] 24/1 | went [7] 11/22 22/6 26/9 31/16 35/16 39/18 |
| totally [1] 77/12 | V | 60/12 |
|  |  | ere[21] 9/18 12/11 12/23 15/16 33/16 |
| towering [1] 53/10 | valid [4] 50/22 5 | 33/25 34/9 34/12 34/15 35/18 39/4 39/6 43/5 |
| towers [1] 34/12 | value [1] 29/20 | 47/1 49/7 50/15 52/23 54/13 59/22 68/2 |
| townhomes [1] 21/21 | variance [3] 14/23 51/11 51/11 | 75/21 |
| townhouses [7] 33/16 33/18 34/11 34/14 | variances [1] 5/17 | west [4] 21/21 40/14 52/6 71/6 |
| 35/7 35/11 50/15 | various [3] 23/7 35/20 50/1 | what [49] 5/21 6/18 11/3 13/3 14/3 14/24 |
| track [1] 11/3 | vengeance [1] 40/5 | 15/8 15/24 15/25 18/3 18/10 19/6 20/12 29/5 |
| transcript [2] 79/6 79/7 | versa [1] 73/23 | 30/23 31/7 31/20 33/12 37/5 38/18 39/4 39/5 |
| transition [4] 32/18 32/20 33/19 35/3 | vertical [3] 4/9 5/24 6/8 | 40/1 40/8 42/15 45/2 45/10 45/10 46/3 46/5 |
| transitional [1] 41/14 | very [29] 5/23 11/14 14/12 17/18 18/18 19/3 | 46/8 47/1 47/5 47/11 47/14 47/17 48/1 50/12 |
| transparent [1] 22/12 | 20/15 20/23 23/16 23/20 31/6 34/7 34/24 | 53/1 53/23 57/24 64/6 66/1 70/6 72/19 72/20 |
| travel [1] 13/20 | 40/22 41/1 41/9 45/1 45/4 49/2 54/19 57/4 | 73/15 73/18 76/22 |
| travels [1] 53/8 | 60/17 61/3 63/21 75/18 76/6 76/8 76/11 | what -- [1] 47/5 |
| Treasurer [1] 55 | 78/21 | what's [5] 35/22 41/7 42/11 47/6 50/5 |
| treatment [1] 7 | vice [2] 3 | whatever [5] 16/6 44/11 55/18 56/12 74/2 |
| trees [2] 69/23 69/25 | view [2] 18/9 71/19 | when [11] 18/13 28/8 31/17 36/16 38/9 |
| trellis [4] 76/7 78/1 78/2 78/8 | views [2] 34/4 69/16 | 48/20 50/25 54/12 70/3 73/9 76/23 |
| ellises [1] 77/25 | Village [1] 12/1 | where [15] 7/15 7/25 11/23 13/6 17/23 33/25 |
| trellises -- [1] 77/25 | violate [1] 60/3 | 34/14 34/25 39/2 48/1 60/12 64/2 69/12 71/3 |
| trial [1] 60/8 | violation [1] 60/7 | 71/8 |
| triangles [1] 1 | visible [5] 57/22 58/3 58/16 58/21 62/22 | wherever [1] 13/5 |
| true [1] 79/7 | vision [1] 20/18 | whether [1] 71/23 |
| try [2] 14/4 57/13 | visit [1] 3/15 | which [20] 3/11 6/18 7/11 9/6 11/24 12/17 |
| trying [4] 33/2 53/19 54/14 72/ | visited [1] 20/13 | 13/25 25/13 27/2 27/6 28/17 30/13 31/12 |
| Tucker [3] 22/16 23/25 55/6 | visual [1] 35/6 | 49/12 53/22 61/6 63/17 67/9 69/13 76/3 |
| turf [1] 10/5 | visually [1] 33/10 | while [3] 13/24 35/17 55/20 |
| turn [1] 63/23 | vocabulary [3] 70/17 72/3 72/10 | white [7] 17/10 17/11 17/12 17/14 18/5 63/6 |
| turned [1] 36/22 | voicing [1] 61/25 | 76/6 |
| two [17] 3/24 3/25 7/12 8/10 12/19 13/8 16/4 | voluntarily [1] 65/25 | who [17] 7/6 7/13 7/14 15/17 25/7 25/8 |
| 16/5 18/20 33/16 34/12 37/25 70/11 70/18 | vote[1] 3/12 | 31/14 32/25 36/23 39/4 39/10 44/2 44/8 |
| 7 |  | 7/23 48/8 49/9 54/11 |
| tying [2] 69/22 69/24 |  | whole [2] 49/25 73/9 |
| type [1] 61/8 | wait [1] | why [12] 5/22 33/1 37/2 42/20 43/21 43/24 |
| U | waiting [1] | 43/25 44/1 52/4 57/1 70/25 76/13 |
| Uhlemann [1] | ked [1] 20/14 | -- [1] 69/1 |
| ultimately [1] 56/12 | wall [1] 44/23 | wider [3] 68/23 74/1 74/1 |
| unanimous [1] 38/8 | walls [4] 17/8 17/10 17/12 17/13 | width [3] 69/13 77/17 77/19 |
| under [5] 15/16 16/19 27/20 36/19 51/4 | want [22] 7/24 11/3 13/5 13/6 25/8 35/2 35/2 | wife [4] 11/17 11/23 13/18 43/13 |
| understand [7] 28/24 31/15 32/25 50/12 | 37/18 37/24 39/10 40/10 43/21 47/11 47/24 | wildly [1] 22/10 |
| 50/21 67/12 73/14 | 54/25 60/4 62/11 66/5 67/9 67/23 77/4 77/9 | will [26] 3/11 8/15 18/12 20/19 21/5 21/9 |
| understanding [2] 20/15 36/21 | wanted [5] 13/14 13/18 36/6 49/9 73/13 | 28/2 29/11 39/16 41/5 42/6 42/8 46/1 46/17 |
| undo [1] 39/24 | wanting [1] 54/15 | 48/4 48/5 51/15 52/2 53/1 60/8 61/1 61/16 |
| unified [1] 68/3 | wants [3] 35/1 57/8 65/23 | 1/25 63/7 74/1 74/18 |
| uniform [4] 41/9 42/14 42/19 74/13 | warrant [1] 29/8 | winters [1] 14/10 |
| unique [2] 44/12 51/12 | was [63] 3/6 4/22 9/6 11/12 12/17 12/20 | wish [1] 51/22 |
| unit [18] 3/15 4/5 8/2 8/19 10/3 10/14 13/23 | 12/21 13/3 13/20 21/16 22/7 22/11 27/6 29/4 | wishing [2] 23/22 48/13 |
| 16/18 24/18 25/5 46/16 47/13 49/11 53/14 | 30/18 31/5 32/14 33/4 33/12 33/13 33/14 | withdraw [1] 77/4 |
| 56/21 56/23 71/21 76/24 | 33/15 33/18 34/21 34/23 34/23 36/3 36/4 | within [3] 5/15 14/24 17/5 |
| units [12] 3/22 3/24 4/11 9/10 9/13 13/9 15/9 | 36/16 37/8 37/9 38/8 39/20 40/17 40/20 | without [2] 11/7 48/5 |
| 44/19 54/12 59/13 60/18 75/20 | 40/21 41/11 42/16 43/6 44/19 48/9 49/23 | witness [2] 20/1 20/7 |
| unlimited [1] 29/24 | 50/6 52/14 52/15 52/24 53/3 53/17 55/15 | won't [1] 61/17 |



